

FUZZY JOIN AND MEET PRESERVING MAPS ON ALEXANDROV L -PRETOPOLOGIES[†]

JUNG MI KO AND YONG CHAN KIM*

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concepts of fuzzy join-complete lattices and Alexandrov L -pre-topologies in complete residuated lattices. We investigate the properties of fuzzy join-complete lattices on Alexandrov L -pre-topologies and fuzzy meet-complete lattices on Alexandrov L -pre-cotopologies. Moreover, we give their examples.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 03E72, 54A40,54B10.

Key words and phrases : Complete residuated lattices, fuzzy join(meet)-complete lattices, Alexandrov L -pre(co)topologies, join (meet)-preserving maps.

1. Introduction

Ward et al.[13] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. It is an important mathematical tool as algebraic structures for many valued logics [1-11,14].

Kim [6-8] studied the relations between L -fuzzy upper and lower approximation spaces and Alexandrov L -topologies in complete residuated lattices. Moreover, categories of fuzzy preorders, approximating operators and Alexandrov topologies are isomorphic [8]. In particular, fuzzy powerset operators are investigated in [9].

For a complete Heyting algebra(or a frame) as the base category, Zhang[16-18] introduced fuzzy complete lattices and the Dedekind-MacNeille completions for fuzzy posets in complete lattices. Moreover, he investigate the properties of completeness for fuzzy powerset operators on fuzzy poset (L^X, e_{L^X}) .

In this paper, we introduce the concept of fuzzy join(resp. meet) -complete lattice on Alexandrov L -pretopologies (resp. cotopologies). Zhang [14] only use

Received November 22, 2019. Revised December 11, 2019. Accepted December 15, 2019.

*Corresponding author.

[†]This work was supported by the Research Institute of Natural Science of Gangneung-Wonju National University.

© 2020 KSCAM.

the complete for a fuzzy poset (L^X, e_{L^X}) . We diversify Zhang's definition by using completeness for a fuzzy poset (τ, e_τ) on Alexandrov L -pretopology. We investigate the properties of join (meet)-preserving maps. The maps between topological structures are easily handle by using join (meet)-preserving maps. For examples, we study the relations among open maps, continuous maps and join (meet)-preserving maps. We give their examples.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [1,3-5,11] An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, \perp, \top)$ is called a *complete residuated lattice* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(L1) $(L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \perp, \top)$ is a complete lattice with the greatest element \top and the least element \perp ;

(L2) (L, \odot, \top) is a commutative monoid;

(L3) $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for $x, y, z \in L$.

In this paper, we always assume that $(L, \leq, \odot, \rightarrow^*)$ is complete residuated lattice.

For $\alpha \in L, A \in L^X$, we denote $(\alpha \rightarrow A), (\alpha \odot A), \alpha_X \in L^X$ as $(\alpha \rightarrow A)(x) = \alpha \rightarrow A(x)$, $(\alpha \odot A)(x) = \alpha \odot A(x)$, $\alpha_X(x) = \alpha$ and $x^* = x \rightarrow \perp$.

Lemma 2.2. [1,3-5,11] For each $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, w \in L$, we have the following properties.

- (1) $\top \rightarrow x = x, \perp \odot x = \perp$,
- (2) If $y \leq z$, then $x \odot y \leq x \odot z, x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$ and $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x$,
- (3) $x \leq y$ iff $x \rightarrow y = \top$.
- (4) $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_i y_i) = \bigwedge_i (x \rightarrow y_i)$,
- (5) $(\bigvee_i x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_i (x_i \rightarrow y)$,
- (6) $x \odot (\bigvee_i y_i) = \bigvee_i (x \odot y_i)$,
- (7) $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$,
- (8) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot w)$ and $x \rightarrow y \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot z)$,
- (9) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z$,
- (10) $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i)$ and $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i)$,
- (11) $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ and $x \rightarrow y \leq (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y)$.
- (12) If $(x^*)^* = x$ for each $x \in X$, then $(x \odot y^*)^* = x \rightarrow y$ and $x \rightarrow y = y^* \rightarrow x^*$.

Definition 2.3. [1,3-5,10] Let X be a set. A function $e_X : X \times X \rightarrow L$ is called:

(E1) *reflexive* if $e_X(x, x) = \top$ for all $x \in X$,

(E2) *transitive* if $e_X(x, y) \odot e_X(y, z) \leq e_X(x, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$,

(E3) *antisymmetric* if $e_X(x, y) = e_X(y, x) = \top$, then $x = y$.

