

2-COLOR RADO NUMBER FOR

$$x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = y_1 + y_2 = z$$

BYEONG MOON KIM, WOONJAE HWANG, AND BYUNG CHUL SONG*

ABSTRACT. An r -color Rado number $N = R(\mathcal{L}, r)$ for a system \mathcal{L} of equations is the least integer, provided it exists, such that for every r -coloring of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, there is a monochromatic solution to \mathcal{L} . In this paper, we study the 2-color Rado number $R(\mathcal{E}, 2)$ for $\mathcal{E} : x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = y_1 + y_2 = z$ when $n \geq 4$.

1. Introduction

For $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a < b$, let $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$. A function $c : [1, N] \rightarrow [1, r]$ is called an r -coloring of the set $[1, N]$. A solution $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ to an equation L is said to be monochromatic if $c(x_1) = c(x_2) = \cdots = c(x_n)$.

In 1916 Schur [17] proved the existence of the number $N = S(r)$ such that for a given integer $r \geq 2$ and every r -coloring of the set $[1, N]$, there exists a monochromatic solution to $x + y = z$. The least such integer is called the r -color Schur number $S(r)$. There are some known Schur numbers such as $S(2) = 5$, $S(3) = 14$, $S(4) = 45$ [18] and $S(5) = 161$ [5], but it is unknown yet for $r \geq 6$. Motivated by the Schur numbers, Rado considered the same problem for a system of linear equations instead

Received January 19, 2020. Revised June 20, 2020. Accepted June 22, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05D10.

Key words and phrases: Rado number, Schur number, Ramsey theory, r -coloring.

* Corresponding author.

This work was supported by Basic Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(Grant No. NRF-2017R1D1A1B03028961).

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2020.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

of the single equation $x + y = z$. He found the necessary and sufficient conditions to determine if an arbitrary system of linear equations admits a monochromatic solution for every coloring of the natural numbers with a finite number of colors [3, 10]. If such a system always has a monochromatic solution, then there is N such that for every r -coloring of $[1, N]$ this system has a monochromatic solution. The least number N satisfying this property is called the r -color Rado number for the system.

The results on Rado number has been conducted mainly in 2-color for a specific linear equation. As the most natural generalization of the 2-color Schur number $S(2)$, Beutelspacher and Brestovansky [2] found the 2-color Rado number for $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{m-1} = x_m$. Harborth and Maasberg [6, 7] studied the 2-color Rado number for $a(x + y) = bz$ which is another generalization of it.

Hopkins and Schaal [8] found the 2-color Rado number for some special classes of $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i x_i = x_m$ and conjectured for the general case. Guo and Sun [4] proved this conjecture. Robertson and Myers [11] computed the 2-color Rado number for some special classes of $x + y + kz = \ell w$, and Saracino and Wynne [16] obtained this number when $\ell = 3$. In [14, 15], Saracino studied the 2-color Rado number for $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{m-1} = ax_m$. There are some interesting results [1, 9, 12] in two important variants of Rado numbers, disjunctive Rado numbers and off-diagonal Rado numbers.

Most of the results on Rado number have been limited on 2-color or r -color Rado number for single equation. Consider a system of linear equation $\mathcal{E} : x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = y_1 + y_2 = z$. It is known that the 2-color Rado number for $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = z$ is $n^2 + n - 1$ [2] and that the 2-color Rado number for $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = y_1 + y_2$ is $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ [13]. In this paper we show that the 2-color Rado number for the system of equations \mathcal{E} is $n^2 + n - 1$, which is the same with that for $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = z$.

2. Main Result

LEMMA 1. [2] For $n \geq 4$, the 2-color Rado number for $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = z$ is $n^2 + n - 1$.

Consider the system of equation $\mathcal{E} : x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = y_1 + y_2 = z$ for $n \geq 4$. By Lemma 1, the 2-color Rado number $R(\mathcal{E}, 2)$ for \mathcal{E} is greater than or equals to $n^2 + n - 1$. Thus when $N \geq n^2 + n - 1$, if we find a

monochromatic solution to \mathcal{E} , then we can prove that the 2-color Rado number for \mathcal{E} is $n^2 + n - 1$.

