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#### Abstract

By using a statistical connection, we define a semi-symmetric metric connection on statistical manifolds and study the geometry of these manifolds and their submanifolds. We show the symmetry properties of the curvature tensor with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connections. Also, we prove the induced connection on a submanifold with respect to a semi-symmetric metric connection is a semi-symmetric metric connection and the second fundamental form coincides with the second fundamental form of the Levi-Civita connection. Furthermore, we obtain the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations with respect to the new connection. Finally, we construct non-trivial examples of statistical manifolds admitting a semi-symmetric metric connection.


## 1. Introduction

As a generalization of the Riemannian connection, the notion of a semisymmetric connection was introduced in [7]. This type of connections is a linear connection whose torsion tensor does not vanish, and for a 1 -form $\eta$, satisfies $T(X, Y)=\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y$. In [13], K. Yano studied a semi-symmetric metric connection and proved some interesting results. In [1], the authors defined a semi-symmetric non-metric connection and investigated the curvature tensor of the manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Many authors studied manifolds endowed with the semi-symmetric, quarter-symmetric non-metric connections equipped with the complex and contact structures [6, 8-11].

On the other hand, statistical manifolds were studied in terms of information geometry by Amari [2]. Statistical manifolds are equipped with dual affine and torsion free connections which are related to each other with respect to the Riemannian metric $g$. Many authors initiated the study of geometry of submanifolds of statistical manifolds $[4,5,12]$.

[^0]In this paper, we consider a statistical manifold endowed with a semisymmetric metric connection. First, we give a brief information about the statistical manifolds and their submanifolds. In Section 3, we study a semisymmetric metric connection on a statistical manifold. Also, the curvature tensor with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection and its symmetry properties are obtained. In Section 4, we deduce the Gauss and Weingarten formulas with respect to a semi-symmetric metric connection. Moreover, we prove that the induced connection on a submanifold is also semi-symmetric metric and the corresponding second fundamental form coincides with the second fundamental form with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. In Section 5 , the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations with respect to a semi-symmetric metric connection are obtained. Furthermore, we give some examples of semisymmetric connections on statistical manifolds.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $(\bar{M}, g)$ be an $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $\hat{\nabla}$ be the LeviCivita connection on $\bar{M}$.

Definition ([2]). A Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, g, \bar{\nabla})$ is said to be a statistical manifold if $\bar{\nabla}$ is an affine and torsion free connection and $\bar{\nabla} g$ satisfies in Codazzi equation, that is, for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T \bar{M})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} g\right)(Y, Z)=\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} g\right)(X, Z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that there exists an affine connection $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$ dual of $\bar{\nabla}$ with respect to $g$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X g(Y, Z)=g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y, Z\right)+g\left(Y, \bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} Z\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$ satisfies in $(1)$ and $\left(\bar{\nabla}^{*}\right)^{*}=\bar{\nabla}$. From compatibility of $\hat{\nabla}$ with $g$ and Equation (2), we obtain [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\nabla}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\nabla}+\bar{\nabla}^{*}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A tensor field $\bar{K}$ of type $(1,2)$ on $\bar{M}$ is defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}_{X} Y=\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y-\hat{\nabla}_{X} Y, \quad \bar{K}_{X} Y=\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y-\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} Y\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\bar{K}$ is symmetric and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\bar{K}_{X} Y, Z\right)=g\left(\bar{K}_{X} Z, Y\right), \quad \bar{K}_{X} Y=\bar{K}_{Y} X \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The statistical curvature tensor field with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ is defined [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z=\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{Y} Z-\bar{\nabla}_{Y} \bar{\nabla}_{X} Z-\bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By changing $\bar{\nabla}$ to $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$ we obtain the statistical curvature tensor field $\bar{R}^{*}$. The curvature tensor fields $\bar{R}$ and $\bar{R}^{*}$ satisfy

$$
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z=-\bar{R}(Y, X) Z, \quad \bar{R}^{*}(X, Y) Z=-\bar{R}^{*}(Y, X) Z
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(\bar{R}(X, Y) Z, W)=-g\left(\bar{R}^{*}(X, Y) W, Z\right), \\
& \bar{R}(X, Y) Z+\bar{R}(Y, Z) X+\bar{R}(Z, X) Y=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ with the induced metric $g$. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas for the Levi-Civita connection are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X}^{\circ} Y+h^{\circ}(X, Y), \quad \hat{\nabla}_{X} V=-A_{V}^{\circ} X+D_{X}^{\circ} V, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T N)$ and $V \in \Gamma\left(T N^{\perp}\right)$, where $\nabla^{\circ}$ and $h^{\circ}$ are the induced connection and the second fundamental form on $N$, respectively. $A^{\circ}$ is the shape operator and $D^{\circ}$ is the normal connection on $T N^{\perp}$. Now, the Gauss and Weingarten formulas for submanifold $N$ of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ with respect to the statistical connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$ are given by [4]

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y), & \bar{\nabla}_{X} V=-A_{V} X+D_{X} V \\
\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} Y=\nabla_{X}^{*} Y+h^{*}(X, Y), & \bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} V=-A_{V}^{*} X+D_{X}^{*} V \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T N)$ and $V \in \Gamma\left(T N^{\perp}\right)$, where $\nabla, \nabla^{*}$ and $h, h^{*}$ are induced statistical connections and second fundamental forms on $N$, respectively. $A, A^{*}$ are the shape operators and $D, D^{*}$ are the normal connections on $T N^{\perp}$. It is well-known that $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{*}$ are dual and statistical connections [5]. From (8) and (9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(A_{V} X, Y\right)=g(h(X, Y), V), \quad g\left(A_{V}^{*} X, Y\right)=g\left(h^{*}(X, Y), V\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ is called a totally geodesic submanifold with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$ if the second fundamental forms $h$ and $h^{*}$ vanish. The submanifold is called a totally umbilical submanifold if

$$
h(X, Y)=H g(X, Y), \quad h^{*}(X, Y)=H^{*} g(X, Y),
$$

where $H$ and $H^{*}$ are the mean curvature vectors with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$, respectively.