If e satisfies (E1) and (E2), (X, e_X) is called a *fuzzy preordered set*. If e satisfies (E1), (E2) and (E3), (X, e_X) is called a *fuzzy partially ordered set* (simply, fuzzy poset).

Definition 2.4. [2,14-18] Let (X, e_X) be a fuzzy poset and $A \in L^X$.

(1) A point x_0 is called a *fuzzy join* of A , denoted by $x_0 = \sqcup_X A$ on (X, e_X) , if it satisfies

- (J1) $A(x) \leq e_X(x, x_0)$,
- (J2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, y)) \leq e_X(x_0, y)$.

The pair (X, e_X) is called *fuzzy join complete* if $\sqcup_X A$ exists for each $A \in L^X$.

A point x_1 is called a *fuzzy meet* of A , denoted by $x_1 = \sqcap_X A$ on (X, e_X) , if it satisfies

- (M1) $A(x) \leq e_X(x_1, x)$,
- (M2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(y, x)) \leq e_X(y, x_1)$.

The pair (X, e_X) is called *fuzzy meet complete* if $\sqcap_X A$ exists for each $A \in L^X$.

The pair (X, e_X) is called *fuzzy complete* if $\sqcap_X A$ and $\sqcup_X A$ exists for each $A \in L^X$.

Remark 2.1. Let (X, e_X) be a fuzzy poset and $A \in L^X$.

- (1) $x_0 = \sqcup_X A$ on (X, e_X) iff $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(x, y)) = e_X(x_0, y)$.
- (2) $x_1 = \sqcap_X A$ on (X, e_X) iff $\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow e_X(y, x)) = e_X(y, x_1)$.
- (3) If $e_X(x, y) = e_X(z, y)$ for all $y \in X$, then $1 = e_X(x, x) = e_X(z, x)$ and $e_X(x, z) = e_X(z, z) = 1$ implies $x = z$.

Definition 2.5. [9,18] Let (L^X, e_{L^X}) and (L^Y, e_{L^Y}) be fuzzy posets and $\mathcal{F} : L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ a map.

- (1) \mathcal{F} is called a *join preserving map* if $\mathcal{F}(\sqcup_{L^X} \Phi) = \sqcup_{L^Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)$ for all $\Phi \in L^{L^X}$, where $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(B) = \bigvee_{\mathcal{F}(A)=B} \Phi(A)$.
- (2) \mathcal{F} is called a *meet preserving map* if $\mathcal{F}(\sqcap_{L^X} \Phi) = \sqcap_{L^Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)$ for all $\Phi \in L^{L^X}$.

3. Fuzzy join and meet preserving maps on Alexandrov L -pretopologies

Definition 3.1. (1) A subset $\tau \subset L^X$ is called an *Alexandrov L -pretopology* on X iff it satisfies the following conditions:

- (O1) $\alpha_X \in \tau$.
- (O2) If $A_i \in \tau$ for all $i \in I$, then $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i \in \tau$.
- (O3) If $A \in \tau$ and $\alpha \in L$, then $\alpha \odot A \in \tau$.

(2) A subset $\eta \subset L^X$ is called an *Alexandrov L -precotopology* on X iff it satisfies the following conditions:

- (CO1) $\alpha \rightarrow \perp_X \in \eta$.
- (CO2) If $A_i \in \eta$ for all $i \in I$, then $\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i \in \eta$.
- (CO3) If $A \in \eta$ and $\alpha \in L$, then $\alpha \rightarrow A \in \eta$.

A subset $\tau \subset L^X$ is called an *Alexandrov L -topology* on X iff it is both Alexandrov L -pretopology and Alexandrov L -precotopology on X .

Lemma 3.2. Let $\tau \subset L^X$. Define $e_\tau : \tau \times \tau \rightarrow L$ as $e_\tau(A, B) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) (τ, e_τ) is a fuzzy poset.
- (2) $\sqcup_\tau \Phi$ is a fuzzy join of $\Phi \in L^\tau$ iff $\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_\tau(A, B)) = e_\tau(\sqcup_\tau \Phi, B)$.
- (3) $\sqcap_\tau \Phi$ is a fuzzy meet of $\Phi \in L^\tau$ iff $\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_\tau(B, A)) = e_\tau(B, \sqcap_\tau \Phi)$.
- (4) If $\sqcup_\tau \Phi$ is a fuzzy join of $\Phi \in L^\tau$, then it is unique. Moreover, if $\sqcap_\tau \Phi$ is a fuzzy meet of $\Phi \in L^\tau$, then it is unique.

