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#### Abstract

Let $C[0, T]$ denote a generalized analogue of Wiener space, the space of real-valued continuous functions on the interval $[0, T]$. Define $Z_{\vec{e}, n}: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by $$
Z_{\vec{e}, n}(x)=\left(x(0), \int_{0}^{T} e_{1}(t) d x(t), \ldots, \int_{0}^{T} e_{n}(t) d x(t)\right)
$$ where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are of bounded variations on $[0, T]$. In this paper we derive a simple evaluation formula for Radon-Nikodym derivatives similar to the conditional expectations of functions on $C[0, T]$ with the conditioning function $Z_{\vec{e}, n}$ which has an initial weight and a kind of drift As applications of the formula, we evaluate the Radon-Nikodym derivatives of various functions on $C[0, T]$ which are of interested in Feynman integration theory and quantum mechanics. This work generalizes and simplifies the existing results, that is, the simple formulas with the conditioning functions related to the partitions of time interval $[0, T]$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $C_{0}[0, T]$ denote the classical Wiener space, the space of real-valued continuous functions $x$ on the interval $[0, T]$ with $x(0)=0$. When $\tau: 0=t_{0}<$ $t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n-1}<t_{n}=T$ is a partition of $[0, T]$ and $\xi_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, n$, the conditional expectation of time integral in which the paths of $C_{0}[0, T]$ pass through the point $\xi_{j}$ at each time $t_{j}$ is very useful in the Brownian motion theory. Park and Skoug [7] derived a simple formula for conditional Wiener integrals containing the time integral with the conditioning function $X_{n}: C_{0}[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by $X_{n}(x)=\left(x\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, x\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$. Furthermore, they [8] extended the formula in [7] with the conditioning function $Z_{n}: C_{0}[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by $Z_{n}(x)=\left(\int_{0}^{T} e_{1}(t) d x(t), \ldots, \int_{0}^{T} e_{n}(t) d x(t)\right)$, where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are in

[^0]$L^{2}[0, T]$. In their simple formulas, they expressed the conditional Wiener integrals directly in terms of ordinary Wiener integrals, which generalizes Yeh's inversion formula [12].

On the other hand, let $C[0, T]$ denote the space of continuous real-valued functions on the interval $[0, T]$. Ryu $[10,11]$ introduced a finite positive measure $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ on $C[0, T]$, where $\alpha, \beta:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are appropriate functions and $\varphi$ is a finite positive measure on the Borel class $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbb{R}$. We note that $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ is exactly the Wiener measure on $C_{0}[0, T]$ if $\alpha(t)=0, \beta(t)=t$ for $t \in[0, T]$ and $\varphi$ is the Dirac measure concentrated at 0 . Let $X_{\tau}: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $Y_{\tau}: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the functions defined by $X_{\tau}(x)=\left(x\left(t_{0}\right), x\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, x\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ and $Y_{\tau}(x)=\left(x\left(t_{0}\right), x\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, x\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right)$, respectively. In $[4,6]$, the author investigated properties of the Fourier-transform of the function $W: C[0, T] \times[0, T] \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ defined by $W(x, t)=x(t)$. In fact, using the Fourier-transform of $W$, he derived two simple evaluation formulas for Radon-Nikodym derivatives similar to the conditional expectations of functions on $C[0, T]$ for the conditioning functions $X_{\tau}$ and $Y_{\tau}$ which have a generalized drift $\alpha$, a generalized variance function $\beta$ and an initial weight $\varphi$. As applications of the formulas, he evaluated the Radon-Nikodym derivatives of the functions $F(x) \equiv \int_{0}^{T}[W(x, t)]^{m} d \lambda(t)(m \in$ $\mathbb{N})$ and $G_{3}(x) \equiv\left[\int_{0}^{T} W(x, t) d \lambda(t)\right]^{2}$ on $C[0, T]$, where $\lambda$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-valued Borel measure.

For $x \in C[0, T]$, let $Z_{\vec{e}, n}(x)=\left(x\left(t_{0}\right), \int_{0}^{T} e_{1}(t) d x(t), \ldots, \int_{0}^{T} e_{n}(t) d x(t)\right)$, where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are of bounded variations on $[0, T]$. In this paper we derive a simple evaluation formula for Radon-Nikodym derivatives similar to the conditional expectations of functions on $C[0, T]$ for the more generalized conditioning function $Z_{\vec{e}, n}$ which also has a kind of drift $\alpha$, the generalized variance function $\beta$ and the initial weight $\varphi$. As applications of the formula, we evaluate the Radon-Nikodym derivatives of various functions on $C[0, T]$ which are of interested in Feynman integration theory and quantum mechanics. In fact, we calculate the derivatives of $F, G_{3}$, a cylinder type function and the functions in a Banach algebra which generalizes the Cameron-Storvick's one [1]. We note that $W$ has a kind of drift $\alpha$ with the more generalized variance function $\beta$ while it has no drifts on $C_{0}[0, T]$. Furthermore, our underlying space $C[0, T]$ may not be a probability space so that the results of this paper generalize and simplify those of $[4,6,8,12]$ and $[7]$ in which the works are the first results among them.

## 2. A generalized analogue of Wiener space

In this section, we introduce a generalized analogue of Wiener space which is our underlying space of this work.

Let $\alpha$ be absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$ and let $\beta$ be continuous, strictly increasing on $[0, T]$. Let $\varphi$ be a positive finite measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. For $\vec{t}_{k}=$ $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ with $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k} \leq T$, let $J_{\vec{t}_{k}}: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ be the function given by $J_{\vec{t}_{k}}(x)=\left(x\left(t_{0}\right), x\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)$. For $\prod_{j=0}^{k} B_{j} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k+1}\right)$,
the subset $J_{\vec{t}_{k}}^{-1}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{k} B_{j}\right)$ of $C[0, T]$ is called an interval $I$ and let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of all such intervals $I$. Define a premeasure $m_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ on $\mathcal{I}$ by

$$
m_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(I)=\int_{B_{0}} \int_{\prod_{j=1}^{k} B_{j}} \mathcal{W}\left(\vec{t}_{k}, \vec{u}_{k}, u_{0}\right) d m_{L}^{k}\left(\vec{u}_{k}\right) d \varphi\left(u_{0}\right),
$$

where $m_{L}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, and for $u_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, \vec{u}_{k}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}\left(\vec{t}_{k}, \vec{u}_{k}, u_{0}\right)= & {\left[\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} 2 \pi\left[\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} } \\
& \times \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\left[u_{j}-\alpha\left(t_{j}\right)-u_{j-1}+\alpha\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(C[0, T])$ of $C[0, T]$ with the supremum norm, coincides with the smallest $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{I}$ and there exists a unique positive finite measure $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ on $\mathcal{B}(C[0, T])$ with $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(I)=m_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. This measure $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ is called a generalized analogue of Wiener measure on $(C[0, T], \mathcal{B}(C[0, T]))$ according to $\varphi[10,11]$.

