

SOME STABILITY RESULTS FOR COINCIDENCE POINT ITERATIVE ALGORITHMS WITH THREE MAPPINGS

SEUNG-HYUN KIM^a AND MEE-KWANG KANG^{b,*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a new concept of stability of coincidence iterative algorithm for three mappings and derive a new three-step Jungck-type iterative algorithm. And, we prove a stability result and a strong convergence result for the Jungck-type algorithm using the M_J -contractive condition. Our results extend and unify the corresponding ones in [3, 6, 7, 13].

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

A concept of the stability of fixed point iterative algorithms was initiated by Harder and Hicks [1] in 1988. As their results show, the study of stability of iterative algorithms has been both theoretical and numerical interests. In fact, the study of stability of fixed point iterative algorithms for various mappings in normed spaces or metric spaces has been rapidly developed into many directions [6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14].

In 2004, Singh et al. [13] introduced a concept of the stability of coincidence point iterative algorithms for two mappings and proved some stability results of Jungck and Jungck-Mann iterative algorithms. In 2008, Olatinwo [7] introduced Jungck-Ishikawa iterative algorithm, and obtained some stability and strong convergence results for Jungck-Ishikawa iterative algorithm. Recently, Olatinwo [6] proved some stability and strong convergence results for Picard, Mann, Ishikawa and Jungck type iterative algorithms by M_J -contractive conditions.

Inspired by the above results, in this paper, we introduce a new concept of stability of coincidence iterative algorithm for three mappings and derive a new three-step Jungck-type iterative algorithm. And, we prove a stability result and a strong convergence result for our iterative algorithm using the M_J -contractive conditions in [6]. Our results extend and unify the corresponding ones in [6, 13, 3, 7].

Received by the editors September 25, 2019. Accepted February 24, 2020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H09, 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. stability, coincidence point, iterative algorithm.

*Corresponding author.

Let K be an arbitrary subset of a normed space E and $S, T, R \in M(K)(= \{T : T \text{ is a mapping from } K \text{ to } E\})$ with $T(K) \cup R(K) \subset S(K)$. We define a coincidence point iterative algorithm by

$$(1.1) \quad Sx_{n+1} = f(T, R, x_n) \text{ for } n \geq 0,$$

where $x_0 \in K$ is the initial approximation and f is a function $M(K) \times M(K) \times K$ to E .

In actual computations, since it's difficult to get the exact value of x_1 due to various errors (rounding errors, numerical approximation of functions, derivatives or integrals, etc.), where $Sx_1 = f(T, R, x_0)$, the following method is used to get an approximation of $\{Sx_n\}$. Take y_1 closely enough to x_1 , so that $Sy_1 \approx Sx_1$. Take y_2 closely enough to x_2 so that $Sy_2 \approx Sx_2 = f(T, R, y_1)$. Continuing this process, we obtain a sequence $\{Sy_{n+1}\}$ approximating closely to $\{Sx_{n+1}\}$ with $Sx_{n+1} = f(T, R, y_n)$ for $n \geq 0$. Now, we introduce a new concept of stability for the coincidence point iterative algorithm (1.1) as follows;

Definition 1.1. Let $q \in C(S, T, R)(:= \{q \in K : Sq = Tq = Rq\})$. For any $x_0 \in K$, let the sequence $\{Sx_n\}$ generated by (1.1) converge to Sq , say p . Let $\{Sy_n\} \subset E$ be an arbitrary sequence and set $\varepsilon_n = \|Sy_{n+1} - f(T, R, y_n)\|$. Then, the iterative algorithm (1.1) is said to be (S, T, R) -stable if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = p$ for $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$.

Definition 1.1 reduces to that of the stability of iterative algorithm due to Singh et al. [13] when $K = E$ and $f(T, R, x_n) = f(T, x_n)$.

