Risk factors for BiPAP failure as an initial management approach in moderate to late preterm infants with respiratory distress

Key message

Question: Which factors can predict BiPAP failure as the initial management approach for moderate to late preterm infants with respiratory distress?

Finding: RDS aggravation and increased oxygen and frequency requirements during BiPAP support were associated with BiPAP failure.

Meaning: Early changes to invasive ventilator care should be considered for moderate to late preterm infants showing RDS aggravation and increased oxygen and frequency requirements during BiPAP support.

To the editor,

Noninvasive ventilation (NIV) has been increasingly used instead of intermittent mandatory ventilation (IMV) as the initial respiratory management approach in preterm infants. Among various NIV strategies, bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP) was introduced in recent years as an alternative to conventional nasal continuous positive airway pressure (nCPAP). Theoretically, BiPAP should enable greater alveolar recruitment, a higher residual functional capacity, and reduced breath work compared to nCPAP.^{1,2)} Three retrospective observational studies and 2 randomized controlled trials reported the superiority of BiPAP over nCPAP for oxygenation and ventilation in preterm infants, although there are discrepancies among the reported results. ³⁻⁷⁾ To the best of our knowledge, no study has reported the predictive risk factors for BiPAP failure. This study aimed to investigate risk factors for BiPAP failure as the initial respiratory management strategy in moderate to late preterm infants with respiratory distress.

This retrospective observational study included patients in the neonatal intensive care unit of Korea University Ansan Hospital from January 2014 to September 2018 who required BiPAP as the initial respiratory support within 24 hours after birth. Infants who required resuscitation with intubation in the delivery room and those who were diagnosed with chromosomal abnormalities or an air leak, cardiovascular instability, or multiple congenital anomalies were excluded. Since prophylactic surfactant administration is recommended for preterm infants who are born at a gestational age (GA) of less than 30⁺⁰ weeks or with a body weight (BW) less than or equal to 1,250 g in South Korea, they were excluded from the study.

One hundred twenty-two preterm infants were categorized into the success and failure groups. The success group included preterm infants successfully weaned from BiPAP within 7 days, while those in the failure group failed weaning and required intubation under any of the following circumstances: (1) aggravation of respiratory acidosis on a blood gas analysis after BiPAP application; (2) a fraction of inspired oxygen in the air greater than 0.4 to maintain a peripheral capillary oxygen saturation of 88%–94%; and (3) more than 2–3 apnea episodes per hour requiring repeated stimulation or bag-and-mask ventilation.

Detailed data were collected, including information about pregnancy, labor, BiPAP settings, serial lab results during BiPAP, and causes of respiratory distress. Outcomes include rate of BiPAP failure; length of hospital stay; and the incidence of pneumothorax, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, symptomatic patent ductus arteriosus, periventricular leukomalacia, intraventricular hemorrhage (grade ≥II), and necrotizing enterocolitis (stage ≥2).

A significant intergroup difference was defined as a P value less than 0.05 using an appropriate statistical test for categorical data (χ^2), continuous parametric data (Student t test), and non-parametric (Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney) data. Variables statistically different between the success and failure groups were included in a multivariable logistic regression model in which BiPAP failure was the dependent variable.

Of 122 preterm infants in this study, the rate of BiPAP failure was 10.7% (13 of 122). Most of the patients' perinatal characteristics and maternal medical histories were similar between groups (Table 1). Nevertheless, BiPAP failure rates tended to increase as GA or BW decreased (data not shown). The incidence of respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) was significantly higher in the failure group. The need for surfactant administration was also higher in the failure group. The failure group also had a significantly higher oxygen frequency requirement during BiPAP support (Table 2). After adjustment for GA, multivariate analysis revealed that RDS, oxygen requirement, and increased frequency during BiPAP support remained significant.

Regarding hospital outcomes, superiority over primarily practiced IMV was not noted in cases of NIV failure. Regarding nCPAP, Dargaville et al.⁸⁾ reported that failed nCPAP is not superior to primary intubation. Their previous study also demonstrated that RDS is the single most important antecedent to nCPAP failure in preterm infants.⁹⁾ Likewise, early prediction

Table 1. Perinatal characteristics, maternal medical history, outcomes, and causes of respiratory distress of 122 infants by study group

