Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 58 (2021), No. 1, pp. 59-70

 $\begin{array}{l} https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b191089 \\ pISSN: \ 1015-8634 \ / \ eISSN: \ 2234-3016 \end{array}$ 

# INEQUALITIES OF OPERATOR VALUED QUANTUM SKEW INFORMATION

Byoung Jin Choi and Mi Ra Lee

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study two operator-valued inequalities for quantum Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information related to module operators. These are extended results of the trace inequalities for Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information. Moreover, we study a sufficient condition to prove an uncertainty relation for operator-valued generalized quantum Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information related to module operators and a pair of functions (f,g). Also, we obtain several previous results of scalar-valued cases as a consequence of our main result.

## 1. Introduction

In quantum information theory, quantum skew information plays an important role in matrix algebras. Quantum skew information is a significant tool for understanding uncertainty relations. For example, in [9], Heisenberg first proved the following uncertainty relation for a quantum state (or density operator)  $\rho$  and a pair of self-adjoint matrices (or observables) A and B:

(1.1) 
$$V_{\rho}(A)V_{\rho}(B) \ge \left|\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho[A,B]\right)\right|^{2},$$

where  $V_{\rho}(A) = \text{Tr}(\rho A^2) - \text{Tr}(\rho A)^2$  and [A, B] = AB - BA is the commutator. Also Schrödinger in [14] proved that

$$(1.2) V_{\rho}(A)V_{\rho}(B) - |\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Cov}_{\rho}(A,B)|^{2} \ge \left|\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho[A,B]\right)\right|^{2},$$

where  $\operatorname{Cov}_{\rho}(A, B) := \operatorname{Tr}(\rho A B) - \operatorname{Tr}(\rho A) \operatorname{Tr}(\rho B)$  which is stronger result than Heisenberg's result (1.1). In [15], Yanagi et al. defined the new skew information

Received December 20, 2019; Revised August 27, 2020; Accepted October 16, 2020. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 94A17, 81P45.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Uncertainty relation, Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information, module operator.

The first author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. 2020R1C1C1A01003305).

(Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information) for an observable A, as the following:

$$I_{\rho}^{\alpha}(A) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \left( i \left[ \rho^{\alpha}, A_{0} \right] \right) \left( i \left[ \rho^{1-\alpha}, A_{0} \right] \right) \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr}(\rho A^{2}) - \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^{\alpha} A \rho^{1-\alpha} A), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1),$$

where  $A_0 = A - \text{Tr}(\rho A)I$ . It can be considered as a kind of measurement for non-commutativity between the density operator  $\rho$  and A. Note that if  $\rho$  is a pure state, then  $I_{\rho}^{1/2}(A) = V_{\rho}(A)$ . But the Heisenberg type inequality for  $I_{\rho}^{1/2}(A)$ , i.e.,

$$I_{\rho}^{1/2}(A)I_{\rho}^{1/2}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} \left| \text{Tr} \left( \rho[A, B] \right) \right|^2,$$

fails in general (see e.g., [15]). On the other hand, for this information (indeed, more general form), Furuichi proved that the following inequality [5]: for any self-adjoint operators A and B,

(1.3) 
$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho}^{(f,g)}(A,B) \right|^2 \le I_{\rho}^{(f,g)}(A)I_{\rho}^{(f,g)}(B),$$

where (f, g) is a monotone pair of functions,

$$Corr_{\rho}^{(f,g)}(A,B) = Tr(f(\rho)g(\rho)AB) - Tr(f(\rho)Ag(\rho)B)$$

and

$$I_{\rho}^{(f,g)}(A) := \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho}^{(f,g)}(A,A).$$

Note that if we take  $f(x)=x^{\alpha}$  and  $g(x)=x^{1-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha\in(0,1)$ , then one reduces  $I_{\rho}^{(f,g)}$  to  $I_{\rho}^{\alpha}$ . Indeed, in [15], Yanagi et al. proved that for any self-adjoint elements A and B,

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho}^{\alpha}(A, B) \right|^{2} \leq I_{\rho}^{\alpha}(A) I_{\rho}^{\alpha}(B),$$

where

$$\operatorname{Corr}_{\rho}^{\alpha}(A, B) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho A B) - \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^{\alpha} A \rho^{1-\alpha} B).$$

