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#### Abstract

This study aims to generalize MINRES-N2 method [1]. It means that we tend to obtain an algorithm to transfer each normal matrix - that its eigenvalues belong to an algebraic curve of low degree $k$ - to its condensed form through using a unitary similarity transformation. Then, we aim to obtain a method to solve a system of linear equations that its coefficient matrix is equal to such a matrix by utilizing it. Finally this method is compared to the well-known GMRES method through using numerical examples. The results obtained through examples show that the given method is more efficient than GMRES.


## 1. Introduction

A condensed form for normal matrices was introduced by Elsner and Ikramov in [1]. In fact, they indicated that each normal matrix is unitarily equivalent to a block tridiagonal matrix as shown in the following form:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
H_{11} & H_{12} & &  \tag{1.1}\\
H_{21} & H_{22} & H_{23} & \\
& H_{32} & H_{33} & \ddots \\
& & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

If eigenvalues of the matrix belong to an algebraic curve of low degree $k$, size of blocks does not exceed $k$ (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]). After that, Dana, Zykov, and Ikramov in [7] designed the obtained method to reach the condensed form of normal matrices in form of an algorithm for normal matrices that their eigenvalues belong to an algebraic curve of second degree, and developed a method to solve systems of linear equations with coefficient matrix as above which they called MINRES-N2 (see [7]).

[^0]In this paper, by expanding MINRES-N2 method, we obtained an algorithm which is efficient for normal matrices their eigenvalues of which belong to an algebraic curve of low degree $k$. Finally, we determine for which $k$ our method is more efficient than GMRES method by studying several numerical examples.

We assume $A \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ is a square matrix, for a desired non-zero vector, in which $q_{1} \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$, and consider the followings sequence in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}, A q_{1}, A^{*} q_{1}, A^{2} q_{1}, A A^{*} q_{1}, A^{* 2} q_{1}, \ldots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{*}$ is adjoint of matrix $A$. Sequence (1.2) is named generalized power sequence generated by $A$ and $q_{1}$. Each vector as

$$
u_{\alpha \beta}\left(A, A^{*}\right)=A^{\alpha}\left(A^{*}\right)^{\beta} q_{1} \quad, \quad \alpha+\beta=i .
$$

is called a vector out of vectors creating $i$ th layer of the sequence ( $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are natural numbers). By such a naming, $i+1$ of the vector is available in $i$ th layer. This indicates that the sequence (1.2) is made of some layers with lengths of $1,2,3$, and so on, respectively. It is proved in [1] that diagonal blocks $H_{i i}$ in the condensed form (1.1) have respectively equal sizes with width of layers $0,1,2,3, \ldots$ of the sequence (1.2). As can be seen in [1], if

$$
f\left(A, A^{*}\right)=0
$$

in which $f(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree $k$ ( $k$ is a small positive integer), then, spectrum of $A$ belongs to an algebraic curve of degree $k$, in such a mode, size of $H_{i i}$ of (1.1) is equal to $k$ for $i \geq k$.

As noted before, authors [1] have found a method to reach condensed form of normal matrix $A$. we state this method as an algorithm so that we can use it for our ultimate destination. Assume that $q_{1}$ is a unit vector in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$, and also assume that $L_{i}$ is subspace generated by vectors of first $i$ layers of the sequence (1.2), This subspace is $i$ th generalized Krylov subspace generated by $A$ and $q_{1}$, and express it as follows:

$$
L_{i}=\operatorname{span}\left\{u_{\alpha \beta}\left(A, A^{*}\right) q_{1}: \alpha+\beta \leq i\right\}
$$

Let $\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{i}\right)=l_{i}$, define $w_{i}=l_{i}-l_{i-1}$, and agree $w_{0}=1$. If the sequence (1.2) generates $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ space, orthogonalization (1.2) makes a orthonormal basis $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$ for $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. Put

$$
Q=\left(q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{n}\right)
$$

The above orthogonalization process results in matrix $H$, that

$$
A Q=Q H
$$

is $H$ of a matrix in form of (1.1) and diagonal block $H_{i i}$ has size of $w_{i}$ (see [1]).
The block tridiagonal of $H$ means that for orthogonalization of vector $A q$-while $q$ is a vector in $i$ th layer- to the previous layers it is just enough to orthogonality it to vectors of $i-1, i$ and $i+1$ layers and not to all of the previous vectors. In other words, the depth of the recursion defining the next vector $q$ does not exceed $\omega_{i-1}+\omega_{i}+\omega_{i+1}$.

