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# EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTION FOR A SEMIPOSITONE SYSTEM WITH INTEGRAL BOUNDARY VALUES 
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#### Abstract

We establish the existence of a positive solution to a semipositone system with integral boundary condition for the large value of the parameter involved in the system. We prove our results by using sub and super solution argument.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of a positive radial solution to the following semipositone system with nonlocal boundary values on an exterior domain:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=\lambda K_{1}(|x|) f_{1}(u(x), v(x)), & x \in \Omega_{e}  \tag{1}\\ -\Delta v=\lambda K_{2}(|x|) f_{2}(u(x), v(x)), & x \in \Omega_{e} \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0, v(x) \rightarrow 0, & \text { if }|x| \rightarrow \infty \\ u(x)=\int_{\Omega_{e}} l_{1}(|y|) v(y) d y, & \text { if }|x|=r_{0} \\ v(x)=\int_{\Omega_{e}} l_{2}(|y|) u(y) d y, & \text { if }|x|=r_{0}\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega_{e}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|>r_{0}\right.$ for $\left.r_{0}>0, N \geq 3\right\}, \lambda$ is a positive parameter, $K_{i} \in C\left(\left(r_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$ is such that $\int_{r_{0}}^{\infty} r^{\nu_{i}} K_{i}(r) d r<\infty$ for some $\nu_{i}>1, f_{i} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $l_{i} \in L^{1}\left(\Omega_{e}\right)$ is a nonnegative function satisfying $0<w_{N} r_{0}^{N-2} \int_{r_{0}}^{\infty} r l_{i}(r) d r<1$ for each $i=1,2$ when $w_{N}$ is the surface area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

[^0]Such differential equations with an integral boundary condition arise in various areas of applied mathematics and physics like heat conduction, chemical engineering, underground water flow, thermo-elasticity and plasma phenomena. One may refer to [4], [11] - [14] and [16] for integral boundary value problems and the references therein.

Note that the change of variables $r=|x|$ and $t=\left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{2-N}$ transforms (1) into:

$$
\begin{cases}-u^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lambda a_{1}(t) f_{1}(u(t), v(t)), & t \in(0,1),  \tag{2}\\ -v^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lambda a_{2}(t) f_{2}(u(t), v(t)), & t \in(0,1), \\ u(0)=0=v(0), & \\ u(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(s) v(s) d s, \\ v(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g_{2}(s) u(s) d s,\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}(t)=\left(\frac{1}{N-2}\right)^{2} r_{0}^{2} t^{\frac{-2(N-1)}{N-2}} K_{i}\left(r_{0} t^{\frac{-1}{N-2}}\right) \\
& g_{i}(t)=w_{N}\left(\frac{1}{N-2}\right) r_{0}^{N} t^{\frac{-2(N-1)}{N-2}} l_{i}\left(r_{0} t^{\frac{-1}{N-2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i} \in C((0,1),[0, \infty))$ is such that $\int_{0}^{1} s^{\alpha_{i}}(1-s)^{\beta_{i}} a_{i}(s) d s<\infty$ for some $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in(0,1)$ and a nonnegative function $g_{i} \in L^{1}(0,1)$ is such that $0<$ $\int_{0}^{1} s g_{i}(s) d s<1$ for each $i=1,2$. We know that the existence of positive solutions for the system (2) guarantees the existence of positive radial solutions for (1). Hence we focus on the system (2) to investigate solutions for (1).

Nonlocal boundary value problems have been widely studied especially on a compact interval. The authors in [11] and [12] have established extensive works of nonlocal boundary value problems involving integral conditions. Some existence results are considered in [1], [2], [3], [8], [9] and [13] by applying the fixed point theorem, mixed monotone method, monotone iterative method and fixed point index under the condition either $f_{i}(0,0)=0$ or $f(0,0)>0$. In [15], the existence of positive solutions for a semipositone (i.e. $f_{i}(0,0)<0$ ) differential system has been established when the boundary condition is local. To the best of our knowledge, the existence of a positive solution for the semipositone system with nonlocal boundary condition has not been treated. In this paper, we study the existence of a positive solution for a semipositone system with integral boundary conditions when a parameter involved in the system varies. We establish our result by sub and super solution argument.

