#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Case Study of Slope Investigation on the Cretaceous Sedimentary Rocks Using the Geological Cross-Sections

#### Myeong-Hyeok Ihm<sup>1</sup> · Woo-Seok Kim<sup>2\*</sup> · Oil Kwon<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Department of Construction Safety and Disaster Prevention Engineering, Daejeon University

<sup>2</sup>Senior Researcher, Construction Industry Promotion Department, KICT

<sup>3</sup>Research Fellow, Construction Project Task Force, KICT

### Abstract

The subjects of the study are the sedimentary rock slope of the Mesozoic Gyeongsang Supergroup, which has a high risk of failure. The orientation of the slope-face represents a variety of changing characteristics. The rocks of the slope shall be sandstone, siltstone and dacite, and discontinuities shall develop beddings, shear joints, extension joints, and dacite dyke boundary planes. The type and scale of failure varies depending on the type of rock and the strike/dip of the discontinuities, but the toppling failure prevails. Based on the face-mapping data, SMR, physical and mechanical testing of rocks, analysis and review of the stereonet projections and the critical equilibrium analysis, all four representative sections required a countermeasure method because the acceptable safety factor during dry and rainy seasons were far below Fs = 1.5 and Fs = 1.2. After applying the countermeasure method, both the dry and wet conditions of the slope exceeded the allowable safety factor. In particular, the face-mapping data of the slope-face, the geological cross-sections of several representative sections perpendicular to the slope-face, and the critical equilibrium analysis and the presentation of countermeasure methods that have been reviewed based on them are expected to be reasonable tools for the slope stability. In addition, it will be possible to use it as basic data for performance evaluation for slope maintenance.

**Keywords:** slope investigation, sedimentary rock, toppling failure, face-mapping, geological crosssection, stereonet projection

### Introduction

A large number of research results have been published due to various research methodologies and the expansion of research targets on slope stability analysis and excellent papers have been published using the latest equipment and innovative ideas. Some of those papers are a study by modifying a planar failure condition of rock slope in Korea where slope collapses frequently occur even with a condition of more than 20 degrees of the strike of the slope face although the most frequent planar failure condition among the slope failure conditions is that the strike of the planar discontinuity must be within 20 degrees of the strike of the slope face (Hwang, 2002), a study that proposes various types of failures due to intersection such as joint, foliation, and bedding within



\*Corresponding author: Woo-Seok Kim E-mail: kws@kict.re.kr

Received: 1 September, 2021 Revised: 26 October, 2021 Accepted: 3 November, 2021

© 2021 The Korean Society of Engineering Geology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attri-

bution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. the cut slope (Cheong and Choi, 2009a), a study that can predict a failure time of unstable slope and evaluate the collapse risk through the global positioning system displacement measurement of the slope in the open-pit coal mine (Sunwoo et al., 2010) and a study that applies the fact that a collapse occurs in a section whose risk score is high using around 10,000 records of cut slope data near national highways in the cut slope management (Kim et al., 2012b).

There have also been studies on water (precipitation, groundwater, etc.) that affects the stability of slopes the most; a study that proposes that rainfall intensity is more influential on the slope stability than cumulative rainfalls (Lee and Kim, 2009), a study that proposes that the slope safety factor is rapidly reduced when the wetting front drops and groundwater level rises at the same time in a landslide event (Song and Hong, 2011), and a study that found the decrement of safety factors after precipitation exceeds the decrement of safety factor during the duration of precipitation through the cut slope infiltration analysis (Lee et al., 2012), and a study that demonstrates that it is possible to understand changes in the factor of safety for an infinite slope according to the rainfall infiltration velocity inside the soil (Chae et al., 2012).

There are also various study results that geological properties affect the analysis of slope stability; a study that if a weak layer and geological structures such as folds and faults are developed in a slope, a geological structure must be considered (Koo et al., 2003), a case study that the cause of cut slope failure was due to the cut slope consisting of mainly volcanic rocks, minor faults, mafic dyke, a presence of geological weak zones, and high soil contents of swelling clay (Kim et al., 2011), a case study that the geological structure in sedimentary rocks affects the stability of cut slope (Ko et al., 2012), and a case study on stability review when a cut slope was mainly composed of granite (Kim et al., 2012a).