Now we introduce a useful lemma which is needed in the next section [4].
Lemma 2.1. Let $0 \leq s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq s_{3} \leq T$. Then the Fourier-transform $\mathcal{F}\left(W\left(\cdot, s_{1}\right), W\left(\cdot, s_{3}\right)-W\left(\cdot, s_{2}\right)\right)$ of $\left(W\left(\cdot, s_{1}\right), W\left(\cdot, s_{3}\right)-W\left(\cdot, s_{2}\right)\right)$ can be expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}\left(W\left(\cdot, s_{1}\right), W\left(\cdot, s_{3}\right)-W\left(\cdot, s_{2}\right)\right)\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\varphi(\mathbb{R})} \mathcal{F}\left(W\left(\cdot, s_{1}\right)\right)\left(\xi_{1}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(W\left(\cdot, s_{3}\right)-W\left(\cdot, s_{2}\right)\right)\left(\xi_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $W\left(\cdot, s_{1}\right)$ and $W\left(\cdot, s_{3}\right)-W\left(\cdot, s_{2}\right)$ are independent if $\varphi$ is a probability measure.

Let $\nu_{\alpha, \beta}$ denote the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure defined by $\nu_{\alpha, \beta}(E)=\int_{E} d(|\alpha|$ $+\beta)(t)$ for each Lebesgue measurable subset $E$ of $[0, T]$, where $|\alpha|$ denotes the total variation of $\alpha$. Define $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ to be the space of functions on $[0, T]$ that are square integrable with respect to $\nu_{\alpha, \beta}$ [9]; that is,

$$
L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]=\left\{f:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \int_{0}^{T}[f(t)]^{2} d \nu_{\alpha, \beta}(t)<\infty\right\} .
$$

The space $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ is a Hilbert space and has the inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\alpha, \beta}=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) g(t) d \nu_{\alpha, \beta}(t)
$$

We note that $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T] \subseteq L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$, where $L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ denotes the space $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0$, $T]$ with $\alpha \equiv 0$. Since $\|\cdot\|_{0, \beta} \leq\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, \beta}$, the two norms $\|\cdot\|_{0, \beta}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, \beta}$ are equivalent on $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ by the open mapping theorem. Let $S[0, T]$ be the collection
of step functions on $[0, T]$ and let $\int_{0}^{T} \phi(t) d x(t)$ denote the Riemann-Stieltjes integral. For $f \in L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$, let $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of the step functions in $S[0, T]$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi_{n}-f\right\|_{\alpha, \beta}=0$. Define $I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)$ by the $L^{2}(C[0, T])$-limit

$$
I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} \phi_{n}(t) d x(t)
$$

for all $x \in C[0, T]$ for which this limit exists or $I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} \phi_{n}(t)$ $d x(t)$ point-wisely if exists. We note that for $\in L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T], I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(x)$ exists for $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$. Moreover, we have the following theorems [3].
Theorem 2.2. If $f$ is of bounded variation on $[0, T]$, then $I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(x)=\int_{0}^{T} f(t)$ $d x(t)$ for $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$.

Throughout this paper, for $x \in C[0, T]$, we redefine

$$
I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(x)=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) d x(t)
$$

if $\int_{0}^{T} f(t) d x(t)$ exists.
Theorem 2.3. Let $f, g \in L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$. Then we have the followings:
(1) $\int_{C 0, T]} I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(x) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(x)=\varphi(\mathbb{R}) I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(\alpha)$.
(2) $\int_{C[0, T]}\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(x)\right]\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}(g)(x)\right] d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(x)=\varphi(\mathbb{R})\left[\langle f, g\rangle_{0, \beta}+\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(\alpha)\right]\right.$ $\times\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}(g)(\alpha)\right]$.
(3) $I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)$ is a normally distributed random variable with the mean $I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)$ $(\alpha)$ and the variance $\|f\|_{0, \beta}^{2}$ if $\varphi(\mathbb{R})=1$. In this case, the covariance of $I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)$ and $I_{\alpha, \beta}(g)$ is given by $\langle f, g\rangle_{0, \beta}$.
Let $k$ be a positive integer, let $X$ be an $\mathbb{R}^{k}$-valued Borel measurable function defined for $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$ and let $F: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be integrable. Let $m_{X}$ be the image measure on the Borel class $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ induced by $X$. By the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists an $m_{X}$-integrable function $\frac{d \mu_{X}}{d m_{X}}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ which is unique up to $m_{X}$ a.e. such that for every $B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$,

$$
\int_{X^{-1}(B)} F(x) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(x)=\int_{B} \frac{d \mu_{X}}{d m_{X}}(\vec{\eta}) d m_{X}(\vec{\eta})
$$

Define the function $\frac{d \mu_{X}}{d m_{X}}$ as the generalized conditional expectation of $F$ given $X$ and it is denoted by $G E[F \mid X]$. We note that $G E[F \mid X]$ is a Radon-Nikodym derivative rather than a conditional expectation since $m_{X}$ may not be a probability measure.
Lemma 2.4. Let $X$ and $F$ be as given above. Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ be a bijective Borel measurable function. Then we have for $m_{\psi \circ X}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$

$$
G E[F \mid(\psi \circ X)](\vec{\xi})=G E[F \mid X]\left(\psi^{-1}(\vec{\xi})\right)
$$

Proof. By the definition of generalized conditional expectation and the change of variable theorem, we have for $B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B} G E[F \mid(\psi \circ X)](\vec{\xi}) d m_{\psi \circ X}(\vec{\xi}) & =\int_{X^{-1}\left(\psi^{-1}(B)\right)} F(x) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(x) \\
& =\int_{\psi^{-1}(B)} G E[F \mid X](\vec{\xi}) d m_{X}(\vec{\xi}) \\
& =\int_{B} G E[F \mid X]\left(\psi^{-1}(\vec{\xi})\right) d\left(m_{X} \circ \psi^{-1}\right)(\vec{\xi}) \\
& =\int_{B} G E[F \mid X]\left(\psi^{-1}(\vec{\xi})\right) d m_{\psi \circ X}(\vec{\xi})
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by the uniqueness of Radon-Nikodym derivative, we have this lemma.

## 3. A simple formula for the generalized conditional expectation

In this section, we derive a simple evaluation formula for the generalized conditional expectation.

Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be a set of functions in $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ such that $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ is orthonormal in $L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$. Such sets always exist:

Example 3.1. (1) Let $\left\{1, t, \ldots, t^{n-1}\right\}$ be a set of polynomials on $[0, T]$ and let $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ be the set obtained by the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization process in $L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$. Then it is clear that the set $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the desired condition.
(2) Let $\tau: 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}=T$ be a partition of [ $\left.0, T\right]$. For $j=1, \ldots, n$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{j}(s)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)}} \chi_{\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]}(s) \text { for } s \in[0, T] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is clear that the set $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the desired condition. Each $g_{j}$ is also of bounded variation on $[0, T]$. Using this orthonormal set, we will simplify the results related to the simple formulas with the conditioning functions $X_{\tau}$ and $Y_{\tau}[4,6]$.
Let $V_{n}$ be the subset of $L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ generated by $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and let $V_{n}^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of $V_{n}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}: L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T] \rightarrow V_{n}$ and $\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}, n, \beta$ : $L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T] \rightarrow V_{n}^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal projections, where

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta} v=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle v, e_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} e_{j} \text { for } v \in L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T] .
$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta} v$ belongs to $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ for $v \in L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} v$ belongs to $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ if $v \in L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$. Let $z_{0}(x)=x(0)$ for $x \in C[0, T]$. For each $j=1, \ldots, n$, define $z_{j}$ and $Z_{n}$ by $z_{j}(x)=I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{j}\right)(x)$ and

$$
Z_{\vec{e}, n}(x)=\left(z_{0}(x), z_{1}(x), \ldots, z_{n}(x)\right)
$$

for $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$. For $s \in[0, T], w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$ and $\vec{\xi}=$ $\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, let

$$
x_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}(s)=z_{0}(x)+I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta} \chi_{[0, s]}\right)(x)
$$

and

$$
\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}(s)=\xi_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle e_{j}, \chi_{[0, s]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} \xi_{j} .
$$