Example 1.1. Let $S, T, R : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2]$ be mappings defined by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} x + 1, & x \neq \frac{2}{3} \\ 0, & x = \frac{2}{3}, \end{cases} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 2, & x = \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{3}{2}, & x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \setminus \{\frac{2}{3}\} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Rx = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 0, & x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then, $S0 = T0 = R0 = 1$. Let $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ and

$$(1.2) \quad Sx_{n+1} = f(T, R, x_n) = (1 - a_n - b_n)Sx_n + a_nTx_n + b_nRx_n \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

Take $a_n = \frac{1}{2}$ and $b_n = \frac{1}{4}$ for $n \geq 0$. If $x_0 \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then

$$Sx_1 = \frac{1}{4}(x_0 + 1) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4}x_0 + 1, \quad x_1 = \frac{1}{4}x_0;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Sx_2 &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{4}x_0 + 1\right) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4^2}x_0 + 1, \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{4^2}x_0; \\
 &\vdots \\
 Sx_n &= \frac{1}{4^n}x_0 + 1, \quad x_n = \frac{1}{4^n}x_0 \text{ for } n \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $x_0 = \frac{2}{3}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 Sx_1 &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 = 1, \quad x_1 = 0; \\
 Sx_2 &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 = 1, \quad x_2 = 0; \\
 &\vdots \\
 Sx_n &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1, \quad x_n = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $x_0 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \setminus \{\frac{2}{3}\}$, then we have

$$Sx_1 = \frac{1}{4}(x_0 + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{4}x_0 + 1, \quad x_1 = \frac{1}{4}x_0.$$

Thus, $x_1 \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, so $Sx_n = \frac{1}{4^n}x_0 + 1$ for $n \geq 2$. Hence, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = 1$.

Now, we show that the iterative algorithm (1.2) is (S, T, R) -stable. Take a sequence $\{Sy_n\} = \{\frac{1}{n} + 1\}$ for $n \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon_n &= |Sy_{n+1} - (1 - a_n - b_n)Sy_n - a_nTy_n - b_nRy_n| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{n+1} + 1 - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{n} + 1\right) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{4n} \right| \text{ for } n \geq 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\frac{1}{n} + 1) = 1$. Hence, the iterative algorithm (1.2) is (S, T, R) -stable.

Remark 1.1. (i) We derive a new three-step iterative scheme from (1.1) as follows;

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} Sx_{n+1} = f(T, R, x_n) = (1 - a_n - b_n)Sx_n + a_nTr_n + b_nRr_n, \\ Sr_n = (1 - a'_n - b'_n)Sx_n + a'_nTs_n + b'_nRs_n, \\ Ss_n = (1 - a''_n - b''_n)Sx_n + a''_nTx_n + b''_nRx_n \text{ for } n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where S is injective and $\{a_n\}, \{a'_n\}, \{a''_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{b'_n\}, \{b''_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$.

(ii) If $K = E, S = I$ and $b_n = b'_n = b''_n = 0$ ($n \geq 0$) in (1.3), then we obtain the

following Noor iterative algorithm [5]

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} x_{n+1} = (1 - a_n)x_n + a_nTr_n, \\ r_n = (1 - a'_n)x_n + a'_nTs_n, \\ s_n = (1 - a''_n)x_n + a''_nTx_n \text{ for } n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{a_n\}$, $\{a'_n\}$, $\{a''_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$.

(iii) If $a''_n = 0$ ($n \geq 0$) in (1.4), then we obtain the following Ishikawa iterative algorithm [2]

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} x_{n+1} = (1 - a_n)x_n + a_nTr_n, \\ r_n = (1 - a'_n)x_n + a'_nTx_n \text{ for } n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{a_n\}$ and $\{a'_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$.

(iv) If $a'_n = 0$ ($n \geq 0$) in (1.5), then we obtain the following Mann iterative algorithm [4]

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = (1 - a_n)x_n + a_nTx_n \text{ for } n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$.

Definition 1.2 ([6]). Let $S, T : K \rightarrow E$ be mappings with $T(K) \subset S(K)$, where $S(K)$ is a complete subspace of E and let $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a continuous mapping satisfying the following condition (*);

(*) : an inequality $a \leq \alpha(b, b, a)$ guarantees the existence of $k \in [0, 1)$ with $a \leq kb$. A pair (S, T) is said to be a M_J -contraction with respect to a mapping α with condition (*) if it satisfies the following inequality;

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\| &\leq \alpha(\|Sx - Sy\|, \max\{\|Sx - Tx\|, \|Sy - Tx\|\}, \\ &\max\{\|Sy - Ty\|^m \cdot \|Sx - Tx\|^l, \|Sx - Ty\|\}) \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in K$ and $m, l \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Lemma 1.3 ([10]). *If $d \in [0, 1)$ and $\{v_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = 0$, then for any sequence of nonnegative real numbers $\{u_n\}$ satisfying*

$$u_{n+1} \leq du_n + v_n \text{ for } n \geq 0,$$

we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$.