The algorithm MINRES-N, which we propose, combines the orthogonalization of sequence (1.2) construct the entire basis $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}$ and the entire matrix $H$, in the following way:

1- Choice a unit vector $q_{1} \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$.
$2-$ Set $p_{1}=0, l_{1}=1$ and $s=1$.

3- For $t=l_{s}, \ldots, l_{s}+P_{s}$ the vector $A q_{t}$ is orthogonalized to

$$
q_{l_{s}-P_{s}}, \ldots, q_{t+P_{s}}
$$

that is,

$$
w_{t}=A q_{t}-h_{l_{s}-P_{s}, t} q_{l_{s}-P_{s}}-\cdots-h_{t+P_{s}, t} q_{t+P_{s}}
$$

where

$$
h_{i, t}=\left(A q_{t}, q_{i}\right), \quad i=l_{1}-p_{s}, \ldots, t+p_{s}
$$

Now, we set

$$
h_{t+p_{s}+1, t}=\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{2}, \quad q_{t+p_{s}+1}=w_{t} / h_{t+p_{s}+1, t}
$$

(We assume that $h_{t+p_{s}+1, t} \neq 0$; similar assumptions are made at the subsequent steps.)
Now the vector $A^{*} q_{l_{s}+p_{s}}$ is orthogonalized to $q_{l_{s}-p_{s}}, \ldots, q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+1}$; thus,

$$
\hat{w}_{l_{s}+p_{s}}=A^{*} q_{l_{s}+p_{s}}-\overline{h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, l_{s}-p_{s}}} q_{l_{s}-p_{s}}-\ldots-\overline{h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, l_{s}+2 p_{s}+1}} q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+1}
$$

Coefficients are calculating by the formulas

$$
h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, i}=\overline{\left(A^{*} q_{l_{s}+p_{s}}, q_{i}\right)}, \quad l_{s}-p_{s} \leqslant i \leqslant l_{s}+2 p_{s}+1
$$

After calculating $\hat{w}_{l_{s}+p_{s}}$, we set

$$
h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2}=\left\|\hat{w}_{l_{s}+p_{s}}\right\|_{2}, \quad q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2}=\hat{w}_{l_{s}+p_{s}} / h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2} .
$$

Entires of $H$, which are obtained in this step, are repeated.
4 - For $s=2, \ldots$, until $l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2 \leq n$,

$$
p_{s}=p_{s-1}+1, \quad l_{s}=l_{s-1}+p_{s-1}+1
$$

5- For $s=2, \ldots$, until $l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2 \leq n$, repeat the third step.
For each $s$; the 5-th step produce the vectors

$$
q_{l_{s}+p_{s}+1}, \ldots, q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2}
$$

which, in combination with $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l_{s}+p_{s}}$, form an orthonormal basis in the subspace

$$
L_{s}=\operatorname{span}\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2}\right\}
$$

spanned by the first $s+1$ segments of sequence (1.2). This completes the processing of the $s$-th layer in this sequence.

Algorithm 1. Algorithm for reducing an admissible normal matrix $A$ to its condensed form $H$.
choice $q_{1}$, with $\left\|q_{1}\right\|_{2}=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l=1 \\
& p=0 \\
& \text { while } l+2 p+2 \leq n \\
& \quad \text { for } t=l \text { to } l+p \\
& z=A q_{t} \\
& \quad \text { for }^{l}=l-p \text { to } t+p \\
& \quad h_{i, t}=q_{i}^{*} z \\
& z=z-h_{i, t} q_{i} \\
& \quad \text { end for } \\
& h_{t+p+1, t}=\|z\|_{2} \\
& q_{t+p+1}=z / h_{t+p+1, t} \\
& \text { end for } \\
& z=A^{*} q_{l+p} \\
& \text { for } i=l-p \text { to } l+2 p+1 \\
& \quad h_{l+p, i}=q_{i}^{*} z \\
& z=z-h_{l+p, i} q_{i} \\
& \text { end for } \\
& h_{l+p, l+2 p+2}=\|z\|_{2} \\
& h_{l+2 p+2}=z / g_{l+p, l+2 p+2} \\
& p=p+1 \\
& l=l+p \\
& \text { end while }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. METHOD MINRES-NK FOR NORMAL MATRICES