Note that for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, we have by the Schwarz's inequality

$$
\left|\left\langle e_{j}, \chi_{[0, s]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}-\left\langle e_{j}, \chi_{[0, t]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}\right|^{2} \leq\left\|e_{j}\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2}[\beta(t)-\beta(s)]
$$

so that $x_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}$ and $\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}$ are absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$ since $\beta$ is increasing.
Throughout this paper, we assume that each $e_{j}$ is of bounded variation on $[0, T]$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta} v\left(v \in L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T]\right)$ is of bounded variation on $[0, T]$ and so is $\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} v$ if $v$ is of bounded variation on $[0, T]$. Moreover, we have the following properties:
(P1) For $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$ and $s \in[0, T]$, we have by the linearity of $I_{\alpha, \beta}, x_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}(s)=z_{0}(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle e_{j}, \chi_{[0, s]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} z_{j}(x)$.
(P2) For $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$ and $s \in[0, T]$, we have by Theorem 2.2, $x(s)-x_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}(s)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\chi_{[0, s]}-\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta} \chi_{[0, s]}\right)(u) d x(u)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}\right)(u)$ $d x(u)=I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}\right)(x)$ so that $I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, \cdot]}\right)(x)$ belongs to $C[0, T]$.
(P3) For $0 \leq s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq T,\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}=\beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta(0)-$ $\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, e_{l}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}\left\langle\chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}, e_{l}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}$.

Theorem 3.2. If $\varphi(\mathbb{R})=1$, then $\left\{I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}\right): 0 \leq s \leq T\right\}$ and $z_{j}$ are stochastically independent for $j=0,1,2, \ldots, n$.

Proof. For $s \in[0, T]$ and $j=1, \ldots, n$, we have by the orthonormality of $e_{j} \mathrm{~s}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} & =\left\langle\chi_{[0, s]}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}-\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\chi_{[0, s]}, e_{l}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}\left\langle e_{l}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} \\
& =\left\langle\chi_{[0, s]}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}-\left\langle\chi_{[0, s]}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the independence of $\left\{I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}\right): 0 \leq s \leq T\right\}$ and $z_{j}$ follows from Theorem 2.3. To complete the proof, we must prove that $z_{0}$ and $\left.I_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}, n, \beta][0, s]\right)$ are independent. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the Fourier transform and for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tau_{j}=\frac{T}{l} j$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, l$. Then for $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, we have by Lemma 2.1, (P2) and the dominated convergence theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}, I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}\right)\right)\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{C[0, T]} \exp \left\{i\left[\xi_{1} z_{0}(x)+\xi_{2} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}\right)(x)\right]\right\} d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C[0, T]} \exp \left\{i \left[\xi_{1} W(x, 0)+\xi_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}\right)\left(\tau_{j}\right)\left[W\left(x, \tau_{j}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-W\left(x, \tau_{j-1}\right)\right]\right]\right\} d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(x) \\
= & \mathcal{F}(W(\cdot, 0))\left(\xi_{1}\right) \int_{C[0, T]} \exp \left\{i \xi _ { 2 } \operatorname { l i m } _ { l \rightarrow \infty } \sum _ { j = 1 } ^ { l } ( \mathcal { P } _ { \vec { e } , n , \beta } ^ { \perp } \chi _ { [ 0 , s ] } ) ( \tau _ { j } ) \left[W\left(x, \tau_{j}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-W\left(x, \tau_{j-1}\right)\right]\right\} d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}(x) \\
= & \mathcal{F}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(\xi_{1}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi[0, s]\right)\right)\left(\xi_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
By Theorem 3.2 and (P1), we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.3. $\left\{I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}\right): 0 \leq s \leq T\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}(s): 0 \leq s \leq T\right\}$ are stochastically independent if $\varphi(\mathbb{R})=1$.

Using the same process used in the proof of [8, Theorem 2] and [4, Theorem 4] with aid of (P2), Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\varphi_{0}=\frac{1}{\varphi(\mathbb{R})} \varphi$ and suppose that $F: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is integrable. Then we have for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})=\int_{C[0, T]} F\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0,]}\right)(x)+\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x)
$$

For $s, t \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]$, let $\gamma_{j}(t)=\frac{\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)}{\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)}$ and $\Phi_{j}(s, t)=\left[\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta(s)\right] \gamma_{j}(t)$.
For $s \in[0, T], \vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Xi(n, \vec{\xi})(s) \\
= & \xi_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{\left(t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]}(s)\left[\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \xi_{l} \sqrt{\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)}+\frac{\beta(s)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)}} \xi_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})(s)=\chi_{\left[0, t_{n-1}\right)}(s) \Xi(n-1, \vec{\eta})(s)+\chi_{\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]}(s) \Xi(n-1, \vec{\eta})\left(t_{n-1}\right) .
$$

For $x \in C[0, T]$, define the polygonal functions $P_{\beta}(x)$ and $P_{t_{n}, \beta}(x)$ of $x$ by
(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\beta}(x)(s) \\
= & \chi_{\{0\}}(s) x(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{\left(t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]}(s)\left[x\left(t_{j-1}\right)+\gamma_{j}(s)\left[x\left(t_{j}\right)-x\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t_{n}, \beta}(x)(s)=\chi_{\left[0, t_{n-1}\right)}(s) P_{\beta}(x)(s)+\chi_{\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]}(s) P_{\beta}(x)\left(t_{n-1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in[0, T]$. Similarly, the polygonal functions $P_{\beta}(\vec{\xi})$ and $P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\xi})$ on $[0, T]$ are defined by (2) and (3), respectively, with replacing $x\left(t_{j}\right)$ by $\xi_{j}$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, n$. Throughout this paper, we will use the notation $\vec{g}$ in place of $\vec{e}$ when $e_{j}$ is replaced by $g_{j}$ which is given by (1).

Corollary 3.5. Let $F: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be integrable. Then the followings hold:
(1) For $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})=\int_{C[0, T]} F\left(x-P_{\beta}(x)+\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n}}$ is the measure on $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ induced by $Z_{\vec{g}, n}$.
(2) For $m_{m_{z_{\vec{g}, n-1}}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})=\int_{C[0, T]} F\left(x-P_{t_{n}, \beta}(x)+\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$, we have $x_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}(0)=x(0)=P_{\beta}(x)(0)$ and for $s \in\left(t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}(s) \\
= & z_{0}(x)+\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle g_{l}, \chi_{[0, s]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(g_{l}\right)(x) \\
= & x(0)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)} \int_{0}^{s} \chi_{\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right]}(u) d \beta(u) \int_{0}^{T} \chi_{\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right]}(u) d x(u) \\
= & x(0)+\sum_{l=1}^{j-1}\left[x\left(t_{l}\right)-x\left(t_{l-1}\right)\right]+\gamma_{j}(s)\left[x\left(t_{j}\right)-x\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]=P_{\beta}(x)(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 2.2 and ( $\mathbf{P} 1$ ). We also have $\vec{\xi}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}(0)=\xi_{0}=\Xi(n, \xi)(0)$ and for $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{\xi}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}(s) & =\xi_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \xi_{l}\left\langle g_{l}, \chi_{[0, s]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} \\
& =\xi_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\xi_{l}}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)}} \int_{0}^{s} \chi_{\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right]}(u) d \beta(u) \\
& =\xi_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \xi_{l} \sqrt{\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)}+\frac{\beta(s)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)}} \xi_{j}=\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $x_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}=P_{\beta}(x)$ and $\vec{\xi}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}=\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})$. By (P2) and Theorem 3.4, we have (4).

