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#### Abstract

This paper proves a new regularity criterion for solutions to the Cauchy problem of the 3D Boussinesq equations via one directional derivative of the horizontal component of the velocity field (i.e., $\left(\partial_{i} u_{1} ; \partial_{j} u_{2} ; 0\right)$ where $\left.i, j \in\{1,2,3\}\right)$ in the framework of the anisotropic Lebesgue spaces. More precisely, for $0<T<\infty$, if $$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}(t)\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{\hat{i}} x_{\tilde{i}}}^{\beta}}^{\gamma}+\| \| \partial_{j} u_{2}(t)\left\|_{L_{x_{j}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{\hat{j}} x_{\tilde{j}}}^{\beta}}^{\gamma}\right) \mathrm{d} t<\infty
$$ where $\frac{2}{\gamma}+\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{2}{\beta}=m \in\left[1, \frac{3}{2}\right)$ and $\frac{3}{m} \leq \alpha \leq \beta<\frac{1}{m-1}$, then the corresponding solution $(u, \theta)$ to the 3D Boussinesq equations is regular on $[0, T]$. Here, $(i, \hat{i}, \tilde{i})$ and $(j, \hat{j}, \tilde{j})$ belong to the permutation group on the set $\mathbb{S}_{3}:=\{1,2,3\}$. This result reveals that the horizontal component of the velocity field plays a dominant role in regularity theory of the Boussinesq equations.


## 1. Introduction

The paper is concerned with regularity of solutions to the Cauchy problem of the following 3D Boussinesq equations

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}+u \cdot \nabla u=\nu \Delta u-\nabla P+\theta e_{3}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, t>0  \tag{1.1}\\ \theta_{t}+u \cdot \nabla \theta=\eta \Delta \theta, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, t>0 \\ \nabla \cdot u=0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, t>0 \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad \theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} .\end{cases}
$$

Here, $u$ is the fluid velocity, $\theta$ is the scalar temperature and $P$ is the pressure. $u_{0}, \theta_{0}$ with $\nabla \cdot u_{0}=0$ in the sense of distribution are given initial data. $e_{3}=$ $(0,0,1) . \nu$ and $\eta$ are two positive constants. Since the concrete values of $\nu$ and $\eta$ play no role in our discussion, for simplicity, we set $\nu=\eta=1$.
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The Boussinesq equations (1.1) is a simple model widely used in the modeling of oceanic and atmospheric motions and play an important role in the atmospheric sciences (see, e.g., [15]). It has received significant attention in mathematical fluid dynamics due to its connection to three-dimensional incompressible flows. When $\theta_{0}$ is identically zero (or some constant), the equations (1.1) reduces to the well-known Navier-Stokes equations. It is currently unknown whether solutions of the initial value problem of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations or the 3D MHD equations can develop finite time singularities even if the initial data is sufficiently smooth. The global regularity issue has been thoroughly investigated for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations and many important regularity criteria have been established. The well-known Prodi-Serrin condition (see $[8,18,22]$ ) states that if a weak solution $u$ to the 3D NS equations is in the class of $u \in L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$ with $\frac{2}{r}+\frac{3}{s} \leq 1,3<s \leq \infty$, then $u$ is regular on ( $0, T$ ]. Beirão da Veiga [4] established another Prodi-Serrin type criterion on gradient of the velocity, i.e., $\nabla u \in L^{r}\left(0, T ; L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$ with $\frac{2}{r}+\frac{3}{s} \leq 2, \frac{3}{2}<s \leq \infty$. For more regularity results on solutions to the 3D NS equations subject to periodic boundary conditions or in the whole space via one component of the velocity field or via some components of the gradient of velocity, we refer the readers to see $[2,3,5-7,9,16,17,19,26,27]$ and the references therein. Here we would like to mention the paper of Zhou-Pokorný [27], the authors established that the local smooth solution $u$ to the 3D NS equations can be continued past any time $T>0$ provided that there holds