THEOREM 1. *If $n \geq 4$, then the 2-color Rado number for \mathcal{E} is $n^2 + n - 1$.*

Since the 2-color Rado number for $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = z$ is $n^2 + n - 1$, we have $R(\mathcal{E}, 2) \geq n^2 + n - 1$. Thus it suffices to prove $R(\mathcal{E}, 2) \leq n^2 + n - 1$. Let $c : [1, n^2 + n - 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be a 2-coloring and let $S_c(\mathcal{E})$ be the set of all $[(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2), z]$ such that $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = y_1 + y_2 = z$, $c(x_i) = c(y_j) = c(z)$ and $x_i, y_j, z \in [1, n^2 + n - 1]$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2$. The inequality $R(\mathcal{E}, 2) \leq n^2 + n - 1$ follows from $S_c(\mathcal{E}) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose that $S_c(\mathcal{E}) = \emptyset$. We want to find a contradiction in each case. The proof consists of case by case considerations. We divide all the cases into following 18 cases.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} c(1) = 0 \\ \\ c(1) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(n) = 0 \\ \\ c(n) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(2) = 0 \\ \\ c(2) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(2n) = 0 \\ \\ c(2n) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(n^2) = 0 \\ \\ c(n^2) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(n+1) = 0 \\ \\ c(n+1) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(n^2-1) = 0 \\ \\ c(n^2-1) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(n+2) = 0 \\ \\ c(n+2) = 1, c(2n) = 0 \\ \\ c(n+2) = c(2n) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(n-1) = 0 \\ \\ c(n-1) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(n+2) = 0 \dots (12) \\ c(n+2) = 1, c(2n) = 0 \dots (13) \\ c(n+2) = c(2n) = 1 \dots (14) \end{array} \right\}$$

Case (1): $c(n) = c(2) = c(n^2) = 0$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

- $c(n-1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1), (n-1, 1), n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n-2) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1), (n-2, 2), n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(2n) = 1$, since otherwise $[(2, \dots, 2), (n, n), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2-n) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n), (n^2-n, n), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2-1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n), (n^2-1, 1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$.

Thus, $[(n-1, \dots, n-1, n-2, n-2, 2n), (n^2-n, n-1), n^2-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$. This is a contradiction.

Case (2): $c(n) = c(2) = 0$, $c(n^2) = 1$, $c(n^2 - n + 1) = 0$.

We have $c(n-1) = c(n-2) = c(2n) = 1$ by the same method as in Case (1). Also we have $c(n^2-n+2) = 1$ since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, 2), (n^2-n+1, 1), n^2-n+2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$.

Thus, $[(n-1, \dots, n-1, n-2, 2n), (n^2-n+2, n-2), n^2]$ satisfies \mathcal{E} . This is a contradiction.

Case (3): $c(n) = c(2) = 0$, $c(n^2) = c(n^2 - n + 1) = 1$.

We have $c(n-1) = c(n-2) = c(2n) = 1$ by the same method as in Case (1). Thus, $[(n-1, \dots, n-1, n-2, 2n), (n^2-n+1, n-1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (4): $c(n) = 0$, $c(2) = 1$, $c(2n) = c(n^2) = c(n^2 + n - 1) = 0$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

$c(n^2 - n) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n), (n^2 - n, n), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
 $c(n^2 - 2n) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n), (n^2 - 2n, 2n), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n-1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n^2), (n^2, n-1), n^2+n-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n+1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n+1), (n, n), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
 $c(n^2 - n + 2) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n+1, \dots, n+1, 2, 2), (n^2 - n, 2), n^2 - n + 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$.

$c(n-2) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n), (n^2 - n + 2, n-2), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n^2 - n - 1) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n-1, \dots, n-1, n-2), (n^2 - 2n, n-1), n^2 - n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

Thus, $[(1, \dots, 1, n^2), (n^2 - n - 1, 2n), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (5): $c(n) = 0$, $c(2) = 1$, $c(2n) = c(n^2) = 0$, $c(n^2 + n - 1) = 1$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

$c(n+1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n+1), (n, n), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
 $c(n^2 - n) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n), (n^2 - n, n), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
 $c(n^2 + 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, 2n, 1), (n^2, 1), n^2 + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

Thus, $[(n+1, \dots, n+1, 2), (n^2 - n, n+1), n^2 + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (6): $c(n) = 0$, $c(2) = 1$, $c(2n) = 0$, $c(n^2) = 1$, $c(n^2 + 2) = 0$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

$c(n+1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n+1), (n, n), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n^2 - n + 2) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, 2n, 2n, 1, 1), (n^2 - n + 2, n), n^2 + 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n^2 - 2n + 2) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, 2n, 2n, 1, 1), (n^2 - 2n + 2, 2n), n^2 + 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n^2 + 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, 2n, 2n, 1, 1), (n^2 + 1, 1), n^2 + 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n - 1) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, 2), (n^2 - n + 2, n - 1), n^2 + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(2n - 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n), (n, n - 1), 2n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

