< Original Article >

# Evaluation of different molecular methods for detection of Senecavirus A and the result of the antigen surveillance in Korea during 2018

JinHwa Heo\*, Min-Jung Lee, HyunJoo Kim, SuKyung Lee, Jida Choi, Hae-Eun Kang, Hyang-Mi Nam, JinJu Nah

Foreign Animal Disease Division, Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency, Gimcheon 39660, Korea

(Received 31 December 2020; revised 23 March 2021; accepted 23 March 2021)

#### Abstract

Senecavirus A (SVA), previously known as Seneca Valley virus, can cause vesicular disease and neonatal losses in pigs that is clinically indistinguishable from foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV). After the first case report in Canada in 2007, it had been restrictively identified in North America including United States. But, since 2015, SVA emerged outside North America in Brazil, and also in several the Asian countries including China, Thailand, and Vietnam. Considering the SVA occurrence in neighboring countries, there has been a high risk that Korea can be introduced at any time. In particular, it is very important in terms of differential diagnosis in the suspected case of vesicular diseases in countries where FMD is occurring. So far, several different molecular detection methods for SVV have been published but not validated as the reference method, yet. In this study, seven different molecular methods for detecting SVA were evaluated. Among them, the method by Flowler et al, (2017) targeted to 3D gene region with the highest sensitivity and no cross reaction with other vesicular disease agents including FMDV, VSV and SVD, was selected and applied further to antigen surveillance of SVA. A total of 245 samples of 157 pigs from 61 farms submitted for animal disease diagnose nationwide during 2018 were tested all negative. In 2018, no sign of SVA occurrence have been confirmed in Korea, but the results of the surveillance for SVA needs to be continued and accumulated at a high risk of SVA in neighboring countries.

Key words : Senecavirus, Senecavirus A, Seneca valley virus, SVA

### **INTRODUCTION**

Senecavirus A (SVA), previously known as Seneca Valley virus, is a causative agent of vesicular disease in pigs and belongs to the genus *Senecavirus* within the family *Picornaviridae* (International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, 2017). SVV is a non-enveloped, single-stranded, and positive-sense RNA virus. The genome of SVA is approximately 7.3 kb in length (Maggioli et al, 2018). The typical clinical symptoms of SVV in pig include vesicular lesion on the skin or mucous membranes of the nose, mouth, tongue and hoof and

epidemic transient neonatal losses (Zhang et al, 2018). The first clinical case in pigs was reported in Canada in 2007 and in the United States in 2012 (Leme et al, 2017). Soon after, SVA emerged outside North America in Brazil (2015), and several Asia countries including China (2015), Thailand (2016), and Vietnam (2018). Considering the occurrence in neighboring countries, there is a high risk that Korea can be introduced at any time. In particular, SVA is very important in terms of differential diagnosis in the suspected case of vesicular diseases in countries where FMD is occurring. In preparation for the introduction of SVA into Korea, which is carrying out the FMD vaccine policy, it was necessary to have rapid and accurate diagnostic methods. So far,

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: JinHwa Heo, E-mail. heo2435@nate.com ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6848-0392

several different molecular detection methods for SVV have been published but not validated as the reference method, yet. Therefore, in this study, we tried to evaluate several different molecular methods for detecting SVA and then apply the selected method to the antigen surveillance to pig samples in Korea collected during 2018.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

For the antigen surveillance, a total of 245 tissue samples of 157 pigs from 61 farms submitted for animal disease diagnose nationwide during 2018 were used. The tissue samples were single tissue type (Heart, Lymph node and Liver) or pooling of those tissues. For the sensitivity test, limits of detection (LOD) were estimated by 10-fold serial dilution of synthetic RNA to  $10^{0}$  copies/µL, and by 10-fold serial dilution of viral RNA starting from  $3\times10^{5}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL of SVV001 strain from ATCC PTA-5343, respectively. For the specificity test, the vesicular disease agents including FMDV, VSV and SVDV were used. Seven different methods of conventional RT-PCR were performed using One-step RT-PCR kit (Qiagen) on Eppendorf Master cycler and Real-time RT-PCR were performed using AgPath-ID one-step RT-PCR kit (Ambion) or Power SYBR Green RNA-to-Ct 1-step kit (Life Tech) on the Bio-Rad CFX96 system, respectively. The information of seven different methods were described in Table 1, 2 in detail.