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{3}(t)\right\|_{L^{p}}^{q} \mathrm{~d} t<+\infty \quad \text { with } \frac{2}{q}+\frac{3}{p} \leq \frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2 p} \text { and } \frac{10}{3}<p<\infty
$$

or

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla u_{3}(t)\right\|_{L^{p}}^{q} \mathrm{~d} t<+\infty \quad \text { with } \frac{2}{q}+\frac{3}{p} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{19}{12}+\frac{1}{2 p}, \quad p \in\left(\frac{30}{19}, 3\right] \\
\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{4 p}, \quad p \in(3, \infty]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Later, Qian [19] proved the regularity criteria in terms of only one of nine components of the gradient of velocity field in the framework of anisotropic Lebesgue spaces, precisely, by using the method introduced in papers [5,6], the author established that the local smooth solution $u$ of the 3D NS equations satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\| \| \partial_{i} u_{j}(t)\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{j} x_{k}}^{\beta}}^{q} \mathrm{~d} t<+\infty
$$

where $\frac{2}{q}+\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{2}{\beta} \leq \frac{2 \alpha \beta+5 \beta+\alpha}{4 \alpha \beta}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and $\frac{7 \alpha}{2 \alpha+1}<\beta<\infty$, or

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\| \| \partial_{j} u_{j}(t)\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{j} x_{k}}^{\beta}}^{q} \mathrm{~d} t<+\infty
$$

where $\frac{2}{q}+\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{2}{\beta} \leq \frac{3 \alpha \beta+4 \beta+2 \alpha}{4 \alpha \beta}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and $2<\beta \leq \infty$,
with $i, j=1,2,3$ and $i \neq j$, then $u$ is smooth up to time $T$. Here, we notice
that the repeated subscripts like $j, j$ do not mean summation in the above inequalities.

Since (1.1) contains the NS equations as a subsystem, the global regularity with large initial data is still a challenging open problem although some efforts have been made for small initial data or axi-symmetric data $[1,15]$. The development of regularity criterion is also of major importance for both theoretical and practical purposes. Inspired by regularity results of the NS equations and the MHD equations, regularity criteria via the velocity field of weak solutions to the Boussinesq equations in different spaces have been obtained in papers $[3,10,11,13,20,21,23,25]$ and the reference therein. We also refer to $[12,14,24]$ for more regularity criteria for equations (1.1) via the pressure.

Motivated by the papers cited above, we shall study regularity criterion for solutions to the Cauchy problems of the 3D Boussinesq equations (1.1) via one directional derivative of derivative of the horizontal component of the velocity field (i.e., $\left(\partial_{i} u_{1} ; \partial_{j} u_{2} ; 0\right)$ where $\left.i, j \in\{1,2,3\}\right)$ in framework of the anisotropic Lebesgue spaces in this paper. Before stating our main result, let us recall the definition of weak solution to (1.1) and the definition of the anisotropic Lebesgue spaces.

Definition 1.1 (Weak solution). Let $\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_{0}=0$, and $T>0$. A function pair $(u, \theta)$ satisfying $(u, \theta) \in C_{w}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right) \cap$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$ is said to be a weak solution of (1.1) if it solves $(1.1)_{1,2,3}$ in the sense of distributions, and for all $0<t<T$, the following energy inequality holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(\|\nabla u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \\
\leq & \left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \theta u_{3} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.2. For $1 \leq \alpha, \beta<\infty$, we say that a function $f$ belongs to $L^{\beta}\left(\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{2}\right) ; L^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x_{3}}\right)\right.$ if $f$ is measurable on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the following norm is finite:

$$
\left\|\|f\|_{L_{x_{3}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{1} x_{2}}^{\beta}}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x_{3}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \mathrm{d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}
$$

Now, our main result reads as:
Theorem 1.3. Assume the initial data $\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_{0}=0$. Let $T>0$ be given, and $(u, \theta)$ be the corresponding weak solution of the $3 D$ Boyssinesq equations (1.1) on $(0, T)$. Then the solution $(u, \theta)$ remains smooth up to time $t=T$, provide that the following condition holds:

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}(t)\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i} x_{\tilde{i}}}^{\beta}}^{\gamma}+\| \| \partial_{j} u_{2}(t)\left\|_{L_{x_{j}}}\right\|_{L_{x_{j}}^{\beta} x_{\tilde{j}}}^{\gamma}\right) d t<\infty
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { with } \frac{2}{\gamma}+\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{2}{\beta}=m \in\left[1, \frac{3}{2}\right) \text { and } \frac{3}{m} \leq \alpha \leq \beta<\frac{1}{m-1} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $(i, \hat{i}, \tilde{i})$ and $(j, \hat{j}, \tilde{j})$ belong to the permutation group on the set $\mathbb{S}_{3}:=$ $\{1,2,3\}$.