Another generalization of Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information was studied by Furuichi et al. in [7]. More precisely, the authors defined the new skew information as

$$K_{\rho,\operatorname{Tr}}^{\alpha}(A) := \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(i\left[\frac{\rho^{\alpha}+\rho^{1-\alpha}}{2},A_{0}\right]\right)^{2}\right)$$

and

$$L_{\rho,\mathrm{Tr}}^{\alpha}(A) := \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left\{\frac{\rho^{\alpha}+\rho^{1-\alpha}}{2},A_{0}\right\}^{2}\right),$$

where  $A_0 = A - \text{Tr}(\rho A)I$  and  $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$  is the anti-commutator, and proved the uncertainty relation

(1.4) 
$$W_{\rho,\text{Tr}}^{\alpha}(A)W_{\rho,\text{Tr}}^{\alpha}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} \left| \text{Tr}\left( \left( \frac{\rho^{\alpha} + \rho^{1-\alpha}}{2} \right)^{2} [A, B] \right) \right|^{2}$$

for  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , a quantum state  $\rho$ , an observable A and

$$W_{\rho,\mathrm{Tr}}^{\alpha}(A) = \sqrt{K_{\rho,\mathrm{Tr}}^{\alpha}(A)L_{\rho,\mathrm{Tr}}^{\alpha}(A)}.$$

For more results of uncertainty relations for quantum skew information, we refer to [6, 8, 10-13], and references cited therein.

In [2], the authors introduced the new notion of  $\Phi$ -density operators, where  $\Phi$  is a tracial positive linear operator on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , and the authors proved the uncertainty relation for Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information valued in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  for any self-adjoint elements A and B in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Phi$ -density operator  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$  (see Corollary 2.6). Also, Heisenberg and Schrödinger's uncertainty relations for positive operators valued in  $C^*$  or von Neumann algebras were studied in [1–3].

The main purpose of this paper is to study the operator valued inequalities concerning generalized quantum Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information of the forms (1.3) and (1.4) (see Theorems 2.4 and 2.10). Also, we study a sufficient condition to prove an uncertainty relation for operator valued generalized quantum Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information of the form (1.3) (see Theorem 2.4). To do this, in Section 2, we define the generalized quantum (f,g)-skew information and the generalized quantum Wigner-Yanase skew information with the certain condition of a pair of functions (f,g) (see the property  $(\mathbf{P})$  in Section 2), and then we present main results in this paper. Also, we have several corollaries as a consequence of main results, which include previous results. In Section 3, we give the proofs of the inequalities with some lemmas.

## 2. Quantum skew information and main results

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras (or von Neumann algebras). A linear operator  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is called tracial if T(XY) = T(YX) for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$  and positive if  $T(X) \geq 0$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{A}_+$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_+$  is a set of positive elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition** ([3]). Let (f,g) be a pair of continuous functions on a domain D in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a tracial positive operator and  $\rho$  be a T-density operator, i.e.,  $\rho$  is positive and  $T(\rho) = 1$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) = T(f(\rho)g(\rho)A^*B) - T(f(\rho)A^*g(\rho)B), \quad A,B \in \mathcal{A},$$

is called the *generalized correlation* and

$$I_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A) := \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,A), \quad A \in \mathcal{A},$$

is called the generalized quantum (f,g)-skew information.

Now, we say that a linear operator  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and a pair function (f,g)satisfy the property (**P**) if T and (f,g) satisfy the following property:

$$T(f(A)Bg(A)B) \le T(f(A)g(A)B^2)$$

for any self-adjoint elements A and B in A. Note that in the above definition, we always assume that (f,q) is defined on an interval containing the spectrums of A and B, respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . A linear operator  $T: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is called a module operator ([1]) if T satisfies the following property:

$$(2.1) T(AXB) = AT(X)B$$

for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Note that if a module operator T is tracial, then by using module and tracial properties, we have  $T(A) \subseteq Z(B)$ , where  $Z(\mathcal{B})$  is the center of  $\mathcal{B}$ . A pair (f,g) is said to be a monotone pair of operator monotone functions on the domain D in  $\mathbb{R}$  if

$$(f(a) - f(b)) (g(a) - g(b)) \ge 0$$

for any  $a, b \in D$ . There are many examples of monotone pairs as following: for more examples, see [10].