In this article, we assume the following hypotheses on $f_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.
$(H 1) f_{1}(t, s)$ and $f_{2}(t, s)$ are quasimonotone increasing with respect to $s$ and $t$, respectively, (i.e., $f_{1}\left(t, s_{1}\right) \leq f_{1}\left(t, s_{2}\right)$ for $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$ and $f_{2}\left(t_{1}, s\right) \leq$ $f_{2}\left(t_{2}, s\right)$ for $\left.t_{1} \leq t_{2}\right)$.
(H2) $f_{i}(0,0)<0$, for $i=1,2$.
(H3) $\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} f_{i}(u, v)=\infty$ and $f_{i, \infty}:=\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{i}(u, v)}{u+v}=0$, for $i=1,2$.
$(H 4) \underline{a_{i}}:=\inf _{t \in(0,1)} a_{i}(t)>0$ and there exist $d>0$ and $\gamma \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
a_{i}(t) \leq \frac{d}{t^{\gamma}} \text { for } t \in(0,1) \text { and } i=1,2
$$

Now we state our main result precisely.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $(H 1) \sim(H 4)$. The problem (2) has at least one positive solution for $\lambda \gg 1$.

For the problem (1), we have the following corresponding result.
Corollary 1.2. Assume that $(H 1) \sim(H 3)$ and
$\left(H 4^{\prime}\right) \frac{K_{i}}{(0, N-2) \text { such that }}:=\inf _{r \in\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)} r^{2(N-2)} K_{i}(r)>0$ and there exist $\tilde{d}>0$ and $\eta \in$

$$
K_{i}(t) \leq \frac{\tilde{d}}{r^{N+\eta}} \text { for } r \in\left(r_{0}, \infty\right) \text { and } i=1,2
$$

The problem (1) has at least one positive radial solution for $\lambda \gg 1$.
The paper is organized as follows: In the next section we introduce the sub and super solution theorem. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main result, Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Prelimiaries

We introduce a theorem for sub and supersolutions to the system (2). First, we state the following definition of subsolution and supersolution of the system (2).

Definition 1. We say that $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ is a subsolution of problem $(2)$ if $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \in$ $C^{2}(0,1) \times C^{2}(0,1)$ with satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\psi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \lambda a_{1}(t) f_{1}\left(\psi_{1}(t), \psi_{2}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1) \\
-\psi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \lambda a_{2}(t) f_{2}\left(\psi_{1}(t), \psi_{2}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1) \\
\psi_{1}(0) \leq 0, \psi_{2}(0) \leq 0 \\
\psi_{1}(1) \leq \int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(s) \psi_{2}(s) d s \\
\psi_{2}(1) \leq \int_{0}^{1} g_{2}(s) \psi_{1}(s) d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

We also say that $\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)$ is a supersolution of problem (2) if $\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \in C^{2}(0,1) \times$ $C^{2}(0,1)$ with satisfying the reverse of the above inequalities.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that ( $H 1$ ) and there exist a subsolution $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ and a supersolution $\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)$ of the problem (2) such that $\left(\psi_{1}(t), \psi_{2}(t)\right) \leq\left(\zeta_{1}(t), \zeta_{2}(t)\right)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. Then (2) has at least one solution $(u, v)$ such that

$$
\left(\psi_{1}(t), \psi_{2}(t)\right) \leq(u(t), v(t)) \leq\left(\zeta_{1}(t), \zeta_{2}(t)\right) \text { for all } t \in[0,1] \text {. }
$$

Proof. See the Appendix in [8].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that (H3) holds. Let us define

$$
\tilde{f}_{i}(s, t)=\max _{(u, v) \in[0, s] \times[0, t]} f_{i}(u, v) \text { for each }(s, t) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) .
$$

Then the followings are true: for each $i=1,2$,
(i) $f_{i}(u, v) \leq \tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)$ for all $(u, v) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)$,
(ii) $\tilde{f}_{i}$ is nondecreasing (i.e., $\tilde{f}_{i}\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right) \leq \tilde{f}_{i}\left(s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ for $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right) \leq\left(s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ ),
(iii) $\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)=\infty$ and
(iv) $\tilde{f}_{i, \infty}:=\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)}{u+v}=0$.