Variable	Success (n=109)	Failure (n=13)	<i>P</i> value
Perinatal characteristic			
Birth weight (g)	2,130±461	1,926±356	0.126
Gestational age (wk)	33.7±1.6	32.9±1.4	0.074
Cesarean section	81 (74.3)	9 (69.2)	0.952
Male sex	58 (53.2)	6 (46.2)	0.851
Small for gestational age	19 (17.4)	3 (23.1)	0.905
Multiple birth	25 (22.9)	6 (46.2)	0.139
Apgar score, 1 min	7 (5-8)	6 (5-7)	0.085
Apgar score, 5 min	8 (8-9)	8 (7-9)	0.292
Respiratory rate (min)	55±22	49±26	0.365
CRP (above normal range)	2 (1.8)	0 (0)	-
Maternal medical history			
Antenatal steroid administration	48 (47.1)	8 (61.5)	0.367
Chorioamnionitis	8 (7.3)	0 (0)	-
Diabetes mellitus	15 (13.8)	2 (15.4)	1.000
Hypertension	27 (24.8)	5 (38.5)	0.467
Premature rupture of membranes	34 (31.2)	4 (30.8)	1.000
Placental abruption	8 (7.3)	0 (0)	0.676
Outcomes			
Length of hospital stay (day)	21.3±12.4	38.2±46.3	0.003
Duration of respiratory support (hr)	54.3±35.0	292.6±311.3	<0.001
Pneumothorax	2 (2)	0 (0)	-
Surfactant usage	0 (0)	9 (69.2)	-
BPD	4 (4)	2 (15)	0.066
NEC	1 (1)	0 (0)	-
Bacteremia	0 (0)	0 (0)	-
PDA	2 (2)	3 (23)	<0.001
PVL	0 (0)	0 (0)	-
IVH	0 (0)	0 (0)	-
Causes of respiratory distress			
RDS ^{a)}	27 (24.8)	10 (76.9)	<0.001
TTN ^{b)}	76 (69.7)	1 (7.7)	<0.001
Air leak	0 (0)	0 (0)	-
Apnea	1 (1.0)	0 (0)	-

Values are expressed as mean±standard deviation, number of infants (%), or median (interquartile range).

CRP, C-reactive protein; BPD, bronchopulmonary dysplasia; NEC, necrotizing enterocolitis; PDA, patent ductus arteriosus; PVL, periventricular leukomalacia; IVH, intraventricular hemorrhage; RDS, respiratory distress syndrome; TTN, transient tachypnea of the newborn.

^{a)}RDS: diagnosed based on the clinical course, chest X-ray findings, and blood gas and acid-base values. ^{b)}TTN: diagnosed based on early-onset tachypnea and occasionally relieved by minimal O₂ supply (<40%). Boldface indicates a statistically significant difference with *P*<0.05.

of BiPAP failure by checking the risk factors might enhance the efficacy of BiPAP, enabling prevention of additional morbidities in preterm infants.

Prenatal risk factors are important in the early prediction of NIV. In a study by Dargaville et al., ⁸⁾ lower GA and BW, incomplete exposure to antenatal glucocorticoids, and cesarean section (C/sec) were prenatal risk factors for nCPAP failure in very and extremely preterm infants. We found no significant association

between most prenatal characteristics and BiPAP failure.

In our study, RDS in moderate to late preterm infants was the single most important cause of respiratory distress in cases of BiPAP failure (Table 2). Most clinicians choose to administer surfactant in deteriorating courses of RDS. However, an evident pattern of RDS on a chest X-ray may be one of the fastest tools to predict BiPAP failure, as preterm infants in whom nCPAP fails were more likely to have chest X-ray findings consistent with severe RDS.⁹⁾

There was a data limitation regarding GA and BW due to unconditional and prophylactic surfactant administration via endotracheal tube in the delivery room. Rates of BiPAP failure in some studies differed widely (12.9%–26.6%).³⁻⁶) Differences in inclusion criteria among studies might have caused differences in the BiPAP failure rates among studies.

To date, BiPAP support strategies are based on clinicians' decisions without a universal consensus. Our data showed that perinatal characteristics such as a lower GA and BW were not risk factors for BiPAP failure in infants born at a GA \geq 30⁺⁰ weeks and BW >1,250 g. Since RDS was significantly correlated with BiPAP failure, early detection of RDS aggravation is important to predict BiPAP failure.

Therefore, we concluded that for moderate to late preterm infants showing RDS aggravation on BiPAP regardless of GA or BW, early changes made to invasive ventilator care or additional surfactant administration should be considered. In addition, increased oxygen and frequency requirements during BiPAP support may help identify preterm infants at high risk of BiPAP failure. Strategies to avoid NIV including BiPAP failure should be established; further multicentered well-designed randomized studies are needed to ensure the safety of BiPAP as the initial respiratory management approach for moderate to late preterm infants.

