

GLOBAL LARGE SOLUTIONS FOR THE COMPRESSIBLE MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC SYSTEM

JINLU LI, YANGHAI YU, AND WEIPENG ZHU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the global well-posedness of compressible magnetohydrodynamic system in \mathbb{R}^d with $d \geq 2$, in the framework of the critical Besov spaces. We can show that if the initial data, the shear viscosity and the magnetic diffusion coefficient are small comparing with the volume viscosity, then the compressible magnetohydrodynamic system has a unique global solution. Our result improves the previous one by Danchin and Mucha [10] who considered the compressible Navier-Stokes equations.

1. Introduction

The present paper is devoted to the equations of magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) which describe the motion of electrically conducting fluids in the presence of a magnetic field. The barotropic compressible magnetohydrodynamic system can be written as

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla P(\rho) = b \cdot \nabla b - \frac{1}{2} \nabla(|b|^2) \\ \quad + \mu \Delta u + \nabla((\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div} u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ \partial_t b + (\operatorname{div} u) b + u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta b = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ \operatorname{div} b = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho = \rho(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ denotes the density, $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b = b(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ stand for the velocity field and the magnetic field, respectively. The barotropic assumption means that the pressure $P = P(\rho)$ is given and assumed to be strictly increasing. The constant $\nu > 0$ is the resistivity acting as the magnetic diffusion coefficient of the magnetic field. The shear and volume viscosity coefficients μ and λ are constant and fulfill the standard strong parabolicity assumption:

$$\mu > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa = \lambda + 2\mu > 0.$$

Received January 18, 2021; Accepted April 28, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q35, 76D03.

Key words and phrases. Compressible MHD system, global solution, Besov spaces.

To complete the system (1), the initial data are supplemented by

$$(2) \quad (u, b, \rho)(t, x)|_{t=0} = (u_0(x), b_0(x), \rho_0(x))$$

and also, as the space variable tends to infinity, we assume

$$(3) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (u_0(x), b_0(x), \rho_0(x)) = (0, 0, 1).$$

The system of MHD involves various topics such as the evolution and dynamics of astrophysical objects, thermonuclear fusion, metallurgy and semiconductor crystal growth, see for example [2, 18]. Roughly speaking, the system (1) is a coupling between the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with the magnetic equations (heat equations). When $b \equiv 0$, the system (1) reduces to the usual compressible Navier-Stokes system for barotropic fluids. We should mention that the system (1) contains much richer structures than the Navier-Stokes system and offer us new opportunities. Due to its physical importance, complexity, rich phenomena and mathematical challenges, there have been huge literatures on the study of the compressible MHD problem (1) by many physicists and mathematicians, see for example, [3, 4, 11–26] and the references therein.

Now, we briefly recall some results concerned with the multi-dimensional compressible MHD equations in the absence of vacuum, which are more relatively with our problem. Kawashima [17] established the local and global well-posedness of the solutions to the compressible MHD equations as the initial density is strictly positive, see also Strohmer [21] and Vol’pert–Khudiaevo [23] for the local existence results. To catch the scaling invariance property, Danchin firstly introduced in his series papers [5–9] the “Critical Besov Spaces” which were inspired by those efforts on the incompressible Navier-Stokes. Li–Mu–Wang [19] obtained the local well-posedness of the system (1) in the framework of critical Besov spaces under the assumption that the initial density is bounded away from 0. Danchin and Mucha [10] proved that the compressible Navier-Stokes system convergence to the homogeneous incompressible case for the large volume viscosity. Motivated by these works, our main goal of the present paper is devoted to extend the compressible Navier-Stokes system to the MHD system. Since there is no global well-posedness theory for general initial data, we will prove the global existence of strong solutions to (1) for a class of large initial data.

We notice that if κ tends to $+\infty$, then velocity field and magnetic field shall satisfy the incompressible MHD system:

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t U + U \cdot \nabla U - \mu \Delta U + \nabla \Pi - B \cdot \nabla B - \frac{1}{2} \nabla (|B|^2) = 0, \\ \partial_t B + U \cdot \nabla B - B \cdot \nabla U - \nu \Delta B = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} U = \operatorname{div} B = 0, \\ (U, B)|_{t=0} = (\mathcal{P}u_0, b_0), \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{P} is the Leray project operator.

Our main result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq 2$, $(u_0, b_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $a_0 := \rho_0 - 1 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Suppose that (4) generates a unique global solution $(U, B) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Let C be a large universal constant and denote*

$$M := \|U, B\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|U_t, B_t, \mu \nabla^2 U, \nu \nabla^2 B\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})},$$

$$D_0 := C \exp(C(1 + \mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1})(M + 1)^2) (\|a_0, \mathcal{Q}v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \kappa \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + 1),$$

$$\delta_0 := C \exp(2C(1 + \mu^{-2} + \nu^{-2})(M + 1)^2) (\kappa^{-1} D_0^2 + \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_0).$$

Assume that κ is large enough and $\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}$ is small enough such that

$$\kappa^{-1} D_0 \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_0 (\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1} + 1) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then the system (1)–(3) has a unique global-in-time solution (ρ, u, b) which satisfies

$$u, b \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}),$$

$$a := \rho - 1 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}).$$

Remark 1.2. For $d = 2$, according to Proposition 2.3, we can set

$$M := C \|U_0, B_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \exp\left(C(\mu^{-4} + \nu^{-4}) \|U_0, B_0\|_{L^2}^4\right).$$

From Theorem 1.1, we deduce that the system (1)–(3) has a unique global-in-time solution without any smallness condition on the initial velocity and magnetic: the volume viscosity λ just has to be sufficiently large.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall basic notations and some useful properties involved the homogeneous Besov spaces which will be used throughout this paper.

