Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications Vol. 26, No. 4 (2021), pp. 733-747 ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2021.26.04.06 http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa Copyright © 2021 Kyungnam University Press



A NOTE ON DEGENERATE LAH-BELL POLYNOMIALS ARISING FROM DERIVATIVES

Xiangfan Piao¹, Yunjae Kim² and Jongkyum Kwon³

¹ Department of Mathematics Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Korea e-mail: piaoxf76@hanmail.net

² Department of Mathematics Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Korea e-mail: kimholzi@gmail.com

³ Department of Mathematics Education Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, 52828, Korea e-mail: mathkjk260gnu.ac.kr

Abstract. Recently, Kim-Kim introduced Lah-Bell polynomials and numbers, and investigated some properties and identities of these polynomials and numbers. Kim studied Lah-Bell polynomials and numbers of degenerate version. In this paper, we study degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials arising from differential equations. Moreover, we investigate the phenomenon of scattering of the zeros of these polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Definition 1.1. The unsigned Lah number $L_{n,k}$ counts the number of ways a set of n elements can be particulated into k nonempty linearly ordered subsets, and have an explicit formula (see [1, 13, 15, 16, 24, 25]).

$$L_{n,k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} \frac{n!}{k!} = \binom{n}{k} \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!}.$$
(1.1)

⁰Received January 28, 2021. Revised April 2, 2021. Accepted August 6, 2021.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A19, 05A40, 11B73, 11B83.

⁰Keywords: Lah number, Lah-Bell polynomials, Stirling numbers of first(second) kinds, roots distributions.

⁰Corresponding author: Jongkyum Kwon(mathkjk26@gnu.ac.kr).

X. Piao, Y. Kim and J. Kwon

The generating function of $L_{n,k}$ is defined by (see [13, 15, 24])

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} L_{n,k} \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (k \ge 0).$$
(1.2)

Recently, Kim-Kim (see [13]) introduced the Lah-Bell polynomials as follows:

$$e^{\frac{tx}{1-t}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^L(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$
 (1.3)

The degenerate exponential function is defined by (see [19-22])

$$e_{\lambda}^{x}(t) = (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}}, \quad e_{\lambda}(t) := e_{\lambda}^{1}(t) = (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}},$$
 (1.4)

where λ is a nonzero parameter.

Note that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} e_{\lambda}^{x}(t) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} = e^{xt}.$$

Since $e_{\lambda}^{x}(t)$ defined in (1.4) is infinitely differentiable at t = 0, Taylor expansion of $e_{\lambda}^{x}(t)$ at t = 0 gives the following series form (see [20–23]):

$$e_{\lambda}^{x}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x)_{n,\lambda} \frac{t^{n}}{n!},$$
(1.5)

where $(x)_{n,\lambda}$ is defined by

$$(x)_{n,\lambda} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ (x - (n-1)\lambda)(x)_{n-1,\lambda}, & n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

As is well known, the Stirling numbers of the first kind are given by (see [1-5, 10, 14])

$$(x)_n = \sum_{l=0}^n S_1(n,l) x^l,$$
 (1.6)

where $(x)_n$ are defined by

$$(x)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ (x+1-n)(x)_{n-1}, & n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

From (1.6), we easily get

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left(\ln(1+t) \right)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_1(n,k) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$
(1.7)

As an inversion formula of (1.6), the Stirling numbers of the second kind are given by (see [1-5, 10])

$$x^{n} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{2}(n,l)(x)_{l}.$$
(1.8)

From (1.8), we get

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left(e^t - 1 \right)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_2(n,k) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$
(1.9)

Moreover, as degenerate version of (1.6) and (1.8), the degenerate Stirling numbers of the first and second kinds, respectively, are given by

$$(x)_{n} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{1,\lambda}(n,l)(x)_{l,\lambda},$$

(x)_{n,\lambda} = $\sum_{l=0}^{n} S_{2,\lambda}(n,l)(x)_{l}$ (1.10)

and

$$\frac{1}{k!} (\ln_{\lambda} (1+t))^{k} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(n,k) \frac{t^{n}}{n!},$$

$$\frac{1}{k!} (e_{\lambda}(t) - 1)^{k} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(n,k) \frac{t^{n}}{n!},$$
(1.11)

where $\ln_{\lambda}(t) = \frac{t^{\lambda}-1}{\lambda}$ (see [6–12, 17, 18]). Recently, Kim-Kim (see [13]) introduced the degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials which are given by the generating function to be

$$e_{\lambda}^{x}\left(\frac{1}{1-t}-1\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x)\frac{t^{n}}{n!}.$$
(1.12)

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the section 2, we study the differential equations on degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials. Using these differential equations, we derive some identities and properties of the degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials. In the section 3, we investigate the phenomenon of scattering of the zeros of those polynomials. Finally, in section 4, a summary of the Lah-Bell polynomials is given.