Assume that the spectrum of a normal matrix $A$ belongs to an algebraic curve

$$
f(x, y)=0
$$

of order $k$. This means that $A$ itself satisfies the equality

$$
f\left(\frac{A+A^{*}}{2}, \frac{A-A^{*}}{2 i}\right)=0
$$

Multiplying both sides of this relation by a vector $q_{1}$, we can reduce it to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} A^{k} q_{1}+\alpha_{2} A^{k-1} A^{*} q_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{k+1}\left(A^{*}\right)^{k} q_{1}+y I_{n}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector $y$ belongs to the subspace $L_{k-1}$ and at least one of the coefficients $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1}$ is nonzero. Eq.(2.1) signifies that layer

$$
A^{k} q_{1}, A^{k-1} A^{*} q_{1}, A^{k-2}\left(A^{*}\right)^{2} q_{1}, \ldots, A\left(A^{*}\right)^{k-1} q_{1},\left(A^{*}\right)^{k} q_{1}
$$

can add at most $k$ vectors to a basis of $L_{k-1}$; i.e., $\omega_{k} \leq k$. It follows that $\omega_{t} \leq k$, for all $t>k$ (see [[1], therem 1]). Let $N_{i}$ and $M_{i}$ be the numbers of nonzero entries in the $i$ th column and the $i$ th row of $H$, respectively. Now, bounds

$$
N_{i} \leq \omega_{s-1}+\omega_{s}+\omega_{s+1}, \quad M_{i} \leq \omega_{s-1}+\omega_{s}+\omega_{s+1}
$$

yield $N_{i} \leq 3 k, M_{i} \leq 3 k$.
Hence, the condensed form $H$ can be considered a band matrix. Depending on the order of the vectors within each layer, its bandwidth is $3 k$ or $3 k-1$,.

We can seek a solution to system $A x=b$ by constructing an orthonormal system $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, \ldots$ the discussion in the preceding section implies that the condensed form $H$ of $A$ is a block tridiagonal matrix, while all the subsequent diagonal blocks have an order of $k$. The orthonormal sequence $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, \ldots$ is constructed in the following way:

1- An appropriate choice of the initial vector is

$$
q_{1}=\frac{1}{\|b\|_{2}} b
$$

$2-$ Set $p_{1}=0, l_{1}=1$ and $s=1$.
3- For $t=l_{s}, \ldots, l_{s}+P_{s}$ the vector $A q_{t}$ is orthogonalized to

$$
q_{l_{s}-P_{s}}, \ldots, q_{t+P_{s}}
$$

that is,

$$
w_{t}=A q_{t}-h_{l_{s}-P_{s}, t} q_{l_{s}-P_{s}}-\cdots-h_{t+P_{s}, t} q_{t+P_{s}}
$$

where

$$
h_{i, t}=\left(A q_{t}, q_{i}\right), \quad i=l_{s}-p_{s}, \ldots, t+p_{s}
$$

Now, we set

$$
h_{t+p_{s}+1, t}=\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{2}, \quad q_{t+p_{s}+1}=w_{t} / h_{t+p_{s}+1, t}
$$

(We assume that $h_{t+p_{s}+1, t} \neq 0$; similar assumptions are made at the subsequent steps.)
Now the vector $A^{*} q_{l_{s}+p_{s}}$ is orthogonalized to $q_{l_{s}-p_{s}}, \ldots, q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+1}$; thus,

$$
\hat{w}_{l_{s}+p_{s}}=A^{*} q_{l_{s}+p_{s}}-\overline{h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, l_{s}-p_{s}}} q_{l_{s}-p_{s}}-\ldots-\overline{h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, l_{s}+2 p_{s}+1}} q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+1} .
$$