The proof of the regularity criterion (1.2) is provided in the next section. Throughout the paper, the directional derivatives of a function $f$ are denoted by $\partial_{i} f=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(i=1,2,3)$, the $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$-norm of a function $f$ is denoted by $\|f\|_{L^{p}}$. $C$ is the generic positive constant which may depend on the norms of the initial data and may change from line to line.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we shall prove our main Theorem 1.3. We first integrating $(1.1)_{2}$ over $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, and noticing the divergence-free condition $(1.1)_{3}$, it follows that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \theta(x, t) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Delta \theta \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

from which

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \theta(x, t) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \theta_{0}(x) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

This provides the $L^{1}$-estimate of $\theta$. Applying the standard maximum principle type argument to $(1.1)_{2}$, one gets the $L^{\infty}$-estimate, i.e.,

$$
\text { ess } \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \theta(x, t) \leq \operatorname{ess} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \theta_{0}(x) \quad \text { for all } 0<t \leq T \text {. }
$$

Thus we have the following global a priori bound for $\theta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{p}} \leq\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}} \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \forall 1 \leq p \leq \infty . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, multiplying both sides of $(1.1)_{1}$ by $u$, and using the condition $(1.1)_{3}$, one gets after integration by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\theta e_{3} \cdot u\right)(x, t) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with Gronwall's inequality yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying $(1.1)_{1}$ by $\Delta u$, and $(1.1)_{2}$ by $\Delta \theta$, respectively, and then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, one obtains after integrating by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\|\Delta u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\Delta \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \theta e_{3} \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}(u \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \Delta \theta \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
:=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used that $(1.1)_{3}$ implies the identity $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla P \cdot \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x=0$. In what follows, we shall estimate $I_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ term by term. By using Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$
I_{2}=-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i} \theta \partial_{i} u_{3} \mathrm{~d} x \leq\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\left(\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{6}}\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^{3}}\|\Delta \theta\|_{L^{2}} & \leq C\left\|\nabla \theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}\|\Delta \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\Delta \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the following inequality

$$
\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^{3}} \leq C\|\theta\|_{L^{6}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Delta \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{6}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Delta \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\left\|\nabla \theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Delta \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

due to the interpolation inequality and the energy inequality in Definition 1.1. For the term $I_{1}$, noticing that it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}= & \sum_{i, j, k=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{i} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k}^{2} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j, k=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{i} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k}^{2} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x+\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{3} \partial_{3} u_{j} \partial_{k}^{2} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{3} \partial_{3} u_{3} \partial_{k}^{2} u_{3} \mathrm{~d} x+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{3} \partial_{3} u_{j} \partial_{3}^{2} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \underbrace{}_{I_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{i_{j, k=1}}^{\sum_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{i} \partial_{i} u_{j} \partial_{k}^{2} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x} \\
& -\underbrace{\sum_{j, k=1}^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{3} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{3} \partial_{3} \partial_{k} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x\right)}_{I_{13}} \\
& \underbrace{}_{\sum_{k=1}^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{3} u_{3} \partial_{k} u_{3} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{3} \partial_{3} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{k} u_{3} \mathrm{~d} x\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{3} \partial_{3} u_{j} \partial_{3}^{2} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I_{11} \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right||\nabla u|\left|\nabla^{2} u\right| \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{2}\right||\nabla u|\left|\nabla^{2} u\right| \mathrm{d} x\right) ;
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{12}=-\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{j} \partial_{3} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x-\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{3} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{3} u_{3} \partial_{k} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{j} \partial_{3} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x-\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{3} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i} u_{i} \partial_{k} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{j} \partial_{3} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x-\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{3} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\sum_{i, j, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{i} \partial_{i} \partial_{k} u_{j} \partial_{k} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right||\nabla u|\left|\nabla^{2} u\right| \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{2}\right||\nabla u|\left|\nabla^{2} u\right| \mathrm{d} x\right) ; \\
& 2 I_{13}=\sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{3} u_{3} \partial_{k} u_{3} \mathrm{~d} x+\sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{3} u_{3} \partial_{3} u_{j} \partial_{3} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\sum_{i, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{i} u_{i} \partial_{k} u_{3} \mathrm{~d} x-\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i} u_{i} \partial_{3} u_{j} \partial_{3} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =2 \sum_{i, k=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{i} \partial_{i} \partial_{k} u_{3} \partial_{k} u_{3} \mathrm{~d} x+2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{i} \partial_{i} \partial_{3} u_{j} \partial_{3} u_{j} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right||\nabla u|\left|\nabla^{2} u\right| \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{2}\right||\nabla u|\left|\nabla^{2} u\right| \mathrm{d} x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $(1.1)_{3}$ yields $\partial_{3} u_{3}=-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \partial_{i} u_{i}$. Thus one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\|\nabla u\| \nabla^{2} u\right| \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{2}\right||\nabla u|\left|\nabla^{2} u\right| \mathrm{d} x\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{2}\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now turn to estimate the term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$, the straight calculus yields that for $1<r \leq \infty$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\max _{x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{\hat{i}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\tilde{i}} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \max _{x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2 r} \mathrm{~d} x_{\hat{i}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\tilde{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{i}\right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\hat{i}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\tilde{i}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2 r-1}\left|\partial_{i} u_{1}\right| \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{i}\right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\hat{i}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\tilde{i}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the following fact

