Research Article

Evaluation of Forage Productivity and Nutritional Value of Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) at Different Fertilizer Application Amounts and Different Stages of Maturity

Byamungu Mayange Tomple and Ik-Hwan Jo[*]

Department of Animal Resources, College of Natural and Life Sciences, Daegu University, Gyeongsan, 38453, South Korea

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to assess the forage productivity and nutritive value of kenaf at different fertilizer application amounts and various stages of maturity. The experiment was conducted from May to September 2020, the amount of 80 kg of kenaf seed/ha was supplied with different types and amounts of nitrogen fertilizer and the plants were harvested at 10-day intervals from different harvesting dates (24th August and 3rd, 13th, 23rd September). According to the different fertilizer types and application amounts, the highest kenaf height was recorded in the inorganic fertilizer amounts of 200 and 250 kg N/ha and the fresh and DM yield were significantly improved in the inorganic nitrogen amount of 250 kg N/ha. The highest CP and TDN content in the leaf was achieved in the inorganic fertilizer amounts of 150 and 200 kg N/ha, respectively; and the highest TDN content in the stem was also found in the inorganic fertilizer amount of 200 kg N/ha. According to the different harvesting dates, the highest DM ratio was found in the harvesting date of 13th September, the leaf ratio increased with advanced maturity, whereas the stem ratio decreased significantly and the highest DM yield of kenaf was recorded in the harvesting dates of 13th and 23rd September. Besides, the highest CP, CF, CA, ADF, NDF and TDN content in the leaf as influenced by different harvesting dates was 15.4, 31.8, 10.2, 22.1, 34.7 and 76.5%, respectively, and the CP, CA, ADF and TDN in stem decreased significantly with advanced maturity of kenaf. In conclusion, the optimal fertilizer amounts and the appropriate harvesting dates for a high forage yield and high-quality kenaf as livestock feed were the inorganic fertilizer application amounts of 200-250 kg N/ha and from 13th and 23rd September, respectively.

(**Key words**: Forage productivity, Harvesting dates, Inorganic and Organic fertilizer, Kenaf, Nutritive value)

Ⅰ. INTRODUCTION

Annual crops such as kenaf (*Hibiscus canabinus* L.) may be able to meet characteristics required for feedstock in the livestock sector in Korea and can be integrated into the existing cropping system because they require minimal inputs to achieve maximum production in a shorter period of time (Anifinrud et al., 2013). As a summer crop, kenaf is usually planted during spring and harvested in early autumn. Since it grows very fast, kenaf can be harvested in 3 to 4 months after sowing (Sim and Nyam, 2021) and the selection of the appropriate harvest maturity and cultivar are all important factors that contribute to achieving maximum protein and dry matter production (Kipriotis et al., 2015). Kenaf belongs to the Malvales order, Malvaceae family, and Hibiscus genus (Ayadi et al., 2017) and also showed its versatile utility as a functional

ingredient in food and animal nutrition (Sim and Nyam, 2021). Their contents in main nutrients, as protein, carbohydrates, fats and minerals appear very satisfactory, combined with good palatability and digestibility (Kipriotis et al., 2015). Kenaf is considered to be a high-protein feed (Aminah et al., 2006) and is suggested to be suitable as a protein supplement for livestock feed (Mohd et al., 2014). Liang et al., (2003) reported that kenaf feed has positive effects on the growth, milk yield and milk quality of goats. Noori et al., (2016) stated that the crude protein of forage is one of the most important criteria in forage quality; and high crude protein is considered a favorable trait for forage production because it contains amino acids that are useful for animal growth and milk production. The kenaf seed had the highest crude protein 30.2% and fat 22%, followed by leaves, flowers and stem (Sim and Nyam, 2021). Islam et al., (2011) reported that differences in the combination of organic

---

*Corresponding author : Ik-Hwan Jo, Department of Animal Resources, College of Natural and Life Sciences, Daegu University, Gyeongsan 38453, Korea, Tel: ***- ****- ****　Fax: +82-53-850-6729, E-mail: greunld@daegu.ac.kr

and inorganic fertilizers significantly affected plant growth and yield. Mohd et al., (2014) reported that kenaf is considered to be a nutrient-demanding crop and Othman et al. (2006) suggested an optimal nitrogen application amount of 300 kg N/ha in sandy soils. However, there are few reports regarding the cultivation, growth and use of kenaf as a livestock feed. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the forage productivity and nutritive value of kenaf as feed at different inorganic and organic fertilizer application amounts and different stages of maturity, in order to enhance the livestock sector.

Ⅱ. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was conducted from 16th May to 23rd September 2020 at the experimental field of Daegu University in Yeongcheon, in the southeast of Gyeongbuk Province, South Korea at the Geological coordinates: latitude: 35°54'11.12" N and longitude: 128°51'22.67" E. Plant species used was "Hongma 74-3" which is one of the varieties of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.). The initial physicochemical properties of the soil before amending with different inorganic and organic fertilizers are presented in Table 1 and consisted mainly of a mixture of sand and mud. Its physicochemical properties were favorable and showed no negative effect on the growth of kenaf in 2020. The sowing amount of 80 kg/ha of seed was applied with a planting density of 20 cm × 20 cm (made by hand on dry soil) and width measurement of 5 m × 1 m was prepared for the planting of kenaf according to the standard application rate of kenaf. Kenaf was evaluated under a strip plot design method in triplicates using 4 different harvesting dates (24th August and 3rd, 13th, 23rd September 2020), with 3 different amounts of inorganic fertilizer (I_1: 150, I_2: 200 and I_3: 250 kg of N/ha) and 3 different amounts of compost (O_1: 150, O_2: 200 and O_3: 250 kg of N/ha). Plot zero

was used as the control as neither inorganic or organic fertilizer was applied. The growth characteristics and forage yield surveys such as plant height, fresh matter yield, leaf ratio, stem ratio and dry matter yield, respectively; were investigated according to the standardization of research survey on agricultural science and technology (RDA, 2012).