Coefficients are calculating by the formulas

$$
h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, i}=\overline{\left(A^{*} q_{l_{s}+p_{s}}, q_{i}\right)}, \quad l_{s}-p_{s} \leqslant i \leqslant l_{s}+2 p_{s}+1
$$

After calculating $\hat{w}_{l_{s}+p_{s}}$, we set

$$
h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2}=\left\|\hat{w}_{l_{s}+p_{s}}\right\|_{2}, \quad q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2}=\hat{w}_{l_{s}+p_{s}} / h_{l_{s}+p_{s}, l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2} .
$$

Entires of $H$, which are obtained in this step, are repeated.
4- If $k=2$ go to 7 -th step, otherwise go to 5 -th step.

5-Set,

$$
p_{s}=p_{s-1}+1, \quad l_{s}=l_{s-1}+p_{s} \quad s=2, \ldots, k-1 .
$$

6 - For $s=2, \ldots, k-1$, repeat the third step.
For $s=2, \ldots, k-1$, the 6 -th step produce the vectors

$$
q_{l_{s}+p_{s}+1}, \ldots, q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2}
$$

which, in combination with $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l_{s}+p_{s}}$ form an orthonormal basis in the subspace

$$
L_{s}=\operatorname{span}\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l_{s}+2 p_{s}+2}\right\}
$$

spanned by the first $s+1$ segments of sequence (1.2). This completes the processing of the $s$-th layer in this sequence.
$7-$ Set $d_{1}=l_{k-1}+p_{k-1}+1,, u_{1}=d_{1}-k+1$, and $v=1$.
8- For $t=d_{v}, \ldots, d_{v}+k-1$, the vector $A q_{t}$ is orthogonalized to $q_{u_{v}}, \ldots, q_{t+k-1}$; that is, for $t=d_{v}, \ldots, d_{v}+k-1$, we set

$$
w_{t}=A q_{t}-h_{u_{v}, t} q_{u_{v}}-, \ldots,-h_{t+k-1, t} q_{t+k-1}
$$

Here,

$$
h_{r, t}=\left(A q_{t}, q_{r}\right), \quad r=u_{v}, \ldots, t+k-1
$$

After finding $w_{t}$, we set

$$
h_{t+k, t}=\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{2}, \quad q_{t+k}=w_{t} / h_{t+k, t}
$$

The 8 -th step produce the vectors $q_{d_{v}+k}, \ldots, q_{d_{v}+2 k-1}$, which, in combination with $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{d_{v}+k-1}$ form an orthonormal basis in the subspace

$$
L_{v+k-1}=\operatorname{span}\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{d_{v}+2 k-1}\right\}
$$

spanned by first $v+k$ segments of sequence (1.2). This completes the processing of the $(v+k-1)$-th layer in this sequence.

9- Set,

$$
d_{v}=d_{v-1}+k, \quad u_{v}=d_{v}-k, \quad v=2,3, \ldots
$$

10- Until $d_{v}+2 k-1 \leq n$, repeat the 8 -th step, for $v=2,3, \ldots$.