Thus, $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, 2), (n^2 - 2n + 2, 2n - 1), n^2 + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (7): $c(n) = 0$, $c(2) = 1$, $c(2n) = 0$, $c(n^2) = c(n^2 + 2) = 1$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

$c(n + 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n + 1), (n, n), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
 $c(n + 2) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, n + 2, 2), (n^2, 2), n^2 + 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(3) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, 3), (n^2, 2), n^2 + 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n - 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, 3), (n - 1, 3), n + 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n^2 - 2n + 4) = 0$, since otherwise $[(2, \dots, 2, n^2 - 2n + 4), (n^2, 2), n^2 + 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n^2 - 2n + 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, 1, 3), (n^2 - 2n + 1, 3), n^2 - 2n + 4] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n^2 - 2n + 3) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, 1, 3), (n^2 - 2n + 3, 1), n^2 - 2n + 4] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

Thus, $[(n - 1, \dots, n - 1, 2), (n^2 - 2n + 1, 2), n^2 - 2n + 3] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (8): $c(n) = 0$, $c(2) = c(2n) = 1$, $c(n^2) = 0$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

$c(2n - 2) = 0$, since otherwise $[(2, \dots, 2), (2n - 2, 2), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
 $c(n - 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n - 1), (n - 1, n - 1), 2n - 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n^2 - 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n), (n^2 - 1, 1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n^2 + 1) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n - 1, 2n), (n^2 - 1, 2), n^2 + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

$c(n + 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, n + 1), (n^2, 1), n^2 + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

Thus, $[(2, \dots, 2), (n - 1, n + 1), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (9): $c(n) = 0$, $c(2) = c(2n) = c(n^2) = 1$, $c(n^2 + n - 1) = 0$.

We have $c(2n - 2) = 0$ and $c(n - 1) = 1$ by the same method as in Case (8). Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(n+1) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(2, \dots, 2), (n+1, n-1), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\ c(n^2-2) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(n+1, \dots, n+1, n), (n^2-2, n+1), n^2+n-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\ c(2n-1) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n-1, \dots, n-1, 2n-1), (n^2-2, 2), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\ c(n-2) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n-1, \dots, n-1, n-2, 2n), (n^2-2, 2), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[(1, \dots, 1, n), (n-2, n+1), 2n-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (10): $c(n) = 0$, $c(2) = c(2n) = c(n^2) = c(n^2 + n - 1) = 1$.

We have $c(n - 1) = 1$ and $c(2n - 2) = 0$ by the same method as in Case (9). Also we have $c(n^2 - n + 1) = 0$, since otherwise $[(2, \dots, 2, n^2 - n + 1), (n^2, n - 1), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$. We have $c(n^2 - n) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, 1), (n^2 - n, 1), n^2 - n + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$.

Also we have $c(n^2 - 2n + 1) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n - 1, \dots, n - 1), (n^2 - 2n + 1, n - 1), n^2 - n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$. And we have $c(n^2 - n + 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n, \dots, n, 1), (n^2 - 2n + 1, n), n^2 - n + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

Thus, $[(2, \dots, 2, n^2 - n + 1), (n^2, n - 1), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$. This is a contradiction.

Case (11): $c(n) = 1$, $c(n^2) = c(n + 1) = 0$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} c(n^2-1) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(n+1, \dots, n+1, 1), (n^2-1, 1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\ c(n^2-n-1) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(n+1, \dots, n+1, 1), (n^2-n-1, n+1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\ c(n-1) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n, \dots, n, n-1), (n^2-n-1, n), n^2-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\ c(n^2-n+1) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(n+1, \dots, n+1, 1), (n^2-n+1, n-1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\ c(2) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, 2), (n-1, 2), n+1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\ c(2n) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, n+1), (n-1, n+1), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[(2, \dots, 2), (n, n), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (12): $c(n) = 1$, $c(n^2) = 0$, $c(n + 1) = 1$, $c(n^2 + n - 1) = c(n + 2) = 0$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

- $c(n - 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n + 2, \dots, n + 2, 1), (n^2, n - 1), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2 + n - 2) = 1$, since otherwise $[(n + 2, \dots, n + 2, 1), (n^2 + n - 2, 1), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2 - 2) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, n, n), (n^2 - 2, n), n^2 + n - 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2 - 3) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, n, n), (n^2 - 3, n + 1), n^2 + n - 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

Thus, $[(n + 2, \dots, n + 2, 1, 1), (n^2 - 3, 1), n^2 - 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (13): $c(n) = 1, c(n^2) = 0, c(n + 1) = 1, c(n^2 + n - 1) = 0, c(n + 2) = 1, c(2n) = 0$.