Samples were taken from each test section and dried in a 70 ℃ circulating air dryer for 72 hours or more and then weighed after drying. The collected samples were first crushed by an electric mixer and then passed through a 2.0 mm standard, which was placed in a plastic sample storage bottle and the amount required for the experiment was used for sampling and analysis. The chemical composition analysis was performed according to the scientific methods of AOAC (2000). The crude protein (CP) content was determined through the Kjeldahl digestion method using quantitative determination of organic nitrogen. Crude fat content was also determined by extracting the fat from the sample using a solvent, then determining the weight of the fat recovered. Fiber analysis like neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) was made by Van-Soest et al., (1991) method and the total digestible nutrients (TDN) were calculated by the following formula of Linn and Martin (1989). TDN = 88.9 - (0.79 × ADF)

Statistical analysis of all data obtained in this experiment was carried out with a two-way analysis of variance on forage yield and quality of kenaf according to different harvest periods and fertilization amounts (Inorganic/Organic) by SAS Statistical Package Program (version 9.1; SAS Institute Inc.; Cary, USA) and the significant differences among the treatment were determined at 5% probability level by using the least significant difference (LSD).

Table 1. Physicochemical properties of the experimental soils

pH[1] (1:5)	OM[2] (g/kg)	EC[3] (dS/m)	T-N[4] (%)	Available P_2O_5 (mg/kg)	Ca^{2+}	K^+	Mg^{2+}
						(cmol[+]/kg)	
7.8	18.0	0.38	0.17	491.7	4.10	0.46	0.93

[1]pH: potential of hydrogen, [2]OM: organic matter, [3]EC: electric conductivity, [4]T-N: total nitrogen, available phosphorus (P_2O_5)

Ⅲ. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

1. Variations in the meteorological characteristics of the experimental site

Table 2 shows the average temperature and precipitation of the experiment area. The average temperature in 2020 and 30-year averages gradually increased from May to August (from 18 to 27 °C in 2020 and 17.3 to 25.1 °C for the historical mean) and decreased significantly in September. Archontoulis et al., (2005) reported that the optimum temperature for kenaf growth was in the range of 25-29 °C. The average temperature in 2020 was slightly lower than the 30-year average. The maximum temperature in 2020 and 30-year averages was recorded in August and was 31.9 and 30.3 °C, respectively. During the experimental period in 2020, the level of precipitation increased from 46 to 338 mm and 87.2 to 225 mm for the historical mean, but the experimental in 2020 was 167.4 mm more than the 30-year average which is considered to reflect the recent effects of global warming. The duration of sunshine decreased significantly during the experimental process and was less compared to the 30-year average, and the highest relative humidity was observed in July.

2. Variations in the growth characteristics and dry matter yield of kenaf according to different sources

of nitrogen

Table 3 shows the plant height, the total fresh matter yield, DM ratio, leaf ratio, stem ratio and DM yield of kenaf as influenced by different inorganic fertilizer amounts (Control, I_1, I_2 and I_3) and organic fertilizer amounts (Control, O_1 O_2 and O_3). The highest kenaf height was achieved in the inorganic fertilizer application amounts I_2 and I_3 which was 222.9 and 218.1 cm, respectively (p<0.05) and the inorganic fertilizer application amount I_1 was similar to the organic fertilizer application amounts O_1, O_2 and O_3. In this study, comparing the different fertilizer types, the plant height in the inorganic fertilizers were significantly higher than the organic fertilizers and tended to increase in both fertilizers. Chew et al., (1982) reported that when the nitrogen fertilization increased the plant height and the stem yields were also significantly increased and Kang et al., (2018) compared different organic fertilizers with chemical fertilizer and found out that kenaf significantly improved and was higher than other fertilizer applications, which supports the findings of this study. The total fresh forage productivity and the DM yield as influenced by different inorganic and organic fertilizer amounts were significantly improved in the highest dose of the inorganic nitrogen amount I_3, which were 95.3 and 30 ton/ha, respectively. Islam et al., (2011) stated that differences in the combination of organic and inorganic fertilizer applications significantly affected plant growth and yield. In this study, O_2 is where we found the

Table 2. Monthly meteorological data during the experimental period

Years	Items	Classification	May	June	July	August	September
2020	Temperature (℃)	Minimum	12	17.3	18.9	23.3	15.5
		Maximum	24.4	29.1	25.8	31.9	25
		Average	18	23	22	27	19.8
	Precipitation (mm)	Total (975.1)	46.4	142	338.5	246.6	201.6
	Sunshine (hours)	Sum	228.3	217.1	93.8	190.0	140.2
	Relative humidity (%)	Average	67.3	69.2	85.8	79.6	79.9
1981-2010	Temperature (℃)	Minimum	10.4	15.9	20.6	21	15.1
		Maximum	24.5	27.3	29.5	30.3	26
		Average	17.3	21.3	24.6	25.1	20
	Precipitation (mm)	Total (807.7)	87.2	135.8	224.4	225.3	135
	Sunshine (hours)	Sum	232.1	196.8	172.3	187.2	167.4
	Relative humidity (%)	Average	61.9	68.6	75.3	74.8	73.8

Table 3. Effect of different inorganic and organic fertilizer application amounts on agronomic traits and matter yield of kenaf

Fertilizer types	Fertilizer amounts	Plant height (cm)	Fresh matter yield (ton/ha)	Dry matter (%)	Shoot ratio		Dry matter yield (ton/ha)
					Leaf (%)	Stem (%)	
Control		155.9[C]	36.4[C]	28.1	40.7[AB]	59.3[AB]	9.7[C]
Inorganic	I$_1$	181.0[B]	60.2[BC]	29.2	39.1[B]	60.9[A]	19.6[ABC]
	I$_2$	222.9[A]	73.3[AB]	30.7	40.9[AB]	59.1[AB]	25.5[AB]
	I$_3$	218.1[A]	95.3[A]	32.6	39.6[B]	60.4[A]	30.0[A]
Organic	O$_1$	182.4[B]	53.0[BC]	25.5	40.8[AB]	59.2[AB]	13.6[BC]
	O$_2$	179.8[B]	61.5[BC]	28.1	39.2[B]	60.8[A]	19.4[ABC]
	O$_3$	184.4[B]	43.1[C]	25.2	47.9[A]	52.1[B]	10.5[C]
LSD		<0.0001	0.0015	0.7288	0.0055	0.0055	0.0018