- The symbol $\mathcal{A} \lesssim \mathcal{B}$ means that there is a uniform positive constant C independent of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \leq C\mathcal{B}$. We write $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathcal{B}$ to denote that $\mathcal{A} \lesssim \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \lesssim \mathcal{A}$.
- For any $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we also use the simplified notations if there is no ambiguity

$$\|f_1, \dots, f_k\|_X^m := \|f_1\|_X^m + \dots + \|f_k\|_X^m.$$

- The projectors \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are defined by

$$\mathcal{P} := \text{Id} + (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \text{div} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q} := -(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \text{div}.$$

Now, let us recall the definition of the homogenous Besov space (see [1]).

Definition. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(p, r) \in [1, \infty]^2$. The homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$ is defined by

$$\dot{B}_{p,r}^s = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'_h(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} := \left\| (2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_j f\|_{L^p})_j \right\|_{\ell^r} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Next, we give the important product acts on homogeneous Besov spaces by collecting some useful lemmas from [1].

Lemma 2.1. Let $s_1, s_2 \leq \frac{d}{2}$, $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ and $(f, g) \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then we have

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{d}{2}}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_2}}.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $s > 0$. Assume that $F \in W_{loc}^{[s]+2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $F(0) = 0$. Then for any $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\|F(f)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s} \leq C (\|f\|_{L^\infty}) \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}.$$

Proposition 2.3. Assume that $U_0, B_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{div}U_0 = \operatorname{div}B_0 = 0$. Then there exists a unique solution to (4) such that

$$U, B \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \dot{B}_{2,1}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Furthermore, there exists some universal constant C , one has for all $T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U, B\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + \|U_t, B_t, \mu \nabla^2 U, \nu \nabla^2 B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \\ & \leq C \|U_0, B_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} \exp\left(C(\mu^{-4} + \nu^{-4}) \|U_0, B_0\|_{L^2}^4\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any $t \in [0, T]$, the standard energy balance reads:

$$\|U(t), B(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\mu \int_0^t \|\nabla U\|_{L^2}^2 dt + 2\nu \int_0^t \|\nabla B\|_{L^2}^2 dt = \|U_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|B_0\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which implies for all $T > 0$,

$$(5) \quad \mu^{\frac{1}{4}} \|U\|_{L_T^4(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + \nu^{\frac{1}{4}} \|B\|_{L_T^4(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C \|U_0, B_0\|_{L^2}.$$

From the estimates of the Stokes system in homogeneous Besov spaces, we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|U, B\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + \|U_t, B_t, \mu \nabla^2 U, \nu \nabla^2 B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \\ & \leq C (\|U_0, B_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + \|U \cdot \nabla U - B \cdot \nabla B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + \|B \cdot \nabla U - U \cdot \nabla B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the interpolation inequality and Young inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U \cdot \nabla U\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} & \leq C \int_0^T \|U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \|U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{-1}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^1}^{\frac{3}{4}} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$(7) \quad \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3 \mu^3} \int_0^T \|U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4 \|U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} dt + \varepsilon \mu \|\nabla^2 U\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}.$$

Similar argument as in (7), we obtain

$$(8) \quad \|B \cdot \nabla B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3 \nu^3} \int_0^T \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4 \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} dt + \varepsilon \nu \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)},$$

$$(9) \quad \|U \cdot \nabla B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3 \nu^3} \int_0^T \|U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4 \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} dt + \varepsilon \nu \|\nabla^2 B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)},$$

$$(10) \quad \|B \cdot \nabla U\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^3 \mu^3} \int_0^T \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4 \|U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} dt + \varepsilon \mu \|\nabla^2 U\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)}.$$

Therefore, combing (6)–(10) and choosing ε small enough, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U, B\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} + \|U_t, B_t, \mu \nabla^2 U, \nu \nabla^2 B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^0)} \\ & \leq C \left(\|U_0, B_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} + (\mu^{-3} + \nu^{-3}) \int_0^T \|U, B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^4 \|U, B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^0} dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Gronwall inequality and (5) that the desired result of Proposition 2.3. \square

3. Global a priori estimates

The section is devoted to deduce the global a priori estimates which are crucial to prove our main result.

Setting $a = \rho - 1$, we infer from (1) that

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t a + \operatorname{div}(au) + \operatorname{div}u = 0, \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + P'(1+a)\nabla a - b \cdot \nabla b + \frac{1}{2}\nabla(|b|^2) - \mu\Delta u \\ - \nabla((\mu + \lambda)\operatorname{div}u) = -a(u_t + u \cdot \nabla u), \\ \partial_t b + (\operatorname{div}u)b + u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u - \nu\Delta b = 0, \\ \operatorname{div}b = 0, \\ (u, b, a)(t, x)|_{t=0} = (u_0(x), b_0(x), a_0(x)). \end{cases}$$

Before we move on, we recall the following local well-posedness of system (11).