## 3. Semi-symmetric metric connections on statistical manifolds

A linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $(\bar{M}, g)$ is called a semi-symmetric connection if for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T \bar{M})$, its torsion tensor $\tilde{T}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{T}(X, Y)=\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y-\tilde{\nabla}_{Y} X-[X, Y]=\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is a 1 -form and for a vector field $U$

$$
g(X, U)=\eta(X)
$$

Moreover, if the semi-symmetric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies $\tilde{\nabla} g=0$, then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is said to be a semi-symmetric metric connection.

By using the approach of [9], we give the following definition.

Definition. Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla}, g)$ be a statistical manifold and $U$ be a vector field on $\bar{M}$. For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T \bar{M})$, we define the linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\bar{M}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y+\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) U-\bar{K}_{X} Y \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(X, U)=\eta(X)$.
By taking (4) in (12) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} Y+\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) U+\bar{K}_{X} Y . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that the torsion tensor $\tilde{T}$ with respect to the linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies in (11).

Proposition 3.1. Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla}, g)$ be a statistical manifold admitting a semisymmetric linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ which is defined in (12). Then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a metric connection.

Proof. For all $X, Y, Z$ on $\bar{M}$ from (2), (5) and (12) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} g\right)(Y, Z)= & X g(Y, Z)-g\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y, Z\right)-g\left(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_{X} Z\right) \\
= & X g(Y, Z)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y+\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) U-\bar{K}_{X} Y, Z\right) \\
& -g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} Z+\eta(Z) X-g(X, Z) U-\bar{K}_{X} Z, Y\right) \\
= & g\left(Y, \bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} Z\right)-g\left(Y, \bar{\nabla}_{X} Z\right)+2 g\left(K_{X} Z, Y\right) \\
= & -2 g\left(K_{X} Z, Y\right)+2 g\left(K_{X} Z, Y\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It gives the assertion.
The previous proposition shows that $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a semi-symmetric metric connection. Now, we prove any semi-symmetric metric connection on a statistical manifold satisfies in (12).

Proposition 3.2. Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla}, g)$ be a statistical manifold which admits a semisymmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. Then $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies in (12) and (13).
Proof. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be a metric connection satisfying (11) on a statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y+m(X, Y) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is a statistical connection and $m$ is a (1,2)-tensor field on $\bar{M}$. From (2) and (14) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} g\right)(Y, Z)=X g(Y, Z)-g\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y, Z\right)-g\left(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_{X} Z\right) \\
& =X g(Y, Z)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y+m(X, Y), Z\right)-g\left(Y, \bar{\nabla}_{X} Z+m(X, Z)\right) \\
& =-2 g\left(K_{X} Z, Y\right)-g(m(X, Y), Z)-g(m(X, Z), Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
g(m(X, Y), Z)+g(m(X, Z), Y)=-2 g\left(K_{X} Z, Y\right)
$$

Now, from (14) we have

$$
\tilde{T}(X, Y)=m(X, Y)-m(Y, X)
$$

By using (11) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(\tilde{T}(X, Y), Z)+g(\tilde{T}(Z, X), Y)+g(\tilde{T}(Z, Y), X) \\
= & g(m(X, Y)-m(Y, X), Z)+g(m(Z, X), Y)-m(X, Z), Y) \\
& +g(m(Z, Y)-m(Y, Z), X) \\
= & 2\left(g(m(X, Y), Z)+g\left(\bar{K}_{X} Z, Y\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (11) in the last equation implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(m(X, Y), Z)= & \frac{1}{2}\{g(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y, Z)+g(\eta(X) Z-\eta(Z) X, Y) \\
& +g(\eta(Y) Z-\eta(Z) Y, X)\}-g\left(\bar{K}_{X} Z, Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get

$$
m(X, Y)=\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) U-\bar{K}_{X} Y
$$