Furthermore, there have been several studies on stability review through probabilistic analysis and modeling of cut slopes; a study on the effects of clay vein on slope stability that silty and generic soils affected the slope safety factor more than sandy soils through numerical modeling (Jo et al., 2010), a study on probability analysis of rock slope stability using discontinuity persistence as parameters (Jang et al., 2010), a study on probabilistic stability and sensitivity analysis for a failed rock slope using a Monte Carlo simulation whose analysis reliability was better than that using deterministic method (Park and Park, 2010), a study that the probabilistic analysis method can reduce the effect of uncertainty involved in input parameters when analyzing the landslide stability (Jeong et al., 2011), and a study that presents a model that can predict a possible collapse time of cut slope using an exponential equation (Oak et al., 2013).

However, it is desirable to propose a slope face-mapping data and cross-sectional data in various cut sections perpendicular to the slope when surveying and analyzing a slope face and establishing a measure based on threedimensional (3D), as well as stability analysis and countermeasure method based on the data. It is difficult to prepare a geological cross-section based on slope face mapping data. Thus, few studies have been conducted on stability review using a systematic geological cross-section in recent years (Ihm, 2021). Thus, the purpose is to present the superiority of the slope stability analysis method using the geological cross sections, targeting a sedimentary rock slope in the Cretaceous Gyeongsang Supergroup, which has a possible failure risk because public structures are built near a sedimentary rock cut slope (Fig. 1) located in Maegok-ri, Dalseo-gu, Daegu and close to the downtown.



Fig. 1. The location map of the study area (red rectangle).

# Geology

#### The Status of the Slope and Slope Geology

The soil layer whose thickness at the top of the slope is around 0.3 m is composed of well-graded yellowish brown sand with clay (SC) (Park and Ihm, 1997, 1998), and the rock layer underneath the soil layer is composed of dacite that is partly penetrated to the sedimentary rock consisting of mainly sandstone and siltstone and intercalated shale. The total length and height of the slope in the study area are around 170 m and 27.35 m, and the gradient is nearly vertical (85~90°) (Fig. 2a). In addition, the slope face is not just a generally straight shape but the slope face strike is characterized with changing from N56°W to N34°E according to sections, and then back to N56°W.

The bedding plane is well developed in the rock layer of the slope, which is the feature of sediment rock, and partially penetrated by two dacite dykes. Several wedge failures (Fig. 2b) occurred according to the shear joint surface in the rock body whose volume was around  $2\sim3$  m<sup>3</sup> (Fig. 3) at STA. No.  $6\sim8$  and plane failures occurred along the contact surface of the dacite veins penetrated at STA. No.  $6\sim7$  in the slope (Fig. 2c). Furthermore, the rock body whose volume was around  $0.002\sim0.003$  m<sup>3</sup> showed toppling failures and a small scale of rockfall in the upper and middle ends of the whole slope section (Fig. 3). The weathered condition of the rock was good but the clay mineral embedded in the stratified and intrusive contact surfaces of shale showed a very fast weathering rate.

The type of discontinuity surface inside the rock can be divided into bedding, shear joint, and intrusive contact and tension joint. The tension joint developed in the upper end of the slope played the role as the main fracture planes in STA. No. 1~4, the shear joint in STA. No. 4~6, the intrusive contact and shear joint in STA. No. 6~10, and bedding and

shear joint in STA. No. 11~14. The rock properties in each section inside the slope are presented in Table 1. Fig. 2a shows the photo of the slope and Fig. 3 shows the geologic front-view map that displays the rock composition in the slope, discontinuity type, orientation, spacing, and persistence.



Fig. 2. The outcroup photos. (a) The foreground photo for the slope of the study area, (b) The outcroup photo for wedge-failure on shear joints of the slope, (c) The outcroup photo for plane-failure on contact plane at dacite dyke of the slope.



Fig. 3. The unfolded drawing map for geological face-mapping data of the slope.