To prove (5), it suffices to prove by the above process that $\vec{\eta}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}=\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})$ and $x_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}=P_{t_{n}, \beta}(x)$ for $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$. Indeed, we clearly have $x_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}(s)=P_{t_{n}, \beta}(x)(s)$ and $\vec{\eta}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}(s)=\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})(s)$ for $s \in\left[0, t_{n-1}\right)$. Moreover, for $s \in\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]$, we have by Theorem 2.2 and (P1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}(s) \\
= & x(0)+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)} \int_{0}^{s} \chi_{\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right]}(u) d \beta(u) \int_{0}^{T} \chi_{\left[t_{l-1}, t_{l}\right]}(u) d x(u) \\
= & x(0)+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\left[x\left(t_{l}\right)-x\left(t_{l-1}\right)\right]=x\left(t_{n-1}\right)=P_{t_{n}, \beta}(x)(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have $\vec{\eta}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}(s)=\eta_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \eta_{l} \sqrt{\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)}=\Xi(n-1, \vec{\eta})$ $\left(t_{n-1}\right)=\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})(s)$, where $\vec{\eta}=\left(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}\right)$, so that $x_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}=P_{t_{n}, \beta}(x)$ and $\vec{\eta}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}=\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})$ as desired.

We now have Theorem 4 in [4] as a corollary of Theorem 3.4.
Corollary 3.6. Let $F: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be integrable. Then the followings hold:
(1) For $m_{X_{\tau}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, G E\left[F \mid X_{\tau}\right](\vec{\xi})$ is given by the right-hand side of (4) with replacing $\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})$ by $P_{\beta}(\vec{\xi})$.
(2) For $m_{Y_{\tau}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, G E\left[F \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})$ is given by the right-hand side of (5) with replacing $\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})$ by $P_{t_{n} \beta}(\vec{\eta})$.

Proof. For $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, define $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\phi(\vec{\xi})=\left(\xi_{0}, \frac{\xi_{1}-\xi_{0}}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{1}\right)-\beta\left(t_{0}\right)}}, \frac{\xi_{2}-\xi_{1}}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{2}\right)-\beta\left(t_{1}\right)}}, \ldots, \frac{\xi_{n}-\xi_{n-1}}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)}}\right)
$$

which is a bijective, bi-continuous function. Since $Z_{\vec{g}, n}=\phi \circ X_{\tau}$, we have for $m_{X_{\tau}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[F \mid X_{\tau}\right](\vec{\xi}) & =G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right](\phi(\vec{\xi})) \\
& =\int_{C[0, T]} F\left(x-P_{\beta}(x)+\Xi(n, \phi(\vec{\xi}))\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.4 and (4). We also have $\Xi(n, \phi(\vec{\xi}))(0)=\xi_{0}=P_{\beta}(\vec{\xi})(0)$ and

$$
\Xi(n, \phi(\vec{\xi}))(s)=\xi_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{j-1}\left(\xi_{l}-\xi_{l-1}\right)+\gamma_{j}(s)\left(\xi_{j}-\xi_{j-1}\right)=P_{\beta}(\vec{\xi})(s)
$$

for $s \in\left(t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]$ so that $\Xi(n, \phi(\vec{\xi}))=P_{\beta}(\vec{\xi})$, which implies (1). For $\vec{\eta}=$ $\left(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let

$$
\phi_{1}(\vec{\eta})=\left(\eta_{0}, \frac{\eta_{1}-\eta_{0}}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{1}\right)-\beta\left(t_{0}\right)}}, \frac{\eta_{2}-\eta_{1}}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{2}\right)-\beta\left(t_{1}\right)}}, \ldots, \frac{\eta_{n-1}-\eta_{n-2}}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-2}\right)}}\right) .
$$

To prove (2), it suffices to show, by the above process, that $\Xi_{t_{n}}\left(\phi_{1}(\vec{\eta})\right)=$ $P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})$ by (5). Indeed, we have $\Xi_{t_{n}}\left(\phi_{1}(\vec{\eta})\right)(s)=P_{\vec{t}_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})(s)$ for $s \in\left[0, t_{n-1}\right)$. Moreover, for $s \in\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]$, we have

$$
\Xi_{t_{n}}\left(\phi_{1}(\vec{\eta})\right)(s)=\eta_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}\left(\eta_{l}-\eta_{l-1}\right)=\eta_{n-1}=P_{\vec{t}_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})(s)
$$

so that we also have $\Xi_{t_{n}}\left(\phi_{1}(\vec{\eta})\right)=P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})$ as desired.
Letting $n=1$ in (5), we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.7. We have for $m_{z_{0}}$ a.e. $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
G E\left[F \mid z_{0}\right](\eta)=\int_{C[0, T]} F(x-x(0)+\eta) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x)
$$

Remark 3.8. By Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 2.3 of [6], we have for $m_{Y_{\tau}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta}=\left(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C[0, T]} F\left(x-P_{t_{n}, \beta}(x)+P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) \\
= & G E\left[F \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{W}\left(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_{n}\right) G E\left[F \mid X_{\tau}\right]\left(\vec{\eta}_{n}\right) d m_{L}\left(\eta_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vec{\eta}_{n}=\left(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}, \eta_{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{W}\left(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_{n}\right) \\
= & {\left[\frac{1}{2 \pi\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\left[\eta_{n}-\eta_{n-1}-\alpha\left(t_{n}\right)+\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{2\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]}\right\} . }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Applications to the time integrals

In this section we apply the simple formulas as given in the previous section, to various functions, in particular, the time integrals on $C[0, T]$.

Example 4.1. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in[0, T]$, let $F_{t}(x)=[x(t)]^{m}$ for $x \in C[0, T]$ and suppose that $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|u|^{m} d \varphi(u)<\infty$. Then $F_{t}$ is $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$-integrable by Theorem 7 of [4]. Now by Theorems 2.2, 2.3, 3.4 and Theorem 7 of [4], we have for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$,
(6) $G E\left[F_{t} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{C[0, T]}\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, t]}\right)(x)+\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}(t)\right]^{m} d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{k} k!(m-2 k)!}\left[\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}(t)+I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, t]}\right)(\alpha)\right]^{m-2 k}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, t]}\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2 k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where [.] denotes the greatest integer function. In addition, we have

$$
I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, t]}\right)(\alpha)=\alpha(t)-\alpha_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}(t)=\alpha(t)-P_{\beta}(\alpha)(t)
$$