$$
|f(x)|^{2 r} \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{x}|f(\tau)|^{2 r-1}\left|f^{\prime}(\tau)\right| \mathrm{d} \tau \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(\tau)|^{2 r-1}\left|f^{\prime}(\tau)\right| \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

By using Hölder's inequality and interpolation inequality, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2 r-1}\left|\partial_{i} u_{1}\right| \mathrm{d} x_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{\hat{i}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\tilde{i}} \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left\|\partial_{i} u_{1}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}}^{2 r-1}\left(\frac{(2 r-1) \alpha}{\alpha-1}\right. \\
& \mathrm{d} x_{\hat{i}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\tilde{i}} \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left\|\partial_{i} u_{1}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\left\|\partial_{i} u_{1}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}^{(2 r-1) \theta}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\xi}}^{(2 r-1)(1-\theta)} \mathrm{d} x_{\hat{i}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\tilde{i}} \\
& \leq C\left\|\left\|\partial_{i} u_{1}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{\hat{i}} x_{i}}^{\beta}}\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}^{(2 r-1) \theta}\right\| u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\xi}}^{(2 r-1)(1-\theta)}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\beta-1} \tilde{x}_{\tilde{i}}}^{(2)}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq C\left\|\left\|\partial_{i} u_{1}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{\hat{i}} x_{i}}^{\beta}}\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{\hat{i}} x_{\tilde{i}}}^{(2 r-1) \theta t}}^{(2 r-1) \theta}\| \| u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\xi}}^{\left(2 x_{i}\right.}\right\|_{L_{x_{\hat{i}} x_{\tilde{i}}}^{(2 r-1)(1-\theta) a}}^{(2 r-\theta)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $(i, \hat{i}, \tilde{i})$ belongs to the permutation group on the set $\mathbb{S}_{3}:=\{1,2,3\}$, $\alpha, \beta, \xi, a, t \in[1, \infty]$ and $\theta \in[0,1]$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{t}=\frac{\beta-1}{\beta} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(2 r-1) \alpha}+\frac{\theta}{\alpha}=\frac{1-\theta}{\xi(\alpha-1)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by using Minkowski inequality, Hölder's inequality and interpolation inequality, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{i}\right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\hat{i}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\tilde{i}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{\frac{2 r}{r-1}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\hat{i}} \mathrm{~d} x_{\tilde{i}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \mathrm{~d} x_{i} \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}}\|\nabla u\|_{L_{x_{\hat{i}} \tilde{x}_{\tilde{i}}}^{2}}^{\frac{2(r-1)}{r}}\left\|\left(\partial_{\hat{i}}, \partial_{\tilde{i}}\right) \nabla u\right\|_{L_{x_{\hat{i}} x_{\tilde{i}}}^{2}}^{\frac{2}{r}} \mathrm{~d} x_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2(r-1)}{r^{r}}}\left\|\left(\partial_{\hat{i}}, \partial_{\bar{i}}\right) \nabla u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2}{r}} \leq\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2(r-1)}{r}}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2}{r}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, inserting (2.8) and (2.5) into (2.4), it follows that
(2.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & C\left\|\left\|\partial_{i} u_{1}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i} x_{i}}^{\beta}}^{\frac{1}{r}}\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\theta} x_{i}}^{\frac{\theta(2 r-1) t}{r}}\| \| u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\xi}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{(1-\theta)\left(x_{\bar{i}}\right.}}^{\frac{(1-\theta)(2 r-1) a}{r}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2(r-1)}{r}}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2}{r}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1<r \leq \infty, \alpha, \beta, \xi, a, t \in[1, \infty]$ and $\theta \in[0,1]$ satisfy (2.6) and (2.7). By selecting