By taking the Equations (4) and (12), we get (13).
Example 3.3. We recall Example 2.2 in [12] for a 5 -dimensional statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ with standard coordinate $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, z\right)$. We consider the metric $g$ and the conjugate connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$ defined in that example. Assume $U=\partial z$ and $\eta(X)=g(X, U)$ for all $X \in \Gamma(T \bar{M})$. We define an affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{1}} \partial x_{1}=y_{1} \partial y_{1}-\left(2+y_{1}^{2}\right) \partial z-y_{1} \partial x_{1}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{2}} \partial x_{2}=y_{2} \partial y_{2}-\left(2+y_{2}^{2}\right) \partial z-y_{2} \partial x_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{2}} \partial x_{1}=\frac{1}{2} y_{1} \partial y_{2}+\frac{1}{2} y_{2} \partial y_{1}-y_{1} y_{2} \partial z-y_{1} \partial x_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{1}} \partial x_{2}=\frac{1}{2} y_{1} \partial y_{2}+\frac{1}{2} y_{2} \partial y_{1}-y_{1} y_{2} \partial z-y_{2} \partial x_{1}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{1}} \partial y_{1}=y_{1} \partial x_{1}-\frac{3}{4} y_{1} \partial x_{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(y_{1}^{2}-2\right) \partial z-y_{1} \partial y_{1}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{1}} \partial x_{1}=y_{1} \partial x_{1}-\frac{3}{4} y_{1} \partial x_{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left(y_{1}^{2}-2\right) \partial z, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{2}} \partial x_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(y_{1} \partial x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2} \partial z\right)-y_{1} \partial y_{2}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{1}} \partial y_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(y_{1} \partial x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2} \partial z\right), \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{1}} \partial x_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(y_{2} \partial x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2} \partial z\right)-y_{2} \partial y_{1}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{2}} \partial y_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(y_{2} \partial x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2} \partial z\right), \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{2}} \partial x_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\partial x_{2}+\left(y_{2}^{2}-2\right) \partial z\right)-y_{2} \partial y_{2}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{2}} \partial y_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\partial x_{2}+\left(y_{2}^{2}-2\right) \partial z\right), \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial z} \partial x_{1}=\frac{-1}{2} \partial y_{1}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{1}} \partial z=\frac{-1}{2} \partial y_{1}+\partial x_{1}+y_{1} \partial z, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial z} \partial x_{2}=\frac{-1}{2} \partial y_{2}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x_{1}} \partial z=\frac{-1}{2} \partial y_{2}+\partial x_{2}+y_{2} \partial z, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial z} \partial y_{1}=\frac{-1}{4}\left(\partial x_{1}+y_{1} \partial z\right), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{1}} \partial z=\frac{-1}{4}\left(\partial x_{1}+y_{1} \partial z\right)+\partial y_{1},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial z} \partial y_{2}=\frac{-1}{4}\left(\partial x_{2}+y_{2} \partial z\right), & \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{2}} \partial z=\frac{-1}{4}\left(\partial x_{2}+y_{2} \partial z\right)+\partial y_{2} \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{1}} \partial y_{1}=\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{2}} \partial y_{2}=\partial z, & \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{2}} \partial y_{1}=\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y_{1}} \partial y_{2}=\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial z} \partial z=0 .
\end{array}
$$

So, we get the torsion tensor $\tilde{T}$ with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{T}\left(\partial x_{1}, \partial x_{2}\right)=-y_{2} \partial x_{1}+y_{1} \partial x_{2}, \quad \tilde{T}\left(\partial x_{1}, \partial y_{1}\right)=y_{1} \partial y_{1}, \quad \tilde{T}\left(\partial x_{2}, \partial y_{1}\right)=y_{2} \partial y_{1}, \\
\tilde{T}\left(\partial x_{1}, \partial y_{2}\right)=y_{1} \partial y_{2}, \quad \tilde{T}\left(\partial x_{2}, \partial y_{1}\right)=y_{2} \partial y_{1}, \quad \tilde{T}\left(\partial x_{2}, \partial y_{2}\right)=y_{2} \partial y_{2} \\
\tilde{T}\left(\partial x_{1}, \partial z\right)=\partial x_{1}+y_{1} \partial z, \quad \tilde{T}\left(\partial x_{2}, \partial z\right)=\partial x_{2}+y_{2} \partial z \\
\tilde{T}\left(\partial y_{1}, \partial z\right)=\partial y_{1}, \quad \tilde{T}\left(\partial y_{2}, \partial z\right)=\partial y_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a semi-symmetric connection and the relation (11) is satisfied. Moreover, it is easy to see $\tilde{\nabla} g=0$. So, $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a semi-symmetric metric connection on the statistical manifold $\bar{M}$.

We denote the curvature tensor associated with the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ by $\tilde{R}$. From [9], the curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ is related to statistical connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$ by the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z= & \bar{R}(X, Y) Z+\left\{\eta(X) U-X-\bar{\nabla}_{X} U+\bar{K}_{X} U\right\} g(Y, Z) \\
& -\left\{\eta(Y) U-Y-\bar{\nabla}_{Y} U+\bar{K}_{Y} U\right\} g(X, Z) \\
& -\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{K}\right)(Y, Z)+\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} \bar{K}\right)(X, Z)+\bar{K}_{X} \bar{K}(Y, Z)-\bar{K}_{Y} \bar{K}(X, Z) \\
& -g\left(\eta(X) U-\bar{\nabla}_{X} U+\bar{K}_{X} U, Z\right) Y+g\left(\eta(Y) U-\bar{\nabla}_{Y} U+\bar{K}_{Y} U, Z\right) X, \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z= & \bar{R}^{*}(X, Y) Z+\left\{\eta(X) U-X-\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} U-\bar{K}_{X} U\right\} g(Y, Z) \\
& -\left\{\eta(Y) U-Y-\bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} U-\bar{K}_{Y} U\right\} g(X, Z) \\
& +\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} \bar{K}\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} \bar{K}\right)(X, Z)+\bar{K}_{X} \bar{K}(Y, Z)-\bar{K}_{Y} \bar{K}(X, Z) \\
& -g\left(\eta(X) U-\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} U-\bar{K}_{X} U, Z\right) Y+g\left(\eta(Y) U-\bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} U-\bar{K}_{Y} U, Z\right) X . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { For investigating the symmetry of curvature tensor } \tilde{R} \text {, we need the following }
$$ proposition.