2. Some identities of the degenerate Lah-Bell polynomial

In this section, we derive some identities of the degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials. When x = 1, $B_{n,\lambda}^L := B_{n,\lambda}^L(1)$ are called the degenerate Lah-Bell

numbers. The following theorem gives an explicit expression of the Lah-Bell polynomials.

Theorem 2.1. For non-negative integer $n \ge 0$, we have

$$B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (x)_{k,\lambda} L_{n,k}.$$
 (2.1)

Proof. Combining (1.12) and (1.5) and using the fact (1.2), one can obtain the following relation (see [13]):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} = e_{\lambda}^{x} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x)_{k,\lambda} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x)_{k,\lambda} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} L_{n,k} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} (x)_{k,\lambda} L_{n,k}\right) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}.$$
(2.2)

Since the set $\{1, t, t^2, \cdots, t^n, \cdots\}$ are linearly independent, the relation (2.1) is satisfied.

Combining Theorem 2 and the definition of Lah number (1.1), one can have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. For non-negative integer $n \ge 0$, we have

$$B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(x)_{k,\lambda}}{k!} \binom{n-1}{n-k}.$$

Proof. Substituting (1.1) into (2.1) and simplifying it, one can complete the proof. \Box

The following theorem gives the relation between Lah-Bell polynomials and the Stirling numbers of first kind.

Theorem 2.3. For non-negative integer $n \ge 0$, we have

$$B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \lambda^{l-k} x^{k} S_{1}(l,k) L_{n,l}.$$

In particular, for x = 1, we have

$$B_{n,\lambda}^{L} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \lambda^{l-k} S_{1}(l,k) L_{n,l}.$$

Proof. Using (1.4) and (1.7), we get

$$e_{\lambda}^{x}\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}}$$

$$= e^{\frac{x}{\lambda}\ln\left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t}\right)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{-k} x^{k}}{k!} \left(\ln\left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t}\right)\right)^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} x^{k} \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} S_{1}(l,k) \frac{\lambda^{l}}{l!} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{l}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} x^{k} \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} S_{1}(l,k) \lambda^{l} \sum_{n=l}^{\infty} L_{n,l} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \lambda^{l-k} x^{k} S_{1}(l,k) L_{n,l}\right) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}.$$
(2.3)

Hence, comparing Theorem 2.1 and (2.3) leads to completing of this proof. \Box

Theorem 2.4. For non-negative integer $n \ge 0$, we have

$$B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \binom{l}{m} (x)_{l,\lambda} \frac{(-1)^{m-l}}{l!} \langle l \rangle_{n},$$

where $\langle l \rangle_n$ is defined by

$$\langle l \rangle_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ (l+n-1)\langle l \rangle_{n-1}, & n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Combining (1.4) and (1.5), we can have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} = e_{\lambda}^{x} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)$$
$$= \left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}}$$
(2.4)

X. Piao, Y. Kim and J. Kwon

$$=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (x)_{l,\lambda} \frac{1}{l!} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{l}$$
$$=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (x)_{l,\lambda} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \binom{l}{m} \left(\frac{1}{1-t}\right)^{m} (-1)^{l-m}$$
$$=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (x)_{l,\lambda} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \binom{l}{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle m \rangle_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} (-1)^{l-m}$$
$$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \binom{l}{m} (x)_{l,\lambda} \frac{(-1)^{l-m}}{l!} \langle m \rangle_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}.$$

By comparing the coefficients of the both sides of (2.4), we can finish this proof. $\hfill \Box$

Let F(t, x) be a two variable function defined by

$$F(t,x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}.$$
(2.5)

Then, the *k*-th differentiation gives us the following:

$$\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial t^{k}}F(t,x) = \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial t^{k}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) \frac{t^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n+k,\lambda}^{L}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}.$$
(2.6)

Now, we observe that (see [16])

$$\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda t}{1-t}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (\lambda)^k \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^k$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \lambda^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{n-k} t^n$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \lambda^k \binom{n-1}{n-k} \frac{t^n}{n!}$$
(2.7)

and

$$(1-t)^{-2} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle 2 \rangle_l \frac{t^l}{l!}.$$
 (2.8)