by (P2) and Corollary 3.5. For $t \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]$, we also have by (P3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, t]}\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2} & =\beta(t)-\beta(0)-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\left[\beta\left(t_{k}\right)-\beta\left(t_{k-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{k}\right)-\beta\left(t_{k-1}\right)}-\frac{\left[\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)} \\
& =\Phi_{j}(t, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Corollary 3.5 , we now have for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
G E\left[F_{t} \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})= & \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{k} k!(m-2 k)!}[\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})(t)+\alpha(t)  \tag{7}\\
& \left.-P_{\beta}(\alpha)(t)\right]^{m-2 k}\left[\Phi_{j}(t, t)\right]^{k} \equiv G_{1}(t, \vec{\xi}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that we can obtain [6, Theorem 3.6] and [4, Theorem 7] by Corollary 3.6.
Example 4.2. Let the assumptions be as given in Example 4.1. Then for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n-1}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, G E\left[F_{t} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G E\left[F_{t} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta}) \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{k} k!(m-2 k)!}\left[\vec{\eta}_{\vec{e}, n-1, \beta}(t)+I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, t]}\right)(\alpha)\right]^{m-2 k} \\
& \times\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, t]}\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorems 2.2, 2.3 and 3.4 if we use the same process in the proof of Theorem 7 in [4]. In addition, using the same process in Example 4.1 with aid of Corollary 3.5 , we can prove that for $t \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right](j=1, \ldots, n-1)$ and for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, G E\left[F_{t} \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$ is given by the right-hand side of (7) with replacing $\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})(t)$ and $P_{\beta}(t)$ by $\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})(t)$ and $P_{t_{n}, \beta}(t)$, respectively. Moreover, we have for $t \in\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]$

$$
I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, t]}\right)(\alpha)=\alpha(t)-P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\alpha)(t)=\alpha(t)-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)
$$

by (P2) and Corollary 3.5. We also have by (P3)

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, t]}\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2}=\beta(t)-\beta(0)-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left[\beta\left(t_{k}\right)-\beta\left(t_{k-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{k}\right)-\beta\left(t_{k-1}\right)}=\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)
$$

Now, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
G E\left[F_{t} \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})= & \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{k} k!(m-2 k)!}\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(t_{n-1}\right)+\alpha(t)\right.  \tag{8}\\
& \left.-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{m-2 k}\left[\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{k} \equiv G_{2}(t, \vec{\eta})
\end{align*}
$$

by Corollary 3.5. Using Corollary 3.6, we can also prove that for $m_{Y_{\tau}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta}=\left(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, G E\left[F_{t} \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})$ is given by (8) with replacing $\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(t_{n-1}\right)$ by $\eta_{n-1}$. In particular, letting $n=1$, we have for $m_{z_{0}}$ a.e. $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G E\left[F_{0} \mid z_{0}\right](\eta)=\eta^{m} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, in Theorem 3.7 of $[6], G E\left[F_{t} \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})$ is expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[F_{t} \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})= & \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}-k\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{k+l} k!l!(m-2 k-2 l)!}\left[\eta_{n-1}+\alpha(t)\right. \\
& \left.-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{m-2 k-2 l}\left[\Phi_{n}(t, t)\right]^{k}\left[\frac{\left[\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right]^{l} \equiv K(\vec{\eta})
\end{aligned}
$$

which coincides with our present result as above by the following calculation: We have by the binomial expansion theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(\vec{\eta})= & \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \sum_{l=k}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{l} k!(l-k)!(m-2 l)!}\left[\eta_{n-1}+\alpha(t)-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{m-2 l}\left[\Phi_{n}(t, t)\right]^{k} \\
& \times\left[\frac{\left[\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right]^{l-k} \\
= & \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \frac{m!}{2^{l} l!(m-2 l)!}\binom{l}{k}\left[\eta_{n-1}+\alpha(t)-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{m-2 l}\left[\Phi_{n}(t, t)\right]^{k} \\
& \times\left[\frac{\left[\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right]^{l-k} \\
= & \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{l} l!(m-2 l)!}\left[\eta_{n-1}+\alpha(t)-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{m-2 l}\left[\Phi_{n}(t, t)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left[\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right]^{l} \\
= & \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{l} l!(m-2 l)!}\left[\eta_{n-1}+\alpha(t)-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{m-2 l}\left[\beta(t)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the formula in Theorem 3.4 can be used to simply the generalized conditional expectations which are evaluated by the formulas in $[4,6]$, that is, the formulas in this paper generalize and simplify those in $[4,6]$.

Now we can obtain the following example by Example 4.1.
Example 4.3. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F(x)=\int_{0}^{T}[x(t)]^{m} d \lambda(t)$ for $x \in C[0, T]$, where $\lambda$ is a finite complex measure on the Borel class of $[0, T]$, and suppose that $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|u|^{m} d \varphi(u)<\infty$. Then for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})$ is given by

$$
G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})=\int_{0}^{T} G E\left[F_{t} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi}) d \lambda(t)
$$

where $G E\left[F_{t} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})$ is expressed by (6). In addition, we have for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$
(10) $G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})\left(t_{j}\right)\right]^{m} \lambda\left(\left\{t_{j}\right\}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\left(t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right)} G_{1}(t, \vec{\xi}) d \lambda(t)$,
where $G_{1}(t, \vec{\xi})$ is given by the right-hand side of (7). We note that [4, Theorem 8] can be obtained from (10) by Corollary 3.6. In particular, if $\alpha(t)=P_{\beta}(\alpha)(t)$ and $\lambda(t)=\beta(t)$ for $t \in[0, T]$, then we have by Lemma 2.4, Corollary 3.6 and Corollary 3.9 of [6]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right](\vec{\xi}) \\
= & G E\left[F \mid X_{\tau}\right]\left(\phi^{-1}(\vec{\xi})\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \sum_{l=0}^{m-2 k} \frac{m!(l+k)!\left[\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{l}{2}+k+1}\left[\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]^{m-2 k-l} \xi_{j}^{l}}{2^{k} l!(m-2 k-l)!(l+2 k+1)!} \\
\equiv & \Psi_{n}(\vec{\xi}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4.4. Let the assumptions be as given in Example 4.3. Then for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n-1}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$ is given by

$$
G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})=\int_{0}^{T} G E\left[F_{t} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta}) d \lambda(t)
$$

from Example 4.2. In addition, for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta}=\left(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have by Example 4.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})= & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(t_{j}\right)\right]^{m} \lambda\left(\left\{t_{j}\right\}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{\left(t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right)} G_{1}(t, \vec{\eta}) d \lambda(t) \\
& +\int_{\left(t_{n-1}, T\right]} G_{2}(t, \vec{\eta}) d \lambda(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are given by (7) and (8), respectively. We note that $[6$, Theorem 3.8] can be obtained from the above equality by Corollary 3.6. In particular, letting $n=1$, we have for $m_{z_{0}}$ a.e. $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$
$G E\left[F \mid z_{0}\right](\eta)=\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{k} k!(m-2 k)!} \int_{0}^{T}[\eta+\alpha(t)-\alpha(0)]^{m-2 k}[\beta(t)-\beta(0)]^{k} d \lambda(t)$
by (9). Moreover, if the support of $\lambda$ is contained in $\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$, then $G E[F \mid$ $\left.Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$ is reduced to

$$
G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda\left(\left\{t_{j}\right\}\right)\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(t_{j}\right)\right]^{m}+\lambda\left(\left\{t_{n}\right\}\right) G_{2}\left(t_{n}, \vec{\eta}\right) .
$$