Proposition 3.4. Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla}, g)$ be a statistical manifold. The following relations hold:

1) $-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} U, W\right)+g\left(\bar{K}_{X} U, W\right)=-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} U, W\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{X} U, W\right)$,
2) $g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} \bar{K}\right)(X, Z), W\right)-g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{K}\right)(Y, Z), W\right)=g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} \bar{K}\right)(X, W), Z\right)-$ $g\left(\left(\nabla_{X}^{*} \bar{K}\right)(Y, W), Z\right)$,
3) $g\left(\bar{K}_{X} \bar{K}_{Y} Z, W\right)=g\left(\bar{K}_{Y} \bar{K}_{X} W, Z\right)$.

Proof. From (4), by direct computations, we get 1).
2) From (5), we prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{Y} X} Z, W\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y} Z, W\right)=g\left(\bar{K}_{[Y, X]} Z, W\right) \\
= & g\left(\bar{K}_{[Y, X]} W, Z\right)=g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} X} W, Z\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} Y} W, Z\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by using (2) and (5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} \bar{K}\right)(X, Z), W\right) \\
= & g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} \bar{K}_{X} Z, W\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{Y} Z, W\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{Y} X} Z, W\right) \\
= & Y g\left(\bar{K}_{X} Z, W\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{X} Z, \bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} W\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{X} W, \bar{\nabla}_{Y} Z\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{Y} X} Z, W\right) \\
= & Y g\left(\bar{K}_{X} Z, W\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} W, Z\right)-Y g\left(\bar{K}_{X} W, Z\right)+g\left(Z, \bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} \bar{K}_{X} W\right) \\
& -g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{Y} X} Z, W\right) \\
(18)= & g\left(Z, \bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} \bar{K}_{X} W\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} W, Z\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{Y} X} Z, W\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

from (17) and (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} \bar{K}\right)(X, Z), W\right)-g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{K}\right)(Y, Z), W\right) \\
= & g\left(Z, \bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} \bar{K}_{X} W\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} W, Z\right)-g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} X} W, Z\right)-g\left(Z, \bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} \bar{K}_{Y} W\right) \\
& +g\left(\bar{K}_{Y} \bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} W, Z\right)+g\left(\bar{K}_{\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} Y} W, Z\right) \\
= & g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y}^{*} \bar{K}\right)(X, W), Z\right)-g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} \bar{K}\right)(Y, W), Z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

3) From symmetry property of $\bar{K}$, we deduce

$$
g\left(\bar{K}_{X} \bar{K}_{Y} Z, W\right)=g\left(\bar{K}_{X} W, \bar{K}_{Y} Z\right)=g\left(\bar{K}_{Y} \bar{K}_{X} W, Z\right) .
$$

The next proposition expresses the symmetry of curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ associated with the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{\nabla}, g)$ be a statistical manifold admitting the semisymmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. Then the curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ associated with $\nabla$ satisfies the following conditions:

1) $\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z=-\tilde{R}(Y, X) Z$,
2) $g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z, W)=-g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) W, Z)$,
3) $\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z+\tilde{R}(Y, Z) X+\tilde{R}(Z, X) Y=g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} U, Z\right) Y-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} U, Z\right) X$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} U, X\right) Z-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Z} U, X\right) Y \\
& +g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Z} U, Y\right) X-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} U, Y\right) Z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By a simple computation and using (15), we get 1). In view of (15), (16) and Proposition 3.4, we get the relation 2). For 3), by cycling $\tilde{R}$ on $X, Y, Z$ and direct calculating we get the result.

Corollary 3.6. Let $(\bar{M}, \nabla, g)$ be a statistical manifold admitting the semisymmetric metric connection $\nabla$. If $\eta$ is closed, then

$$
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z+\tilde{R}(Y, Z) X+\tilde{R}(Z, X) Y=0
$$

Proof. By using (2), we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} U, Z\right) Y-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Z} U, X\right) Y= & X g(U, Z) Y-g\left(U, \bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} Z\right) Y \\
& -Z g(U, X) Y+g\left(U, \bar{\nabla}_{Z}^{*} X\right) Y \\
= & (X \eta(Z)-Z \eta(X)-\eta[X, Z]) Y=d \eta(X, Z) Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, from 3) in Proposition 3.5 we conclude

$$
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z+\tilde{R}(Y, Z) X+\tilde{R}(Z, X) Y=d \eta(X, Z) Y+d \eta(Y, X) Z+d \eta(Z, Y) X
$$

which this equation gives the result.

## 4. Induced connection of a semi-symmetric metric connection on submanifolds of statistical manifolds

In this section we obtain the Gauss and Weingarten formulas for a semisymmetric metric connection.

We consider $\nabla^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}$ as the induced connection and the second fundamental form on the submanifold $N$ with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, respectively. So, the Gauss formula with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X}^{\prime} Y+h^{\prime}(X, Y) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The submanifold $N$ is called totally geodesic with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ if the second fundamental form $h^{\prime}$ vanishes and $N$ is called totally umbilical if we have

$$
h^{\prime}(X, Y)=H^{\prime} g(X, Y)
$$

where, $H^{\prime}$ is the mean curvature vector with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$.
In the next theorem we assume $U \in \Gamma(T N)$ and obtain the relations between $\nabla^{\prime}$ and $\nabla$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $\bar{M}$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $U \in \Gamma(T N)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}^{\prime} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) U-K_{X} Y, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T N) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(h(X, Y)+h^{*}(X, Y)\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{X} Y=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla-\nabla^{*}\right)$.
Proof. Applying (12) and Gauss formula in (8) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y= & \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y+\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) U-\bar{K}_{X} Y \\
= & \nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y)+\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) U \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y)-\nabla_{X}^{*} Y-h^{*}(X, Y)\right) \\
= & \nabla_{X} Y+\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) U-K_{X} Y+\frac{1}{2}\left(h(X, Y)+h^{*}(X, Y)\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

By separating the tangential and normal parts we get the result.
Remark 4.2. By similar proof of Theorem 4.1, we can show

$$
\nabla_{X}^{\prime} Y=\nabla_{X}^{*} Y+\eta(Y) X-g(X, Y) U+K_{X} Y, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T N)
$$

By using (3), (19), (20) and (21), we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.3. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $\bar{M}$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. Then the induced connection $\nabla^{\prime}$ of the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is also semi-symmetric connection and

$$
\left(\nabla_{X}^{\prime} g\right)(Y, Z)=\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} g\right)(Y, Z)
$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $\bar{M}$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. Then the second fundamental form with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ coincides with the second fundamental form of the Levi-Civita connection.