[a-c] Different letters in the same column are statistically different (p<0.05). Inorganic fertilizer (I$_1$: 150, I$_2$: 200 and I$_3$: 250 kg of N/ha) and Organic fertilizer (O$_1$: 150, O$_2$: 200 and O$_3$: 250 kg of N/ha)

highest total fresh forage productivity and DM yield of kenaf as influenced by different organic fertilizer amounts only. The DM ratio of kenaf as influenced by different inorganic and organic fertilizer amounts showed no significant differences between all the treatments, the highest leaf ratio was found in the organic fertilizer amount O$_3$ and the stem ratio of kenaf showed no statistical difference between the control, the inorganic fertilizer amount I$_2$ and the organic fertilizer amount O$_2$. In this study, the leaf ratio as influenced by different organic fertilizer amounts only tended to increase whilst the stem ratio decreased significantly with increasing nitrogen dose contains in the organic fertilizer. A similar observation was made in the study of Jo and Byamungu (2020) who found that the leaf ratio increased and the stem ratio decreased significantly as the growth of kenaf progressed consecutively with increasing organic fertilizer levels from 200 to 250 kg of N/ha.

3. Variations in the growth characteristics and dry matter yield of kenaf according to the different stages of maturity and fertilizer amounts

Table 4 shows the plant height, the total forage yield, DM ratio, leaf ratio, stem ratio and DM yield of kenaf as influenced by different harvesting dates (24th August and 3rd, 13th, 23rd September) and fertilizer amounts. In this research, the plant height increased consecutively as the growth of kenaf progressed. This affirmation is supported by the findings of Patanè and Cosentino (2013) with kenaf under reduced water

and nitrogen soil availability area who reported that the height of kenaf had increased as the harvest date was delayed from 30 to 170 days after sowing. As well as this, Danalatos et al., (2010) found that the plant height of kenaf increased almost linearly until flowering when stem elongation ceased due to the transition to the reproductive stage and the greater final height was due to the longer period available for vegetative growth. On the other hand, the highest total fresh forage productivity in this study was found in the late harvesting date of September (23rd September) and was 80.1 ton/ha. Contrary to the findings of Jo and Byamungu (2020), this study showed significant differences between different harvesting dates and the total fresh matter yield was significantly higher compared to their findings due to some period of significant rainfall during this growing process. The DM ratio of the harvest of 24th August and 23rd September were similar (p>0.05) and the highest DM ratio was found in the Mid-September harvest (13th September). While the leaf ratio increased with the advanced maturity of kenaf, the stem ratio tended to decrease significantly. Similar findings were reported by Nam et al. (2018) with kenaf harvested at different stages of maturity. The highest DM yield of kenaf in this study was recorded in the late harvesting dates of September (13th and 23rd September 2020, respectively) and the DM yield in the harvest of 24th August and 3rd September was similar (p>0.05). Muchow and Wood (1980) reported that the distribution of precipitation had a greater effect on kenaf yield than total growing season precipitation. Additionally, another study conducted by Massey

Table 4. Effect of different harvesting periods and nitrogen application amounts on agronomic traits and matter yield of kenaf

Harvesting days	Fertilizer amount	Plant height (cm)	Fresh matter yield (ton/ha)	Dry matter (%)	Shoot ratio (%)		Total yield (ton/ha)
					Leaf	Stem	
24th August	Control	127.6[c]	25.3[b]	36.1[ab]	32.4	67.6	9.2[ab]
	I₁	176.7[b]	44.7[ab]	26.5[ab]	45.5	54.5	11.5[ab]
	I₂	216.5[a]	49.3[ab]	39.4[a]	29.9	70.1	17.7[ab]
	I₃	213.2[a]	69.0[a]	31.7[ab]	35.5	64.5	24.5[a]
	O₁	135.6[c]	40.0[ab]	27.9[ab]	38.3	61.7	11.4[ab]
	O₂	171.7[b]	38.0[ab]	21.0[b]	34.7	65.3	8.0[b]
	O₃	157.7[b]	26.7[b]	30.8[ab]	42.7	57.3	7.9[b]
	mean	171.3[C]	41.9[C]	30.5[AB]	36.6[A]	63.0[A]	12.9[B]
3rd September	Control	157.0[e]	32.3	23.5	38.2	61.8	7.7
	I₁	165.8[de]	62.7	24.4	32.1	67.9	15.5
	I₂	212.7[a]	53.3	21.8	40.2	59.8	12.0
	I₃	204.2[ab]	64.7	37.5	34.1	65.9	17.5
	O₁	182.0[cd]	43.3	22.1	31.9	68.1	9.0
	O₂	171.7[de]	60.0	24.4	32.1	67.9	14.1
	O₃	193.5[bc]	38.7	21.5	44.5	55.8	8.9
	mean	183.8[B]	50.7[BC]	25.0[B]	36.2[B]	63.8[A]	12.1[B]
13th September	Control	124.9[e]	21.7[c]	31.0	39.4[ab]	60.6[ab]	6.9[b]
	I₁	206.7[b]	76.0[bc]	38.3	35.0[b]	65.0[a]	34.1[ab]
	I₂	231.9[a]	112.7[ab]	39.7	49.5[ab]	50.5[ab]	54.7[a]
	I₃	175.4[cd]	141.0[a]	39.4	42.3[ab]	57.7[ab]	54.7[a]
	O₁	169.1[d]	47.7[c]	27.6	45.7[ab]	54.3[ab]	12.2[ab]
	O₂	159.1[d]	38.7[c]	26.9	45.2[ab]	54.7[ab]	12.6[ab]
	O₃	194.3[bc]	45.0[c]	29.0	54.9[a]	45.1[b]	13.1[ab]
	mean	180.2[B]	69.0[AB]	33.6A	44.6[A]	55.4B	26.9[A]
23rd September	Control	213.9[cd]	66.3	21.9	52.8[a]	47.2[b]	14.8[b]
	I₁	174.9[e]	57.3	27.5	43.9[b]	56.1[a]	17.3[ab]
	I₂	230.6[bc]	77.7	21.9	44.0[b]	56.0[a]	17.4[ab]
	I₃	279.6[a]	106.7	21.9	46.9[ab]	53.4[ab]	23.3[ab]
	O₁	243.1[b]	81.0	24.3	47.5[ab]	52.5[ab]	21.2[ab]
	O₂	216.7[c]	109.3	36.6	44.9[ab]	55.1[ab]	42.8[a]
	O₃	192.2[de]	62.0	19.4	49.4[ab]	50.6[ab]	12.1[b]
	mean	221.6[A]	80.1[A]	24.8[B]	47.0[A]	53.0[B]	21.9[AB]
LSD	(A)[*]	<0.0001	0.0018	0.0546	0.0007	0.0007	0.0092
	(B)[**]	<0.0001	0.0015	0.7288	0.3255	0.3255	0.0183
	(A*B)[***]	<0.0001	0.4173	0.7752	0.7371	0.7371	0.1512