Proof (1) (E1) $e_\tau(A, A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow A(x)) = \top$ for all $A \in \tau$,

(E2) By Lemma 2.2(9), $e_\tau(A, B) \odot e_\tau(B, C) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \odot \bigwedge_{x \in X} (B(x) \rightarrow C(x)) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \odot (B(x) \rightarrow C(x))) \leq e_\tau(A, C)$, for all $A, B, C \in \tau$,

(E3) If $e_\tau(A, B) = e_\tau(B, A) = \top$, By Lemma 2.2(3), $A = B$. Hence (τ, e_τ) is a fuzzy poset.

(2) Let $\sqcup_\tau \Phi$ be a fuzzy join of $\Phi \in L^\tau$. By (J1), since $\Phi(A) \leq e_\tau(A, \sqcup_\tau \Phi)$, we have $\Phi(A) \odot e_\tau(\sqcup_\tau \Phi, B) \leq e_\tau(A, \sqcup_\tau \Phi) \odot e_\tau(\sqcup_\tau \Phi, B) \leq e_\tau(A, B)$.

Hence $e_\tau(\sqcup_\tau \Phi, B) \leq \bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_\tau(A, B))$. By (J2), $e_\tau(\sqcup_\tau \Phi, B) = \bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_\tau(A, B))$

(3) It is similarly proved as (2).

(4) Let A_1, A_2 be fuzzy joins of $\Phi \in L^\tau$. Then, for all $B \in \tau$,

$$\bigwedge_{A \in \tau} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_\tau(A, B)) = e_\tau(A_1, B) = e_\tau(A_2, B).$$

Put $B = A_1$. Then $\top = e_\tau(A_1, A_1) = e_\tau(A_2, A_1)$ iff $A_2 \leq A_1$. Put $B = A_2$. Then $\top = e_\tau(A_1, A_2) = e_\tau(A_2, A_2)$ iff $A_1 \leq A_2$. Hence $A_1 = A_2$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, τ_X) and (Y, τ_Y) be Alexandrov L -pretopological spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{F} : (\tau_X, e_{\tau_X}) \rightarrow (\tau_Y, e_{\tau_Y})$ is a join preserving map, that is, $\mathcal{F}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi) = \sqcup_{\tau_Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)$.

(2) For all $\alpha \in L, A, A_i \in \tau_X$, we have $\mathcal{F}(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot \mathcal{F}(A) \in \tau_Y$ and $\mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(A_i) \in \tau_Y$

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since \mathcal{F} is a join preserving map, we have $\mathcal{F}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi) = \sqcup_{\tau_Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)$ where $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(B) = \bigvee_{B = \mathcal{F}(A)} \Phi(A)$ for all $\Phi \in L^{\tau_X}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\tau_X}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi, B) &= \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_\tau(A, B)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_X} e_{\tau_X}(\Phi(A) \odot A, B) \\ &= e_{\tau_X}(\bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} \Phi(A) \odot A, B), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\tau_Y}(\sqcup_{\tau_Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi), B) &= \bigwedge_{C \in \tau_Y} (\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(C) \rightarrow e_{\tau_Y}(C, B)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{C \in \tau_Y} e_{\tau_Y}(\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(C) \odot C, B) \\ &= e_{\tau_Y}(\bigvee_{C \in \tau_Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(C) \odot C, B). \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.1(3),

$\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi = \bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} (\Phi(A) \odot A) \in \tau_X$ and $\sqcup_{\tau_Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi) = \bigvee_{C \in \tau_Y} (\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(C) \odot C) \in \tau_Y$.