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\xi}{(2 r-1)(1-\theta)} \text { and } t=\frac{\beta}{(2 r-1) \theta}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $a$ and $t$ satisfy (2.6). From (2.10) and (2.9), it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C\| \| \partial_{i} u_{i}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i} x_{\tilde{i}}}^{\beta}}^{\frac{1+(2 r-1) \theta}{r}}\|u\|_{L^{\xi}}^{\frac{(2 r-1)(1-\theta)}{r}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2(r-1)}{r}}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{2}{r}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i} x_{\tilde{i}}}^{\beta}}^{\frac{1+(2 r-1) \theta}{r-1}}\|u\|_{L^{\xi}}^{\frac{(2 r-1)(1-\theta)}{r-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, for $m \in\left[1, \frac{3}{2}\right]$ and $\frac{3}{m}<\alpha \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{m-1}$, by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{\left(\frac{5}{2}-m\right) \alpha \beta}{\alpha+\alpha \beta-\beta}=\frac{(5-2 m) \alpha \beta}{2(\alpha+\alpha \beta-\beta)} \text { and } \xi=\frac{2 \alpha(2-m)}{\alpha-1}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{(2 r-1) \alpha-\xi(\alpha-1)}{(2 r-1)(\xi(\alpha-1)+\alpha)}=\frac{\beta-\alpha}{(4-2 m) \alpha \beta-\alpha+\beta} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $\theta \in[0,1)$, and $r, \xi$ and $\theta$ satisfy (2.7). Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.14}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{8}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{\hat{i}} x_{\tilde{i}}}^{\beta}}^{\frac{1+(2 r-1) \theta}{r-1}}\|u\|_{L^{\xi}}^{\frac{(2 r-1)(1-\theta)}{r-1}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{8}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{\hat{i}} x_{\tilde{i}}}^{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta}}^{(3)}\|u\|_{L^{\xi}}^{\frac{4 \alpha-2 m) \alpha(2-m)}{(3-2 \alpha+2 \beta}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality with

$$
\frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta}+\frac{3(1-m) \alpha \beta+3 \beta}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta}=1,
$$

where $\frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta} \in(0,1]$ by (1.2). (2.14) becomes
(2.15) $\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{1}\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$