[a-e] Different letters in the same column are statistically different (p<0.05).
[A-C] Means in the column of every harvesting date with the different letter are significantly different (p<0.05). [*]Harvesting date, [**] Fertilizer amount, [***]Interaction between harvesting date and Fertilizer amount. Inorganic fertilizer (I₁: 150, I₂: 200 and I₃: 250 kg of N/ha) and Organic fertilizer (O₁: 150, O₂: 200 and O₃: 250 kg of N/ha)

(1974) reported that differences in kenaf yield occurred when rainfall in July and August totalled 339 mm versus 204 mm. In this research, the DM yield of kenaf increased as the growth of plants progressed consecutively. In parallel research carried out with the same protocol, Jalal et al., (2012) found that the total DM yield of 6 kenaf cultivars increased significantly as the harvesting date was delayed.

4. Variations in the nutritive value of kenaf (leaf and stem) according to different sources of nitrogen

Table 5 and Table 6 show the CP, CF, CA, ADF, NDF and TDN content in the leaf and stem of kenaf as influenced by different inorganic and organic fertilizer amounts. The highest CP content in the leaf as influenced by different inorganic and organic fertilizer amounts among all the treatments was achieved in the inorganic fertilizer application amount I_1 and no significant difference was observed from the inorganic fertilizer amounts I_2 and I_3, and the organic fertilizer amounts O_1, O_2 and O_3. On the other hand, the highest CP content in the stem was recorded in the organic fertilizer application amounts O_1, O_2 and O_3 (150, 200 and 250 kg of N/ha, respectively). However, the CP content in the stem as influenced by different inorganic fertilizers only and organic fertilizers only tended to increased as we increased nitrogen

Table 5. Effect of different inorganic and organic fertilizer application amounts on the nutritional value (chemical composition, %) of kenaf leaf

Fertilizer types	Fertilizer amounts	CP[1]	CF[2]	CA[3]	ADF[4]	NDF[5]	TDN[6]
Control		14.0[B]	21.4[CB]	9.3[BC]	22.6[A]	34.9[A]	71.0[D]
Inorganic	I_1	15.7[A]	26.9[A]	9.0[CD]	18.2[BC]	32.6[B]	74.5[BC]
	I_2	14.5[AB]	23.8[AB]	8.8[D]	16.8[D]	30.6[CD]	75.6[A]
	I_3	14.7[AB]	15.3[D]	8.8[D]	17.6[BC]	31.4[C]	75.0[AB]
Organic	O_1	14.7[AB]	17.7[CD]	10.2[A]	18.4[B]	31.2[CD]	74.3[C]
	O_2	15.3[AB]	26.3[A]	10.2[A]	17.9[BC]	30.4[D]	74.8[BC]
	O_3	14.4[AB]	25.8[A]	9.4[B]	17.4[CD]	34.0[A]	75.2[AB]
LSD		0.0318	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0140	<0.0001

[A-D] Different letters in the same column are statistically different (p<0.05).
[1]CP: Crude Protein, [2]CF: Crude Fat, [3]CA: Crude Ash, [4]ADF: Acid Detergent Fiber, [5]NDF: Neutral Detergent Fiber, [6]TDN: Total Digestible Nutrient, Inorganic fertilizer (I_1: 150, I_2: 200 and I_3: 250 kg of N/ha) and Organic fertilizer (O_1 150, O_2: 200 and O_3: 250 kg of N/ha)

Table 6. Effect of different inorganic and organic fertilizer application amounts on the nutritional value (chemical composition, %) of kenaf stem

Fertilizer types	Fertilizer amounts	CP[1]	CF[2]	CA[3]	ADF[4]	NDF[5]	TDN[6]
Control		2.1[C]	18.6[A]	7.1[A]	60.3[BCD]	70.2[D]	41.2[ABC]
Inorganic	I_1	2.6[B]	20.4[A]	6.6[B]	61.6[BC]	74.1[A]	40.2[BC]
	I_2	3.0[AB]	17.0[A]	6.1[C]	58.7[D]	72.1[C]	42.5[A]
	I_3	2.9[AB]	11.9[B]	6.3[C]	59.8[CD]	73.1[B]	41.7[AB]
Organic	O_1	3.2[A]	12.0[B]	7.2[A]	60.9[BC]	73.7[AB]	40.8[ABC]
	O_2	3.1[A]	19.0[A]	7.1[A]	62.6[B]	73.3[B]	39.5[C]
	O_3	3.3[A]	18.2[A]	6.6[B]	65.1[A]	73.7[AB]	37.4[D]
LSD		<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001