Example 2.1. (i) For any operator monotone function f, the pair (f, f)is a monotone pair.

- (ii)  $(x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta})$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$  on [0, 1] is a monotone pair. (iii)  $\left(\frac{x^{\alpha} + x^{1-\alpha}}{2}, \frac{x^{\alpha} + x^{1-\alpha}}{2}\right)$  with  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  on [0, 1] is a monotone pair.

Now, we give several examples of a pair of functions satisfying the property (P) as followings:

- Example 2.2. (i) Any trace Tr on an  $n \times n$ -matrix algebra  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  and any monotone pair (f, g) satisfy the property  $(\mathbf{P})$  for any self-adjoint matrices A and B (see [4, Theorem 2]).
  - (ii) Any tracial positive linear operator  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are von Neumann algebras, and a monotone pair (f, g) satisfy the property (P) for any positive element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and any self-adjoint element  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ (see [3, Theorem 4.1]).
  - (iii) Any tracial positive linear operator  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are  $C^*$ -algebras, and the monotone pair  $(x^{1-\alpha}, x^{\alpha})$  with  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  satisfy the property (**P**) for any positive element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and any self-adjoint element  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  (see [2, Lemma 3.1]).

**Example 2.3.** Any tracial positive module operator  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are von Neumann algebras, and a pair (f, f) of positive preserving function f (i.e.,  $f(x) \ge 0$  for  $x \ge 0$ ) satisfy the property (**P**) for any positive elements A and B. Indeed, using the fact that  $T(A) \subseteq Z(B)$ , and the inequality (2.13) (Araki-Lieb-Thirring inequality) in [1, Theorem 2.8], we have

$$T(f(A)Bf(A)B) = T((f(A)B)^2) \le T(f(A)BBf(A)) = T(f(A)f(A)BB),$$

where A and B are positive elements in A.

The following theorem is one of the main results in this paper which is an uncertainty relation for a generalized quantum (f,g)-skew information.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a tracial positive module operator. If T and (f,g) satisfy property  $(\mathbf{P})$ , then for all self-adjoint elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and T-density operator  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) \right|^2 \le I_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A) I_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(B),$$

where

$$\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) := \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) + \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B)^* \right)$$

and (f,g) is a pair of functions which are defined on some interval containing the spectrum of  $\rho$ .

By (ii), (iii) in Example 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.5** ([3]). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a von Neumann subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a tracial positive module operator. Then for all self-adjoint elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and T-density operator  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) \right|^2 \le I_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A)I_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(B),$$

where (f,g) is a monotone pair of functions.

If we take the monotone pair  $(f,g)=(x^{1-\alpha},x^{\alpha})$  with  $\alpha\in(0,1)$ , we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.6** ([2]). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a tracial positive module operator. Then for all self-adjoint elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and T-density operator  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\left|\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(A,B)\right|^{2} \leq I_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(A)I_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(B), \quad (\alpha \in (0,1)),$$

where  $I_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(A) := T(\rho A^2) - T(\rho^{\alpha}A\rho^{1-\alpha}A)$  and  $\operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(A,B) := T(\rho A^*B) - T(\rho^{\alpha}A^*\rho^{1-\alpha}B)$ .

The following result is an infinite dimensional version of the Furuichi's (f,g)-skew information result in [5].

**Corollary 2.7** (c.f. [5]). Let  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  be the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H. Let  $Tr : \mathcal{B}(H) \to \mathbb{C}$  be a usual trace and (f,g) be a pair of functions. If Tr and (f,g) satisfy property (P), then for all self-adjoint elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and Tr-density operator  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,

$$\left|\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,\operatorname{Tr}}^{(f,g)}(A,B)\right|^2 \leq I_{\rho,\operatorname{Tr}}^{(f,g)}(A)I_{\rho,\operatorname{Tr}}^{(f,g)}(B).$$

Remark 2.8. In Corollary 2.7, we take  $H = \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then by Example 2.2(i), Tr and any monotone pair (f,g) satisfy the property  $(\mathbf{P})$  for any self-adjoint matrices A and B. Thus we have for any monotone pair (f,g),

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho, \operatorname{Tr}}^{(f,g)}(A, B) \right|^2 \le I_{\rho, \operatorname{Tr}}^{(f,g)}(A) I_{\rho, \operatorname{Tr}}^{(f,g)}(B),$$

(see [5]).