$$
\leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{8}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\left(\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i} x_{i}}^{\beta}}^{\frac{2 \alpha \beta}{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}}+\|u\|_{L^{\xi}}^{\frac{4 \alpha(2-m)}{3(1-2) \alpha+3}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\text { if } \frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta} \in(0,1), \text { i.e., } \frac{3}{m}<\alpha \leq \beta<\frac{1}{m-1}, \\
\frac{1}{8}\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\beta} x_{\bar{i}}}^{\frac{2 \alpha \beta}{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}}\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{4 \alpha \beta(2-m)}{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\text { if } \frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta}=1, \text { i.e., } \alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{m-1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similar estimate still holds for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|u_{2}\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1} \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& +\left\{\begin{array}{c}
C\left(\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{L_{x_{i}} x_{i}}^{\beta}}^{\frac{2 \alpha \beta}{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}}+\| \| \partial_{j} u_{2}\left\|_{L_{x_{j}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{j}}^{\beta} x_{j}}^{\frac{2 \alpha \beta}{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}}+\|u\|_{L^{\prime}}^{\frac{4 \alpha(2-m)}{(1)-m) \alpha+3}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\text { if } \frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta} \in(0,1), \text { i.e. }, \frac{3}{m}<\alpha \leq \beta<\frac{1}{m-1}, \\
C\left(\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i} x_{i}}^{\beta}}^{\frac{2 \alpha \beta}{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}}+\| \| \partial_{j} u_{2}\left\|_{L_{x_{j}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{j} x_{j}}^{\beta}}^{\frac{2 \alpha \beta \beta}{m \alpha \beta-2 \alpha}}\right)\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{4 \alpha \beta(2-m)}{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\text { if } \frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta}=1, \text { i.e., } \alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{m-1},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the identity $\left\|\nabla^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$. Inserting all estimates of $I_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ into (2.3), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}(t)+\left(\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\Delta \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
\leq & C\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathcal{H}(t)+\|u\|_{L^{\xi}}^{\frac{4 \alpha(2-m) \alpha+3}{3(1-m) \alpha+3}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1\right)\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \\
\text { if } \frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta} \in(0,1), \text { i.e., } \frac{3}{m}<\alpha \leq \beta<\frac{1}{m-1}, \\
\left(\mathcal{H}(t)\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{4 \alpha(2-m)}{m a-\beta-2 \alpha}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1\right)\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \\
\text { if } \frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta}=1, \text { i.e., } \alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{m-1},
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(t)=\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{H}(t)=\| \| \partial_{i} u_{1}\left\|_{L_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{i} x_{i}}}^{\frac{2 \alpha \beta}{m \beta \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}}$ $+\| \| \partial_{j} u_{2}\left\|_{L_{x_{j}}^{\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{x_{j} x_{\tilde{j}}}^{\beta}}^{\frac{2 \alpha \beta}{m \alpha-\beta-2 \alpha}}$ with $\frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta} \in(0,1]$, i.e., $\frac{3}{m}<\alpha \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{m-1}$.
Notice that from (2.2) together with the standard interpolation inequality yields that

$$
u \in L^{a}\left(0, T ; L^{b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right) \quad \text { with } \frac{2}{a}+\frac{3}{b}=\frac{3}{2} \text { and } 2 \leq b \leq 6
$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that $2<\xi=\frac{2 \alpha(2-m)}{\alpha-1}<6$ if $\frac{3}{m}<\alpha \leq \beta<$ $\frac{1}{m-1}$, and it holds that

$$
\frac{3(1-m) \alpha+3}{2 \alpha(2-m)}+\frac{3}{\xi}=\frac{3(1-m) \alpha+3}{2 \alpha(2-m)}+\frac{3(\alpha-1)}{2 \alpha(2-m)}=\frac{3}{2}
$$

Hence, one obtains that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L^{\frac{4 \alpha(2-m)}{3[(1-m) \alpha+1]}}\left(0, T ; L^{\xi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right), \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\frac{3}{m}<\alpha \leq \beta<\frac{1}{m-1}$. When $\alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{m-1}$, then $\xi=2$, and one obtains from energy inequality in Definition 1.1 and the estimate (2.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) \text { for all } 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by applying Gronwall's inequality to (2.16) in the interval $[0, T)$, one can deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{F}(t) \\
& \leq \mathcal{F}(0)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\exp \left\{C \int_{0}^{T}\left(\mathcal{G}(t)+\|u(t)\|_{L^{\prime}}^{\frac{4 \alpha(2-m)}{3(1-m) \alpha+3}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1\right) \mathrm{d} t\right\} \\
\quad \text { if } \frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta} \in(0,1), \text { i.e., } \frac{3}{m}<\alpha \leq \beta<\frac{1}{m-1} \\
\exp \left\{C \int_{0}^{T}\left(\mathcal{G}(t)\|u(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{4 \alpha \beta(2-m)}{m \alpha \beta-2 \alpha}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1\right) \mathrm{d} t\right\} \\
\text { if } \frac{m \alpha \beta-\beta-2 \alpha}{(3-2 m) \alpha \beta-2 \alpha+2 \beta}=1, \text { i.e., } \alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{m-1}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(0)=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\nabla d_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+1$, which together with assumption (1.2), estimates (2.17) and (2.18) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)<+\infty . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by using the standard arguments of the continuation of local solutions, it is easy to conclude that the above estimate (2.19) implies that the solution $(u(x, t), \theta(x, t))$ can be smoothly up to time $T$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.
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