Theorem 3.1 (See [19]). *Assume that the initial data $(a_0 := \rho - 1, u_0, b_0)$ satisfy $\operatorname{div}b_0 = 0$ and*

$$(a_0, u_0, b_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}.$$

In addition, $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} a_0(x) > -1$, then there exists some time $T > 0$ such that the system (11) has a local unique solution (a, u, b) on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ which belongs to the function space

$$E_T := \tilde{\mathcal{C}}([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}) \times (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})^{2d},$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}([0, T]; \dot{B}_{q,1}^s) := \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \dot{B}_{q,1}^s) \cap \tilde{L}^\infty([0, T]; \dot{B}_{q,1}^s)$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Introducing the following new quantities

$$v = u - U \quad \text{and} \quad c = b - B,$$

we shall treat the potential $\mathcal{Q}v$ and divergence-free $\mathcal{P}v$ parts of v , respectively.

Applying the operator \mathcal{Q} to (11)₂ and noticing that $\mathcal{Q}v = \mathcal{Q}u$, one has

$$(12) \quad \partial_t(\mathcal{Q}v) + \mathcal{Q}((v+U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{Q}v) - \kappa \Delta \mathcal{Q}v + \nabla a = -\mathcal{Q}(aU_t + av_t) - \mathcal{Q}R_1,$$

here we denote $k(a) = P'(1+a) - 1$ (here we assume that $P'(1) = 1$ for notational simplicity) and

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= (1+a)(v+U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}v + (1+a)(v+U) \cdot \nabla U + a(v+U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{Q}v \\ &\quad + k(a)\nabla a - (B+c) \cdot \nabla(B+c) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla(|B+c|^2). \end{aligned}$$

In view of equation (11)₁, then using $u = \mathcal{Q}v + \mathcal{P}v + U$, we find that a satisfies

$$(13) \quad \partial_t a + (v+U) \cdot \nabla a + \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v = -a \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v.$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}U = U$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Q}v \cdot \nabla \mathcal{Q}v) = \mathcal{P}(a\nabla a) = 0$, applying the operator \mathcal{P} to (11)₂, we have

$$(14) \quad \partial_t(\mathcal{P}v) + \mathcal{P}((v+U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}v) - \mu \Delta \mathcal{P}v = -\mathcal{P}(aU_t + av_t + a\nabla a) - \mathcal{P}R_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= (1+a)(v+U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{Q}v + (1+a)v \cdot \nabla U + a(v+U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}v \\ &\quad + aU \cdot \nabla U - (B+c) \cdot \nabla c - c \cdot \nabla B \\ &= (1+a)\mathcal{P}v \cdot \nabla(U + \mathcal{Q}v) + (1+a)U \cdot \nabla \mathcal{Q}v + (1+a)\mathcal{Q}v \cdot \nabla U \\ &\quad + a(v+U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}v + aU \cdot \nabla U + a\mathcal{Q}v \cdot \nabla \mathcal{Q}v - (B+c) \cdot \nabla c - c \cdot \nabla B. \end{aligned}$$

According to the magnetic equation of (11), we can show that c satisfies

$$(15) \quad \partial_t c + (v+U) \cdot \nabla c - \nu \Delta c = -R_3 \quad \text{with} \quad c|_{t=0} = 0,$$

where

$$R_3 = (\operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v)B + (\operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v)c + v \cdot \nabla B - (B+c) \cdot \nabla v - c \cdot \nabla U.$$

In the sequel, we denote a^ℓ and a^h the low and high frequencies parts of a as

$$(16) \quad a^\ell = \sum_{2^j \kappa \leq 1} \dot{\Delta}_j a \quad \text{and} \quad a^h = \sum_{2^j \kappa > 1} \dot{\Delta}_j a$$

and set

$$X_d(T) = \|\mathcal{Q}v, a, \kappa \nabla a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|\mathcal{Q}v_t + \nabla a, \kappa \nabla^2 \mathcal{Q}v, \kappa \nabla^2 a^\ell, \nabla a^h\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})},$$

$$Y_d(T) = Y_{d,1}(T) + Y_{d,2}(T)$$

$$= \|\mathcal{P}v, c\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|\mathcal{P}v_t, c_t, \mu \nabla^2 \mathcal{P}v, \nu \nabla^2 c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})},$$

$$Z_d(T) = \|U, B\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1})} + \|U_t, B_t, \mu \nabla^2 U, \nu \nabla^2 B\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1})},$$

$$X_d(0) = \|a_0, \mathcal{Q}v_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1}} + \kappa \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1}}.$$

It is easy to show that

$$(17) \quad Z_d(T) \leq M \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

We concentrate our attention on the proof of global in time a priori estimates, as the local well-posedness issue has been ensured by Theorem 3.1. We claim that if κ is large enough then one may find some (large) D and (small) δ so that there holds for all $T < T^*$,

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} X_d(T) \leq D, & Y_d(T) \leq \delta, & \kappa^{-1}D \ll 1, \\ \delta(\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1} + 1) \leq 1, & D \geq (M + 1), & \|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Next, our aim is to establish the global a priori estimates. We will divide it into three steps.