Proof. It is obvious that $f_{i}(u, v) \leq \tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)$ for each $(u, v) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)$. Let $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(s_{2}, t_{2}\right) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)$ be with $\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right) \leq\left(s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$. As $\left[0, s_{1}\right] \times\left[0, t_{1}\right] \subset$ $\left[0, s_{2}\right] \times\left[0, t_{2}\right]$, we know $\tilde{f}_{i}\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right) \leq \tilde{f}_{i}\left(s_{2}, t_{2}\right)$. Thus, $\tilde{f}$ is nondecreasing for each $i=1,2$. Next, since $\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} f_{i}(u, v)=\infty$ and $f_{i}(u, v) \leq \tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)$ for all $(u, v) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)$, we have $\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)=\infty$. Now, it remains to show that $\tilde{f}_{i, \infty}=\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)}{u+v}=0$. From (H3), for given $\epsilon>0$, there exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{i}(u, v)}{u+v}<\epsilon \text { for } u+v>K \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take a set $D:=\{(s, t) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \mid s+t \leq K\}$ and let $M_{i}:=\max _{(s, t) \in D} f_{i}(s, t)$, and then we define $h_{i}:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by $h_{i}(s, t)=\max \left\{\epsilon(s+t), M_{i}\right\}$. Now, we claim that

$$
h_{i}(s, t) \geq \tilde{f}_{i}(s, t) \text { for all }(s, t) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)
$$

Indeed, if $(s, t) \in D$, we find that

$$
h_{i}(s, t) \geq M_{i}=\max _{(u, v) \in D} f_{i}(u, v) \geq \max _{(u, v) \in[0, s] \times[0, t]} f_{i}(u, v)=\tilde{f}_{i}(s, t)
$$

since $[0, s] \times[0, t] \subset D$ from $(s, t) \in D$. If $(s, t) \in D^{c} \cap[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{i}(s, t) & =\max \left\{\epsilon(s+t), M_{i}\right\}=\max \left\{\max _{(u, v) \in[0, s] \times[0, t]} \epsilon(u+v), M_{i}\right\} \\
& \geq \max \left\{\max _{(u, v) \in D^{c} \cap([0, s] \times[0, t])} \epsilon(u+v), M_{i}\right\} \\
& >\max \left\{\max _{(u, v) \in D^{c} \cap([0, s] \times[0, t])} f_{i}(u, v), \max _{(u, v) \in D} f_{i}(u, v)\right\} \\
& \geq \max \left\{\max _{(u, v) \in D^{c} \cap([0, s] \times[0, t])} f_{i}(u, v), \max _{(u, v) \in D \cap([0, s] \times[0, t])} f_{i}(u, v)\right\} \\
& =\tilde{f}_{i}(s, t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (3) in the second inequality. Choosing $N>0$ such that $\epsilon N>$ $\max \left\{M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}$, it follows that for $u+v>N$,

$$
\frac{\tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)}{u+v} \leq \frac{h_{i}(u, v)}{u+v}=\frac{\epsilon(u+v)}{u+v}=\epsilon .
$$

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $(H 2),(H 3)$ and (H4) hold. Then there exists a subsolution $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ of the problem (2) for $\lambda \gg 1$.