[A-D] Different letters in the same column are statistically different (p<0.05).
[1]CP: Crude Protein, [2]CF: Crude Fat, [3]CA: Crude Ash, [4]ADF: Acid Detergent Fiber, [5]NDF: Neutral Detergent Fiber, [6]TDN: Total Digestible Nutrient, Inorganic fertilizer (I_1: 150, I_2: 200 and I_3: 250 kg of N/ha) and Organic fertilizer (O_1 150, O_2: 200 and O_3: 250 kg of N/ha)

dose from 0 to 250 kg of N/ha (p<0.05). In this study, the CP content in the leaf was higher than the CP content found in the stem. Similar studies reported by Noori et al., (2016) indicated that the CP content in the leaves was higher than the CP content in the stem because the low CP content in the stem was mainly due to a rapid accumulation of fibrous components. The highest CF content in the leaf was found in the inorganic fertilizer application amount I_1 and the organic fertilizer application amounts O_2 and O_3, whereas, the highest CF content in the stem was reported in the control, the inorganic fertilizer amounts I_1 and I_2 (150 and 200 kg N/ha, respectively) and the organic fertilizer amounts O_2 and O_3 (200 and 250 kg N/ha, respectively). Although the CF content in the leaf and stem of I_3 and O_1 tended to be less than other treatments, the experiment's overall CF content was similar to that found by the study of Wong et al., (2008) and showed that they are still very concentrated sources of energy, when added to the animal feeds to increase the energy density of the ration. In this study, the highest CA content in leaf among all the treatments was found in the organic fertilizer application amounts O_1 and O_2 (p<0.05). INFIC(1978) data, indicated that the crude ash from dry leaves, fresh leaves and fresh stems was 11.8, 10.6 and 8.2%, respectively, which is slightly higher than the results of this study. The ADF content in the leaf did not show any statistical difference between the inorganic fertilizer application amounts I_1 and I_3 and the organic fertilizer application amount O_2, whereas, the highest ADF content in the stem was found in the organic fertilizer application amount O_3 (250 kg of N/ha) (p<0.05). In this study, the ADF content in the leaf tended to decrease with increasing inorganic nitrogen fertilizer amounts and the same observation was made when we increased organic fertilizer amounts, however the ADF in stem tried to increase with increasing organic fertilizer amounts. The ADF content in the leaf and stem in this study were ranged from 16.8 to 22.6% and 58.7 to 65.1%, respectively. This was in agreement with the standard composition of kenaf leaf and stem by Noori et al., (2016) with 40 varieties of kenaf and found that the ADF content in the leaf and stem generally varies from 16 to 24% and 50 to 58%, respectively with the average leaf and stem ADF being 20 and 54%, respectively. The highest NDF content in the leaf in this research was found in the control and the organic fertilizer application amount O_3. On the other hand, the highest NDF content in stem among all the treatments was

found in the chemical fertilizer application amount I_1 (150 kg of N/ha) and no significant difference was found between the inorganic fertilizer application amount I_3 and the organic fertilizer application amount O_2. The highest TDN content in the leaf among the treatments was recorded in the inorganic fertilizer application amount I_2 (200 kg of N/ha) and the lowest was observed in the control (p<0.05). Whereas, the highest TDN content in the stem was achieved in the inorganic fertilizer application amount I_2 (200 kg of N/ha) but the control and the organic fertilizer amount O_1 (150 kg of N/ha) were similar.

5. Variations in the nutritive value of kenaf (leaf and stem) according to different stages of maturity and fertilizer amounts

Table 7표안 and Table 8 show the CP, CF, CA, ADF, NDF and TDN content in the leaf and stem of kenaf as influenced by different harvesting dates (24th August and 3rd, 13th, 23rd September) and fertilizer amounts. The highest CP content in the leaf as influenced by different harvesting dates was achieved in the harvest of 3rd, 13th and 23rd September. But this finding contradicted the recent findings of Ammar et al., (2020) who reported that the protein concentration in leaves decreased significantly as the harvesting date was delayed from 50 to 75 days after planting. Different sowing and harvesting periods could be contributing factors to this disagreement in findings. Noori et al., (2016) reported that kenaf leaves are the main source of protein which are made up of amino acids essential for animal growth and milk production. On the other hand, the highest CP content in stem in this study was recorded in the harvest of 23rd September. In this experiment, the CP content in the leaf and stem increased significantly with the advanced maturity of kenaf. However, the average CP content in this study was significantly higher than that reported by Jo and Byamungu (2020). In this study, the CP content in the leaf was higher than the CP content in the stem. This affirmation has also been confirmed by Ammar et al., (2020) who reported that leaf DM contained more (p<0.01) than twice the CP as stem DM at the same growth stage. The highest CF content in the leaf and stem were recorded during the harvesting date of 3rd September and the lowest CF content was observed during the late harvesting date of September. In general, as the harvest

Table 7. Effect of different harvesting dates and nitrogen application amounts on the nutritional value (chemical composition, %) of kenaf leaf