Step 1. Estimate on the terms $\mathcal{P}v$ and c .

We first consider the estimates for $\mathcal{P}v$. Applying $\dot{\Delta}_j$ to (14), taking the L^2 inner product with $\dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{P}v$ then using that $\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P}$, we deduce that

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{P}v\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{P}v\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ([v + U, \dot{\Delta}_j] \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}v) \cdot \dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{P}v dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \dot{\Delta}_j (aU_t + av_t + a \nabla a + R_2) \cdot \dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{P}v dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{P}v|^2 \operatorname{div} v dx. \end{aligned}$$

According to the commutator estimates of Lemma 2.100 in [1], we have

$$(20) \quad 2^{j(\frac{d}{2}-1)} \|[v + U, \dot{\Delta}_j] \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}v\|_{L^2} \leq C c_j \|\nabla(v + U)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1}} \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1}},$$

where $\|c_j\|_{\ell^1} = 1$.

Multiplying both sides of (19) by $2^{j(\frac{d}{2}-1)}$ and summing up over $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then using Lemma 2.1 and (20), we obtain that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1})} + \mu \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{P}v\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1})} \\ & \lesssim \int_0^T \|\nabla(v + U)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}_{2,1}} \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1}} dt \\ & \quad + \|a(U_t + \mathcal{P}v_t + (\mathcal{Q}v_t + \nabla a))\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1})} + \|R_2\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1})}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to bound $\|\mathcal{P}v_t\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1})}$, we infer from (14) and (21) that

$$(22) \quad \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1})} + \|\mathcal{P}v_t, \mu \nabla^2 \mathcal{P}v\|_{L^1_T(\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}_{2,1})}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \int_0^T \|\nabla(v + U)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt + \int_0^T \|(v + U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \|a(U_t + \mathcal{P}v_t + (\mathcal{Q}v_t + \nabla a))\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt + \int_0^T \|R_2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term of RHS for (22), we can infer from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad &\|(v + U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^T \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} dt + \int_0^T \|\mathcal{Q}v + U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} dt \\ &\lesssim \int_0^T \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu} \int_0^T \|(\mathcal{Q}v, U)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|\mu \nabla^2 \mathcal{P}v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term of RHS for (22), from Lemma 2.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (24) \quad &\int_0^T \|a(U_t + \mathcal{P}v_t + (\mathcal{Q}v_t + \nabla a))\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt \\ &\lesssim \|a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \left(\|U_t\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mathcal{P}v_t\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|\mathcal{Q}v_t + \nabla a\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \right) \\ &\lesssim \kappa^{-1} X_d(T) (X_d(T) + Y_d(T) + Z_d(T)). \end{aligned}$$