Table 1. Conventional RT-PCR (RT-PCR) primers for detecting SVA

Primers	Sequence	Target (size)	
Knowles et al (2006)			
SVV-1C556F	5'-TCGGTTTACTCCGCTGATGGTTGG-3'	VP1	
SVV-2A22R	5'-AGGACCAGGATTGGTCTCGATATC-3'	(985 bp)	
Joshi et al (2016)			
SVV-1C556F	5'-TCGGTTTACTCCGCTGATGGTTGG-3'	VP1	
SVV-1D441R	5'-GGTCGTAGACAAAGCTGGAAGCCTGG-3'	(629 bp)	
Feronato et al (Nested) (2018)			
SVV-2682FW	5'-TTCCACTCCACCGACAACG-3'	VP3/VP1	
SVV-3224RV	5'-GATACCTTCCCACCCTTGC-3'	(542 bp)	
SVV-2730FW	5'-ACTGACACCGATTTCTCTG-3'	VP1	
SVV-3046RV	5'-CTAAAGTAAGTGAAACAGGC-3'	(316 bp)	

Table 2.	Real-time RT-PCR (rRT-PCR) primers and probes for detecting SVA

Primers/probes	Sequence	Target
Rudin et al (2011, TaqMan)		
F	5'-CTGTCTCGGTGCACGCTTAC-3'	VP1
R	5'-GATCACATTGTTGAGCACTGTGTTC-3'	
Probe	6FAM-CGGTTGTGCCGCGAC-MGBNFQ	
Bracht et al (2016, SYBR green)		
SV-A-qF	5'-GGGTAACACTGACACCGATTT-3'	VP1
SV-A-qR	5'-TCGAGATCGATCAAACAGGAAC-3'	
Fowler et al (2017, TaqMan)		
SVV3D-F1	5'-AGAATTTGGAAGCCATGCTCT-3'	3D
SVV3D-R1	5'-GAGCCAACATAGARACAGATTGC-3'	
SVV3D-Pr1	FAM-TTCAAACCAGGAACACTACTCGAGA-BHQ1	
Agnol et al (2017, TaqMan)		
SVV-q2688Fw	5'-CACCGACAACGCCGAGAC-3'	VP1
SVV-q2782Rv	5'-AGATCGATCAAACAGGAACTTGAC-3'	
SVV-q2728Pb	FAM-ACTGACACCGATTTC-MGB	

#### RESULTS

As a results of real time RT-PCR (rRT-PCR) methods for detection SVA was found to be  $10^2$  to  $10^3$  times more sensitive than conventional RT-PCR (RT-PCR) methods. As of the Limit of Detection (LOD), all of rRT-PCR methods were able to detect up to  $10^{0}$  copies of synthetic SVA RNA and/or up to 10<sup>-9</sup> dilution of viral RNA with the virus titer  $3 \times 10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL (Table 3). All of rRT-PCR showed no cross reaction with other vesicular disease agents including FMDV, VSV, and SVDV (Table 4). Among them, the rRT-PCR method by Flowler et al (2017) targeted to 3D gene region with the highest sensitivity and no cross reaction with other vesicular disease agents including FMDV was selected and applied further to antigen surveillance of SVA (Fig. 1). For the antigen surveillance to SVA, a total of 245 samples of 157 pigs from 61 farms submitted for animal

Table 3. The results of sensitivity test

disease diagnose nationwide during 2018 were tested all negative (Table 5). No sign of SVA occurrence have been confirmed in Korea during 2018.

## DISCUSSION

Senecavirus A (SVA) is one of the emerging viral diseases agents causing vesicular disease and epidemic transient neonatal losses in pigs. SVA infection is an important disease in terms of the direct economic damage to the domestic swine industry, as well as the requirement of high burden to differential diagnosis with FMDV. Since the first clinical case was reported in Canada, it has been rapidly spreading to North America, South America, China, and South-Asian countries. Considering the occurrence in neighboring countries, there is a high risk that Korea can be introduced at any time.