Treatment		CP	CF	CA	ADF	NDF	TDN
Harvesting Days	Fertilizer amounts						
24th August	Control	14.6[a]	38.2[b]	10.9[b]	30.1[a]	42.0[a]	65.1[e]
	I[1]	14.0[ab]	18.5[bc]	9.8[d]	22.8[b]	34.3[b]	70.9[d]
	I[2]	12.6[cd]	22.0[bc]	9.6[de]	21.9[d]	34.8[b]	71.6[c]
	I[3]	15.2[a]	16.5[c]	9.2[e]	19.3[e]	34.4[b]	73.7[a]
	O[1]	11.5[d]	42.3[a]	11.6[a]	20.9[d]	33.9[b]	72.4[b]
	O[2]	12.7[bcd]	26.7[b]	10.6[bc]	19.2[e]	31.9[c]	73.7[a]
	O[3]	13.1[bc]	18.3[bc]	10.0[cd]	20.3[d]	31.4[c]	72.8[b]
	mean	13.3[B]	26.1[B]	10.2[A]	22.1[A]	34.7[A]	71.5[D]
3rd September	Control	14.8[b]	19.9[c]	9.6[bc]	19.9[ab]	30.4[bc]	73.2[bc]
	I[1]	19.3[a]	44.7[ab]	8.7[f]	14.8[c]	34.3[a]	77.2[a]
	I[2]	13.0[b]	38.0[b]	9.0[ef]	16.1[bc]	30.7[bc]	76.2[ab]
	I[3]	14.5[b]	18.0[cd]	9.3[cd]	17.1[bc]	30.4[bc]	75.4[ab]
	O[1]	14.1[b]	10.7[d]	9.6[b]	20.9[a]	30.0[bc]	72.4[c]
	O[2]	18.8[a]	47.1[a]	10.2[a]	22.2[a]	29.7[c]	71.4[c]
	O[3]	12.3[b]	44.1[ab]	9.1[de]	18.5[abc]	33.1[ab]	74.3[abc]
	mean	15.2[A]	31.8[A]	9.3[B]	18.5[B]	31.2[BC]	74.3[C]
13th September	Control	13.8[ab]	18.7[bc]	8.3[c]	23.5[a]	35.7[a]	70.3[e]
	I[1]	16.7[a]	29.8[a]	8.8[bc]	19.9[b]	35.0[a]	73.2[d]
	I[2]	17.2[a]	20.6[bc]	8.5[bc]	15.5[d]	30.6[b]	76.7[b]
	I[3]	14.8[ab]	12.3[c]	8.3[c]	16.7[c]	31.6[b]	75.5[c]
	O[1]	15.5[a]	12.2[c]	9.9[ab]	15.9[d]	30.7[bc]	76.3[b]
	O[2]	11.5[b]	14.3[c]	11.2[a]	14.5[e]	29.1[c]	77.5[a]
	O[3]	15.9[a]	24.8[ab]	9.4[bc]	15.6[d]	29.8[bc]	76.6[b]
	mean	15.1[A]	18.9[C]	9.2[B]	17.4[C]	31.3[B]	75.2[B]
23rd September	Control	12.6[c]	9.0[bc]	8.5[d]	16.9[a]	31.5[b]	75.5e
	I[1]	13.0[c]	14.8[ab]	8.8[c]	15.3[cd]	26.7[d]	76.8bc
	I[2]	15.5[abc]	14.7[ab]	8.2[e]	13.7[e]	26.1[d]	78.1a
	I[3]	12.2[bc]	14.3[ab]	8.5[d]	17.2[a]	29.6[c]	75.3e
	O[1]	17.9[ab]	5.5[c]	9.5[a]	15.9[b]	30.0[c]	76.5cd
	O[2]	18.4[a]	17.2[a]	8.8[c]	15.7[bc]	31.0[bc]	76.5cd
	O[3]	16.1[abc]	16.0[ab]	9.1[b]	15.0[d]	41.9[a]	77.1b
	mean	15.4[A]	13.1[D]	8.8[C]	15.7[D]	31.0[C]	76.5[A]
LSD	(A)*	0.0011	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
	(B)**	0.2318	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
	(A*B)***	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001

[a-e] Different letters in the same column are statistically different (p<0.05).
[A-D] Means in the column of every harvesting date with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05). *Harvesting date, ** Fertilizer amount, ***Interaction between harvesting date and Fertilizer amount. Inorganic fertilizer (I[1]: 150, I[2]: 200 and I[3]: 250 kg of N/ha) and Organic fertilizer (O[1]: 150, O[2]: 200 and O[3]: 250 kg of N/ha)

Table 8. Effect of different harvesting dates and nitrogen application amounts on the nutritional value (chemical composition, %) of kenaf stem

Harvesting Days	Treatment Inorganic/Organic Fertilizer (kg N/ha)	CP	CF	CA	ADF	NDF	TDN
24th August	Control	2.0abc	32.7a	8.0a	57.1bc	69.7de	43.8ab
	I1	2.2abc	18.2c	7.5ab	56.1c	69.4e	44.6a
	I2	1.7bc	19.9bc	6.3c	59.9a	73.3a	41.6c
	I3	3.1a	14.9c	7.5ab	57.9b	72.0b	43.2b
	O1	3.4a	29.0a	8.1a	56..0c	68.8e	44.6a
	O2	1.4c	26.9ab	7.5ab	57.8b	70.7cd	43.3b
	O3	2.9ab	13.1c	6.7bc	57.4b	70.8c	43.6b
	mean	2.4C	22.1B	7.4A	57.5C	70.7D	43.5A
3rd September	Control	1.6d	23.0b	7.7a	54.7ab	63.1d	45.7ab
	I1	2.9c	41.2a	7.1b	54.2b	73.9ab	46.1a
	I2	4.1ab	23.5b	6.2c	52.7b	71.4c	47.3a
	I3	1.8d	16.8bc	6.2c	54.4ab	74.9a	45.9ab
	O1	4.7a	9.8d	7.0b	55.7ab	74.0ab	44.9ab
	O2	3.9b	41.3a	7.2b	64.1a	72.6bc	38.3b
	O3	1.5d	34.7a	6.2c	64.1a	71.3c	38.3b
	mean	2.9B	27.2A	6.8B	57.1C	71.6C	43.8A
13th September	Control	2.9bc	15.8a	6.8a	58.9c	72.7d	42.3b
	I1	1.6d	15.5a	5.6c	64.8b	77.9a	37.7c
	I2	2.5c	20.5a	6.3b	56.0d	73.1d	44.7a
	I3	3.5b	6.7b	5.5c	58.5cd	72.7d	42.7ab
	O1	1.4d	6.7b	6.9a	60.2c	77.1ab	41.3b
	O2	4.6a	4.3b	6.8a	60.9c	75.1c	40.8b
	O3	4.6a	17.9a	6.9a	70.0a	76.6b	33.6d
	mean	3.0AB	12.5C	6.4C	61.3B	75.0A	40.4B
23rd September	Control	1.9c	3.0c	6.1c	70.7ab	75.3a	33.1cd
	I1	3.8a	6.6ab	6.2bc	71.2a	75.2a	32.6d
	I2	3.9a	3.9bc	5.6d	66.3d	70.5c	36.5a
	I3	3.0b	9.4a	5.9cd	68.2c	72.9b	35.0b
	O1	3.4ab	2.3c	6.8a	71.8a	74.8a	32.2d
	O2	2.7bc	3.5c	7.0a	67.6cd	74.9a	35.5ab
	O3	4.1a	7.3a	6.5ab	69.1bc	76.0a	34.3bc
	mean	3.2A	5.1D	6.3C	69.3A	74.2B	34.2C
LSD	(A)*	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
	(B)**	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
	(A*B)***	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001