For the last term of RHS for (22), by Lemma 2.1, we can estimate them as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad &\|(1 + a)\mathcal{P}v \cdot \nabla(U + \mathcal{Q}v)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^T (1 + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}) \|U + \mathcal{Q}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (26) \quad &\|(1 + a)(\mathcal{Q}v \cdot \nabla U + U \cdot \nabla \mathcal{Q}v)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \|a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}) \|\mathcal{Q}v\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|U\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1} X_d(T)) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_d(T) Z_d(T), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad &\|a(v + U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\ &\lesssim \|a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|v + U\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})} \\ &\lesssim \kappa^{-1} \mu^{-1} X_d(T) Y_d(T) (X_d(T) + Y_d(T) + Z_d(T)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (28) \quad & \|aU \cdot \nabla U + aQv \cdot \nabla Qv\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 & \lesssim \|a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \left(\|U\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \|U\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \|Qv\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \|Qv\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})} \right) \\
 & \lesssim \kappa^{-1} X_d(T) (\mu^{-1} Z_d^2(T) + \kappa^{-1} X_d^2(T)),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (29) \quad & \|(B+c) \cdot \nabla c + c \cdot \nabla B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 & \lesssim \int_0^T \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} dt + \int_0^T \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} dt \\
 & \lesssim \int_0^T \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\nu} \int_0^T \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt \\
 & \quad + \varepsilon \|\nu \nabla^2 c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, summing up (22)–(29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (30) \quad & \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|\mathcal{P}v_t, \mu \nabla^2 \mathcal{P}v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 & \lesssim \kappa^{-1} X_d(T) (X_d(T) + Y_d(T) + Z_d(T)) \\
 & \quad + (1 + \kappa^{-1} X_d(T)) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_d(T) Z_d(T) \\
 & \quad + \kappa^{-1} X_d(T) (\mu^{-1} Z_d^2(T) + \kappa^{-1} X_d^2(T)) \\
 & \quad + \kappa^{-1} \mu^{-1} X_d(T) Y_d(T) (X_d(T) + Y_d(T) + Z_d(T)) \\
 & \quad + \int_0^T \left((1 + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}) \|\mathcal{P}v, Qv, U, c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu} \|Qv, U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\nu} \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 \right) Y_{d,1} dt \\
 & \quad + \varepsilon Y_d(T).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similar argument as in (22) and (23), we infer from (15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (31) \quad & \|c\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|c_t, \nu \nabla^2 c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 & \lesssim \int_0^T \|\mathcal{P}v, Qv, U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt + \|(v+U) \cdot \nabla c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|R_3\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the last two terms of RHS for (31), due to Lemma 2.1, we can tackle with them as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (32) \quad & \|(v+U) \cdot \nabla c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 & \lesssim \int_0^T \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} dt + \int_0^T \|Qv + U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} dt
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lesssim \int_0^T \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\nu} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{Q}v, U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon \|\nu \nabla^2 c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})}, \\
 (33) \quad &\|(\operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v)c - c \cdot \nabla v - c \cdot \nabla U\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 &\lesssim \int_0^T \|U, \mathcal{P}v, \mathcal{Q}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt, \\
 (34) \quad &\|(\operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v)B + v \cdot \nabla B - B \cdot \nabla v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 &\lesssim \int_0^T \|\mathcal{Q}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} dt + \int_0^T \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} dt \\
 &\lesssim \int_0^T \|\mathcal{Q}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 dt \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon \|\mu \nabla^2 \mathcal{P}v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 &\lesssim \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_d(T) Z_d(T) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon\mu} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{P}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 dt \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon \|\mu \nabla^2 \mathcal{P}v\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, collecting the estimates (31)–(34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (35) \quad &\|c\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|c_t, \nu \nabla^2 c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 &\lesssim \nu^{-1} Y_d^2(T) + \varepsilon Y_d(T) + \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_d(T) Z_d(T) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^T \left(\|\mathcal{P}v, \mathcal{Q}v, U, c\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \varepsilon^{-1} (\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1}) \|\mathcal{Q}v, U, B\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 \right) Y_d dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (30) and (35), choosing ε small enough and using Gronwall’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (36) \quad &Y_d(T) \\
 &\leq C \exp \left(C \|\mathcal{P}v, \mathcal{Q}v, U, c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})} + C (\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1}) \|\mathcal{Q}v, U, B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}^2 \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \kappa^{-1} X_d(T) (X_d(T) + Y_d(T) + Z_d(T)) \right. \\
 &\quad + (1 + \kappa^{-1} X_d(T)) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_d(T) Z_d(T) \\
 &\quad + \kappa^{-1} X_d(T) (\mu^{-1} Z_d^2(T) + \kappa^{-1} X_d^2(T)) + \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} X_d(T) Z_d(T) \\
 &\quad \left. + \kappa^{-1} \mu^{-1} X_d(T) Y_d(T) (X_d(T) + Y_d(T) + Z_d(T)) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Estimate on the terms $\mathcal{Q}v$ and a .

Now, applying $\dot{\Delta}_j$ to (11) and (12) yields that

$$(37) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t a_j + (v + U) \cdot \nabla a_j + \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v_j = g_j, \\ \partial_t \mathcal{Q}v_j + \mathcal{Q}((v + U) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{Q}v_j) - \kappa \Delta \mathcal{Q}v_j + \nabla a_j = f_j, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &= \dot{\Delta}_j a, \quad \mathcal{Q}v_j = \dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{Q}v, \quad g_j = -\dot{\Delta}_j (a \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v) - [\dot{\Delta}_j, (v + U)] \cdot \nabla a, \\ f_j &= -\dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{Q}(aU_t + av_t) - \dot{\Delta}_j \mathcal{Q}R_1 - \mathcal{Q}[\dot{\Delta}_j, (v + U)] \cdot \nabla \mathcal{Q}v. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the L^2 inner product of (37)₁ with a_j and (37)₂ with $\mathcal{Q}v_j$ yields

$$(38) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|a_j\|_{L^2}^2 + (a_j, \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v_j) = \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{div} v, a_j^2) + (g_j, a_j), \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathcal{Q}v_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \mathcal{Q}v_j\|_{L^2}^2 - (a_j, \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v_j) \\ = \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{div} v, |\mathcal{Q}v_j|^2) + (f_j, \mathcal{Q}v_j). \end{cases}$$

We next want to estimate for $\|\nabla a_j\|_{L^2}^2$. From (37)₁, we have

$$(39) \quad \partial_t \nabla a_j + (v + U) \cdot \nabla \nabla a_j + \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v_j = \nabla g_j - \nabla(v + U) \cdot \nabla a_j.$$

From (39) and (37)₂, by simple calculations, we obtain

$$(40) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla a_j\|_{L^2}^2 + ((v + U) \cdot \nabla \nabla a_j, \nabla a_j) + (\nabla \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v_j, \nabla a_j) \\ = (\nabla g_j - \nabla(v + U) \cdot \nabla a_j, \nabla a_j), \\ \frac{d}{dt} (\mathcal{Q}v_j, \nabla a_j) + (v + U, \nabla(\mathcal{Q}v_j \cdot \nabla a_j)) - \kappa (\Delta \mathcal{Q}v_j, \nabla a_j) + \|\nabla a_j\|_{L^2}^2 \\ + (\nabla \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v_j, \mathcal{Q}v_j) = (\nabla g_j - \nabla(v + U) \cdot \nabla a_j, \mathcal{Q}v_j) + (f_j, \nabla a_j). \end{cases}$$