Proof. Consider the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lambda \phi(t),  \tag{4}\\
\phi(0)=0=\phi(1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\phi_{1} \in C^{2}[0,1]$ be the eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of (4) such that $\phi_{1}(t)>0 ; t \in(0,1)$. Then, there exists $d_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\phi_{1}(t) \leq d_{1} t(1-t) \text { for } t \in(0,1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma \in(1,2-\gamma), \epsilon>0, m>0$ and $\mu>0$ be such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
-m>\left[\lambda_{1} \sigma \phi_{1}^{2}-\sigma(\sigma-1)\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right] \text { in }(0, \epsilon] \cup[1-\epsilon, 1) \text { and }  \tag{6}\\
\phi_{1}>\mu \text { in }(\epsilon, 1-\epsilon) \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

This is possible since $\phi_{1}=0$ and $\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}\right|>0$ at $t=0,1$.
Let us denote $\underline{f_{i}}:=\min _{(s, t) \in[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)} f_{i}(s, t)$. Clearly, $\underline{f_{i}}<0$ for each $i=1,2$. Now we define $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=\left(\lambda k_{0} \phi_{1}^{\sigma}, \lambda k_{0} \phi_{1}^{\sigma}\right)$, where $k_{0}>0$ is chosen so that $-k_{0}<\frac{d_{1}^{2-\sigma} d}{m} \min \left\{\underline{f_{1}}, \underline{f_{2}}\right\}$. Then, we have $-\psi_{i}^{\prime}=-\lambda k_{0} \sigma \phi_{1}^{\sigma-1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}$, which yields
$-\psi_{i}^{\prime \prime}=-\lambda k_{0} \sigma(\sigma-1) \phi_{1}^{\sigma-2}\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\lambda k_{0} \sigma \phi_{1}^{\sigma-1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\lambda\left[\lambda_{1} k_{0} \sigma \phi_{1}^{\sigma}-k_{0} \sigma(\sigma-1) \frac{\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\phi_{1}^{2-\sigma}}\right]$
as $\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-\lambda_{1} \phi_{1}$. For $t \in(0, \epsilon]$, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\psi_{i}^{\prime \prime} & =\lambda\left[\lambda_{1} k_{0} \sigma \phi_{1}^{\sigma}-k_{0} \sigma(\sigma-1) \frac{\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\phi_{1}^{2-\sigma}}\right] \\
& =\frac{\lambda k_{0}}{\phi_{1}^{2-\sigma}}\left[\lambda_{1} \sigma \phi_{1}^{2}-\sigma(\sigma-1)\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq-\frac{\lambda k_{0} m}{\phi_{1}^{2-\sigma}} \leq \frac{-\lambda k_{0} m}{d_{1}^{2-\sigma} t^{2-\sigma}(1-t)^{2-\sigma}} \\
& \leq \frac{-\lambda k_{0} m}{d_{1}^{2-\sigma} t^{\gamma}} \leq \frac{-\lambda k_{0} a_{i}(t) m}{d_{1}^{2-\sigma} d} \\
& \leq \lambda a_{i}(t) \min \left\{\underline{f_{1}}, \underline{\left.f_{2}\right\}}\right. \\
& \leq \lambda a_{i}(t) f_{i}\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

using (5), (6) and the condition (H4). A similar argument holds for $t \in[1-\epsilon, 1)$.
Let $t \in(\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)$. As (7) and $\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} f_{i}(u, v)=\infty$, it holds

$$
f_{i}\left(\lambda k_{0} \phi_{1}^{\sigma}(t), \lambda k_{0} \phi_{1}^{\sigma}(t)\right) \geq \frac{1}{\underline{a_{i}}} \lambda_{1} k_{0} \sigma \phi_{1}^{\sigma}(t) \text { for } \lambda \gg 1 .
$$