Define $\Phi_1 : \tau_X \rightarrow L$ as $\Phi_1(A) = \alpha$ and $\Phi_1(B) = \perp$, otherwise. Then

$$(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi_1)(x) = \bigvee_{D \in \tau_X} (\Phi_1(D) \odot D(x)) = \alpha \odot A(x).$$

Since $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi_1)(B) = \bigvee_{B=\mathcal{F}(A)} \Phi_1(A)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi_1) = \sqcup_{\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}}(\Phi_1)$ for all $\Phi_1 \in L^{\tau_X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqcup_{\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}}(\Phi_1)(y) &= \bigvee_{C=\mathcal{F}(A) \in \tau_Y} (\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi_1)(C) \odot C(y)) \\ &= \Phi_1(A) \odot \mathcal{F}(A)(y) = \alpha \odot \mathcal{F}(A)(y) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi_1)(y) = \mathcal{F}(\alpha \odot A)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot \mathcal{F}(A) \in \tau_Y$.

Let $\{A_i \in \tau_X \mid i \in \Gamma\}$ be given. Define $\Phi_2 : \tau_X \rightarrow L$ as $\Phi_2(A_i) = \top$ for $i \in \Gamma$ and $\Phi_2(B) = \perp$, otherwise. Then

$$(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi_2)(x) = \bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} (\Phi_2(A) \odot A(x)) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x).$$

Since $\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi_2)(B) = \bigvee_{B=\mathcal{F}(A)} \Phi_2(A)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi_2) = \sqcup_{\tau_Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi_2)$ for $\Phi_2 \in L^{\tau_X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi_2)(y) &= \mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i)(y), \\ \sqcup_{\tau_Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi_2)(y) &= \bigvee_{B \in L^Y} (\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi_2)(B) \odot B(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{B \in \tau_Y} ((\bigvee_{B=\mathcal{F}(A)} \Phi_2(A)) \odot B(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} (\Phi_2(A) \odot \mathcal{F}(A)(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(A_i)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(A_i) \in \tau_Y$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Put $B_0 = \sqcup_{\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}}(\Phi)$ for all $\Phi \in L^{\tau_X}$. Then $\bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} \Phi(A) \odot \mathcal{F}(A) \in \tau_Y$ from (2). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\tau_Y}(B_0, B) &= \bigwedge_{C \in \tau_Y} (\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(C) \rightarrow e_{\tau_Y}(C, B)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{C \in \tau_Y} ((\bigvee_{\mathcal{F}(A)=C} \Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\tau_Y}(\mathcal{F}(A), B)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\tau_Y}(\mathcal{F}(A), B)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_X} e_{\tau_Y}(\Phi(A) \odot \mathcal{F}(A), B) \\ &= e_{\tau_Y}(\bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} \Phi(A) \odot \mathcal{F}(A), B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi) = \sqcup_{\tau_Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)$ from:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqcup_{\tau_Y} \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(y) &= B_0(y) = \bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} \Phi(A) \odot \mathcal{F}(A)(y) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} (\Phi(A) \odot A))(y) \text{ (by (2))} \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let (X, τ_X) and (Y, τ_Y) be Alexandrov L -pretopological spaces. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map and $f^{\rightarrow} : L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ defined as $f^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} A(x)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $f^{\rightarrow} : (\tau_X, e_{\tau_X}) \rightarrow (\tau_Y, e_{\tau_Y})$ is a join preserving map.

- (2) For all $\alpha \in L, A, A_i \in \tau_X$, we have $f^\rightarrow(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot f^\rightarrow(A) \in \tau_Y$ and $f^\rightarrow(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} f^\rightarrow(A_i) \in \tau_Y$
- (3) $f^\rightarrow : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ is an open map, that is, for each $A \in \tau_X$, $f^\rightarrow(A) \in \tau_Y$.

We can prove the above corollary from Theorem 3.3 and $f^\rightarrow(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot f^\rightarrow(A)$ and $f^\rightarrow(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} f^\rightarrow(A_i)$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let (X, τ_X) and (Y, τ_Y) be an Alexandrov L -pretopological spaces. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map and $f^\leftarrow : L^Y \rightarrow L^X$ defined as $f^\leftarrow(B)(x) = B(f(x))$.*

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $f^\leftarrow : (\tau_Y, e_{\tau_Y}) \rightarrow (\tau_X, e_{\tau_X})$ is a join preserving map.
- (2) For all $\alpha \in L, B, B_i \in \tau_Y$, we have $f^\leftarrow(\alpha \odot B) = \alpha \odot f^\leftarrow(B) \in \tau_X$ and $f^\leftarrow(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} B_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} f^\leftarrow(B_i) \in \tau_X$.
- (3) $f : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ is continuous, that is, for each $B \in \tau_Y$, $f^\leftarrow(B) \in \tau_X$.