Notice that $(\nabla \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v_j, \nabla a_j) = (\Delta \mathcal{Q}v_j, \nabla a_j)$ and $\Delta \mathcal{Q}v_j = \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v_j$, we get

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\kappa \|\nabla a_j\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\mathcal{Q}v_j \cdot \nabla a_j)) + (\|\nabla a_j\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\nabla \mathcal{Q}v_j\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa |\nabla a_j|^2 + \mathcal{Q}v_j \cdot \nabla a_j, \operatorname{div} v \right) + \kappa (\nabla g_j - \nabla(v + U) \cdot \nabla a_j, \nabla a_j) \\ & \quad + (\nabla g_j - \nabla(v + U) \cdot \nabla a_j, \mathcal{Q}v_j) + (f_j, \nabla a_j). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (41) by κ and adding up twice (38) yield

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}_j^2 + \kappa (\|\nabla \mathcal{Q}v_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla a_j\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2g_j a_j + 2f_j \cdot \mathcal{Q}v_j + \kappa^2 \nabla g_j \cdot \nabla a_j + \kappa \nabla g_j \cdot \mathcal{Q}v_j + \kappa f_j \cdot \nabla a_j) dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2a_j^2 + 2|\mathcal{Q}v_j|^2 + 2\kappa \mathcal{Q}v_j \cdot \nabla a_j + |\kappa \nabla a_j|^2) \operatorname{div} v dx \\ & \quad - \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla(v + U) \cdot \nabla a_j) \cdot (\kappa \nabla a_j + \mathcal{Q}v_j) dx \quad \text{with} \end{aligned}$$

$$(43) \quad \mathcal{L}_j^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2a_j^2 + 2|\mathcal{Q}v_j|^2 + 2\kappa \mathcal{Q}v_j \cdot \nabla a_j + |\kappa \nabla a_j|^2) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (2a_j^2 + |\mathcal{Q}v_j|^2 + |\mathcal{Q}v_j + \kappa \nabla a_j|^2) dx \approx \|(\mathcal{Q}v_j, a_j, \kappa \nabla a_j)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

By (43), we obtain

$$\kappa(\|\nabla \mathcal{Q}v_j\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla a_j\|_{L^2}^2) \geq c \min(\kappa 2^{2j}, \kappa^{-1}) \mathcal{L}_j^2,$$

which along with (42) yields

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}_j^2 + c \min(\kappa 2^{2j}, \kappa^{-1}) \mathcal{L}_j^2 \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\operatorname{div} v\|_{L^\infty} + C \|\nabla(v + U)\|_{L^\infty} \right) \mathcal{L}_j^2 + C \|g_j, f_j, \kappa \nabla g_j\|_{L^2} \mathcal{L}_j. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of (44) by $2^{j(\frac{d}{2}-1)}$ and summing up over $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|a, \kappa \nabla a, \mathcal{Q}v\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|\kappa \nabla^2 \mathcal{Q}v, \kappa \nabla^2 a^\ell, \nabla a^h\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\ & \lesssim \|(a, \kappa \nabla a, \mathcal{Q}v)(0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \int_0^T \|v, U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|a, \kappa \nabla a, \mathcal{Q}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(\frac{d}{2}-1)} \|g_j, f_j, \kappa \nabla g_j\|_{L^2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where the notations a^ℓ and a^h have been defined in (16).

Combining the estimates

$$\|a \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v, \kappa \nabla(a \operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \lesssim \int_0^T \|\operatorname{div} \mathcal{Q}v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|a, \kappa \nabla a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(\frac{d}{2}-1)} \|[\dot{\Delta}_j, (v + U)] \nabla a, \kappa \nabla([\dot{\Delta}_j, (v + U)] \nabla a)\|_{L^2} dt \\ & \lesssim \int_0^T \|\nabla(v + U)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|a, \kappa \nabla a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(46) \quad \int_0^T \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(\frac{d}{2}-1)} \|g_j, \kappa \nabla g_j\|_{L^2} dt \lesssim \int_0^T \|v, U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|a, \kappa \nabla a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt.$$

Next, we will estimate the last term $\int_0^T \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(\frac{d}{2}-1)} \|f_j\|_{L^2} dt$.

According to Lemmas 2.1-2.2 and the commutator estimates of Lemma 2.100 in [1], we have