Proposition 4.5. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ admitting a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. If $N$ is totally umbilical with respect to the statistical connections then $N$ is totally umbilical with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection.

Proof. Since $N$ is totally umbilical with respect to the statistical connections, we have

$$
h(X, Y)=H g(X, Y), \quad h^{*}(X, Y)=H^{*} g(X, Y)
$$

from (3) and (20), we get

$$
h^{\prime}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(h(X, Y)+h^{*}(X, Y)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(H+H^{*}\right) g(X, Y)
$$

so, $N$ is totally umbilical with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection and the mean curvature vector with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ is

$$
H^{\prime}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(H+H^{*}\right)
$$

Theorem 4.6. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $\bar{M}$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $U \in \Gamma(T N)$. Then for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T N)$ and $V \in \Gamma\left(T N^{\perp}\right)$, we have

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} V=\frac{-1}{2}\left(A_{V} X+A_{V}^{*} X\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{X} V+D_{X}^{*} V\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $\eta(V)=g(U, V)=0$, the Equations (12) and (8) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} V & =\bar{\nabla}_{X} V+\eta(V) X-g(X, V) U-\bar{K}_{X} V \\
& =-A_{V} X+D_{X} V-\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} V-\bar{\nabla}_{X}^{*} V\right) \\
& =\frac{-1}{2}\left(A_{V} X+A_{V}^{*} X\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{X} V+D_{X}^{*} V\right) . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 4.7. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $\bar{M}$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $U \in \Gamma(T N)$. Then the shape operator and the normal connection associated with the semi-symmetric metric connection coincide with the shape operator and the normal connection of the Levi-Civita connection, respectively.

Proof. If we take the Weingarten formula associated with the semi-symmetric metric connection by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} V=-A_{V}^{\prime} X+D_{X}^{\prime} V \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

equating the tangential and normal parts of (23) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{V}^{\prime} X=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{V} X+A_{V}^{*} X\right), \quad D_{X}^{\prime} V=\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{X} V+D_{X}^{*} V\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assertions follows from (3) and (25).
From (10), (21) and (25), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(A_{V}^{\prime} X, Y\right)=\frac{1}{2} g\left(\left(A_{V} X+A_{V}^{*} X\right), Y\right) & =\frac{1}{2} g\left(h(X, Y)+h^{*}(X, Y), V\right) \\
& =g\left(h^{\prime}(X, Y), V\right) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 4.8. In Theorem 4.1, if we take $U \in \Gamma\left(T N^{\perp}\right)$, by the same proof we obtain the Gauss formula associated with the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y-K_{X} Y-g(X, Y) U+\frac{1}{2}\left(h(X, Y)+h^{*}(X, Y)\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, $\nabla_{X}^{\prime} Y=\nabla_{X} Y-K_{X} Y$. In view of (4) and (7), we find that

$$
\nabla_{X}^{\circ} Y=\nabla_{X} Y-K_{X} Y
$$

So, when $U \in \Gamma\left(T N^{\perp}\right)$ the induced connection $\nabla^{\prime}$ on the submanifold coincides with the induced connection of the Levi-Civita connection.

Proposition 4.9. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $\bar{M}$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $U \in \Gamma\left(T N^{\perp}\right)$. Then $h^{\prime}$ is totally geodesic if and only if $h^{\circ}(X, Y)=g(X, Y) U$.
Proof. By equating the normal part of (27) we get

$$
h^{\prime}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(h(X, Y)+h^{*}(X, Y)\right)-g(X, Y) U, \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T N)
$$

from (7) we obtain

$$
h^{\prime}(X, Y)=h^{\circ}(X, Y)-g(X, Y) U
$$

this implies the result.

## 5. The Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection

We denote the tangent and normal parts of the curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ by $R^{\prime}$ and $R^{\perp}$, respectively. By direct computations from (19) and (24), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \tilde{\nabla}_{Y} Z=\nabla_{X}^{\prime} \nabla_{Y}^{\prime} Z+h^{\prime}\left(X, \nabla_{Y}^{\prime} Z\right)-A_{h^{\prime}(Y, Z)}^{\prime} X+D_{X}^{\prime} h^{\prime}(Y, Z) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

by changing the role of $X$ and $Y$ in (28) we obtain $\tilde{\nabla}_{Y} \tilde{\nabla}_{X} Z$. So,