From the assumption, we have $c(n - 1) = c(n^2 - n - 1) = c(n^2 + n - 2) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n^2), (n^2, n - 1), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, and $n^2 + (n - 1) = (n^2 - n - 1) + 2n = (n^2 + n - 2) + 1$. We also have the following

- $c(2n - 1) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, n, n), (n^2 - n - 1, 2n - 1), n^2 + n - 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(2) = 1$, since otherwise $[(2, \dots, 2), (2n - 1, 1), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2 - n + 1) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n - 1, \dots, n - 1, n), (n^2 - n - 1, 2), n^2 - n + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2 - 1) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, n - 1), (n^2 - 1, n - 1), n^2 + n - 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

Thus, $[(1, \dots, 1, n^2 - n + 1), (n^2 - 1, 1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (14): $c(n) = 1, c(n^2) = 0, c(n + 1) = 1, c(n^2 + n - 1) = 0, c(n + 2) = c(2n) = 1$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

- $c(n - 1) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n^2), (n^2, n - 1), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(2) = 0$, since otherwise $[(2, \dots, 2), (n + 1, n - 1), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2 + n - 2) = 1$, since otherwise $[(1, \dots, 1, n^2), (n^2 + n - 2, 1), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2 - 2) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, n, n), (n^2 - 2, n), n^2 + n - 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,
- $c(n^2 - n - 2) = 0$, since otherwise $[(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, n, n), (n^2 - n - 2, 2n), n^2 + n - 2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$,

Thus, $[(1, \dots, 1, 2, 2, 2, n^2 - n - 2), (n^2 - 2, 2), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (15): $c(n) = 1$, $c(n^2) = 0$, $c(n+1) = c(n^2+n-1) = 1$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
c(n^2-1) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n+1, \dots, n+1, n), (n^2-1, n), n^2+n-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n^2-2) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n+1, \dots, n+1, n), (n^2-2, n+1), n^2+n-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n^2-n+1) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, n^2-n+1), (n^2-1, 1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(2n-2) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n+1, \dots, n+1, n), (n^2-n+1, 2n-2), n^2+n-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n-1) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, n-1), (n-1, n-1), 2n-2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n^2-2n) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n-1, \dots, n-1, n), (n^2-2n, n+1), n^2-n+1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n^2-n-1) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, n^2-n-1), (n^2-2n, 2n-2), n^2-2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(2) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(2, \dots, 2, n^2-2n), (n^2-2n, 2n-2), n^2-2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[(n-1, \dots, n-1, n), (n^2-n-1, 2), n^2-n+1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (16): $c(n) = c(n^2) = 1$, $c(n^2-1) = 0$.

From the assumption, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
c(n^2-n) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n, \dots, n), (n^2-n, n), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n-1) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, n^2-n), (n^2-n, n-1), n^2-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n^2-2) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, n^2-n), (n^2-2, 1), n^2-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(2) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n, \dots, n), (n^2-2, 2), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n^2-n-1) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n, \dots, n, n-1, n-1), (n^2-n-1, n-1), n^2-2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n+1) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(n+1, \dots, n+1, 1, 1), (n^2-n-1, 1), n^2-n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n^2+n-1) &= 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n+1, \dots, n+1, n), (n^2, n-1), n^2+n-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}), \\
c(n^2+n-2) &= 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, 2, n^2-1), (n^2+n-2, 1), n^2+n-1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[(n+1, \dots, n+1, n, n), (n^2-2, n), n^2+n-2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (17): $c(n) = c(n^2) = c(n^2 - 1) = 1$, $c(n - 1) = 0$.

We have $c(n^2 - n) = 0$ by the same method as in Case (16). Also we have

$$c(n^2 - n - 1) = 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(n - 1, \dots, n - 1), (n^2 - n - 1, 1), n^2 - n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(n + 1) = 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n, \dots, n), (n^2 - n - 1, n + 1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(2) = 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, 2), (n - 1, 2), n + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(2n) = 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(2, \dots, 2), (n, n), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

Thus, $[(1, \dots, 1, n + 1), (n - 1, n + 1), 2n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Case (18): $c(n) = c(n^2) = c(n^2 - 1) = c(n - 1) = 1$.