			Limit of detection (LOD)		
PCR	Methods	Target	Synthetic RNA (copies/µL)	Dilution of viral RNA $(3 \times 10^5 \text{ TCID}_{50}/\text{mL})$	
cv-PCR	Knowles et al (2006)	VP1	10 <sup>4</sup>	$10^{-6}$	
	Joshi et al (2016)	VP1	$10^{3}$	$10^{-7}$	
	Feronato et al (2018)	VP1	$10^{3}$	$10^{-7}$	
rRT-PCR	Rudin et al (2011)	VP1	NT*	$10^{-8}$	
	Bracht et al (2016)	VP1	$10^{1}$	$10^{-8}$	
	Fowler et al (2017)	3D	NT*	$10^{-9}$	
	Agnol et al (2017)	VP1	$10^{0}$	$10^{-8}$	

\*Not tested.

Table 4. The results of specificity test

Virus	Family and genus	Virus titer (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Rudin (2011)	Bracht (2016)	Fowler (2017)	Agnol (2017)
Foot-and-mouth disease virus <sup>*</sup> Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (New Jersey) <sup>†</sup> Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (Indiana) <sup>†</sup> Swine vesicular disease virus <sup>§</sup>	Picornaviridae Aphthovirus Rhabdoviridae Vesiculovirus Rhabdoviridae Vesiculovirus Picornaviridae Enterovirus	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \times 10^{6} \\ 1.1 \times 10^{6} \\ 2.7 \times 10^{6} \\ 6.5 \times 10^{9} \end{array}$	ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup>	ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup>	ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup>	ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup> ND <sup>  </sup>

\*Republic of Korea, APQA, <sup>†</sup>USA, NVSL, <sup>†</sup>USA, NVSL, <sup>§</sup>Netherland, ID-DLO, <sup>||</sup>Not detected.



Fig. 1. Genome structure of Seneca Valley Virus.

Korean J Vet Serv, 2021, Vol. 44, No. 1

Province	County no.	Farm no.	Pig no.	Sample* no.	Results of rRT-PCR <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>
Gyeonggi-do	8	14	34	39	All neg
Gangwon-do	1	9	31	51	All neg
Gyeongsangbuk-do	8	16	27	51	All neg
Gyeongsangnam-do	3	6	13	21	All neg
Chungcheongbuk-do	3	6	12	16	All neg
Chungcheongnam-do	3	5	6	9	All neg
Jeollabuk-do	1	5	34	58	All neg
Total (7)	27	61	157	245	All neg

Table 5. The result of the antigen surveillance result to SVV in Korea during 2018

\*Sample type: Heart, Lymph node, Spleen, or Pooling (Heart, Kidney, Live and Spleen), <sup>†</sup>Realtime RT-PCR method by Flower et al (2017).

Therefore, it is necessary to set-up rapid and accurate diagnostic methods such as PCR for preparing the outbreak of SVA, in advance. In this study, we evaluated seven different molecular methods for detecting SVA and then applied the selected method to antigen surveillance of pig samples in Korea during 2018. As the results of evaluation, the rRT-PCR method by Flowler et al (2017) with the highest sensitivity and no cross reaction with other vesicular disease agents including FMDV was selected and applied to antigen surveillance of SVA in Korea. In addition, because the rRT-PCR method by Flower et al (2017) targets conserved 3D region, it has the advantage of being able to more reliably detect SVA belonging to the Picrornaviridae family with high mutation rate. As the result of the antigen surveillance to SVA in all negative, no sign of SVA occurrence have been confirmed in Korea during 2018. However, as it was performed during a very limited period, further surveillance for SVA needs to be continued and accumulated to monitor the newly introduction of SVA in Korea.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported financially by a grant from the Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency (Grant no. N-1543085-2018-18-01), the Ministry of Agriculture Food and Rural Affairs, Republic of Korea. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

### ORCID

JinHwa Heo, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6848-0392 Min-Jung Lee, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1406-6812 HyunJoo Kim, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2316-2163 SuKyung Lee, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8686-5504 Jida Choi, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9703-5909 Hae-Eun Kang, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6013-484X Hyang-Mi Nam, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0223-0606 JinJu Nah, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5021-0941