STA.	Rock type	Classification of discontinuity	Spacing	Persistence	Main discontinuity	Failure type	Risk ranking	Strike slope <sup>1)</sup>	Remarks
No. 1~2	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	N56W	Soil
No. 2~3	Sandstone	Bedding extension joimt	50 cm	100 cm	Extension joimt	-	12	N56W	Rock
No. 3~4	Sandstone Siltstone	Bedding extension joimt shear joint	13 cm	100 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	5	N56W	Rock
No. 4~5	Sandstone Siltstone	Bedding shear joint	10 cm	150 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	4	N34E	Inflow groundwater
No. 5~6	Dacite Sandstone	Bedding shear joint	45 cm	100 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	7	N34E	Rock
No. 6~7	Dacite Sandstone	Bedding shear joint contact dyke	580 cm	-	Contact dyke	Plane	2	N34E	Rock
No. 7~8	Sandstone	Bedding shear joint	31.8 cm	50 cm	Shear joint	Wedge toppling	3	N34E	Rock
No. 8~9	Sandstone	Bedding shear joint	22 cm	80 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	6	N34E	Rock
No. 9~10	Dacite Sandstone	Bedding shear joint contact dyke	550 cm	-	Contact dyke	Plane	1	N34E	Rock
No. 10~11	Sandstone	Bedding shear joint	11.8 cm	100 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	8	N34E	Rock
No. 11~12	Sandstone	Bedding	37 cm	-	Bedding	-	10	N34E	Rock
No. 12~13	Sandstone	Bedding shear joint	8.3 cm	35 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	9	N56W	Rock
No. 13~14	Sandstone Siltstone	Bedding shear joint	20 cm	-	Bedding	-	11	N56W	Rock
No. 14~15	Sandstone	Bedding	20 cm	-	Bedding	-	13	N56W	Soil

Table 1. Characteristics of rocks and their discontinuity on the slope

<sup>1)</sup>Paralled direction of the slope-face.

#### Surface Geology

The rock in the study area was non-marine sediment rock that belonged to the Gyeongsang Supergroup, the Hayang Formation, and the Chilgok Formation deposited in the Cretaceous period of the Mesozoic age (GSK, 1928a, 1928b), and was red in color, which indicated it was formed under an oxidizing environment (Fig. 4). Two intermediate dacites, which were penetrated along the discontinuity surface at a later stage by making the sediment rock as parent rock, were penetrated and distributed several meters wide (Fig. 3).

The bedding plane of red shale, sandstone, and siltstone where the bedding was well developed as a unique feature of sediment rock, was relatively smooth and the bedding plane was highly persistent. Furthermore, the bedding spacing was less than 1 mm, which was very small compared to that of other discontinuity surfaces. However, the spacing was relatively large in partially weathered places (STA. No. 8~12, Table 1).

The shear joint was well persistent, but the spacing was very wide and cracks were rarely found. Several shear joints were partially but clearly developed in the entire slope. The crack of the tension joint was very wide because it was formed due to the maximum principal stress in the perpendicular direction. However, the persistence was bad so that a small scale of rock overturning or fall pattern was revealed in the upper end of the slope (STA. No. 2~4, Table 1).



Fig. 4. Geological map and cross-section map (A-A') of the study area.

# **Stability Analysis**

#### **Discontinuity Properties**

To display the characteristics of the discontinuity developed in the slope, their types, orientation, spacing, persistence, groundwater status, filling and crack. were face-mapped, which are presented in Table 2. The geological cross-sectional view for the represented four sections perpendicular to the slope was created and used as the basic drawings to review the stability of the cross-section in STA. No. 4, STA. No. 7, STA. No. 10, and STA. No. 12 as shown in Fig. 5.

Characteristics Discontinuity	Spacing	Persistence	Roughness	Groundwater	Infilling materials	Aperture
Bedding	20~37 cm	Infinite	Slickenside	Dry	-	0.1 mm
Shear joint	8.3~45 cm	50~150 cm	Planar	Dry	-	<0.1 mm
Extension joint	50 cm	100 cm	Rough	Dry	Calcite	10 mm
Contact dyke	550~580 cm	Infinite	Planar	Dry	Clay minerals	1 mm

Table 2. Data of face-mapping for discontinuities on the slope

#### **Geological Cross-Section**

Based on the face-mapping data perpendicular to the slope (Fig. 3) and face-mapping data at the surrounding rock outcrop, four representative cross-sections were selected to represent the slope focusing on the most vulnerable section or already failure occurred sections. The geological cross-sectional view for each representative cross-section was prepared by assuming that the characteristics of the discontinuity measured at the slope and outcrop (Table 2) are similarly distributed in the geologic cross-section perpendicular to the slope.

The analysis result of the geologic cross-section in Sta. No. 4 showed that the toppling failure occurred along the high-angle joint (Fig. 5a) and the toppling failure also partially occurred in the slope. As shown in Fig. 5b, the analysis result of the geological cross-sectional view in Sta. No. 7 showed that a plane failure occurred along the low-angle joint as well as a wedge failure occurred in the rock block where the intrusive contact of dacite dykes and low-angle joint were met.



Fig. 5. Geological cross-section of (a) Sta. No. 4, (b) Sta. No. 7, (c) Sta. No. 10 and (d) Sta. No. 12 on the cut-slope.