2. STABILITY RESULT

In this section, we establish a stability result of iterative algorithm (1.3).

Theorem 2.1. *Let S, T, R be mappings from $K(\subset E)$ to a normed space E with $T(K) \cup R(K) \subset S(K)$, S be an injective mapping and $C(S, T, R) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that (S, T) and (S, R) are M_J -contractions with respect to α and α' with condition $(*)$, respectively. For $x_0 \in K$, let $\{Sx_n\} \subset E$ be an iterative algorithm defined by (1.3) converging to $p(= Sq = Tq = Rq)$, where $\{a_n\}, \{a'_n\}, \{a''_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{b'_n\}, \{b''_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ such that $0 < w = \inf_{n \geq 0} a_n$. Then, $\{Sx_n\}$ is (S, T, R) -stable.*

Proof. Take $\{Sy_n\}$ in E with $\varepsilon_n = \|Sy_{n+1} - (1 - a_n - b_n)Sy_n - a_nTc_n - b_nRc_n\|$, $Sc_n = (1 - a'_n - b'_n)Sy_n + a'_nTd_n + b'_nRd_n$ and $Sd_n = (1 - a''_n - b''_n)Sy_n + a''_nTy_n + b''_nRy_n$ ($n \geq 0$). Assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. From (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tq - Tc_n\| &\leq \alpha(\|Sq - Sc_n\|, \max\{\|Sq - Tq\|, \|Sc_n - Tq\|\}, \\ &\quad \max\{\|Sc_n - Tc_n\|^m \cdot \|Sq - Tq\|^l, \|Sq - Tc_n\|\}) \\ &= \alpha(\|Sq - Sc_n\|, \|Sc_n - Sq\|, \|Tq - Tc_n\|) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rq - Rc_n\| &\leq \alpha'(\|Sq - Sc_n\|, \max\{\|Sq - Rq\|, \|Sc_n - Rq\|\}, \\ &\quad \max\{\|Sc_n - Rc_n\|^m \cdot \|Sq - Rq\|^l, \|Sq - Rc_n\|\}) \\ &= \alpha'(\|Sq - Sc_n\|, \|Sc_n - Sq\|, \|Rq - Rc_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequalities and condition $(*)$, we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad \|Tq - Tc_n\| \leq k_1 \|Sq - Sc_n\|$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \|Rq - Rc_n\| \leq k_2 \|Sq - Sc_n\|$$

for some $k_1, k_2 \in [0, 1)$. By the same method, from (1.6) and condition $(*)$, we get

$$(2.3) \quad \|Tq - Td_n\| \leq k_3 \|Sq - Sd_n\|,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \|Rq - Rd_n\| \leq k_4 \|Sq - Sd_n\|,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|Tq - Ty_n\| \leq k_5 \|Sq - Sy_n\|,$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \|Rq - Ry_n\| \leq k_6 \|Sq - Sy_n\|$$