From (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}F(t,x) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}-1}\frac{x}{(1-t)^2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_{l,\lambda}^L(x)\frac{t^l}{l!}\right)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m!\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m-1}{m-k}(-1)^k\lambda^k\frac{t^m}{m!}\right)\left(x\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\langle 2\rangle_j\frac{t^j}{j!}\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_{l,\lambda}^L(x)\frac{t^l}{l!}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^i\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{i}{m}\binom{m-1}{m-k}m!(-1)^k\lambda^kx\langle 2\rangle_{i-m}\frac{t^i}{i!}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^n\sum_{m=0}^i\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{i}\binom{i}{m}\binom{m-1}{m-k}m!(-1)^k\lambda^kx\langle 2\rangle_{i-m}B_{n-i,\lambda}^L(x)\frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.9)$$

Theorem 2.5. For any real λ and non-negative integer $n \geq 0$, we have the following recurrence relation

$$B_{n+1,\lambda}^{L}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n}{i} \binom{i}{m} \binom{m-1}{m-k} m! (-1)^{k} \lambda^{k} x \langle 2 \rangle_{i-m} B_{n-i,\lambda}^{L}(x).$$

Proof. Combining (2.6) and (2.9), we can complete this proof.

Now, we observe that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}F(t,x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}}\ln\left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t}\right).$$

By mathematical induction, we can obtain

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} F(t,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t} \right)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \left(\ln \left(1 + \frac{\lambda t}{1-t} \right) \right)^k.$$
(2.10)

Since

$$\left(\ln\left(1+\frac{\lambda t}{1-t}\right)\right)^{k} = k! \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} S_{1}(l,k) \frac{1}{l!} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{l}$$

$$= \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{m!k!}{l!} S_{1}(l,k) \binom{m-1}{l-1} \frac{t^{m}}{m!},$$
(2.11)

by (2.10) and (2.11), we get

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} F(t,x) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^k} \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{m!k!}{l!} S_1(l,k) \binom{m-1}{l-1} \frac{t^m}{m!}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{j,\lambda}^L(x) \frac{t^j}{j!}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \binom{n}{m} \frac{m!k!}{l!} S_1(n,k) \binom{m-1}{l-1} B_{n-m,\lambda}^L(x)\right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$
(2.12)

We obtain the following by k differentiations of the function F(t, x) with respect to x:

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}F(t,x) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B^L_{m,\lambda}(x)\frac{t^m}{m!} = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}B^L_{m,\lambda}(x)\frac{t^m}{m!}.$$
 (2.13)

Theorem 2.6. For real number λ and non-negative integers n and k with $n \geq k$, we have

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} B_{n,\lambda}^L(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{n}{m} \frac{m!k!}{l!\lambda^k} S_1(n,k) \binom{m-1}{l-1} B_{n-m,\lambda}^L(x).$$
(2.14)

Proof. Comparing (2.12) and (2.13), one can proof the above recurrence relations. \Box

Theorem 2.7. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and non-negative integers $n, k \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{d^k}{dx^k} B_{n,\lambda}^L(x) = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{m=0}^l \frac{1}{k!} \binom{n-1}{n-k} S_{2,\lambda}(k,l) S_1(l,m)(m)_k x^{m-k}.$$

Proof. Using (1.10) and Corollary 2.2, we have

$$\frac{d^{k}}{dx^{k}}B_{n,\lambda}^{L}(x) = \frac{d^{k}}{dx^{k}}n!\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(x)_{k,\lambda}}{k!}\binom{n-1}{n-k} = n!\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{k!}\binom{n-1}{n-k}\frac{d^{k}}{dx^{k}}(x)_{k,\lambda} = n!\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{k!}\binom{n-1}{n-k}\frac{d^{k}}{dx^{k}}\sum_{l=0}^{k}S_{2,\lambda}(k,l)(x)_{l} \qquad (2.15)$$

$$= n!\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{k!}\binom{n-1}{n-k}\frac{d^{k}}{dx^{k}}\sum_{l=0}^{k}S_{2,\lambda}(k,l)\sum_{m=0}^{l}S_{1}(l,m)x^{m} = n!\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{k!}\binom{n-1}{n-k}\sum_{l=0}^{k}\sum_{m=0}^{l}S_{2,\lambda}(k,l)S_{1}(l,m)(m)_{k}x^{m-k}.$$

This is the desired result of the theorem.