The analysis result of the geologic cross-section in Sta. No. 10 showed that the toppling failure occurred along the high-angle joint, which was the opposite slope direction, (Fig. 5c) and the toppling failure also frequently occurred in the slope. In addition, as shown in Fig. 5d, the analysis result of the geological cross-sectional view in Sta. No. 12 exhibited that a toppling failure was likely to occur along the high-angle joint.

#### **Rock Test**

The physical properties and mechanical tests of eights rocks collected in the representative locations (Fig. 6) inside the slope that reflected the rock type and discontinuity characteristics were conducted. The test items were specific gravity, water content ratio, absorption rate, wet unit volume weight in the physical test, and uniaxial compression and point load strength tests. The results are summarized in Table 3.



Fig. 6. The location map of rock samples on the slope (MG: sample No.).

Sample No.	Absorbtion rate (%)	Point load strength (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Uniaxial compression (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )
MG-s	2.306	1,067.42	-
MG 4-1	0.607	1,572.78	-
MG 6-1	1.477	1,215.59	1,226.28
MG 7-1	1.171	1,088.73	-
MG 10-1	0.775	1,541.17	2,600.44
MG 10-2	1.556	1,678.03	-
MG 12-1	0.611	1,567.46	-
MG 12-2	1.570	1,224.05	1,517.87

Table 3. The result of physical property and mechanics test for rock samples on the slope

#### **Estimation of the Strength Parameter**

To analyze and review the stability of the slope face, a reasonable value was calculated by referring to the face mapping at the surrounding rock outcrop and the slope, preparation of systematic geological cross-sectional views, rock engineering classification (Slope Mass Rating, SMR), physical properties and mechanical tests of the rock, stereonet

projection analysis in the discontinuity, local application cases, and related literature, and then in-situ ground and countermeasure method were applied for each representative cross-section of the slope. After this, the limit equilibrium analysis at the dry and wet periods for the ground was conducted.

There are many ways to divide rocks by grade but for slopes, the SMR classification method is useful. Thus, SMR classification values for each section of the slope are contained in detail in Table 4 by summarizing the face mapping results at the slope and surrounding rock outcrop and rock's physical property test results. The calculated results had good SMR to very poor SMR rocks. However, very poor rock rating was calculated in many representative cross-sections where the prior failure occurred, which belonged to poor rocks on average (Table 4).

Because cohesion (c) is considered in the stability analysis of the rock slope, stability is interpreted much more conservatively than the actual value. Thus, a nearly constant 4.0  $t/m^2$  value was applied, and for value, value was applied in the analysis considering the unconfined compression strength obtained in the point load strength test and rock status in the field because the strength may vary depending on the discontinuity condition in the field, insulation, and discontinuity spacing, weathering degree, and roughness, etc.

STA.	Spacing	Persis- tence	Main discontinuity	Failure type	Compressional strength (kgf/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Roughness	Ground- water	Angle between slope-face and discontinuity	Score <sup>1)</sup>	Rating <sup>2)</sup>
No. 1~2	30 cm	-	Bedding	-	250~500	Slickenside	Dry	Oblique	32	III
No. 2~3	50 cm	100 cm	Extension joint	-	500~1,000	Rough	Dry	Oblique	45	Π
No. 3~4	13 cm	100 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	500~1,000	Planar	Dry	Subparallel	8	V
No. 4~5	10 cm	150 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	500~1,000	Planar	Dry	Subparallel	-3	V
No. 5~6	45 cm	100 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	500~1,000	Planar	Dry	Oblique	40	II
No. 6~7	580 cm	-	Contact dyke	Planar	500~1,000	Slickenside	Dry	Parallel	2	V
No. 7~8	31.8 cm	50 cm	Shear joint	Wedge oppling	250~500	Slickenside	Dry	Subparallel	7	V
No. 8~9	22 cm	80 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	250~500	Planar	Dry	Oblique	27	III
No. 9~10	550 cm	-	Contact dyke	Planar	500~1,000	Slickenside	Dry	Parallel	2	V
No. 10~11	11.8 cm	100 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	250~500	Rough	Dry	Oblique	35	III
No. 11~12	37 cm	-	Bedding	-	250~500	Planar	Dry	Oblique	37	Π
No. 12~13	8.3 cm	35 cm	Shear joint	Toppling	250~500	Planar	Dry	Subparallel	10	V
No. 13~14	20 cm	-	Bedding	-	250~500	Slickenside	Dry	Oblique	32	III
No. 14~15	20 cm	-	Bedding	-	50~250	Planar	Dry	Oblique	30	III

Table 4. The result of SMR on the slope

<sup>1)</sup>SMR classification result value; <sup>2)</sup>SMR classification result grade.