$$
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z=\nabla_{X}^{\prime} \nabla_{Y}^{\prime} Z+h^{\prime}\left(X, \nabla_{Y}^{\prime} Z\right)-A_{h^{\prime}(Y, Z)}^{\prime} X+D_{X}^{\prime} h^{\prime}(Y, Z)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\nabla_{Y}^{\prime} \nabla_{X}^{\prime} Z-h^{\prime}\left(Y, \nabla_{X}^{\prime} Z\right)+A_{h^{\prime}(X, Z)}^{\prime} Y-D_{Y}^{\prime} h^{\prime}(X, Z) \\
& -\nabla_{[X, Y]}^{\prime} Z-h^{\prime}([X, Y], Z) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $W \in \Gamma(T N)$, from (29) we obtain the Gauss equation with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z, W)= & g\left(R^{\prime}(X, Y) Z, W\right)-g\left(h^{\prime}(X, W), h^{\prime}(Y, Z)\right) \\
& +g\left(h^{\prime}(X, Z), h^{\prime}(Y, W)\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 5.1. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $\bar{M}$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $U \in \Gamma(T N)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z, W) \\
= & g(R(X, Y) Z, W) \\
& +\left\{\eta(X) \eta(W)-g(X, W)-g\left(\nabla_{X} U, W\right)+g\left(K_{X} U, W\right)\right\} g(Y, Z) \\
& -\left\{\eta(Y) \eta(W)-g(Y, W)-g\left(\nabla_{Y} U, W\right)+g\left(K_{Y} U, W\right)\right\} g(X, Z) \\
& -g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} K\right)(Y, Z), W\right)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} K\right)(X, Z), W\right)+g\left(K_{X} K(Y, Z), W\right) \\
& -g\left(K_{Y} K(X, Z), W\right)-g\left(\eta(X) U-\nabla_{X} U+K_{X} U, Z\right) g(Y, W) \\
& +g\left(\eta(Y) U-\nabla_{Y} U+K_{Y} U, Z\right) g(X, W) \\
& -\frac{1}{4} g\left(h(X, W)+h^{*}(X, W), h(Y, Z)+h^{*}(Y, Z)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4} g\left(h(X, Z)+h^{*}(X, Z), h(Y, W)+h^{*}(Y, W)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature tensor of the induced statistical connection $\nabla$ on $N$.
Proof. The assertion follows from (20), (21) and (30).
Proposition 5.2. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $\bar{M}$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $U \in \Gamma(T N)$. Then the Codazzi equation with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z, \xi)= & g\left(\left(\nabla_{X}^{\prime} h^{\prime}\right)(Y, Z), \xi\right)-g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y}^{\prime} h^{\prime}\right)(X, Z), \xi\right) \\
& +\eta(Y) g\left(h^{\prime}(X, Z), \xi\right)-\eta(X) g\left(h^{\prime}(Y, Z), \xi\right), \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\nabla_{X}^{\prime} h^{\prime}\right)(Y, Z)=D_{X}^{\prime} h^{\prime}(Y, Z)-h^{\prime}\left(\nabla_{X}^{\prime} Y, Z\right)-h^{\prime}\left(Y, \nabla_{X}^{\prime} Z\right) .
$$

Proof. Inner product of Equation (29) and $\xi \in \Gamma\left(T N^{\perp}\right)$ implies

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z, \xi)= & \left.g\left(h^{\prime}\left(X, \nabla_{Y}^{\prime} Z\right), \xi\right)\right)+g\left(D_{X}^{\prime} h^{\prime}(Y, Z), \xi\right) \\
& \left.-g\left(h^{\prime}\left(Y, \nabla_{X}^{\prime} Z\right), \xi\right)\right)+g\left(D_{Y}^{\prime} h^{\prime}(X, Z), \xi\right) \\
& -g\left(h^{\prime}([X, Y], Z), \xi\right) . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last term, we have

$$
-[X, Y]=\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y+\nabla_{Y}^{\prime} X-\nabla_{X}^{\prime} Y
$$

thus (31) holds.
Theorem 5.3. Let $N$ be a submanifold of statistical manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $\bar{M}$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $U \in \Gamma(T N)$. Then the Ricci equation with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by

$$
g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) V, W)=g\left(R^{\perp}(X, Y) V, W\right)+g\left(\left[A_{V}^{\prime}, A_{W}^{\prime}\right] X, Y\right)
$$

where $R^{\perp}(X, Y) V$ is defined

$$
R^{\perp}(X, Y) V=D_{X}^{\prime} D_{Y}^{\prime} V-D_{Y}^{\prime} D_{X}^{\prime} V-D_{[X, Y]}^{\prime} V
$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T N)$ and $V, W \in \Gamma\left(T N^{\perp}\right)$ and

$$
\left[A_{V}^{\prime}, A_{W}^{\prime}\right]=A_{V}^{\prime} A_{W}^{\prime}-A_{W}^{\prime} A_{V}^{\prime}
$$

Proof. For all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T N)$ and $V, W \in \Gamma\left(T N^{\perp}\right)$ from (19) and (24) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) V, W) & =g\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \tilde{\nabla}_{Y} V, W\right)-g\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y} \tilde{\nabla}_{X} V, W\right)-g\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} V, W\right) \\
& =g\left(R^{\perp}(X, Y) V, W\right)-g\left(h^{\prime}\left(X, A_{V}^{\prime} Y\right), W\right)+g\left(h^{\prime}\left(Y, A_{V}^{\prime} X\right), W\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using (26), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\tilde{R}(X, Y) V, W) & =g\left(R^{\perp}(X, Y) V, W\right)-g\left(A_{W}^{\prime} A_{V}^{\prime} Y, X\right)+g\left(A_{V}^{\prime} A_{W}^{\prime} X, Y\right) \\
& =g\left(R^{\perp}(X, Y) V, W\right)+g\left(\left[A_{V}^{\prime}, A_{W}^{\prime}\right] X, Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 5.4. Let $\bar{M}$ be the 5-dimensional statistical manifold as in Example 3.3. Assume $N$ be a 3 -dimensional submanifold with the coordinate ( $u, v, w$ ) given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
i: N \longrightarrow \bar{M} \\
i(u, v, w)=\left(\frac{1}{2} u, \frac{1}{2} v, \frac{-1}{2} v, \frac{1}{2} u, w\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the tangent bundle $T N$ and normal bundle $T N^{\perp}$ are spanned by