Let  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a tracial positive module operator. For a T-density operator  $\rho$  and a self-adjoint element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define the generalized quantum Wigner-Yanase skew information by

(2.2) 
$$K_{\rho,T}^{f}(A) := I_{\rho,T}^{(f,f)}(A) = \frac{1}{2}T\left(\left(i[f(\rho), A_{0}]\right)^{2}\right),$$

where  $A_0 = A - T(\rho A)$ . Indeed, for a tracial positive module operator T, since  $f(\rho)g(\rho) = g(\rho)f(\rho)$ , we have

$$I_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A) = \frac{1}{2}T\left(\left(i\left[f(\rho), A_0\right]\right)\left(i\left[g(\rho), A_0\right]\right)\right).$$

It is a generalization of the quantum Wigner-Yanase skew information  $I_{\rho,T}^{1/2}(A)$ . We also define

(2.3) 
$$L_{\rho,T}^{f}(A) = \frac{1}{2}T\left(\left\{f(\rho), A_{0}\right\}^{2}\right).$$

Note that for any self-adjoint element A,

$$\left(i[f(\rho),A_0]\right)^*=i[f(\rho),A_0]$$

induces that  $K^f_{\rho,T}(A)$  is positive. Similarly,  $L^f_{\rho,T}(A)$  is positive with self-adjoint element  $A\in\mathcal{A}$ .

By Example 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, the following result holds.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let A be a von Neumann algebra and B be a von Neumann subalgebra of A. Let  $T : A \longrightarrow B$  be a tracial positive module operator. Then for any positive elements  $A, B \in A$  and T-density operator  $\rho \in A$ ,

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,f)}(A,B) \right|^2 \le K_{\rho,T}^f(A) K_{\rho,T}^f(B),$$

where f is an operator monotone function or a positive preserving function.

Based on the above setting, we have the following inequality which is the other main result in this paper.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $T: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is a tracial positive module operator and  $\rho$  is a density operator with respect to T, then it holds that

$$W_{\rho,T}^{f}(A)W_{\rho,T}^{f}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} |T(f(\rho)^{2}[A,B])|^{2}$$

with self-adjoint  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , where

$$W^f_{\rho,T}(A) = \sqrt{K^f_{\rho,T}(A)L^f_{\rho,T}(A)},$$

and f is a function which is defined on an interval containing the spectrum of T-density operator  $\rho$ .

Remark 2.11. (i) If we take  $f(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha} + x^{1-\alpha}}{2}$  for  $\alpha \in [0,1]$  in (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, then we obtain that for a self adjoint element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$K_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(A) := K_{\rho,T}^f(A) = \frac{1}{2}T\left(\left(i\left[\frac{\rho^{\alpha}+\rho^{1-\alpha}}{2},A_0\right]\right)^2\right)$$

and

$$L^\alpha_{\rho,T}(A):=L^f_{\rho,T}(A)=\frac{1}{2}T\left(\left\{\frac{\rho^\alpha+\rho^{1-\alpha}}{2},A_0\right\}^2\right),$$

where  $A_0 = A - T(\rho A)$ . Then Theorem 2.10 induces the inequality

$$W_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(A)W_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} \left| T\left( \left( \frac{\rho^{\alpha} + \rho^{1-\alpha}}{2} \right)^2 [A, B] \right) \right|^2,$$

where  $W_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(A) = \sqrt{K_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(A)L_{\rho,T}^{\alpha}(A)}$ .

(ii) If we take  $f(x) = x^{1/2}$  in (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, then we obtain that for a self-adjoint element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$I_{\rho,T}^{1/2}(A) = K_{\rho,T}^f(A) = \frac{1}{2}T\left(\left(i\left[\rho^{1/2}, A_0\right]\right)^2\right)$$

and

$$J_{\rho,T}^{1/2}(A) = L_{\rho,T}^f(A) = \frac{1}{2}T\left(\left\{\rho^{1/2},A_0\right\}^2\right),$$

where  $A_0 = A - T(\rho A)$ . Also, Theorem 2.10 induces the inequality

$$U_{\rho,T}(A)U_{\rho,T}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} \left| T\left(\rho[A,B]\right) \right|^2,$$

where 
$$U_{\rho,T}(A) = \sqrt{I_{\rho,T}^{1/2}(A)J_{\rho,T}^{1/2}(A)}$$
 (see [2]).