Algorithm 2. Algorithm for reducing an admissible normal matrix $A$ to its condensed form $H$, that the spectrum of $A$ belong to a plane algebraic curve of degree $k$.

```
\(q_{1}=\frac{b}{\|b\|_{2}}\)
\(l=1\)
\(p=0\)
while \(p+2 \leq k\)
    for \(t=l\) to \(l+p\)
        \(z=A q_{t}\)
        for \(i=l-p\) to \(t+p\)
            \(h_{i, t}=q_{i}^{*} z\)
            \(z=z-h_{i, t} q_{i}\)
        end for
        \(h_{t+p+1, t}=\|z\|_{2}\)
        \(q_{t+p+1}=z / h_{t+p+1, t}\)
    end for
    \(z=A^{*} q_{l+p}\)
    for \(i=l-p\) to \(l+2 p+1\)
            \(h_{l+p, i}=q_{i}^{*} z\)
            \(z=z-h_{l+p, i} q_{i}\)
    end for
    \(h_{l+p, l+2 p+2}=\|z\|_{2}\)
    \(q_{l+2 p+2}=z / h_{l+p, l+2 p+2}\)
    \(p=p+1\)
    \(l=l+p\)
end while
    for \(t=l\) to \(l+k-1\)
        \(z=A q_{t}\)
        if \(t \leq l+k-1\)
            \(s=l-k+1\)
        else
            \(s=l-k\)
        end if
        for \(i=s\) to \(t+k-1\)
            \(h_{i, t}=q_{i}^{*} z\)
            \(z=z-h_{i, t} q_{i}\)
        end for
        \(h_{t+k, t}=\|z\|_{2}\)
        \(q_{t+k}=z / h_{t+k, t}\)
    end for
    \(r=0\)
```

```
    \(l=l+k\)
while \(r \leq n\)
    for \(t=l\) to \(l+k-1\)
            \(z=A q_{t}\)
            for \(i=l-k\) to \(t+k-1\)
                    \(h_{i, t}=q_{i}^{*} z\)
                    \(z=z-h_{i, t} q_{i}\)
            end for
            \(h_{t+k, t}=\|z\|_{2}\)
            \(q_{t+k}=z / h_{t+k, t}\)
    end for
    \(l=l+k\)
    \(r=t+k\)
end while
```

Assume that the $m$ steps in the algorithm for reducing a matrix to its condensed form have already been completed (that is, the first $m$ columns of the condensed form $H$ have been completed). (The normalization $q_{1}=b /\|b\|_{2}$ is considered as the first step.) We assume that $m$ is an integer: $m=(\ell-k+1) k+\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$, where $\ell>k-1$. Then, the vectors $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}$ constitute a basis in the generalized Krylov subspace $L_{\ell}(A, b)$. We partition the matrix $H$ as in

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H_{m} & H_{m, n-m} \\
H_{n-m, m} & H_{n-m, n-m}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

A part of this partitioning, namely,

$$
\binom{H_{m}}{H_{n-m, m}},
$$

corresponds to the first $m$ columns of the matrix depicted in the figure.
The use of expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}=\eta_{1} q_{1}+\ldots+\eta_{m} q_{m} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

reduces the search for $x_{m}$, which is the vector in $L_{\ell}$, minimizing the 2-norm of the residual, searching the $m$-dimensional vector $y_{m}=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{m}\right)^{T}$. The calculation of $y_{m}$ is equivalent to solving least squares problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{y \in C^{m}}\left\|\binom{H_{m}}{H_{n-m, m}} y-\right\| b\left\|_{2} e_{1}\right\|_{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the form of matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{H_{m}}{H_{n-m, m}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can solve this problem as follows:

1. Using the rotation $R_{12}$, eliminates the entry $(2,1)$ in matrix (2.4).
2. For $j=2, \ldots, m$ eliminate the subdiagonal entries in column $j$ of matrix (2.4) using the appropriate Householder matrices $P_{j}$.
3. Apply the same transformations i.e., the rotation $R_{12}$ and the Householder matrices $P_{2}, \ldots, P_{m}$, to the right-hand side of problem (2.3).

This process results in the matrix

$$
\binom{T_{m}}{0}
$$

and in the vector $z_{m}$, which now has $m+k$ nonzero components :

$$
z_{m}=\left(\varsigma_{1}^{(m)}, \ldots, \varsigma_{m+1}^{(m)}, \varsigma_{m+2}^{(m)}, \ldots, \varsigma_{m+k}^{(m)}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)^{T}
$$

The vector $y_{m}$ is calculated as before (i.e., by solving a triangular system of equations); then, $x_{m}$ is calculatedwith the use of (2.2). This calculation makes no sense until the stopping criterion is satisfied.