3. Distribution of roots of the polynomials

Woon [26] has studied the distribution and structure of the zeros of Bernoulli polynomials. Hence, we will investigate the numerical pattern of the zeros of the polynomials $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$. Using the mathematica tool, the polynomial $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ can be determined explicitly. For example,

$$\begin{split} B^L_{1,\lambda}(x) &= x, \\ B^L_{2,\lambda}(x) &= x^2 + (2 - \lambda)x, \\ B^L_{3,\lambda}(x) &= x^3 + (6 - 3\lambda)x^2 + (6 - 6\lambda + 2\lambda^2)x, \\ B^L_{4,\lambda}(x) &= x^4 + (12 - 6\lambda)x^3 + (36 - 36\lambda + 11\lambda^2)x^2 \\ &+ (24 - 36\lambda + 24\lambda^2 - 6\lambda^3)x. \end{split}$$

From the definition of the Lah-Bell polynomials $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$, we can obtain the following properties of the roots:

- For any real number λ , the polynomials $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ with n = 1, 2 have only real roots.
- For any real number λ and any positive integer n, all polynomials $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ have a common root which is zero.



Fig. 1. The computed roots of $B_{40,\lambda}^L(x)$ with variable λ

Firstly, we observe the impact of λ on the distribution of the roots of the polynomials. For the propose, we fix the degree of polynomials as n = 40. Since the explicit form of the roots of $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ is unknown, we calculate the roots by using the Mathematica tool with 100 working precision. The absolute numerical error is bounded as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{40} |B_{40,\lambda}(x_i)| < 10^{-62},$$

where x_i denotes the root of polynomial. Hence, the results obtained from numerical computations are reliable. We compute the numerical roots of $B_{40,\lambda}^L(x)$ with four different parameters $\lambda = \frac{5}{10}, \frac{15}{10}, \frac{20}{10}$ and $\frac{25}{10}$ and the results are plotted



in Fig. 1. As observed in Fig. 1, the roots of the Lah-Bell polynomials have four patterns.

Fig. 2. The root distribution of $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ with variable λ and different integer $n = 1, 2, \dots, 40$.

Secondly, we investigate the impact of the degree of polynomials on the distribution of roots of the polynomials. We compute the numerical roots of the polynomials increasing the degree of polynomials from 1 to 40 and present in Fig. 2.



Fig. 3. Real zeros of $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ for $\lambda = \frac{5}{10}, \frac{15}{10}, \frac{20}{10}, \frac{25}{10}$ and $1 \le n \le 40$.

Thirdly, to investigate the real roots distribution structure of $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$, we compute the real roots and display in Fig.3.



Fig. 4. Roots distribution structures vs $\lambda \in [0, \frac{25}{10}]$.

From the results of Fig.3, we can find a remarkably regular structure of the roots of the polynomials $B_{n,\lambda}(x)$. In order to find the roots structure, we count the number of real roots for $\lambda = \frac{5}{10}, \frac{15}{10}, \frac{20}{10}$ and $\frac{25}{20}$ and $n \in [1, 99]$ within $x \in [-1000, 1000]$ and summarize as follows:

• $\lambda = \frac{5}{10}$: the number of real roots=n.

$$\begin{split} \bullet \ \lambda &= \frac{20}{10}: \ \text{the number of real roots} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = \text{odd}, \\ 2, & n = \text{even}. \end{cases} \\ \hline \ 1, & n = 1, 3, \cdots, 13, \\ 2, & n = 2, 4, \cdots, 26, \\ 3, & n = 15, 17, \cdots, 37, \\ 4, & n = 28, 30, \cdots, 50, \\ 5, & n = 39, 41, \cdots, 63, \\ 6, & n = 52, 54, \cdots, 74, \\ 7, & n = 65, 67, \cdots, 87, \\ 8, & n = 76, 78, \cdots, 98, \\ 9, & n = 89, 91, \cdots, 99. \end{cases} \\ \hline \ \lambda = \frac{25}{10}: \ \text{the number of real roots} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1, 3, \cdots, 27, \\ 2, & n = 2, 4, \cdots, 50, \\ 3, & n = 29, 31, \cdots, 75, \\ 4, & n = 52, 54, \cdots, 100, \\ 5, & n = 77, 79, \cdots, 99. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we compute the roots of the polynomials with fixed n = 40 and varying parameter $\lambda = \frac{k}{10}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 25$. The numerical results are plotted in Fig. 4.

Summering the above discussion, we can obtain the properties of the roots of $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$.

- When $\lambda < 2$, the real parts of the roots of the polynomials $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ are non-positive.
- When $\lambda = 2$, the polynomials $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ have pure imaginary roots except for zero roots.
- When $\lambda > 2$, the real parts of the roots of the polynomials $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ are non-negative.