Note that the final result of [6, Theorem 3.8] can be also obtained from the above equality by Corollary 3.6. Furthermore, if $\alpha(t)=P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\alpha)(t)$ and $\lambda(t)=$ $\beta(t)$ for $t \in[0, T]$, then we have by (8) and Corollary 3.9 of [6]

$$
\begin{align*}
G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})= & \Psi_{n-1}(\vec{\eta})+\sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{l}(l+1)!(m-2 l)!}  \tag{11}\\
& \times\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{m-2 l}\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{l+1}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, Corollary 3.6 and (11), we have for $m_{Y_{\tau}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G E\left[F \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})  \tag{12}\\
= & G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right]\left(\phi_{1}(\vec{\eta})\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \sum_{l=0}^{m-2 k} \frac{m!(l+k)!\left[\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]^{k+1} \eta_{j-1}^{m-2 k-l}\left(\eta_{j}-\eta_{j-1}\right)^{l}}{2^{k} l!(m-2 k-l)!(l+2 k+1)!} \\
& +\sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{l}(l+1)!(m-2 l)!} \eta_{n-1}^{m-2 l}\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{l+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that in Corollary 3.9 of [6], the last term of (12) is expressed by

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}-k\right]} \frac{m!(2 l+k)!\eta_{n-1}^{m-2 k-2 l}\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{l+k+1}}{2^{l+k} l!(m-2 k-2 l)!(2 l+2 k+1)!} \equiv K_{1}\left(\eta_{n-1}\right)
$$

Indeed, we have by Chu Shih-Chieh's identity [2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1}\left(\eta_{n-1}\right) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \sum_{l=k}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!(2 l-k)!\eta_{n-1}^{m-2 l}\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{l+1}}{2^{l}(l-k)!(m-2 l)!(2 l+1)!} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \frac{m!(2 l-k)!\eta_{n-1}^{m-2 l}\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{l+1}}{2^{l}(l-k)!(m-2 l)!(2 l+1)!} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!l!\eta_{n-1}^{m-2 l}\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{l+1}}{2^{l}(m-2 l)!(2 l+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{l}\binom{2 l-k}{l} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!l!\eta_{n-1}^{m-2 l}\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{l+1}}{2^{l}(m-2 l)!(2 l+1)!}\binom{2 l+1}{l+1} \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m!}{2^{l}(l+1)!(m-2 l)!} \eta_{n-1}^{m-2 l}\left[\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]^{l+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which coincides with the last term of (12).
Example 4.5. Let $s_{1}, s_{2} \in[0, T]$ and let $G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, x\right)=x\left(s_{1}\right) x\left(s_{2}\right)$ for $x \in$ $C[0, T]$. Then $G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdot\right)$ is $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$-integrable by [4, Theorem 5] so that we
have for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G E\left[G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdot\right) \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi}) \\
= & \int_{C[0, T]}\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}\right)(x)+\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\left(s_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \times\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right)(x)+\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\left(s_{2}\right)\right] d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) \\
= & \left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} \\
& +\left[\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\left(s_{1}\right)+I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}\right)(\alpha)\right]\left[\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\left(s_{2}\right)+I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right)(\alpha)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorems 2.3 and 3.4.
Lemma 4.6. Let $s_{1} \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right], s_{2} \in\left[t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right]$ for $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$.
(1) If $j \neq k$, then we have $\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}=0$.
(2) If $j=k$ and $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$, then $\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}=\Phi_{j}\left(s_{2}, s_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $j \neq k$. Now we have $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$ and we have by (P3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}= & \beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta(0)-\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \frac{\left[\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)} \\
& -\frac{\left[\beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]\left[\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]}{\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (1). If $j=k$ and $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$, then we have by (P3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}= & \beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta(0)-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\left[\beta\left(t_{k}\right)-\beta\left(t_{k-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{k}\right)-\beta\left(t_{k-1}\right)} \\
& -\frac{\left[\beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]\left[\beta\left(s_{2}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)\right]}{\beta\left(t_{j}\right)-\beta\left(t_{j-1}\right)} \\
= & \Phi_{j}\left(s_{2}, s_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (2).
Lemma 4.7. Under the assumptions as in Lemma 4.6, we have the followings:
(1) If $j \neq k$, then $\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}=0$.
(2) If $1 \leq j=k \leq n-1$ and $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$, then

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}=\Phi_{j}\left(s_{2}, s_{1}\right) .
$$

(3) If $j=k=n$ and $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$, then $\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}=$ $\beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)$.

Proof. The proofs of (1) and (2) are similar to the proofs of (1) and (2), respectively, in Lemma 4.6. To complete the proof, it suffices to prove (3).

Indeed we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} & =\beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta(0)-\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left[\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)\right]^{2}}{\beta\left(t_{l}\right)-\beta\left(t_{l-1}\right)} \\
& =\beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
Example 4.8. Let $s_{1} \in\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right], s_{2} \in\left[t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right]$ for $1 \leq j \leq k \leq n$ and let $G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, x\right)=x\left(s_{1}\right) x\left(s_{2}\right)$ for $x \in C[0, T]$. Then, by Corollary 3.5, Example 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, we have the followings:
(1) If $j \neq k$, then for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$
G E\left[G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdot\right) \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right](\vec{\xi}) .
$$

$$
=\left[\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})\left(s_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)-P_{\beta}(\alpha)\left(s_{1}\right)\right]\left[\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})\left(s_{2}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)-P_{\beta}(\alpha)\left(s_{2}\right)\right] .
$$

(2) If $j=k$ and $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$, then we have for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G E\left[G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdot\right) \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right](\vec{\xi}) \\
= & {\left[\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})\left(s_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)-P_{\beta}(\alpha)\left(s_{1}\right)\right]\left[\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})\left(s_{2}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)-P_{\beta}(\alpha)\left(s_{2}\right)\right] } \\
& +\Phi_{j}\left(s_{2}, s_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4.9. Let the assumptions be as in Example 4.8. Then, by Corollary 3.5, Examples 4.2, 4.5 and Lemma 4.7, we have the followings:
(1) If $1 \leq j<k \leq n-1$, then for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have
$G E\left[G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdot\right) \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$
$=\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(s_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)-P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\alpha)\left(s_{1}\right)\right]\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(s_{2}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)-P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\alpha)\left(s_{2}\right)\right]$.
(2) If $1 \leq j=k \leq n-1$ and $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$, then for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,
$G E\left[G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdot\right) \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$
$=\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(s_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)-P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\alpha)\left(s_{1}\right)\right]\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(s_{2}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)-P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\alpha)\left(s_{2}\right)\right]$ $+\Phi_{j}\left(s_{2}, s_{1}\right)$.
(3) If $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $k=n$, then for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have $G E\left[G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdot\right) \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$
$=\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(s_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)-P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\alpha)\left(s_{1}\right)\right]\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(t_{n-1}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]$.
(4) If $j=k=n$ and $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$, then for $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have $G E\left[G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdot\right) \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$
$=\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(t_{n-1}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(t_{n-1}\right)+\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right]$ $+\beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)$.
In particular, we have for $m_{z_{0}}$ a.e. $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
G E\left[G\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdot\right) \mid z_{0}\right](\eta)=\left[\eta+\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)-\alpha(0)\right]\left[\eta+\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)-\alpha(0)\right]+\beta\left(s_{1}\right)-\beta(0) .
$$

Remark 4.10. Note that [4, Theorem 5] and [6, Theorem 3.2] can be also obtained from Corollary 3.6.

We now have the following theorem from [6, Theorem 3.3], Theorem 3.4 and Example 4.5.