for some $k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6 \in [0, 1)$. From (2.1)-(2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Sy_{n+1} - p\| &= \|Sy_{n+1} + (1 - a_n - b_n)Sy_n - (1 - a_n - b_n)Sy_n + a_nTc_n - a_nTc_n \\
&\quad + b_nRc_n - b_nRc_n - (1 - a_n - b_n + a_n + b_n)p\| \\
&\leq (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sy_n - p\| + a_n\|Tc_n - p\| + b_n\|Rc_n - p\| + \varepsilon_n \\
&= (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sy_n - p\| + a_n\|Tq - Tc_n\| + b_n\|Rq - Rc_n\| + \varepsilon_n \\
(2.7) \quad &\leq (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sy_n - p\| + a_nk_1\|Sq - Sc_n\| + b_nk_2\|Sq - Sc_n\| + \varepsilon_n,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Sc_n - Sq\| &= \|(1 - a'_n - b'_n)Sy_n + a'_nTd_n + b'_nRd_n - Sq\| \\
&\leq (1 - a'_n - b'_n)\|Sy_n - Sq\| + a'_n\|Td_n - Sq\| + b'_n\|Rd_n - Sq\| \\
&= (1 - a'_n - b'_n)\|Sy_n - Sq\| + a'_n\|Td_n - Tq\| + b'_n\|Rd_n - Rq\| \\
(2.8) \quad &\leq (1 - a'_n - b'_n)\|Sy_n - p\| + a'_nk_3\|Sd_n - Sq\| + b'_nk_4\|Sd_n - Sq\|
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Sd_n - Sq\| &\leq (1 - a''_n - b''_n)\|Sy_n - Sq\| + a''_n\|Ty_n - Sq\| + b''_n\|Ry_n - Sq\| \\
&= (1 - a''_n - b''_n)\|Sy_n - p\| + a''_n\|Ty_n - Tq\| + b''_n\|Ry_n - Rq\| \\
(2.9) \quad &\leq (1 - a''_n - b''_n)\|Sy_n - p\| + a''_nk_5\|Sy_n - p\| + b''_nk_6\|Sy_n - p\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.8) and (2.9) to (2.7) and putting $k = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 6} k_i$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Sy_{n+1} - p\| &\leq (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sy_n - p\| + (a_n + b_n)k\|Sq - Sc_n\| + \varepsilon_n \\
&\leq \{(1 - a_n - b_n) + (a_n + b_n)k(1 - a'_n - b'_n)\}\|Sy_n - p\| \\
&\quad + (a_n + b_n)(a'_n + b'_n)k^2\|Sd_n - Sq\| + \varepsilon_n \\
&\leq \{(1 - a_n - b_n) + (a_n + b_n)k(1 - a'_n - b'_n)\}\|Sy_n - p\| \\
&\quad + (a_n + b_n)(a'_n + b'_n)k^2\{(1 - a''_n - b''_n)\|Sy_n - p\| \\
&\quad + (a''_n + b''_n)k\|Sy_n - p\|\} + \varepsilon_n \\
&\leq \{(1 - a_n - b_n) + a_nk + b_n - (a_n + b_n)k(a'_n + b'_n) \\
&\quad + (a_n + b_n)k(a'_n + b'_n) - (a_n + b_n)(a'_n + b'_n)k^2(a''_n + b''_n) \\
&\quad + (a_n + b_n)(a'_n + b'_n)k^2(a''_n + b''_n)\}\|Sy_n - p\| + \varepsilon_n \\
&= \{1 - (1 - k)a_n\}\|Sy_n - p\| + \varepsilon_n \\
&\leq \{1 - (1 - k)w\}\|Sy_n - p\| + \varepsilon_n.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1.3, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Sy_n - p\| = 0$, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = p$. \square

Remark 2.1. By putting $\alpha(t_1, t_2, t_3) = kt_1$ for $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $k \in [0, 1)$ and $a_n = 1$, $b_n = a'_n = b'_n = a''_n = b''_n = 0$ ($n \geq 0$) in Theorem 2.1, we obtain Theorem 3.1 in [13] for the sequence $\{Sx_n\}$ defined as

$$Sx_{n+1} = Tx_n,$$

which is the Jungck iterative algorithm considered in [3].

By putting $b_n = b'_n = a''_n = b''_n = 0$ ($n \geq 0$) in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following theorem in [6] for the sequence $\{Sx_n\}$ defined as

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} Sx_{n+1} = (1 - a_n)Sx_n + a_nTr_n, \\ Sr_n = (1 - a'_n)Sx_n + a'_nTx_n \text{ for } n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

which is the Jungck-Ishikawa iterative algorithm considered in [7].

Theorem 2.2. *Let S and T be mappings from $K(\subset E)$ to a normed space E with $T(K) \subset S(K)$, S be an injective mapping and $C(S, T) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that (S, T) is a M_J -contraction with respect to α with condition $(*)$. For $x_0 \in K$, let $\{Sx_n\} \subset E$ be an iterative algorithm defined by (2.10) converging to $p(= Sq = Tq)$. Then, $\{Sx_n\}$ is (S, T) -stable.*

Remark 2.2. In [6], Theorem 2.2 is proved under the assumption that $0 < w \leq a_n$ and $0 < w' \leq a'_n$ for some $w, w' \in [0, 1]$. However, the assumption “ $0 < w' \leq a'_n$ for some $w' \in [0, 1]$ ” is superfluous.

3. STRONG CONVERGENCE RESULT

In this section, we prove a strong convergence of iterative algorithm (1.3).