Using Remark 2.11, we have the following corollaries which are related to the Wigner-Yanase skew information and Lao's information in [7,12].

Corollary 2.12 ([7]). Let  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  be the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H. Let  $Tr: \mathcal{B}(H) \to \mathbb{C}$  be a usual trace functional. Then for any self-adjoint elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and Tr-density operator  $\rho \in$  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ,

$$W_{\rho, Tr}^{\alpha}(A)W_{\rho, Tr}^{\alpha}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} \left| Tr\left(\left(\frac{\rho^{\alpha} + \rho^{1-\alpha}}{2}\right)^{2} [A, B]\right) \right|^{2},$$

where 
$$W_{\rho, Tr}^{\alpha}(A) = \sqrt{K_{\rho, Tr}^{\alpha}(A)L_{\rho, Tr}^{\alpha}(A)}$$
.

Corollary 2.13 ([12]). Let  $Tr: \mathcal{B}(H) \to \mathbb{C}$  be a usual trace functional. Then for any self-adjoint elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and Tr-density operator  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,

$$U_{\rho, Tr}(A)U_{\rho, Tr}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} |Tr(\rho[A, B])|^2,$$

where 
$$U_{\rho, Tr}(A) = \sqrt{I_{\rho, Tr}^{1/2}(A)J_{\rho, Tr}^{1/2}(A)}$$
.

## 3. Proofs

## 3.1. Proof of Theorem 2.4

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . To prove Theorem 2.4, we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a tracial positive linear operator and (f,g)be a pair of functions. If T and (f,g) satisfy the property (P), then for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$I_{a,T}^{(f,g)}(A) \ge 0.$$

*Proof.* The proof is clear owing to the property  $(\mathbf{P})$ .

Now, for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) := \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathrm{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) + \mathrm{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(B^*,A^*) \right)$$

and

$$\widetilde{I}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A) := \widetilde{\operatorname{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,A).$$

Note that if A is a self-adjoint element, then  $\widetilde{I}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A)=I_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A)$ . Also, it is easy to show that the following properties hold:

- (i)  $\widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,A) \geq 0$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  (using Lemma 3.1); (ii)  $\widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B+\alpha C) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) + \alpha \widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,C)$  for  $A,B,C \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ;
- (iii)  $\widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B)^* = \widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(B,A)$  for  $A,B \in \mathcal{A}$  (using the fact that  $f(\rho)g(\rho) = g(\rho)f(\rho)$ .

Using the module property (2.1), we can prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a tracial positive module operator, then we have

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,BC) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B)C$$

for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}$  and T-density operator  $\rho$ .

*Proof.* For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and any  $C \in \mathcal{B}$  we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,BC) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathrm{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,BC) + \mathrm{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}((BC)^*,A^*) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( T(f(\rho)g(\rho)A^*BC) - T(f(\rho)A^*g(\rho)BC) \right. \\ &\quad + T(f(\rho)g(\rho)BCA^*) - T(f(\rho)BCg(\rho)A^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( T(f(\rho)g(\rho)A^*B)C - T(f(\rho)A^*g(\rho)B)C \right. \\ &\quad + T(f(\rho)g(\rho)BA^*)C - T(f(\rho)Bg(\rho)A^*)C) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( T(f(\rho)g(\rho)A^*B) - T(f(\rho)A^*g(\rho)B) \right. \\ &\quad + T(f(\rho)g(\rho)BA^*) - T(f(\rho)Bg(\rho)A^*) \right) C \\ &= \widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B)C, \end{split}$$

by using module and tracial properties of T.