$$
\begin{gathered}
T N=\left\{Z_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial x_{1}+\partial y_{2}\right), Z_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial x_{2}-\partial y_{1}\right), Z_{3}=\partial z\right\}, \\
T N^{\perp}=\left\{N_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial x_{1}-\partial y_{2}\right), N_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial x_{2}+\partial y_{1}\right)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\nabla}_{Z_{1}} Z_{1}=\frac{-y_{1}}{2} Z_{1}-\frac{y_{1}}{8} Z_{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(1+y_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right) Z_{3}+\frac{3}{8} y_{1} N_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{Z_{2}} Z_{2}=\frac{y_{2}}{8} Z_{1}-\frac{y_{2}}{2} Z_{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(1+y_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right) Z_{3}-\frac{3}{8} y_{2} N_{1}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{Z_{2}} Z_{1}=\frac{y_{1}}{8} Z_{1}-\frac{1}{16}\left(5 y_{1}+2 y_{2}-1\right) Z_{2}-\frac{1}{16}\left(y_{1}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}+4 y_{1} y_{2}\right) Z_{3}-\frac{y_{1}}{8} N_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\frac{1}{16}\left(3 y_{1}+2 y_{2}+1\right) N_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{Z_{1}} Z_{2}= \\
\frac{-1}{8}\left(y_{1}+4 y_{2}\right) Z_{1}+\frac{1}{16}\left(1-2 y_{2}\right) Z_{2}-\frac{1}{16}\left(y_{1}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}+4 y_{1} y_{2}\right) Z_{3} \\
-\frac{3 y_{1}}{8} N_{1}+\left(\frac{y_{2}}{8}+\frac{1}{16}\right) N_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{Z_{3}} Z_{1}=\frac{1}{8} Z_{2}-\frac{y_{2}}{8} Z_{3}-\frac{3}{8} N_{2}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{Z_{1}} Z_{3}=Z_{1}+\frac{1}{8} Z_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1}-\frac{1}{4} y_{2}\right) Z_{3}-\frac{3}{8} N_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{Z_{3}} Z_{2}=\frac{-1}{8} Z_{1}+\frac{y_{1}}{8} Z_{3}+\frac{3}{8} N_{1}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{Z_{2}} Z_{3}=Z_{2}-\frac{1}{8} Z_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{2}+\frac{1}{4} y_{1}\right) Z_{3}+\frac{3}{8} N_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By direct computations, we obtain some components of the connection as fol-

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { lows } \\
\qquad \begin{aligned}
\nabla_{Z_{1}} Z_{1} & =y_{1} Z_{2}+\frac{1}{2} y_{1} y_{2} Z_{3},
\end{aligned} \nabla_{Z_{1}}^{*} Z_{1}=\frac{-5 y_{1}}{4} Z_{2}-\frac{y_{1} y_{2}}{4} Z_{3} \\
\nabla_{Z_{2}} Z_{2}=-y_{2} Z_{1}-\frac{y_{1} y_{2}}{2} Z_{3}, & \nabla_{Z_{2}}^{*} Z_{2}=\frac{5 y_{2}}{4} Z_{1}+\frac{y_{1} y_{2}}{4} Z_{3} \\
h\left(Z_{1}, Z_{1}\right)=0, & h^{*}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{1}\right)=\frac{3 y_{1}}{4} N_{2}, \\
h\left(Z_{2}, Z_{2}\right) & =0, h^{*}\left(Z_{2}, Z_{2}\right)=-\frac{3 y_{2}}{4} N_{1}
\end{array}
$$

We see that in this example, Theorem 4.1 is satisfied.
Example 5.5. Let $\bar{M}$ be the statistical manifold of Gaussian density functions given by (see [3, p. 45] and [5, p. 51])

$$
M=\left\{n(z ; \mu, \sigma) \mid \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where

$$
n(z ; \mu, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{(z-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}
$$

$\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are mean and variance, respectively. The Fisher metric for this Gaussian manifold with parameters $(x, y)=(\mu, \sigma)$ is given by

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{y^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{y^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For components of the affine and dual connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\nabla}_{\partial x} \partial x=0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial x}^{*} \partial x=\frac{1}{y} \partial y, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial y} \partial y=\frac{-3}{y} \partial y, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial y}^{*} \partial y=\frac{1}{y} \partial y \\
& \bar{\nabla}_{\partial x} \partial y=\frac{-2}{y} \partial x, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial y} \partial x=\frac{-2}{y} \partial x, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial x}^{*} \partial y=0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial y}^{*} \partial x=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(\bar{M}, g, \nabla, \nabla^{*}\right)$ is a 2-dimensional statistical manifold. By taking $U=\partial x$, we obtain the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x} \partial x=\frac{1}{2 y} \partial y, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y} \partial y=\frac{-2}{y^{2}} \partial x-\frac{1}{y} \partial y,
$$

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial x} \partial y=\frac{-1}{y} \partial x, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial y} \partial x=\frac{1}{y^{2}} \partial y-\frac{1}{y} \partial x .
$$