Theorem 3.1. *Let S, T, R be mappings from $K(\subset E)$ to a normed space E with $T(K) \cup R(K) \subset S(K)$, S be injective and $C(S, T, R) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that (S, T) and (S, R) are M_J -contractions with respect to α and α' with condition $(*)$, respectively. For $x_0 \in K$, let $\{Sx_n\}$ be an iterative algorithm defined by (1.3), where $\{a_n\}$, $\{a'_n\}$, $\{a''_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, $\{b'_n\}$, $\{b''_n\}$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ such that $0 < w \leq a_n$ for some $w \in [0, 1]$. Then, $\{Sx_n\}$ converges strongly to a coincidence point of S, T and R .*

Proof. For $q \in C(S, T, R)$, since M_J -contractions (S, T) and (S, R) satisfy (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tq - Tr_n\| &\leq \alpha(\|Sq - Sr_n\|, \max\{\|Sq - Tq\|, \|Sr_n - Tq\|\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\|Sr_n - Tr_n\|^m \cdot \|Sq - Tq\|^l, \|Sq - Tr_n\|\}) \\
&= \alpha(\|Sq - Sr_n\|, \|Sr_n - Sq\|, \|Tq - Tr_n\|)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Rq - Rr_n\| &\leq \alpha'(\|Sq - Sr_n\|, \max\{\|Sq - Rq\|, \|Sr_n - Rq\|\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\|Sr_n - Rr_n\|^m \cdot \|Sq - Rq\|^l, \|Sq - Rr_n\|\}) \\
&= \alpha'(\|Sq - Sr_n\|, \|Sr_n - Sq\|, \|Rq - Rr_n\|).
\end{aligned}$$

From the above inequalities and condition (*), we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \|Tq - Tr_n\| \leq k_1 \|Sq - Sr_n\|$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \|Rq - Rr_n\| \leq k_2 \|Sq - Sr_n\|$$

for some $k_1, k_2 \in [0, 1)$. Above and the same way, from (1.6) and condition (*), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \|Tq - Ts_n\| \leq k_3 \|Sq - Ss_n\|,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|Rq - Rs_n\| \leq k_4 \|Sq - Ss_n\|,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|Tq - Tx_n\| \leq k_5 \|Sq - Sx_n\|,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \|Rq - Rx_n\| \leq k_6 \|Sq - Sx_n\|$$

for some $k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6 \in [0, 1)$. If we put $p = Sq$ and apply (3.1)-(3.6) to (1.3), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Sx_{n+1} - p\| &= \|(1 - a_n - b_n)Sx_n + a_n Tr_n + b_n Rr_n - (1 - a_n - b_n + a_n + b_n)p\| \\
&\leq (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + a_n\|Tr_n - p\| + b_n\|Rr_n - p\| \\
&= (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + a_n\|Tq - Tr_n\| + b_n\|Rq - Rr_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + a_n k_1 \|Sq - Sr_n\| + b_n k_2 \|Sq - Sr_n\| \\
(3.7) \quad &= (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + (a_n k_1 + b_n k_2)\|Sq - Sr_n\|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Sq - Sr_n\| &= \|(1 - a'_n - b'_n + a'_n + b'_n)Sq - (1 - a'_n - b'_n)Sx_n - a'_nTs_n - b'_nRs_n\| \\
 &\leq (1 - a'_n - b'_n)\|Sq - Sx_n\| + a'_n\|Sq - Ts_n\| + b'_n\|Sq - Rs_n\| \\
 &= (1 - a'_n - b'_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + a'_n\|Tq - Ts_n\| + b'_n\|Rq - Rs_n\| \\
 &\leq (1 - a'_n - b'_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + a'_nk_3\|Sq - Ss_n\| + b'_nk_4\|Sq - Ss_n\| \\
 (3.8) \quad &= (1 - a'_n - b'_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + (a'_nk_3 + b'_nk_4)\|Sq - Ss_n\|
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Sq - Ss_n\| &= \|(1 - a''_n - b''_n + a''_n + b''_n)Sq - (1 - a''_n - b''_n)Sx_n - a''_nTx_n - b''_nRx_n\| \\
 &\leq (1 - a''_n - b''_n)\|Sq - Sx_n\| + a''_n\|Sq - Tx_n\| + b''_n\|Sq - Rx_n\| \\
 &= (1 - a''_n - b''_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + a''_n\|Tq - Tx_n\| + b''_n\|Rq - Rx_n\| \\
 &\leq (1 - a''_n - b''_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + a''_nk_5\|Sq - Sx_n\| + b''_nk_6\|Sq - Sx_n\| \\
 (3.9) \quad &= (1 - a''_n - b''_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + (a''_nk_5 + b''_nk_6)\|Sq - Sx_n\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.7) with (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Sx_{n+1} - p\| &\leq (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + (a_n + b_n)k\|Sq - Sr_n\| \\
 &\leq (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + (a_n + b_n)k\{(1 - a'_n - b'_n)\|Sx_n - p\| \\
 &\quad + (a'_n + b'_n)k\|Sq - Ss_n\|\} \\
 &\leq (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + (1 - a'_n - b'_n)(a_n + b_n)k\|Sx_n - p\| \\
 &\quad + (a'_n + b'_n)(a_n + b_n)k^2(1 - a''_n - b''_n + a''_nk + b''_nk)\|Sx_n - p\| \\
 &\leq (1 - a_n - b_n)\|Sx_n - p\| + (a_n + b_n)k\|Sx_n - p\| \\
 &\leq \{1 - (1 - k)a_n\}\|Sx_n - p\| \\
 &\leq \{1 - (1 - k)w\}\|Sx_n - p\| = \theta\|Sx_n - p\| \\
 &\leq \theta^2\|Sx_{n-1} - p\| \leq \dots \\
 &\leq \theta^{n+1}\|Sx_0 - p\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \max\{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6\}$ and $0 < \theta = 1 - (1 - k)w < 1$. Hence, $\{Sx_n\}$ converges strongly to p (as $n \rightarrow \infty$). \square