Heekwon Son, MD, Eui Kyung Choi, MD, Kyu Hee Park, MD, Jeong Hee Shin, MD, Byung Min Choi, MD, PhD

Department of Pediatrics, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Corresponding author: Byung Min Choi, MD, PhD. Department of Pediatrics, Korea University Ansan Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, 123 Jeokgeum-ro, Danwon-gu, Ansan 15355, Korea

 ⊠ E-mail: cbmin@korea.ac.kr, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0931-2353

Conflicts of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

64 Son H. Risk factors for BiPAP failure www.e-cep.org

Table 2 Characteristics of 122 infants receiving RiPAP

Variable	Univariate logistic regression		Dvalue	Multivariable logistic regression (GA-adjusted)		Dyalua
	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> value	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> value
GA	0.955	0.908-1.005	0.079			
Birth weight	0.999	0.998-1.000	0.128			
Maximum PIP (cmH2O)	19.633	1.789-215.411	0.015	7.466	0.012-4695.296	0.541
Maximum RR (min)	1.411	1.130-1.763	0.002	1.473	1.155-1.878	0.002
Maximum FiO ₂ (%)	1.162	1.053-1.283	0.003	1.129	1.038-1.433	0.016
Post-BiPAP acidosis	4.629	1.398-15.323	0.012			
Post-BiPAP hypercardia	6.929	1.996-24.050	0.002			
Post-BiPAP pH (×10)	0.26	0.095-0.713	0.009	0.265	0.013-5.576	0.393
Post-BiPAP pCO2 (mmHg)	1.09	1.028-1.156	0.004	0.988	0.834-1.170	0.887
RDS ^{a)}	10.123	2.594-39.503	0.001	15.719	1.844-133.979	0.012

Post-BiPAP variables referred to blood gas analysis results within 2 hours after starting BiPAP.

BiPAP, bilevel positive airway pressure; GA, gestational age; OR, odds ratio; Cl, confidence interval; PIP, peak inspiratory pressure; RR, respiratory rate; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen; pCO₂, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; RDS, respiratory distress syndrome.

References

- 1. Owen LS, Manley BJ. Nasal intermittent positive pressure ventilation in preterm infants: Equipment, evidence, and synchronization. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2016;21:146-53.
- 2. Committee on Fetus and Newborn; American Academy of Pediatrics. Respiratory support in preterm infants at birth. Pediatrics 2014;133:171-
- 3. Solevåg AL, Kann IC. N-BiPAP treatment in infants with respiratory distress syndrome: a population study. Early Hum Dev 2015;91:577-81.
- 4. Salvo V, Lista G, Lupo E, Ricotti A, Zimmermann LJI, Gavilanes AWD, et al. Comparison of three non-invasive ventilation strategies (NSIPPV/ BiPAP/NCPAP) for RDS in VLBW infants. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2018;31:2832-8.
- 5. Rong ZH, Li WB, Liu W, Cai BH, Wang J, Yang M, et al. Nasal bi-level positive airway pressure (BiPAP) versus nasal continuous positive airway

- pressure (CPAP) in preterm infants ≤32 weeks: a retrospective cohort study. J Paediatr Child Health 2016;52:493-8.
- 6. Zhou B, Zhai JF, Jiang HX, Liu Y, Jin B, Zhang YY, et al. Usefulness of DuoPAP in the treatment of very low birth weight preterm infants with neonatal respiratory distress syndrome. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2015;19:573-7.
- 7. Lista G, Castoldi F, Fontana P, Daniele I, Cavigioli F, Rossi S, et al. Nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) versus bi-level nasal CPAP in preterm babies with respiratory distress syndrome: a randomised control trial. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2010;95:F85-F89.
- 8. Dargaville PA, Gerber A, Johansson S, De Paoli AG, Kamlin CO, Orsini F, et al. Incidence and outcome of CPAP failure in preterm infants. Pediatrics 2016:138.
- 9. Dargaville PA, Aiyappan A, De Paoli AG, Dalton RG, Kuschel CA, Kamlin CO, et al. Continuous positive airway pressure failure in preterm infants: incidence, predictors and consequences. Neonatology 2013;104:8-14.

^{a)}RDS: diagnosed based on clinical course, chest X-ray findings, and blood gas and acid-base values.

Boldface indicates a statistically significant difference with P<0.05.