Thus, the internal friction angle was set to a range of  $33\sim35^{\circ}$  based on the evaluation value calculated by rock-quality designation (RQD) for the SMR value and face-mapping result, literature data, and indoor test data of absorption rate, uniaxial compression test, and point load strength test to analyze the stability of the slope. In STA. No. 4, cohesion and internal friction angle were set to 5 t/m<sup>2</sup> and 35° and in other sections, cohesion and internal friction angle were set to 4 t/m<sup>2</sup> and 33°. Table 5 presents the strength applied to the rock of the slope for the review of the slope stability.

No.	Unit volume weight (t/m <sup>3</sup> )	Cohesionc (t/m <sup>2</sup> )	Internal friction angle $\phi$ (°)
STA. No. 4	2.4	5	35
STA. No. 1~3 STA. No. 5~15	2.4	4	33

Table 5. Applied input-parameters on the slope

## **Stability Review**

#### Stereonet Projection Analysis

To review the slope stability, overall characteristics and failure types of the main discontinuity in four representative cross-sections were analyzed (Table 6) and stereographic projections of given discontinuities were conducted to estimate a possible failure direction. The stereographic projection drawing (equal-area lower-hemisphere projection) of bedding, shear joint, tension joint, and intrusive contact were created and the results are shown in Fig. 7.

The failure size of the rock body was  $0.2 \sim 0.3 \text{ m}^3$  on average but the failure size of STA. No. 6~8 cross-section (in case that the intrusive contact zone was distributed) was  $2 \sim 3 \text{ m}^3$ , which was relatively larger. The load of the failure rock body was around 6 ton  $\cdot$  m on average. The sliding direction of the failure rock body showed that in the case of STA. No. 4, STA. No. 10, and STA. No. 12 cross-sections, the toppling failure along the shear joint surface was expected to occur in the gravity direction, and in the case of STA. No. 7 cross-section, the wedge failure along with the intrusive contact and shear joint surface in the  $60^\circ$  southeast direction, and the toppling failure along with the shear joint surface in the gravity direction.

Representative	STA No 4	STA No. 7	STA No. 10	STA No 12	
Section	51A. No. 4	51A. No. 7	51A. No. 10	51A. NO. 12	
Range	STA. No 3~5	STA. No. 6~8	STA. No. 10~11	STA. No. 12~13	
Position	Тор	Central~Top	Тор	Тор	
Height (m)	27.35	24.11	17.24	17.24	
Name rock	Sandstone	Dacite	Sandstone	Sandstone	
	Siltstone	Sandstone	Sundstone		
Thickness soil (m)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Discontinuity	Bedding shear joint	Contact dyke bedding shear joint	Bedding shear joint	Bedding shear joint	
Dip-direction of slope face	034/85	124/85	124/85	214/85	
SMR	Class V	Class V	Class V	Class V	
Type failure	Toppling	Planar wedge toppling	Toppling	Toppling	
Wethering	Weathered	Moderate	Weathered	Weathered	
Stability	Danger	Very dangerous	Danger	Slightly dangerous	

Table 6. The result of slope stability and geological characteristics by section on the slope



Fig. 7. The stereonet projection map of discontinuities at (a) Sta. No. 1~4, (b) Sta. No. 4~9, (c) Sta. No. 9~12 and (d) Sta. No. 12~15 on the slope.

As presented in Table 6, Sections STA. No. 3~5 and STA. No. 10~11 showed an unstable state and Sections STA. No. 6~8 exhibited a highly unstable state and Sections STA. No. 12~13 revealed a somewhat unstable state. Thus, a countermeasure method is required overall to improve the stability of the slope.

#### Limit Equilibrium Analysis

To conduct a stability analysis of the slope, the strength, which was derived in Section 3.4 for the STA. No. 4, STA. No. 7, STA. No. 10, and STA. No. 12 cross-sections, was used as an input value to calculate a safety factor of the representative slope during the dry and wet periods as mentioned earlier. TALREN 5.0 program was used in the limit equilibrium analysis. The period was divided into dry and wet periods and a safety factor analysis was conducted in the in-situ ground for each representative cross-section and only the results in STA. No. 7 are shown in Fig. 8 due to the limited space in this paper. Table 7 summarizes the safety factor at the dry and wet periods for each representative cross-section of the in-situ ground in the slope. The analysis results of the in-situ ground slope revealed that the safety factors in both dry and wet periods did not satisfy the allowable safety factors, which required a countermeasure method.