a-e Different letters in the same column are statistically different (p<0.05).

A-D Means in the column of every harvesting date with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05). *Harvesting date, ** Fertilizer amount, ***Interaction between harvesting date and Fertilizer amount. Inorganic fertilizer (I1: 150, I2: 200 and I3: 250 kg of N/ha) and Organic fertilizer (O1: 150, O2: 200 and O3: 250 kg of N/ha)

time is delayed, the fiber content increases and the protein content decreases significantly, but Bhardwaj and Webber (1994) determined in a forage evaluation of 6 cultivars that the CP content of kenaf plant decreased from 5 to 8% between harvests at 70 to 140 days after planting and Wong et al., (2008) reported that the CF content of kenaf increased significantly to 36.6% as kenaf matured. The highest CA content of leaf and stem was reported during the harvest of 24th August and the CA content of stem did not show any statistical difference between the harvest in early September and Mid-September, also the CA content of stem was similar between the harvest of Mid-September and the harvest in late September ($p>0.05$). In this study, the CA content tended to decrease as kenaf matured. The ash content of the crop can be influenced by the amount of inorganic constituents in the soil which can impact biomass conversion systems. The response of this study agrees with the results of several other studies on kenaf and specially Anfinrud et al., (2013). The highest ADF and NDF content in the leaf was recorded in the harvest of 24th August and the highest TDN content in the leaf was reported in the late harvesting date of September. In this parameter, as the growth of kenaf progressed, the TDN content in the leaf increased significantly ($p<0.05$). Similar results of increasing the TDN of kenaf with increasing plant age have been reported by Nam et al. (2018). The highest ADF content in the stem as influenced by different harvesting dates was reported in the harvest of 23rd September and the lowest NDF content in the stem was found in the harvest of 24th August. Anfinrud et al., (2013) stated that kenaf had significantly lower levels of NDF at earlier stages when compared with other crops and lower ADF would be more suitable for forage production (Noori et al., 2016). The ADF and NDF in stem in this study were found to be higher than the ADF and NDF in the leaf. This was in perfect agreement with the findings reported by Phillips et al. (1999) and Ammar et al., (2020), who found that in kenaf varieties, ADF in the stem was higher than ADF in the leaf. The highest TDN content in the stem was found in the harvest of 24th August and 3rd September whereas, the late harvest of September was the lowest. In this study, as the growth of kenaf progressed, the TDN content in stem decreased significantly ($p<0.05$).

Ⅳ. CONCLUSIONS

In order to relieve the pressure on conventional animal feed resources and to diversify cropping systems in the region, different amounts of fertilizer application and different stages of kenaf maturity were investigated. During the experiment, the precipitation increased from 46 to 338 mm in 2020 and was 167 mm more than the 30-year average, the total fresh forage productivity and the DM yield as influenced by different inorganic and organic fertilizer amounts were significantly higher in the inorganic nitrogen amount of 250 kg N/ha and the highest TDN content in the leaf and stem was achieved in the inorganic fertilizer application amount of 200 kg N/ha. However, the CP, CF, CA, ADF and NDF in the leaf and stem in this study were ranged in perfect agreement with the standard composition of kenaf. On the other hand, the plant height as influenced by different harvesting dates tended to increase as harvesting dates were delayed and the highest DM ratio was recorded during the harvesting date of 13th September. The DM yield and leaf ratio increased with the advanced maturity of kenaf, whereas the stem ratio decreased significantly. The CP content in the leaf was higher than that found in the stem but the ADF and NDF in the stem were found to be higher than the ADF and NDF in the leaf.

The results of this study suggest that kenaf can be grown during the summer season as an annual crop and the inorganic fertilizer application amounts of 200 to 250 kg of N/ha and the harvesting dates of 13th and 23rd September were the optimal fertilizer application amounts as well as the proper harvesting dates for the high forage yield and quality of kenaf.

Ⅴ. REFERENCES

Aminah, A., Wong, C.C. and Hasim, I. 2006. Production potential of kenaf for forage and fiber on bris under smallholder production system. In: Fourth technical review meeting on the National Kenaf Research Project, Malaysian Agriculture Research Institute. pp. 15-20.

Ammar, H., Ismail, Y., Lehiani, M.A., Tejido, M.L., Bodas, R., Giraldez, F.J., Salem, A.Z.M. and Lopez, S. 2020. Biomass production and nutritive value of Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) at various stages of growth. Agroforestry Systems.

94(4):1171-1178.

Anfinrud, R., Cihacek, L., Johnson, B.L., Ji, Y. and Berti, M.T. 2013. Sorghum and kenaf biomass yield and quality response to nitrogen fertilization in the Northern Great Plains of the USA. Industrial Crops and Products. 50:159-165.