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|(1 + a)(v + U) \cdot \nabla(\mathcal{P}v + U)\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\ & \lesssim (1 + \|a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}) \|\mathcal{P}v, U\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \|\nabla \mathcal{P}v, \nabla U\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^T (1 + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}) \|\nabla \mathcal{P}v, \nabla U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|Qv\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt \\
 & \lesssim (1 + \kappa^{-1} X_d(T)) \mu^{-1} (Y_d^2(T) + Z_d^2(T)) \\
 & + \int_0^T (1 + \|a\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}) \|\nabla \mathcal{P}v, \nabla U\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|Qv\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt, \\
 (48) \quad & \|a(v + U) \cdot \nabla Qv\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 & \lesssim \|a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \|v, U\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \|\nabla Qv\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} \\
 & \lesssim \kappa^{-2} X_d^2(T) (X_d(T) + Y_d(T) + Z_d(T)),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (49) \quad & \|k(a)\nabla a\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \lesssim \|a\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}^2 \lesssim \int_0^T \|a^\ell, a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^2 dt \\
 & \lesssim \int_0^T (\|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|a^\ell\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|a^h\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}})^2 dt \\
 & \lesssim \kappa^{-1} X_d^2(T),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (50) \quad & \int_0^T \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(\frac{d}{2}-1)} \|[\Delta_j, v + U] \nabla Qv\|_{L^2} dt \\
 & \lesssim \int_0^T \|\nabla(v + U)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|Qv\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (51) \quad & \|aU_t\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} + \|av_t\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 & \lesssim \|U_t, \mathcal{P}v_t, Qv_t + \nabla a\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \|a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} + \|a\|_{L_T^2(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}^2 \\
 & \lesssim \kappa^{-1} X_d(T) (X_d(T) + Y_d(T) + Z_d(T)),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (52) \quad & \left\| \frac{1}{2} \nabla(|B + c|^2) - (B + c) \cdot \nabla(B + c) \right\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \\
 & \lesssim \|B, c\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \|B, c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})} \\
 & \lesssim \nu^{-1} (Z_d^2(T) + Y_d^2(T)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, collecting (45)–(52), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (53) \quad & X_d(T) \leq C \exp\left(C(1 + \|a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}) \|\mathcal{P}v, Qv, U\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})}\right) \\
 & \times \left\{ X_d(0) + C(1 + \kappa^{-1} X_d(T)) (\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1}) (Y_d^2(T) + Z_d^2(T)) \right. \\
 & \left. + C(\kappa^{-2} X_d^2(T) + \kappa^{-1} X_d(T)) (X_d(T) + Y_d(T) + Z_d(T)) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Closure of the a priori estimates.

By (17) and (18), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (54) \quad & (1 + \|a\|_{L_T^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}) \|\mathcal{P}v, \mathcal{Q}v, U, c\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})} \\
 & \leq (1 + \kappa^{-1}D)(\kappa^{-1}D + \mu^{-1}M + \mu^{-1}\delta + \nu^{-1}\delta) \\
 & \leq 2(1 + \mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1})(M + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (55) \quad & (\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1}) \|\mathcal{Q}v, U, B\|_{L_T^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})}^2 \\
 & \leq (\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1})(\kappa^{-1}D^2 + (\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1})M^2) \\
 & \leq (1 + \mu^{-2} + \nu^{-2})(M + 1)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (18), (36), (53)–(55), for a suitable large (universal) constant C , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (56) \quad Y_d(T) & \leq C \exp(C(1 + \mu^{-2} + \nu^{-2})(M + 1)^2) \\
 & \quad \times (\kappa^{-1}D^2 + \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}})DM + \kappa^{-1}\mu^{-1}DM^2 + \kappa^{-1}\mu^{-1}D^2\delta) \\
 & \leq C \exp(C(1 + \mu^{-2} + \nu^{-2})(M + 1)^2)(\kappa^{-1}D^2 + \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}D)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (57) \quad X_d(T) & \leq C \exp(C(1 + \mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1})(M + 1)) \\
 & \quad \times (X_d(0) + (\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1})(1 + M^2) + M + 1) \\
 & \leq C \exp(C(1 + \mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1})(M + 1)^2)(X_d(0) + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

So it is natural to take first

$$(58) \quad D := C \exp(C(1 + \mu^{-2} + \nu^{-2})(M + 1)^2)(X_d(0) + 1)$$

and then to set

$$(59) \quad \delta := C \exp(2C(1 + \mu^{-2} + \nu^{-2})(M + 1)^2)(\kappa^{-1}D^2 + \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}D)$$

for a suitable large (universal) constant C .

It is easy to prove that $\|a(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\kappa^{-1}D$.

Therefore, if we make the assumption that κ is large enough such that

$$\kappa^{-1}D \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(\mu^{-1} + \nu^{-1} + 1) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

then we deduce from (56)–(59) that the desired result (18).

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Theorem 3.1 implies that there exists a unique maximal solution (a, u, b) to (11) which belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}) \times (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}([0, T]; \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1})^{2d}$ on some time interval $[0, T^*)$. With the a priori estimates (17) and (18) at our hand,

one can conclude that $T^* = +\infty$. In fact, let us assume (by contradiction) that $T^* < \infty$. Applying (17) and (18) for all $t < T^*$ yields

$$(60) \quad \|a\|_{L_{T^*}^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}})} + \|u, b\|_{L_{T^*}^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1})} \leq C < \infty.$$

Then, for all $t_0 \in [0, T^*)$, one can solve (11) starting with data (a_0, u_0, b_0) at time $t = t_0$ and get a solution according to Theorem 3.1 on the interval $[t_0, T + t_0]$ with T independent of t_0 . Choosing $t_0 > T^* - T$ thus shows that the solution can be continued beyond T^* , a contradiction.