Thus, for such a $\lambda \gg 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\psi_{i}^{\prime \prime} & =\lambda\left[\lambda_{1} k_{0} \sigma \phi_{1}^{\sigma}-k_{0} \sigma(\sigma-1) \frac{\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\phi_{1}^{2-\sigma}}\right] \\
& \leq \lambda \lambda_{1} k_{0} \sigma \phi_{1}^{\sigma}(t) \\
& \leq \lambda \underline{a_{i}} f_{i}\left(\lambda k_{0} \phi_{1}^{\sigma}(t), \lambda k_{0} \phi_{1}^{\sigma}(t)\right) \\
& \leq \lambda a_{i}(t) f_{i}\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, it is easy to see $\psi_{i}(0)=\lambda k_{0} \phi_{1}^{\sigma}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1}(1)=\lambda k_{0} \phi_{1}^{\sigma}(1)=0 \leq \int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(s) \psi_{2}(s) d s \\
& \psi_{2}(1)=\lambda k_{0} \phi_{1}^{\sigma}(1)=0 \leq \int_{0}^{1} g_{2}(s) \psi_{1}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

since $g_{i}$ and $\psi_{i}$ are nonnegative functions. Thus, $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ is a subsolution of the problem (2) for $\lambda \gg 1$.

Lemma 3.3. Assume (H3). For each $\lambda>0$, there exists a positive real number $M(\lambda) \gg 1$ such that $\left(M(\lambda) e_{1}, M(\lambda) e_{2}\right)$ is a supersolution of problem (2), where
$\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is the unique positive solution of

$$
\begin{cases}-e_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)=a_{1}(t), & t \in(0,1), \\ -e_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=a_{2}(t), & t \in(0,1), \\ e_{1}(0)=0=e_{2}(0) & \\ e_{1}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(s) e_{2}(s) d s, & \\ e_{2}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g_{2}(s) e_{1}(s) d s & \end{cases}
$$

and the exact form of $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is in [10].
Proof. From Lemma 3.1, we recall that $f_{i}(u, v) \leq \tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)$ for all $(u, v) \in[0, \infty) \times$ $[0, \infty), \tilde{f}_{i}$ are nondecreasing, $\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)=\infty$ and $\tilde{f}_{i, \infty}=\lim _{u+v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{f}_{i}(u, v)}{u+v}=0$, for $i=1,2$. Thus we can choose $M(\lambda) \gg 1$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda\left(\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|e_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\right)} \geq \frac{\tilde{f}_{i}\left(M(\lambda)\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{\infty}, M(\lambda)\left\|e_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\right)}{M(\lambda)\left(\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|e_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\right)}
$$

Now we define $\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)=\left(M(\lambda) e_{1}, M(\lambda) e_{2}\right)$. Then it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\zeta_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t)=-M(\lambda) e_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) & =M(\lambda) a_{i}(t) \\
& \geq \lambda a_{i}(t) \tilde{f}_{i}\left(M(\lambda)\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{\infty}, M(\lambda)\left\|e_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
& \geq \lambda a_{i}(t) \tilde{f}_{i}\left(M(\lambda) e_{1}(t), M(\lambda) e_{2}(t)\right) \\
& \geq \lambda a_{i}(t) f_{i}\left(\zeta_{1}(t), \zeta_{2}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, it is easy to see that $\zeta_{i}(0)=M(\lambda) e_{i}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{1}(1)=M(\lambda) e_{1}(1)=M(\lambda) \int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(s) e_{2}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(s) \zeta_{2}(s) d s \\
& \zeta_{2}(1)=M(\lambda) e_{2}(1)=M(\lambda) \int_{0}^{1} g_{2}(s) e_{1}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{1} g_{2}(s) \zeta_{1}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left(M(\lambda) e_{1}, M(\lambda) e_{2}\right)$ is a supersolution of problem (2).

## Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. For $\lambda \gg 1$, by Lemma 3.2, there exists a subsolution $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ of problem (2) and we can choose $M(\lambda) \gg 1$ such that $\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)=\left(M(\lambda) e_{1}, M(\lambda) e_{2}\right)$ is a supersolution of $(2)$ and $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \leq\left(M(\lambda) e_{1}, M(\lambda) e_{2}\right)$ from Lemma 3.3. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 concludes that (2) has at least one positive solution for $\lambda \gg 1$.
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