The norm of the current residual $r_{m}$ is determind by the last $k$ components $z_{m}$ :

$$
\left\|r_{m}\right\|_{2}=\left(\left|s_{m+1}^{(m)}\right|^{2}+\left|\varsigma_{m+2}^{(m)}\right|^{2}+\ldots+\left|\varsigma_{m+k}^{(m)}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

If $\left\|r_{m}\right\|_{2}$ does not satisfy the given stopping criterion, then a new calculation of the norm of the residual is performed after the next $k$ steps, when the orthonormal basis $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m+k}$ in the subspace $L_{\ell+1}$ has been obtained. $k$ additional columns are attached to the matrix of problem (2.3). It is evident from the figure that these columns are changed only by the application of the Householder matrices $P_{m-2 k+1}, \ldots, P_{m-4}, P_{m-3}, P_{m-2}, P_{m-1}$ and $P_{m}$. After these columns have been updated, they determine the new Householder matrices $P_{m+1}, P_{m+2}, \ldots, P_{m+k}$. The new vector $z_{m+2}$ has $m+2 k$ nonzero components; moreover, its first $m$ components are the same as in $z_{m}$. The remaining $2 k$ components are calculated by the formula

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varsigma_{m+1}^{(m+1)} \\
\varsigma_{m+2}^{(m+1)} \\
\varsigma_{m+3}^{(m+1)} \\
\varsigma_{m+4}^{(m+1)} \\
\vdots \\
\varsigma_{m+2 k}^{(m+1)}
\end{array}\right)=P_{m+k} \cdots P_{m+2} P_{m+1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varsigma_{m+1}^{(m)} \\
\vdots \\
\varsigma_{m+k}^{(m)} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

this description shows that the cost of the transition from $\left\|r_{m}\right\|_{2}$ to $\left\|r_{m+1}\right\|_{2}$ is independent of $m$.

## 3. Numerical Results

In this section, we discuss some numerical experiments in which MINRES-Nk was compared with GMRES.
Our normal matrix A must satisfy an additional condition, namely, its spectrum must lie on a curve of low degree $k$.
In all of our experiments, the order of systems was 2000. The right-hand sides were generated as pseudorandom vectors with components distributed uniformly on $(0,1)$. The calculations were performed on a 2 Duo E630 OEM 1.86 GHz PC with core memory of 1024 Mb . GMRES was represented by the Matlab procedure gmres; for MINRES-Nk, we designed our own Matlab procedure. To terminate the iteration, we used the condition

$$
\left\|b-A x_{m}\right\|_{2}=\left\|r\left(x_{m}\right)\right\|_{2}<\varepsilon
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a given positive scalar. In all of our experiments, $\varepsilon=10^{-8}$ and m is equal to number of iteration steps.

Example 3.1. The eigenvalues of $A$ are uniformly distributed on the $y^{2}=x^{2}+9$ corresponding to $x$ in the interval $(5,6)$. The number of iteration steps in MINRES-N2 is 4 and in GMRES is 9. Times: 0.11 s for MINRES-N2 against 0.37 s for GMRES. The results are shown in the following table and figure .

| Method | $\epsilon$ | $m$ | $t(s)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINRES-N2 | $10^{-8}$ | 4 | 0.11 |
| GMRES | $10^{-8}$ | 9 | 0.37 |



Number of iteration

Example 3.2. The eigenvalues of $A$ are uniformly distributed on the $y=x^{3}+3 x^{2}+2$ corresponding to $x$ in the interval $(10,25)$. The number of iteration steps in MINRES-N3 is 12 and
in GMRES is 39. Times: 0.11 s for MINRES-N3 against 0.47 s for GMRES. The results are shown in the following table and figure .

| Method | $\epsilon$ | $m$ | $t(s)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINRES-N3 | $10^{-8}$ | 12 | 0.11 |
| GMRES | $10^{-8}$ | 39 | 0.47 |



Example 3.3. The eigenvalues of $A$ are uniformly distributed on the $x^{2} y^{2}=1$ corresponding to $x$ in the interval $(5,15)$. The number of iteration steps in MINRES-N4 is 8 and in GMRES is 17. Times: 0.17 s for MINRES-N4 against 0.25 s for GMRES. The results are shown in the following table and figure .