Fig. 8. The result of safety factor (Fs) at (a) STA. No. 7 on the slope in the dry season and (b) STA. No. 7 on the slope in the rainy season.

No.	Dry	Doiny	Allowable safety value (Fs)		
		Kalliy	Dry	Rainy	
STA. No. 4	0.63	0.12	1.5	1.2	
STA. No. 7	0.92	0.42	1.5	1.2	
STA. No. 10	0.86	0.39	1.5	1.2	
STA. No. 12	1.22	0.72	1.5	1.2	

Table 7. Safety factor (Fs) by section on the slope

#### **Countermeasure Method**

The failure type for each representative cross-section found through the geological cross-sectional view analysis and analysis results of stereonet projection analysis exhibited that a small scale  $(1~2 \text{ m}^3)$  of rockfall in the upper side or a toppling failure along the shear joint surface was expected in the gravity direction and a wedge failure along the joint surface was also expected in the southeast direction for some. These results indicated that applying the slope gradient relief method, which was proven and economical considering the field circumstance overall, would be most reasonable. It was reasonable that the STA. No. 3~5 sections were needed to be cut to have the slope gradient gentler than 1:0.6, and the STA. No. 6~8 sections were needed to be cut to have the gradient gentler than 1:1 (Fig. 9a), and the STA. No. 10~13



**Fig. 9.** The result of safety factor (Fs) at (a) STA. No. 7 after relief of the gradient on the slope in the rainy season, (b) STA. No. 10 after relief of the gradient on the slope in the rainy season and (c) STA. No. 12 after relief of the gradient on the slope in the rainy season.

sections were needed to be cut to have the gradient gentler than 1:0.6 (Fig. 9b & c). In addition, a 10 m-high and 1 m-wide step was needed to be installed according to the design code. Moreover, a rockfall prevention fence was needed to be installed on the slope and green soil surface treatment (t = 10 cm) was needed to be installed. Table 8 presents the stability review results after a slope relief method was applied using the set strength integer.

Ne	Gradient –	Safety fa	Domoniza	
INO.		Dry	Rainy	Kemarks
STA. No. 4	1:1	1.44	1.11	
STA. No. 7	1:1	1.78	1.15	Allowable safety value
OTA N. 10	1:0.8	1.76	1.13	Fs = 1.5 (dry)
51A. No. 10	1:1	1.94	1.32	Fs = 1.2 (rainy)
STA. No. 12	1:1	1.91	1.27	

Table 8. Safety factor (Fs) by section after relief of the gradient on the slope

#### Discussion

The countermeasure method according to the slope stability analysis results can be proposed variably according to the slope ground characteristics and field conditions, but ensuring the stability should be top prioritized. In addition, economic feasibility was also not neglected. In particular, the environmental factor along with safety should also be regarded importantly when the slope ground and critical national structures were adjacent in downtown as in the study area.

Furthermore, studies on the slope stability in Korea and overseas proposed limit equilibrium analysis and stability review results after generally setting the highest part of the slope height as a representative cross-section focusing only on a single slope despite that the pattern of rocks and discontinuities in a slope can be developed variably depending on a location let alone the improvement of generally used survey method, the proposal of the diversity of analysis modeling, and regional case studies, etc. For example, dividing the slope into grid cells to evaluate stability (Jang et al., 2008), a study indicating that a square-inventory method can predict a more precise failure type than a scanline survey (Cheong and Choi, 2009b), an example of stability review by presenting one of the representative cross-sections in the slope with a geological cross-sectional view (Ihm, 2016; Ihm and Park, 2017), a study on cause analysis and countermeasure of collapse after dividing discontinuity types in a slope (Park et al., 2017), a case that analyzed landslide stability using a 3D limit equilibrium method (Seo et al., 2008), and a study on 3D slope stability analysis considering a dual-lithology slope (Seo et al., 2011) have been proposed.