The non-zero component of the torsion tensor is $\tilde{T}(\partial x, \partial y)=\frac{-1}{y^{2}} \partial y$. By direct calculation, we have

$$
\tilde{R}(\partial x, \partial y) \partial x=\frac{1}{2 y^{2}} \partial y, \quad \tilde{R}(\partial x, \partial y) \partial y=\frac{-1}{y^{2}} \partial x
$$

Example 5.6. Let 4-manifold $\bar{M}$ be the set of Freund bivariate mixture exponential density function $\mathcal{F}$ such as [3]

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=\left\{\mathcal{F}\left(x, y ; \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right) \mid \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}>0, i=1,2\right\}, \quad x, y>0, \\
\mathcal{F}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} e^{-\beta_{2} y-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}\right) x}, & 0<x<y, \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} e^{-\beta_{1} y-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\beta_{1}\right) x}, & 0<y<x .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

The Fisher information metric $g$ is given by

$$
g=\frac{1 d \alpha_{1} d \alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\beta_{1}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} d \beta_{1} d \beta_{1}+\frac{1 d \alpha_{2} d \alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} d \beta_{2} d \beta_{2}
$$

We obtain the dual statistical connections $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}^{*}$ as follows:
$\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{1}} \partial \alpha_{1}=\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{2}, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{1}}^{*} \partial \alpha_{1}=\frac{-2}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} \partial \alpha_{1}$,
$\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{1}} \partial \beta_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}} \partial \alpha_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \beta_{1}^{2}} \partial \alpha_{2}, \quad \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{1}}^{*} \partial \beta_{1}=\frac{-2}{\beta_{1}} \partial \beta_{1}$,
$\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}} \partial \alpha_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{2}, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}}^{*} \partial \alpha_{2}=\frac{-2}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} \partial \alpha_{2}$,
$\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}} \partial \beta_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \beta_{2}^{2}} \partial \alpha_{2}, \quad \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}}^{*} \partial \beta_{2}=\frac{-2}{\beta_{2}} \partial \beta_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right) \beta_{2}^{2}} \partial \alpha_{1}$,

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{1}} \partial \alpha_{1}=0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{1}}^{*} \partial \alpha_{1}=\frac{-1}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} \partial \beta_{1},
$$

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}} \partial \alpha_{1}=0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}}^{*} \partial \alpha_{1}=\frac{-1}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} \partial \alpha_{1}-\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} \partial \alpha_{2}
$$

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}} \partial \alpha_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{2}, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}}^{*} \partial \alpha_{1}=0
$$

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}} \partial \beta_{1}=0
$$

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}}^{*} \partial \beta_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{1}
$$

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}} \partial \beta_{1}=0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}}^{*} \partial \beta_{1}=0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}} \partial \alpha_{2}=0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}}^{*} \partial \alpha_{2}=\frac{-1}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}} \partial \beta_{2}
$$

By taking $U=\partial \beta_{2}$, we obtain the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{1}} \partial \alpha_{1}=\frac{-\alpha_{2}-2 \alpha_{1}}{2 \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2 \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{2}-\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{1}} \partial \beta_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{2 \beta_{1}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{2 \beta_{1}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\beta_{1}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{2}-\frac{1}{\beta_{1}} \partial \beta_{1},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}} \partial \alpha_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2 \alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{1}-\frac{\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}}{2 \alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{2}-\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}} \partial \beta_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{2 \beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{2 \beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{1}-\frac{1}{\beta_{2}} \partial \beta_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{1}} \partial \beta_{1}=\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{1}} \partial \alpha_{1}=\frac{-1}{2\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{1}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}} \partial \alpha_{1}=\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{1}} \partial \alpha_{2}=\frac{-1}{2\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{1}-\frac{1}{2\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}} \partial \alpha_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2 \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{1}} \partial \beta_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2 \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{1}} \partial \alpha_{2}=\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}} \partial \beta_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2 \alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{1}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{1}} \partial \beta_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{1}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}} \partial \beta_{1}=0, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \beta_{2}} \partial{\alpha \alpha_{2}}^{=} \frac{-1}{2\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{2}, \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial \alpha_{2}} \partial \beta_{2}=\frac{-1}{2\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{2}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The non-zero components of the torsion tensor $\tilde{T}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{T}\left(\partial \alpha_{1}, \partial \beta_{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{1} \\
& \tilde{T}\left(\partial \beta_{1}, \partial \beta_{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \beta_{1} \\
& \tilde{T}\left(\partial \alpha_{2}, \partial \beta_{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \partial \alpha_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By direct calculation, we get some components of the curvature tensor of the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{R}\left(\partial \alpha_{1}, \partial \beta_{1}\right) \partial \alpha_{1}=\frac{4 \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}^{2}}{4 \alpha_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}} \partial \beta_{1}, \quad \tilde{R}\left(\partial \alpha_{1}, \partial \alpha_{2}\right) \partial \beta_{1}=0 \\
\tilde{R}\left(\partial \alpha_{1}, \partial \beta_{1}\right) \partial \beta_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2 \beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}} \partial \beta_{1}, \quad \tilde{R}\left(\partial \beta_{1}, \partial \beta_{2}\right) \partial \alpha_{2}=\frac{-\alpha_{1}}{2 \beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}} \partial \beta_{1}, \\
\tilde{R}\left(\partial \beta_{1}, \partial \alpha_{2}\right) \partial \beta_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2 \beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}} \partial \beta_{1} \\
\tilde{R}\left(\partial \beta_{1}, \partial \beta_{2}\right) \partial \beta_{2}=\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}{4 \beta_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\beta_{2}^{3}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right) \partial \beta_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, we can see that this example verifies Proposition 3.5.
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