We have $c(n^2 - n) = 0$ by the same method as in Case (16). Also we have

$$c(n^2 - n - 1) = 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n, \dots, n, n - 1), (n^2 - n - 1, n), n^2 - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(n + 1) = 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, 1, 1), (n^2 - n - 1, 1), n^2 - n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(n^2 - n + 1) = 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n, \dots, n), (n^2 - n + 1, n - 1), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(n^2 - 2n + 1) = 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, n^2 - 2n + 1), (n^2 - n - 1, 1), n^2 - n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(2n - 2) = 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n - 1, \dots, n - 1, 2n - 2), (n^2 - 2n + 1, 2n - 2), n^2 - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(n - 2) = 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(n - 2, \dots, n - 2, 2n - 2), (n^2 - n - 1, 1), n^2 - n] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(n^2 + n - 1) = 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n + 1, \dots, n + 1, n), (n^2, n - 1), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(2) = 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(2, \dots, 2, n^2 - n + 1), (n^2 - n + 1, 2n - 2), n^2 + n - 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(n^2 - n + 2) = 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n, \dots, n, n), (n^2 - n + 2, n - 2), n^2] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(n^2 + 1) = 0, \text{ since otherwise } [(n, \dots, n, n + 1), (n^2 - 1, 2), n^2 + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

$$c(n^2 - 2n + 3) = 1, \text{ since otherwise } [(1, \dots, 1, n^2 - n + 2), (n^2 - 2n + 3, 2n - 2), n^2 + 1] \in S_c(\mathcal{E}),$$

Thus, $[(n - 1, \dots, n - 1, 2), (n^2 - 2n + 1, 2), n^2 - 2n + 3] \in S_c(\mathcal{E})$, This is a contradiction.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank anonymous referee for valuable comments and corrections.

References

- [1] T. Ahmed and D. Schaal, *On generalized Schur numbers*, Exp. Math. **25** (2016), 213–218.
- [2] A. Beutelspacher and W. Brestovansky, *Generalized Schur number*, Lecture Notes in Math. **969** (1982), 30–38.
- [3] W. Deuber, *Developments based on Rado's dissertation 'Studien zur Kombinatorik'*, in: Survey Combin., Cambridge University Press, 52–74 (1989).
- [4] S. Guo and Z.-W. Sun, *Determination of the two-color Rado number for $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_mx_m = x_0$* , J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **115** (2008), 345–353.
- [5] M.J.H. Heule, *Schur number five*, The Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-18), (2018).
- [6] H. Harborth and S. Maasberg, *Rado numbers for $a(x + y) = bz$* , J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **80** (1997), 356–363.
- [7] H. Harborth and S. Maasberg, *All two-color Rado numbers for $a(x + y) = bz$* , Discrete Math. **197/198** (1999), 397–407.
- [8] B. Hopkins and D. Schaal, *On Rado numbers for $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_ix_i = x_m$* , Adv. in Appl. Math. **35** (2005), 433–441.
- [9] W. Kosek and D. Schaal, *A note on disjunctive Rado numbers*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **31** (2003), 433–439.
- [10] R. Rado, *Studien zur Kombinatorik*, Math. Z. **36** (1933), 424–480.
- [11] A. Robertson and K. Myers, *Some two color, four variable Rado numbers*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **41** (2008), 214–226.
- [12] A. Robertson and D. Schaal, *Off-diagonal generalized Schur numbers*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **26** (2001), 252–257.
- [13] D. Saracino, *The 2-color rado number of $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_k$* , Ars Combinatoria **129** (2016), 315–321.
- [14] D. Saracino, *The 2-color rado number of $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{m-1} = ax_m$* , Ars Combinatoria **113** (2014), 81–95.
- [15] D. Saracino, *The 2-color rado number of $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{m-1} = ax_m$, II*, Ars Combinatoria **119** (2015), 193–210.
- [16] D. Saracino and B. Wynne, *The 2-color Rado number of $x + y + kz = 3w$* , Ars Combinatoria **90** (2009), 119–127.
- [17] I. Schur, *Über die Kongruenz $x^m + y^m \equiv z^m \pmod{p}$* , Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. **25** (1916), 114–117.
- [18] W. Wallis, A. Street and J. Wallis, *Combinatorics: Room Squares, Sum-Free Sets, Hadamard Matrices*, Lecture Notes in Math. **48**, Springer (1972).

Byeong Moon Kim

Department of Mathematics
Gangneung-Wonju National University
Gangneung 25457, Korea
E-mail: kbm@gwnu.ac.kr

Woonjae Hwang

Division of Applied Mathematical Sciences
Korea University, Sejong 30019, Korea
E-mail: woonjae@korea.ac.kr

Byung Chul Song

Department of Mathematics
Gangneung-Wonju National University
Gangneung 25457, Korea
E-mail: bcsong@gwnu.ac.kr