**Lemma 3.3** (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, see [1,2]). Let  $T: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  be a tracial positive module operator. Then we have

$$|T(x^*y)|^2 \le T(x^*x)T(y^*y), \qquad x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Now we prove the following theorem by applying the above lemmas.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Define the map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  as  $\langle A, B \rangle = \widetilde{\mathrm{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B)$ . Then due to Lemma 3.2, it is clear that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued semi-inner product and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -module. Using Lemma 3.3, we obtain for all self-adjoint elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  that

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) \right|^{2} = \left| \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) + \operatorname{Corr}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(B,A) \right) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left| \widetilde{\operatorname{Corr}}_{\rho,T}^{(f,g)}(A,B) \right|^{2}$$

$$\leq \langle A, A \rangle \langle B, B \rangle$$

$$= I_{\varrho,T}^{(f,g)}(A) I_{\varrho,T}^{(f,g)}(B),$$

which gives the proof.

#### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.10

Proof of Theorem 2.10. Let  $Z \in \mathcal{B}$  be a self-adjoint element and put

(3.1) 
$$M = i[f(\rho), A_0]Z + \{f(\rho), B_0\},\$$

where  $A_0 = A - T(\rho A)$  and  $B_0 = B - T(\rho B)$ . Then by the positivity of T we obtain that

$$0 \leq T(M^*M)$$

$$= T\left(\left(iZ[f(\rho), A_0] + \{f(\rho), B_0\}\right)\left(i[f(\rho), A_0]Z + \{f(\rho), B_0\}\right)\right)$$

$$= T\left(-Z[f(\rho), A_0]^2Z + iZ[f(\rho), A_0]\{f(\rho), B_0\}$$

$$+i\{f(\rho), B_0\}[f(\rho), A_0]Z + \{f(\rho), B_0\}^2\right)$$

$$= ZT\left(\left(i[f(\rho), A_0]\right)^2\right)Z + 2iT\left([f(\rho), A_0]\{f(\rho), B_0\}\right)Z + T\left(\{f(\rho), B_0\}^2\right)$$

$$(3.2) = 2K_{\rho, T}^f(A)Z^2 + 2iT\left([f(\rho), A_0]\{f(\rho), B_0\}\right)Z + 2L_{\rho, T}^f(B),$$

where for the last equality, we use the fact that  $T(A) \subseteq Z(B)$ . On the other hand, since T is a tracial module operator, we obtain that

$$T([f(\rho), A_0]\{f(\rho), B_0\})$$

$$= T(f(\rho)A_0f(\rho)B_0 + f(\rho)A_0B_0f(\rho) - A_0f(\rho)^2B_0 - f(\rho)A_0f(\rho)B_0)$$

$$= T(f(\rho)^2(A_0B_0 - B_0A_0))$$

(3.3) = 
$$T(f(\rho)^2[A, B])$$
.

Hence, combining (3.2) with (3.3), we have

$$(3.4) 0 \le 2K_{\rho,T}^f(A)Z^2 + 2iT\left(f(\rho)^2[A,B]\right)Z + 2L_{\rho,T}^f(B).$$

Now, without loss of the generality, we assume that  $K_{\rho,T}^f(A) > 0$ . Put

$$Z := -\frac{i}{2} K_{\rho,T}^f(A)^{-1} T(f(\rho)^2 [A, B]).$$

Then we can see, using the fact that  $T(A) \subseteq Z(B)$ ,

$$-\frac{1}{2}K_{\rho,T}^f(A)^{-1}T\left(f(\rho)^2[A,B]\right)^2+K_{\rho,T}^f(A)^{-1}T\left(f(\rho)^2[A,B]\right)^2+2L_{\rho,T}^f(B)\geq 0,$$
 equivalently,

$$K_{\rho,T}^f(A)L_{\rho,T}^f(B) \ge -\frac{1}{4}T\left(f(\rho)^2[A,B]\right)^2.$$

Since  $T(f(\rho)[A, B])^* = -T(f(\rho)[A, B])$ , we have

(3.5) 
$$K_{\rho,T}^{f}(A)L_{\rho,T}^{f}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} \left| T\left(f(\rho)^{2}[A,B]\right) \right|^{2}.$$

Finally, since  $T(A) \subseteq Z(B)$ ,

$$W_{\rho,T}^{f}(A)W_{\rho,T}^{f}(B) = \sqrt{K_{\rho,T}^{f}(A)L_{\rho,T}^{f}(A)}\sqrt{K_{\rho,T}^{f}(B)L_{\rho,T}^{f}(B)}$$
$$= (K_{\rho,T}^{f}(A)^{\frac{1}{2}}L_{\rho,T}^{f}(A)^{\frac{1}{2}})(K_{\rho,T}^{f}(B)^{\frac{1}{2}}L_{\rho,T}^{f}(B)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\begin{split} &= \left(K_{\rho,T}^f(A)L_{\rho,T}^f(B)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(K_{\rho,T}^f(B)L_{\rho,T}^f(A)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \Big|T\left(f(\rho)^2[A,B]\right)\Big|^2 \end{split}$$

is obtained by (3.5).