| Method | $\epsilon$ | $m$ | $t(s)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINRES-N4 | $10^{-8}$ | 8 | 0.17 |
| GMRES | $10^{-8}$ | 17 | 0.25 |



Example 3.4. The spectrum of $A$ is uniformly distributed on the

$$
y=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x^{5}+x^{2} & x>0 \\
-\left(x^{5}+x^{2}\right) & x<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

corresponding to $x$ in $(10,20) \cup(-20,-10)$. The number of iteration steps in MINRES-N5 is 14 and in GMRES is 59. Times: 0.28 s for MINRES-N5 against 0.83 s for GMRES. The results are shown in the following table and figure .

| Method | $\epsilon$ | $m$ | $t(s)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINRES-N5 | $10^{-8}$ | 14 | 0.28 |
| GMRES | $10^{-8}$ | 59 | 0.83 |



Number of iteration

Example 3.5. The spectrum of $A$ is uniformly distributed on the

$$
y=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x^{6}+x & x>0 \\
-\left(x^{6}+x\right) & x<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

corresponding to $x$ in $(10,20) \cup(-20,-10)$. The number of iteration steps in MINRES-N6 is 24 and in GMRES is 84. Times: 1.31 s for MINRES-N6 against 1.56 s for GMRES. The results are shown in the following table and figure .

| Method | $\epsilon$ | $m$ | $t(s)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINRES-N6 | $10^{-8}$ | 24 | 1.31 |
| GMRES | $10^{-8}$ | 84 | 1.56 |



Example 3.6. The eigenvalues of $A$ are uniformly distributed on the $y=x^{7}+3 x^{2}+2$ corresponding to $x$ in the interval $(10,25)$. The number of iteration steps in MINRES-N7 is 117 and in GMRES is 251. Times: 9.58 s for MINRES-N7 against 12.01 s for GMRES. The results are shown in the following table and figure .

| Method | $\epsilon$ | $m$ | $t(s)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINRES-N7 | $10^{-8}$ | 117 | 9.58 |
| GMRES | $10^{-8}$ | 251 | 12.01 |



Example 3.7. The eigenvalues of $A$ are uniformly distributed on the $y=x^{8}+x^{5}+20$ corresponding to $x$ in the interval (-11,-6). The number of iteration steps in MINRES-N8 is 28 and in GMRES is 118. Times: 2.80 s for MINRES-N8 against 2.85 s for GMRES. The results are shown in the following table and figure .

| Method | $\epsilon$ | $m$ | $t(s)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINRES-N8 | $10^{-8}$ | 28 | 2.80 |
| GMRES | $10^{-8}$ | 118 | 2.85 |



Number of iteration

Example 3.8. The eigenvalues of $A$ are uniformly distributed on the $y=x^{9}+3 x^{5}+20$ corresponding to $x$ in the interval $(-8,-3)$. The number of iteration steps in MINRES-N9 is 192 and in GMRES is 691. Times: 24.46 s for MINRES-N9 against 90.05 s for GMRES. The results are shown in the following table and figure .

| Method | $\epsilon$ | $m$ | $t(s)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINRES-N9 | $10^{-8}$ | 192 | 24.46 |
| GMRES | $10^{-8}$ | 691 | 90.05 |



## 4. CONCLUSION

This study represents a method for solving of linear system with normal cofficient matrices. As for presented method in [1] for reach the condensed form of normal matrices, that their eigenvalues belong to an algebraic curve of second degree and MINRES-N2 method. Here, we are going to expand the so-call method. Also an algorithm is obtained which belong to an algebraic curve of low degree $k$.
Then the obtained results show that for each $k \mathrm{~s}$, our generalized method is more efficient than GMRES method.
The advantage of our method compared to GMRES method in small $k \mathrm{~s}$, is due to lower number of arithmetic operations in calculating the condensed form of matrix as well as the applied orthogonalization process in this paper. Based on numerous experiments, it is concluded that such an advantage exists for $k$ s lower than 10 .
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