Acknowledgments. J. Li is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) under Grants 11801090 and 12161004. Y. Yu is supported by NNSFC under Grant 12101011, by the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province under Grant 1908085QA05 and the PhD Scientific Research Start-up Foundation of Anhui Normal University. W. Zhu is partially supported by NNSFC under Grant 11901092 and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province under Grant 2017A030310634.

References

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, and R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 343, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-16830-7>
- [2] H. Cabannes, *Theoretical Magnetohydrodynamics*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [3] G.-Q. Chen and D. Wang, *Global solutions of nonlinear magnetohydrodynamics with large initial data*, J. Differential Equations **182** (2002), no. 2, 344–376. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.2001.4111>
- [4] ———, *Existence and continuous dependence of large solutions for the magnetohydrodynamic equations*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **54** (2003), no. 4, 608–632. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-003-1017-z>
- [5] R. Danchin, *Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Invent. Math. **141** (2000), no. 3, 579–614. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220000078>
- [6] ———, *Local theory in critical spaces for compressible viscous and heat-conductive gases*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **26** (2001), no. 7-8, 1183–1233. <https://doi.org/10.1081/PDE-100106132>
- [7] ———, *Global existence in critical spaces for flows of compressible viscous and heat-conductive gases*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **160** (2001), no. 1, 1–39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002050100155>
- [8] ———, *Well-posedness in critical spaces for barotropic viscous fluids with truly not constant density*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), no. 7-9, 1373–1397. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03605300600910399>
- [9] R. Danchin and L. He, *The incompressible limit in L^p type critical spaces*, Math. Ann. **366** (2016), no. 3-4, 1365–1402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-016-1361-x>
- [10] R. Danchin and P. B. Mucha, *Compressible Navier-Stokes system: large solutions and incompressible limit*, Adv. Math. **320** (2017), 904–925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2017.09.025>
- [11] B. Ducomet and E. Feireisl, *The equations of magnetohydrodynamics: on the interaction between matter and radiation in the evolution of gaseous stars*, Comm. Math. Phys. **266** (2006), no. 3, 595–629. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0052-y>

- [12] J. Fan, S. Jiang, and G. Nakamura, *Vanishing shear viscosity limit in the magnetohydrodynamic equations*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **270** (2007), no. 3, 691–708. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0167-1>
- [13] J. Fan and W. Yu, *Global variational solutions to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **69** (2008), no. 10, 3637–3660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2007.10.005>
- [14] ———, *Strong solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum*, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **10** (2009), no. 1, 392–409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2007.10.001>
- [15] X. Hu and D. Wang, *Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **283** (2008), no. 1, 255–284. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0497-2>
- [16] ———, *Global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows*, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **197** (2010), no. 1, 203–238. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-010-0295-9>
- [17] S. Kawashima, *Systems of a hyperbolic-parabolic composite type, with applications to the equations of magnetohydrodynamics*, (Ph.D. thesis), Kyoto University, 1984.
- [18] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of continuous media*, translated from the Russian by J. B. Sykes and J. S. Bell, *Course of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 8, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1960.
- [19] F. Li, Y. Mu, and D. Wang, *Local well-posedness and low Mach number limit of the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations in critical spaces*, *Kinet. Relat. Models* **10** (2017), no. 3, 741–784. <https://doi.org/10.3934/krm.2017030>
- [20] J. Li, Y. Yu, and W. Zhu, *A class large solution of the 3D Hall-magnetohydrodynamic equations*, *J. Differential Equations* **268** (2020), no. 10, 5811–5822. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2019.11.020>
- [21] G. Ströhmer, *About compressible viscous fluid flow in a bounded region*, *Pacific J. Math.* **143** (1990), no. 2, 359–375. <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pjm/1102645982>
- [22] T. Umeda, S. Kawashima, and Y. Shizuta, *On the decay of solutions to the linearized equations of electromagnetofluid dynamics*, *Japan J. Appl. Math.* **1** (1984), no. 2, 435–457. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03167068>
- [23] A. I. Vol’pert and S. I. Hudjaev, *The Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear differential equations*, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **87(129)** (1972), 504–528.
- [24] D. Wang, *Large solutions to the initial-boundary value problem for planar magnetohydrodynamics*, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **63** (2003), no. 4, 1424–1441. <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036139902409284>
- [25] X. Wu, Y. Yu, and Y. Tang, *Global existence and asymptotic behavior for the 3D generalized Hall-MHD system*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **151** (2017), 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2016.11.010>
- [26] J. Zhang and J. Zhao, *Some decay estimates of solutions for the 3-D compressible isentropic magnetohydrodynamics*, *Commun. Math. Sci.* **8** (2010), no. 4, 835–850. <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cms/1288725260>

JINLU LI
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES
 GANNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY
 GANZHOU 341000, P. R. CHINA
 Email address: lijinlu@gnnu.edu.cn

YANGHAI YU
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
ANHUI NORMAL UNIVERSITY
WUHU, ANHUI 241002, P. R. CHINA
Email address: yuyanghai214@sina.com

WEIPENG ZHU
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND BIG DATA
FOSHAN UNIVERSITY
FOSHAN, GUANGDONG 528000, P. R. CHINA
Email address: mathzwp2010@163.com