Thus, the preparation of systematic geological cross-sectional views has been part of the stability review method that can fully consider the inhomogeneity of slopes and anisotropy of discontinuities. As a result, slope face mapping and face mapping data of surrounding rocks have been widely used. To fully consider the fact that various geological features were distributed as the slope strike changed based on the slope face mapping data and types of discontinuities, orientation, and geotechnical characteristics can be revealed variably depending on a section, this study selected several representative cross-sections perpendicular to the slope and created and analyzed geological cross-sectional views. The

geological cross-sectional views were created using a geological mapping method based on the assumption that the distribution of rocks and discontinuities revealed in the geological cross-sectional views would be similar to that of geological cross-sectional views of a section where the orientation, spacing, persistence, and cracks were the same. Although whether a failure was present and failure types can be identified using a stereonet projection analysis, accurate 3D stability reviews of slopes can be performed if each of the representative cross-sections was more finely represented in geological cross-sectional views. Furthermore, more geological cross-sectional views of narrower spacing in a single slope were used as basic cross-sections in the limited equilibrium analysis, more precise and reasonable slope stability review can be presented. That is, this study recommends that at least one geological cross-sectional view should be created in a 20 m-wide section when the orientation of the slope changes in several directions, the rocks are heterogeneous, the types and orientations of the discontinuities show various anisotropy or important geological structural elements such as faults are developed in the section, and it is desirable to mandatorily set a geological cross-sectional view as a basic drawing for representative cross-sections used in the limited equilibrium analysis.

### Conclusions

This study targeted a sedimentary rock slope as a rock cut-slope close to a downtown, which had adjacent public structures and was located in the Cretaceous Gyeongsang Supergroup whose slope failure risk was high. The slope strike was characterized by changes more than 90° from northwest to northeast and then going back to the northwest. The rock in the slope was composed of sandstone, siltstone, and dyke, and bedding, shear joint, tension joint, and dyke contact were developed in the discontinuities. The type and scale of the failure by a section were various depending on the rock type and discontinuity orientation, but a toppling failure was dominant and the size of the failure rock body was  $0.002 \sim 3 \text{ m}^3$ . The load of the failure rock body was calculated to be around 6 ton  $\cdot$  m on average. The results of stereonet projection analysis exhibited that a toppling failure along the shear joint surface was expected to occur in the gravity direction and a wedge failure along with the shear joint surface in the 60° southeast direction regarding the fall direction of the failure rock body. The comprehensive and review results using face mapping data, SMR, rock's physical properties, and mechanical tests, stereographic projection method, analysis of geological cross-sectional views by a section, and a limited equilibrium analysis showed that the safety factors of all four representative cross-sections were far short of the allowable safety factor at the dry and wet periods, which were Fs = 1.5 and Fs = 1.2, respectively. Thus, a countermeasure method was needed. Accordingly, the first proposal was to use slope gradient relief method, the second proposal was to use slope gradient relief method and surface treatment method, and the third proposal was to use slope arrangement, two-layer installation of rockfall prevention fence, and partial installation of rockfall prevention netting as the countermeasure method considering economic feasibility, efficiency, and field characteristics, etc. After applying the slope gradient relief method, which was judged reasonable among them, both safety factors at the dry and wet periods exceeded the allowable safety factors. In particular, the preparation of slope face mapping data and geological cross-sectional views of several representative sections perpendicular to the slope, and setting the geological cross-sectional views to basic drawings in the limit equilibrium analysis, as well as the proposal of reasonable countermeasure method will be an objective tool used in slope's 3D analysis and stability review. Furthermore, geological cross sections can be used as foundational data of performance evaluation in the slope maintenance viewpoint in the future.

# Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Korea Agency for Infrastructure Technology Advancement under the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport of the Korean government (Project Number: 21SCIP-C151408-03).