X. Piao, Y. Kim and J. Kwon

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we review the Lah-Bell polynomials and numbers introduced by Kim-Kim and give an explicit formula for partial derivatives. In order to more accurate understanding the Lah-Bell polynomials, the distribution of roots was numerically investigated. Further, we count the number of real roots of $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ with four different parameters $\lambda = \frac{5}{10}, \frac{15}{10}, \frac{20}{10}$ and $\frac{25}{10}$. Finally, we obtain the relation between the sign of real part of the root of $B_{n,\lambda}^L(x)$ and the value λ . In the next study, we will show theoretically the above facts.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading, valuable comments, and suggestions, which helped to improve the manuscript.

References

- M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 55. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, (1964), xiv+1046.
- [2] E.Y. Bell, Exponetial polynomials, Ann. of Math., 35 (1934), 258-277.
- [3] L. Carlitz, Arithemic properties of the Bell polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 15 (1966), 33-52.
- [4] L. Carlitz, Degenerate Stirling, Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers, Util. Math., 15 (1979). 51-88.
- [5] L. Comtet, Advanced Combinatorics. The Art of Finite and Infinite Expansions, Reidel, Dordrecht, Revised and enlarged edn., (1974) xi+343, ISBN: 90-277-0441-4 05-02
- [6] Dmitry V. Dolgy, D.S. Kim, T. Kim and J. Kwon, On fully degenerate Bell numbers and polynomials, Filomat, 34(2) (2020), 507-514.
- [7] L.-C. Jang, D.S. Kim, T. Kim and H. Lee, Some identities involving derangement polynomials and numbers and moments of gamma random variables, J. Funct. Spaces, 160 (2020), Article ID 6624006, 9 pages.
- [8] D.S. Kim and T. Kim, Some identities of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials arising from umbral calculus, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Kyungshang), 23(1) (2013), 159-171.
- [9] D.S. Kim and T. Kim, Some identities of Bell polynomials, Sci. China Math., 58 (10) (2015), 2095–2104.
- [10] D.S. Kim and T. Kim, Some identities of degenerate Daehee numbers arising from certain differential equations. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10 (2017), 744-751.
- [11] D.S. Kim and T. Kim, A note on polyexponential and unipoly functions, Russ. J. Math. Phys., 26(1) (2019), 40-49.
- [12] D.S. Kim and T. Kim, A note on a new type of degenerate Bernoulli numbers, Russ. J. Math. Phys., 27(2) (2020), 227-235.

A note on degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials arising from derivatives

- [13] D.S. Kim and T. Kim, Lah-Bell numbers and polynomials, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., 23(4) (2020), 577-586.
- [14] D.S. Kim and T. Kim, Degenerate Sheffer sequences and λ-Sheffer sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 493(1) (2021), 124521, 21 pp.
- [15] D.S. Kim and T. Kim, r-extended Lah-Bell numbers and polynomials associated with r-Lah numbers, arXiv (2020), 2008.06155 https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.06155
- [16] H, Kim, Degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials arising from degenerate Sheffer sequences, Advances in Difference Equations, 687 (2020), 16pages.
- [17] T. Kim, A note on degenerate Stirling polynomials of the second kind, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., 20(3) (2017), 319-331.
- [18] D.S. Kim, A note on nonlinear Changhee differential equations, Russ. J. Math. Phys., 23(1) (2016), 88–92
- [19] T. Kim and D.S. Kim, Degenerate Laplace transform and degenerate gamma function, Russ. J. Math. Phys., 24(2) (2017), 241–248.
- [20] T. Kim and D.S. Kim, Some identities on λ -analogue of r-Stirling numbers of the first kind, Filomat, **34**(2) (2020), 451-460.
- [21] T. Kim and D.S. Kim, Note on degenerate gamma function, Russ. J. Math. Phys., 27(3) (2020), 352–358.
- [22] T. Kim, D.S. Kim, H. Kim and J. Kwon, Some identities of degenerate Bell polynomials, Mathematics, 8(40) (2020), 8pages.
- [23] T. Kim, D.S. Kim, H. Lee and L. Jang, Degenerate Bell polynomials associated with umbral calculus, J. Inequal. Appl., 226 (2020), 15pages.
- [24] Y. Ma, D.S. Kim, T. Kim, H. Kim and H. Lee, Some identities of Lah-Bell polynomials, Adv. Differ. Equ., 510 (2020), 10pages.
- [25] S. Tauber, Lah numbers for Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials, Fibonacci Quart., 6(5) (1968), 93–99.
- [26] S.C. Woon, Analytic continuation of Bernoulli numbers, a New formula for the Riemann Zeta function, and the phenomenon of scattering of zeros, Physics, arXiv (1997), 9705021v2