#### REFERENCES

- Agnol AMD, Otonel RAA, Leme RA, Alfieri AA, Alfieri AF. 2017. A TaqMan-based qRT-PCR assay for Senecavirus A detection in tissue samples of neonatal piglets. Molecular and Cellular Probes 33: 28-31.
- Arzt J, Bertram MR, Vu LT, Pauszek SJ, Hartwig EJ, Smoliga GR, Phuong NT. 2019. First detection and genome sequence of Senecavirus A in Vietnam. Microbiology Resource Announcements 8(3).
- Bracht AJ, O'Hearn ES, Fabian AW, Barrette RW, Sayed A. 2016. Real-time reverse transcription PCR assay for detection of Senecavirus A in swine vesicular diagnostic specimens. PLoS One 11(1): e0146211.
- Feronato C, Leme RA, Diniz JA, Agnol AMD, Alfieri AF, Alfieri AA. 2018. Development and evaluation of a nested-PCR assay for Senecavirus A diagnosis. Tropical Animal Health and Production 50(2): 337-344.

Evaluation of different molecular methods for detection of Senecavirus A and the result of the antigen surveillance in Korea during 2018 19

- Fowler VL, Ransburgh RH, Poulsen EG, Wadsworth J, King DP, Mioulet V, Fang Y. 2017. Development of a novel real-time RT-PCR assay to detect Seneca Valley virus-1 associated with emerging cases of vesicular disease in pigs. Journal of Virological Methods 239: 34-37.
- Joshi LR, Mohr KA, Clement T, Hain KS, Myers B, Yaros J, Caron L. 2016. Detection of the emerging picornavirus Senecavirus A in pigs, mice, and houseflies. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 54(6): 1536-1545.
- Knowles NJ, Hales LM, Jones BH, Landgraf JG, House JA, Skele KL, Hallenbeck PL. 2006. Epidemiology of Seneca Valley virus: identification and characterization of isolates from pigs in the United States. Northern Lights EUROPIC.
- Leme RA, Alfieri AF, Alfieri AA. 2017. Update on Senecavirus infection in pigs. Viruses 9(7): 170.
- Leme RA, Oliveira TE, Alcântara BK, Headley SA, Alfieri AF, Yang M, Alfieri AA. 2016. Clinical manifestations of Senecavirus A infection in neonatal pigs, Brazil, 2015. Emerging Infectious Diseases 22(7): 1238.
- Leme RA, Zotti E, Alcantara BK, Oliveira MV, Freitas LA, Alfieri AF, Alfieri AA. 2015. Senecavirus A: an emerging vesicular infection in Brazilian pig herds. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases 62(6): 603-611.
- Liu F, Wang Q, Huang Y, Wang N, Shan H. 2020. A 5-year Review of Senecavirus A in China since Its Emergence

in 2015. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 7.

- Maggioli MF, Lawson S, de Lima M, Joshi LR, Faccin TC, Bauermann FV, Diel DG. 2018. Adaptive immune responses following Senecavirus A infection in pigs. Journal of Virology 92(3).
- Pasma T, Davidson S, Shaw SL. 2008. Idiopathic vesicular disease in swine in Manitoba. The Canadian Veterinary Journal 49(1): 84.
- Rudin CM, Poirier JT, Senzer NN, Stephenson J, Loesch D, Burroughs KD, Hallenbeck PL. 2011. Phase I clinical study of Seneca Valley Virus (SVV-001), a replicationcompetent picornavirus, in advanced solid tumors with neuroendocrine features. Clinical Cancer Research 17(4): 888-895.
- Saeng-Chuto K, Rodtian P, Temeeyasen G, Wegner M, Nilubol D. 2018. The first detection of Senecavirus A in pigs in Thailand, 2016. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases 65(1): 285-288.
- Wu Q, Zhao X, Chen Y, He X, Zhang G, Ma J. 2016. Complete genome sequence of Seneca Valley virus CH-01-2015 identified in China. Genome Announcements 4(1).
- Zhang X, Xiao J, Ba L, Wang F, Gao D, Zhang J, Qi P. 2018. Identification and genomic characterization of the emerging Senecavirus A in southeast China, 2017. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases 65(2): 297-302.