AOAC. 2000. Official methods of analysis of the AOAC (17th ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Gaithersburg, USA.

Archontoulis, S.V., Struik, P.C. and Danalatos, N.G. 2005. Leaf photosynthesis of kenaf (cv. Everglades 41) as affected by different light intensity and temperature regimes. In: Proceedings of the 14th European Biomass Conference, 17-21 October, Paris, France. pp. 414-417.

Ayadi, R., Hanana, M., Mzid, R., Hamrouni, L., Khouja, M.L. and Salhi Hanachi, A. 2017. *Hibiscus cannabinus* L.-Kenaf: A review paper. Journal of Natural Fibers. 14(4):466-484. doi:10.1080/15440478.2016.1240639

Bhardwaj, H.L. and Webber III, C.L. 1994. Seasonal variation in kenaf yield and quality. Proc. Int. Kenaf Assoc. Conf. 6:150-154.

Chew, W.Y., Abdul, M.M.A. and Ramli, K. 1982. Nitrogen and potassium fertilization of Congo jute (*Urena lobata*) and kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L) on Malaysian peat. Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute Research Bulletin. 10(3):317-322.

Danalatosa, N.G. and Archontoulis, S.V. 2010. Growth and biomass productivity of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus,* L.) under different agricultural inputs and management practices in central Greece. Industrial Crops and Products. 32:231-240.

INFIC. 1978. Data from international network of feed information centers. FAO, Rome. https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do? (Accessed April, 2021)

Islam, M.M., Karim, A.J.M.S., Jahiruddin, M., Majid, N.M. and Miah, M.G. 2011. Effects of organic manure and chemical fertilizers on crops in the radish-stem amaranth-Indian spinach cropping pattern in homestead area. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 5:1370-1378.

Jalal, S., Farhad, Z., Morteza, A.B.M. and Tayebe, S. 2012. Effects of harvest time and cultivar on yield and physical properties fibers of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.). African Journal of Biochemistry Research. 6(6):69-74.

Jo, I.K. and Byamungu, M.T. 2020. Response of organic fertilizer application rates and different harvesting periods on forage yield and quality of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.). Korean Journal of Organic Agriculture. 28(4):615-626.

Kang, C.H., Lee, I.S., Go, D.Y., Kim, H.J. and Na, Y.E. 2018. The growth and yield differences in kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) in

reclaimed land based on the physical types of organic materials. Korean Journal of Crop Science. 63(1):64-71.

Kipriotis, E., Hepingb, X., Vafeiadakisc, T., Kipriotia, M. and Alexopouloud, E. 2015. Ramie and kenaf as feed crops. Industrial Crops and Products. 68:126-130.

Liang, J.B., Paengkoum, P. and Chuntiratikul, A. 2003. Potential of kenaf as protein forage for ruminant livestock. In: Proceeding of the second technical review meeting on research and development of kenaf production for animal feed and fibre (Eds.), Malaysian Agriculture Research Institute, Serdang. pp. 74-80.

Linn, J. and Martin, N. 1989. Forage quality tests and interpretation. The University of Minnesota Ext. Serv. AG-FO-2637. Minnesota.

Massey, J.H. 1974. Effects of nitrogen levels and row widths on kenaf. Agronomy Journal. 66:822-823.

Mohd, H.A.B., Arifin, A., Nasima, J., Hazandy, A.H. and Khalil, A. 2014. Journey of kenaf in Malaysia: A review. Scientific Research and Essays. 9(11):458-470.

Muchow, R.C. and Wood, I.M. 1980. Yield and growth responses of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) in a semi-arid tropical environment to irrigation regimes based on leaf water potential. Irrigation Science. 1:209-222.

Nam, C.H., Kim, K.S., Park, M.H., Kim, W.H., Ji, H.J., Choi, K.C. and Sun, S.S. 2018. Effects of seeding and organic fertilizer rates and harvest time on kenaf yield and feed value. Journal of the Korean Society of Grassland and Forage Science. 38(2):91-98.

Noori, Z., Saleh, G., Foroughi, M., Behmaram, R., Kashani, P., Babaei, N., Halim, R.A., Alimon, R. and Shapor, S.S. 2016. Forage yield and quality of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) for consumption as ruminant feed. Journal of Applied and Fundamental Sciences. 8(2S):12-30.

Othman, A., Wong, C.C., Zainul, A.H., Atta, A.A.M., Kassim, B. and Hamid, A. 2006. Consolidation of production technologies for the commercialization of kenaf cultivation in Malaysia. In: Fourth technical review meeting on the National Kenaf Research project. MARDI. pp. 3-13.

Patanè, C. and Cosentino, S.L. 2013. Yield, water use and radiation use efficiencies of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) under reduced water and nitrogen soil availability in a semi-arid Mediterranean area. European Journal of Agronomy. 46:53-62.

Phillips, W.A., Fitch, G.Q., McCollum III, F.T., Adams, R.S. and Hartnell, G. 1999. Kenaf dry matter production, chemical composition, and in situ disappearance when harvested at different Intervals. The Professional Animal Scientist. 15(1):34-39.

Rural Development Administration. 2012. Standardization for research survey on agricultural science and technology. Korea. pp.

339-358.

SAS. 2016. Statistical analysis system ver. 9.1. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC.

Sim, Y.Y. and Nyam, K.L. 2021. *Hibiscus cannabinus* L. (kenaf) studies: Nutritional composition, phytochemistry, pharmacology, and potential applications. Food Chemistry (344) 128582. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128582

Van-Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B. and Lewis, B.A. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. Journal of Dairy Science. 74:3583-3597.

Wong, C.C., Mat Daham, M.D. and Abdullah, O. 2008. Effects of defoliation (cutting) on forage yield and quality of selected kenaf accessions. Journal of Tropical Agriculture and Food Science. 36(1):21-28.