Remark 3.1. By putting $b_n = b'_n = a''_n = b''_n = 0$ ($n \geq 0$) in Theorem 3.1, we obtain Theorem 3.1 in [6] for the sequence $\{Sx_n\}$ defined as (2.10).

REFERENCES

1. A.M. Harder & T.L. Hicks: Stability results for fixed point iteration procedures. *Math. Japonica* **33** (1988), no. 5, 693-706.
2. S. Ishikawa: Fixed point by a new iteration method. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974), no. 1, 147-150.
3. G. Jungck: Commuting mapping and fixed points. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **83** (1976), no. 4, 261-263.
4. W.R. Mann: Mean value methods in itewration. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1953), 506-510.
5. M.A. Noor, T.M. Rassias & Z. Huang: Three-stpe iterations for nonlinear accretive operator equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **274** (2002), 59-68.
6. M.O. Olatinwo: Some stability and convergence results for Picard, Mann, Ishikawa and Jungck type iterative algorithms for Akram-Zafar-Siddiqui type contraction mappings. *Nonlinear Anal. Forum* **21** (2016), no. 1, 65-75.
7. M.O. Olatinwo: Some stability and strong convergence results for the Jungck-Ishikawa iteration process. *Creat. Math. Inform.* **17** (2008), 33-42.
8. M.O. Osilike: Some stability results for fixed point iteration procedures. *J. Nigerian Math. Soc.* **14/15** (1995), 17-29.
9. M.O. Osilike & A. Udomene: Short proofs of stability results for fixed point iteration procedures for a class of contractive-type mappings. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **30** (1999), no. 12, 1229-1234.
10. L. Qihou: A convergence theorem of the sequence of Ishikawa iterates for quasi-contractive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **146** (1990), 301-305.
11. B.E. Rhoades: Fixed point theorems and stability results for fixed point iteration procedures. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **21** (1990), no. 1, 1-9.
12. B.E. Rhoades: Fixed point theorems and stability results for fixed point iteration procedures II. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **24** (1993), no. 11, 691-703.
13. S.L. Singh, C. Bhatnagar & S.N. Mishra: Stability of Jungck-type iterative procedures. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2005:19** (2005), 3035-3043.
14. V. Verinde: On the stability of some fixed point procedures. *Bul. Ştiinţ. Univ. Baia Mare, Ser. B, Matematică-Informatică* **18** (2002), no. 1, 7-14.

^aDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGSUNG UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 48434, KOREA
Email address: jiny0610@hotmail.com

^bDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONGEUI UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 47340, KOREA
Email address: mee@deu.ac.kr