Theorem 4.11. For $x \in C[0, T]$, let $G_{3}(x)=\left[\int_{0}^{T} x(t) d \lambda(t)\right]^{2}$, where $\lambda$ is a finite complex measure on the Borel class of $[0, T]$. Suppose that $\int_{0}^{T}[\alpha(t)]^{2} d|\lambda|(t)$ $<\infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{2} d \varphi(u)<\infty$. Then for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[G_{3} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})= & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{1}\right]}, \mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, s_{2}\right]}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} d \lambda^{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \\
& +\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left[\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}(s)+I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, s]}\right)(\alpha)\right] d \lambda(s)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the same method as used in the proofs in Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 of [6] with aid of Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7, Examples 4.8 and 4.9, and Theorem 4.11, we can prove the following corollary.

Corollary 4.12. Let the assumptions be as given in Theorem 4.11.
(1) For $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[G_{3} \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})= & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} \Lambda\left(s_{1} \vee s_{2}, s_{1} \wedge s_{2}\right) d \lambda^{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \\
& +\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left[\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})(s)+\alpha(s)-P_{\beta}(\alpha)(s)\right] d \lambda(s)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Lambda(s, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{\left[t_{j-1}, t_{j}\right]^{2}}(s, t) \Phi_{j}(s, t)$ for $(s, t) \in[0, T]^{2}, s_{1} \vee s_{2}=$ $\max \left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ and $s_{1} \wedge s_{2}=\min \left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$.
(2) For $m_{Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta}=\left(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have
$G E\left[G_{3} \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$
$=\int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \int_{0}^{t_{n-1}} \Lambda\left(s_{1} \vee s_{2}, s_{1} \wedge s_{2}\right) d \lambda^{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)+\int_{t_{n-1}}^{T} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{T}\left[\beta\left(s_{1} \wedge s_{2}\right)\right.$
$\left.-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right] d \lambda^{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)+\left[\int_{0}^{t_{n-1}}\left[\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})(s)+\alpha(s)-P_{\beta}(\alpha)(s)\right] d \lambda(s)\right.$
$\left.+\int_{\left(t_{n-1}, T\right]}\left[\alpha(t)-\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)+\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right] d \lambda(t)\right]^{2}$.
In particular, for $m_{z_{0}}$ a.e. $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G E\left[G_{3} \mid z_{0}\right](\eta) \\
= & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\beta\left(s_{1} \wedge s_{2}\right)-\beta(0)\right] d \lambda^{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)+\left[\int_{0}^{T}[\alpha(t)-\alpha(0)+\eta] d \lambda(t)\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.13. Theorems 3.3 and 3.5 of [6] can be also obtained from Corollaries 3.6 and 4.12 so that Theorem 4.11 extends the results of [6].

## 5. More applications of the simple formula

In this section we apply the simple formulas as given in the previous section, to the cylinder type functions and the functions in a Banach algebra [5] which are of significant in Feynman integration theory and quantum mechanics. For these purposes, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 5.1. For $f \in L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$, we have for $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$

$$
I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, \cdot]}\right)(x)\right)=I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right)(x) .
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\phi_{l}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $S[0, T]$ such that $\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\phi_{l}-f\right\|_{\alpha, \beta}=0$. Since the two norms $\|\cdot\|_{0, \beta}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, \beta}$ are equivalent on $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp}$ is bounded with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{0, \beta}$, we have $\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \phi_{l}-\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right\|_{\alpha, \beta}=0$. By Corollary 3.11 of [3], we have $\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \phi_{l}\right)=I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right)$ in $L^{2}(C[0, T])$ so that without loss of generality, we have for $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$

$$
I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right)(x)=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \phi_{l}\right)(x) .
$$

For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\phi_{l}$ have the form $\phi_{l}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{r_{l}} c_{l k} \chi_{I_{l k}}(t)$ for $t \in[0, T]$, where $r_{l} \in \mathbb{N}, c_{l k} \in \mathbb{R}$ and the intervals $I_{l k}=\left(t_{l k-1}, t_{l k}\right] \subseteq[0, T]$ are mutually disjoint. Now, we have by (P2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right)(x) \\
= & \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{l}} c_{l k} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left(t_{l k-1}, t_{l k}\right]}\right)(x) \\
= & \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{l}} c_{l k}\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, t_{l k}\right]}\right)(x)-I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{\left[0, t_{l k-1}\right]}\right)(x)\right] \\
= & \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\phi_{l}\right)\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, \cdot]}\right)(x)\right) \\
= & I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, \cdot]}\right)(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the desired result.

### 5.1. The cylinder type functions

Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$ be an orthonormal subset of $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$. For convenience, let

$$
I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{v})(x)=\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(v_{1}\right)(x), \ldots, I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(v_{r}\right)(x)\right) \text { for } x \in C[0, T] .
$$

Let $F_{r}$ be the cylinder type function of the form given by

$$
F_{r}(x)=f_{r}\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{v})(x)\right)
$$

for $w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ a.e. $x \in C[0, T]$, where $f_{r}: \mathbb{R}^{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Borel measurable. Assume that $F_{r}$ is integrable on $C[0, T]$. Then we have for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[F_{r} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})= & \int_{C[0, T]} F_{r}\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0,]}\right)(x)+\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) \\
= & \int_{C[0, T]} f_{r}\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(v_{1}\right)\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0,]}\right)(x)+\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right), \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(v_{r}\right)\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0, \cdot]}\right)(x)+\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right)\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) \\
= & \int_{C[0, T]} f_{r}\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \vec{v}\right)(x)+I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{v})\left(\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right)\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 5.1.
(1) Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} v_{j}=0$ for $j=1, \ldots, r$, that is, $v_{j} \in V_{n}$ for $j=$ $1, \ldots, r$. In this case, we have for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
G E\left[F_{r} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})=\int_{C[0, T]} f_{r}\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{v})\left(\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right)\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x)=F_{r}\left(\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right) .
$$

(2) Suppose that $\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\vec{e}, n, \beta}, v_{j} \neq 0$ for some $j$, that is, $v_{j} \notin V_{n}$ for some $j$. Let $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r_{1}}\right\}$ be a maximal independent set obtained from $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} v_{l}\right.$ : $l=1, \ldots, r\}$. Now, for $j=1, \ldots, r$, let $\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} v_{j}=\sum_{l=1}^{r_{1}} \alpha_{j l} w_{l}$ be the linear combination of the $w_{l} \mathrm{~S}$ and let $A_{\vec{e}}=\left[\alpha_{l j}\right]_{r_{1} \times r}$ be the transpose of the coefficient matrix of the combinations. Then we have by Theorem 3.6 of [3]