# References

- Chae, B.G., Park, K.B., Park, H.J., Choi, J.H., Kim, M.I., 2012, Analysis of slope stability considering the saturation depth ratio by rainfall infiltration in unsaturated soil, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 22(3), 343-351 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Cheong, S.W., Choi, B.R., 2009a, Comparative study of square-inventory method with scanline survey in slope stability analysis, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 19(2), 119-129 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Cheong, S.W., Choi, B.R., 2009b, Statistical study of failure-modes around the Pibanryeong region, Boeun-Gun, Chungbuk, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 19(4), 517-528 (in Korean with English abstract).
- GSK (Geological Survey of Korea), 1928a, Geological map of Korea, 1:50,000, Daegu sheet, 45p (in Korean with English abstract).
- GSK (Geological Survey of Korea), 1928b, Geological map of Korea, 1:50,000, Waegwan sheet, 45p (in Korean with English abstract).
- Hwang, Y.C., 2002, Consideration of the plane-failure condition of rock slopes according to failure characteristics in Korea, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 12(3), 295-303 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Ihm, M.H., 2016, Case study of slope failure on fault planes in Cheongryangsan formation due to ground excavation, Proceedings of the Engineering Geological Society of Korea 2016 Fall Conference (in Korean with English abstract).
- Ihm, M.H., 2021, Countermeasures for slope stability on coal-seam bearing mudstone using the geological cross-sections, Tunnel & Underground Space, 31(2), 98-110 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Ihm, M.H., Park, J.Y., 2017, Study on the geological characteristics and slope stability of Nammyeom reservoir in Bonghwa county, Kyungpook province, Tunnel & Underground Space, 27(2), 77-88 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Jang, B.A., Poong, B.H., Jang, H.S., 2008, Analysis of slope stability using cell unit evaluation, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 18(4), 405-414 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Jang, B.A., Sung, S.K., Jang, H.S., 2010, Probability analysis of rock slope stability using zoning and discontinuity persistence as parameters, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 20(2), 155-167 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Jeong, N.S., You, K.H., Park, H.J., 2011, Stability analysis of landslide using probabilistic analysis method in the Boeun area, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 21(3), 247-257 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Jo, H.J., Jo, H.Y., Jeong, K.M., 2010, Modeling study for effects of hydrothermal clay vein on slope stability, Economic Environmental Geology, 43(2), 185-196 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Kim, H.G., Kim, S.H., Ok, Y.S., Koo, H.B., 2012a, Case study of a stability analysis of a granitoid slope in the Gansung-Hyunnae area GangwonDo, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 22(3), 331-341 (in Korean with English abstract).

Kim, J.H., Baek, Y., Koo, H.B., Park, K.B., 2012b, Use of the risk score for the effective management of cut slopes, The

Journal of Engineering Geology, 22(2), 223-231 (in Korean with English abstract).

- Kim, S.H., Koo, H.B., Hwang, J.Y., Son, M., 2011, Case study on the cause of failure and characteristics of soil at a collapsed cut-slope at the  $\bigcirc\bigcirc$  detour, Jeonranam-Do, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 21(4), 313-322 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Ko, K.T., Choi, J.H., Kim, Y.S., 2012, Effects of geological structures on slope stability: An example from the Northwestern part of Daegu, Korea, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 22(1), 1-13 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Koo, H.B., Kim, H.J., Lee, D.Y., 2003, Slope stability analysis and suggestion of stabilization methods on failed cut-slope interbedded with weakness layer, 2003, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 13(2), 157-170 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Lee, J.Y., Rhee, J.H., Oak, Y.S., Koo, H.B., 2012, Consideration of minimum safety factors for cut-slope infiltration analysis, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 22(4), 375-385 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Lee, M.S., Kim, G.W., 2009, Relationship between rainfall intensity and slope stability based on numerical analysis, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 19(1), 25-31 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Oak, Y.S., Shin, S.H., Kim, S.H., Koo, H.B., 2013, Development of slope failure prediction interval model based on inverse velocity, Journal of Geological Society of Korea, 49(2), 267-273 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Park, B.S., Cho, H., Park, D.I., Kim, J.H., Choi, J.H., 2017, Case study on rock slope failures caused by geologic structures, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 27(4), 417-427 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Park, S.W., Park, H.J., 2010, Probabilistic stability and sensitivity analysis for a failed rock slope using a Monte Carlo simulation, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 20(4), 437-447 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Park, Y.M., Ihm, M.H., 1997, Report of "Reinforcement for rock fall and landslide risk area", Daegu Office of Construction and Management, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transportation in Korea (in Korean with English abstract).
- Park, Y.M., Ihm, M.H., 1998, Report of "Study of rock slope stability and reinforcement on National Road No. 7 at Youngdeok area", Busan Office of Construction and Management, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transportation in Korea (in Korean with English abstract).
- Seo, Y.S., Kim, S.K., Lee, K.M., 2008, 3-D slope stability analysis on influence of grounwater level changes in Oksan landslide area, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 18(2), 167-173 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Seo, Y.S., Lee, K.M., Kim, K.Y., 2011, 3-D slope stability analysis of a dual-lithology slope, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 21(1), 57-64 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Song, Y.S., Hong, W.O., 2011, Analysis of slope stability with consideration of the wetting front and groundwater level during rainfall, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 21(1), 25-34 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Sunwoo, C., Jung, Y.B., Choi, Y.S., Park, H.D., 2010, Failure prediction for weak rock slopes in a large open-pit mine by GPS measurements and assessment of landslide susceptibility, The Journal of Engineering Geology, 20(3), 243-255 (in Korean with English abstract).