Remark 3.4. In the proof of Theorem 2.10, if we take  $M := i \left(\rho^{1/2} A_0\right) Z + \rho^{1/2} B_0$  in (3.1), then we have

$$(3.6) V_{\rho,T}(A)V_{\rho,T}(B) \ge \left|\frac{1}{2}T\left(\rho[A,B]\right)\right|^2,$$

where  $V_{\rho,T}(A) = T(\rho A^2) - T(\rho A)^2$  which is the Heisenberg uncertainty relation for T (see [2]). Indeed, if we take  $Z = -\frac{i}{2}T\left(\rho A_0^2\right)^{-1}T\left(\rho[A_0,B_0]\right)$ , where  $A_0 = A - T(\rho A)$  and  $B_0 = B - T(\rho B)$ , then we have (3.6) by using same method in the proof.

#### References

- B. J. Choi, U. C. Ji, and Y. Lim, Inequalities for positive module operators on von Neumann algebras, J. Math. Phys. 59 (2018), no. 6, 063513, 11 pp. https://doi.org/ 10.1063/1.5009615
- [2] A. Dadkhah and M. S. Moslehian, Quantum information inequalities via tracial positive linear maps, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 447 (2017), no. 1, 666-680. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jmaa.2016.10.027
- [3] A. Dadkhah, M. S. Moslehian, and K. Yanagi, Noncommutative versions of inequalities in quantum information theory, Anal. Math. Phys. 9 (2019), no. 4, 2151–2169. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13324-019-00309-7
- [4] J. I. Fujii, A trace inequality arising from quantum information theory, Linear Algebra Appl. 400 (2005), 141-146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2004.11.009
- [5] S. Furuichi, Inequalities for Tsallis relative entropy and generalized skew information, Linear Multilinear Algebra 59 (2011), no. 10, 1143-1158. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 03081087.2011.574624
- [6] S. Furuichi and K. Yanagi, Schrödinger uncertainty relation, Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information and metric adjusted correlation measure, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 388 (2012), no. 2, 1147-1156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.10.061
- [7] S. Furuichi, K. Yanagi, and K. Kuriyama, Trace inequalities on a generalized Wigner-Yanase skew information, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 356 (2009), no. 1, 179-185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2009.02.043
- [8] P. Gibilisco and T. Isola, Uncertainty principle and quantum Fisher information, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 59 (2007), no. 1, 147–159. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10463-006-0103-3
- [9] W. Heisenberg, Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik, Z. Phys. 43 (1927), 172–198.
- [10] C. K. Ko and H. J. Yoo, Uncertainty relation associated with a monotone pair skew information, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 383 (2011), no. 1, 208-214. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jmaa.2011.05.014
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, Schrödinger uncertainty relation and convexity for the monotone pair skew information, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 66 (2014), no. 1, 107-117. https://doi.org/10. 2748/tmj/1396875665
- [12] S. Luo, Heisenberg uncertainty relation for mixed states, Phys. Rev. A 72 (2005), 042110.

- [13] Y. M. Park, Improvement of uncertainty relations for mixed states, J. Math. Phys. 46 (2005), no. 4, 042109, 13 pp. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1876874
- [14] E. Schrödinger, About Heisenberg uncertainty relation, Translation of Proc. Prussian Acad. Sci. Phys. Math. Sect. 19 (1930), 296–303, Bulgar. J. Phys. 26 (1999), 193–203.
- [15] K. Yanagi, S. Furuichi, and K. Kuriyama, A generalized skew information and uncertainty relation, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 51 (2005), no. 12, 4401–4404. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2005.858971

BYOUNG JIN CHOI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION
JEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
JEJU 63243, KOREA
Email address: choib@jejunu.ac.kr

MI RA LEE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
CHEONGJU 28644, KOREA
Email address: mrlee1012@chungbuk.ac.kr