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[F_{r} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})= & \int_{C[0, T]} f_{r}\left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^{r_{1}} \alpha_{1 l} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(w_{l}\right)(x), \ldots, \sum_{l=1}^{r_{1}} \alpha_{r l} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(w_{l}\right)(x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{v})\left(\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right)\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) \\
= & {\left[\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{r_{1}}\left|M_{\vec{e}}\right|}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{r_{1}}} f_{r}\left(\vec{u} A_{\vec{e}}+I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{v})\left(\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right)\right) \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle M_{\vec{e}}^{-1}[\vec{u}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.\left.-I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{w})(\alpha)\right], \vec{u}-I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{w})(\alpha)\right\rangle_{r_{1}}\right\} d m_{L}^{r_{1}}(\vec{u}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{\vec{e}}=\left[\left\langle w_{i}, w_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}\right]_{r_{1} \times r_{1}}, I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{w})(\alpha)=\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(w_{1}\right)(\alpha), \ldots, I_{\alpha, \beta}\right.$ $\left.\left(w_{r_{1}}\right)(\alpha)\right)$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{r_{1}}$ denotes the dot product on $\mathbb{R}^{r_{1}}$. In particular, if $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} v_{j}: j=1, \ldots, r\right\}$ itself is an orthonormal set in $L_{0, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[F_{r} \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})= & \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{r}} f_{r}(\vec{u}) \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \| \vec{u}-I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{v})\left(\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \vec{v}\right)(\alpha) \|_{r}^{2}\right\} d m_{L}^{r}(\vec{u}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Replacing $e_{j}$ by $g_{j}$, we can obtain $G E\left[F_{r} \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right](\vec{\xi})$ and $G E\left[F_{r} \mid X_{\tau}\right](\vec{\xi})$ by Corollaries 3.5 and 3.6 , where $\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}$ is replaced by $\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})$ and $P_{\beta}(\vec{\xi})$, respectively. We can also obtain $G E\left[F_{r} \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$ and $G E\left[F_{r} \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})$ for $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by the same corollaries, where $\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}$ is replaced by $\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})$ and $P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})$, respectively. In each case, $A_{\vec{e}}, M_{\vec{e}}$ and $\vec{w}$ depend on the $g_{j}$ s. In aprticular, we have for $m_{z_{0}}$ a.e. $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[F \mid z_{0}\right](\eta)= & {\left[\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{r}\left|M_{1}\right|}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{r}} f(\vec{u}) \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle M_{1}^{-1}[\vec{u}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.\left.-I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{v})(\alpha)\right], \vec{u}-I_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{v})(\alpha)\right\rangle_{r}\right\} d m_{L}^{r}(\vec{u})
\end{aligned}
$$

by Corollary 3.7 and Theorem 3.6 of $[3]$, where $M_{1}=\left[\left\langle v_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}\right]_{r \times r}$.

### 5.2. The functions in a Banach algebra

In this subsection we give an additional condition that $|\alpha|^{\prime}(t)+\beta^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in[0, T]$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}$ be the class of complex measures of finite variations on the Borel class $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]\right)$ of $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}$, then we set $\|\mu\|=\operatorname{var} \mu$, the total variation of $\mu$ over $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$. Now let $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ be the space of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\int_{L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]} \exp \left\{i I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(x)\right\} d \mu(f) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in C[0, T]$ for which the integral exists, where $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}$. Here we take $\|F\|=\inf \{\|\mu\|\}$, where the infimum is taken for all $\mu$ 's so that $F$ and $\mu$ are related by (13). We note that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ is a Banach algebra [5].

For $F \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi}$ given by (13), we have for $m_{Z_{\vec{e}, n}}$ a.e. $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{e}, n}\right](\vec{\xi}) \\
= & \int_{C[0, T]} F\left(I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} \chi_{[0,]}\right)(x)+\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right) d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) \\
= & \int_{L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]} \int_{C[0, T]} \exp \left\{i\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right)(x)+I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)\left(\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right)\right]\right\} d w_{\alpha, \beta ; \varphi_{0}}(x) \\
& d \mu(f) \\
= & \int_{L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2}+i\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right)(\alpha)+I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)\left(\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& d \mu(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 5.1. Replacing $e_{j}$ by $g_{j}$, we can obtain $G E\left[F \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n}\right]$ $(\vec{\xi})$ and $G E\left[F \mid X_{\tau}\right](\vec{\xi})$ by Corollaries 3.5 and 3.6 , where $\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}$ is replaced by $\Xi(n, \vec{\xi})$ and $P_{\beta}(\vec{\xi})$, respectively. We can also obtain $G E\left[F_{r} \mid Z_{\vec{g}, n-1}\right](\vec{\eta})$ and $G E\left[F_{r} \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})$ for $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by the same corollaries, where $\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}$ is replaced by
$\Xi_{t_{n}}(\vec{\eta})$ and $P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})$, respectively. In each case, $\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp}$ depends on the $g_{j} \mathrm{~s}$. In particular, we have for $m_{z_{0}}$ a.e. $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
G E\left[F \mid z_{0}\right](\eta)=\int_{L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{0, \beta}^{2}+i I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(\alpha)\right\} d \mu(f)
$$

by Corollary 3.7.
Remark 5.2. (1) Note that for $f \in L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]$ and $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have the following more detailed expressions:
(a) $I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)\left(\vec{\xi}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}\left\langle f, e_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}$,
(b) $I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right)(\alpha)=I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(\alpha)-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle f, e_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{j}\right)(\alpha)$ and
(c) $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\vec{e}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2}=\|f\|_{0, \beta}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle f, e_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}^{2}$
by Theorem 2.2 and the mean value theorem for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral.
(2) For $m_{Y_{\tau}}$ a.e. $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have by (2) of Corollary 3.6

$$
\begin{aligned}
G E\left[F \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})= & \int_{L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} f\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+i\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n-1, \beta}^{\perp} f\right)(\alpha)+I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)\left(P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})\right)\right]\right\} d \mu(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Remark 3.8, the above equality can be also obtained by Theorem 2.3 of [6] using the following long calculations: For $\vec{\eta}_{n}=$ $\left(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\vec{\eta}_{n}=\left(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}, \eta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have by formulas as above in (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2}+i\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\vec{g}, n, \beta}^{\perp} f\right)(\alpha)+I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)\left(P_{\beta}\left(\vec{\eta}_{n}\right)\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\|f\|_{0, \beta}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle f, g_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}^{2}\right]+i\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(\alpha)-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle f, g_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(g_{j}\right)(\alpha)+I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})\right)+\left\langle f, g_{n}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} \frac{\eta_{n}-\eta_{n-1}}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)}}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by the Fourier-transform of normal random variable, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{W}\left(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_{n}\right) \exp \left\{i\left\langle f, g_{n}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} \frac{\eta_{n}-\eta_{n-1}}{\sqrt{\beta\left(t_{n}\right)-\beta\left(t_{n-1}\right)}}\right\} d m_{L}\left(\eta_{n}\right) \\
= & \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle f, g_{n}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}^{2}+\left\langle f, g_{n}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(g_{n}\right)(\alpha)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have by Theorem 2.3 of [6]

$$
G E\left[F \mid Y_{\tau}\right](\vec{\eta})=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{W}\left(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_{n}\right) G E\left[F \mid X_{\tau}\right]\left(\vec{\eta}_{n}\right) d m_{L}\left(\eta_{n}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int_{L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\|f\|_{0, \beta}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left\langle f, g_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta}^{2}\right]+i\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(\alpha)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left\langle f, g_{j}\right\rangle_{0, \beta} I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(g_{j}\right)(\alpha)+I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})\right)\right]\right\} d \mu(f) \\
= & \int_{L_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}[0, T]} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\bar{g}, n-1, \beta} f\right\|_{0, \beta}^{2}+i\left[I_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\bar{g}, n-1, \beta} f\right)(\alpha)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+I_{\alpha, \beta}(f)\left(P_{t_{n}, \beta}(\vec{\eta})\right)\right]\right\} d \mu(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the desired result. Once again we note that the formulas in this paper can be used to simply the generalized conditional expectations which are evaluated by the formulas in $[4,6-8,12]$.
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