It follows from $f^\leftarrow(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot f^\leftarrow(A)$ and $f^\leftarrow(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} f^\leftarrow(A_i)$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X, η_X) and (Y, η_Y) be an Alexandrov L -precotopological spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{G} : (\eta_X, e_{\eta_X}) \rightarrow (\eta_Y, e_{\eta_Y})$ is a meet preserving map.
- (2) For all $\alpha \in L, A, A_i \in \eta_X$, we have $\mathcal{G}(\alpha \rightarrow A) = \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A) \in \eta_Y$ and $\mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{G}(A_i) \in \eta_Y$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since \mathcal{G} is a meet preserving map, $\mathcal{G}(\bigcap_{\eta_X} \Phi) = \bigcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^\rightarrow(\Phi)$ for all $\Phi \in L^{\eta_X}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\eta_X}(B, \bigcap_{\eta_X} \Phi) &= \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\eta_X}(B, A)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} e_{\eta_X}(B, \Phi(A) \rightarrow A) \\ &= e_{\eta_X}(B, \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} \Phi(A) \rightarrow A), \\ e_{\eta_Y}(B, \bigcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^\rightarrow(\Phi)) &= \bigwedge_{C \in \eta_Y} (\mathcal{G}^\rightarrow(\Phi)(C) \rightarrow e_{\eta_Y}(B, C)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{C \in \eta_Y} ((\bigvee_{\mathcal{G}(A)=C} \Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\eta_Y}(B, C))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\eta_Y}(B, \mathcal{G}(A))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} e_{\eta_Y}(B, \Phi(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)) \\ &= e_{\eta_Y}(B, \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} \Phi(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)). \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.1(3), $\bigcap_{\eta_X} \Phi = \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow A)$ and $\bigcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^\rightarrow(\Phi) = \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)) \in \eta_Y$.

Define $\Phi_1 : \eta_X \rightarrow L$ as $\Phi_1(A) = \alpha$ and $\Phi_1(B) = \perp$, otherwise. Then

$$(\bigcap_{\eta_X} \Phi_1)(x) = \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} (\Phi_1(A) \rightarrow A(x)) = \alpha \rightarrow A(x)$$

Since $\mathcal{G}^\rightarrow(\Phi_1)(B) = \bigvee_{B=\mathcal{G}(A)} \Phi_1(A)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\bigcap_{\eta_X} \Phi_1) = \bigcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^\rightarrow(\Phi_1)$ for $\Phi_1 \in L^{\eta_X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^\rightarrow(\Phi_1)(y) &= \bigwedge_{B \in \eta_Y} (\Phi_1(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)(y)) \\ &= \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)(y) = \mathcal{G}(\bigcap_{\eta_X} \Phi_1)(y) = \mathcal{G}(\alpha \rightarrow A)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{G}(\alpha \rightarrow A) = \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A) \in \eta_Y$.

(J2) Let $\{A_i \in \eta_X \mid i \in \Gamma\}$ be given. Define $\Phi_2 : \eta_X \rightarrow L$ as $\Phi_2(A_i) = \top$ for $i \in \Gamma$ and $\Phi_2(B) = \perp$ otherwise. Then

$$\sqcap_{\eta_X} \Phi_2(x) = \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} (\Phi_2(A) \rightarrow A(x)) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x).$$

Since $\mathcal{G}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi_2)(B) = \bigvee_{B=\mathcal{G}(A)} \Phi_2(A)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\sqcap_{\eta_X} \Phi_2) = \sqcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi_2)$ for $\Phi_2 \in L^{\eta_X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi_2)(y) &= \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} (\Phi_2(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{G}(A_i)(y) = \mathcal{G}(\sqcap_{\eta_X} \Phi_2)(y) = \mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{G}(A_i) \in \eta_Y$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since $\bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} \Phi(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A) \in \eta_Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} &e_{\eta_Y}(B, \sqcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{C \in \eta_Y} (\mathcal{G}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(C) \rightarrow e_{\eta_Y}(B, C)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{C \in \eta_Y} ((\bigvee_{\mathcal{G}(A)=C} \Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\eta_Y}(B, C))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\eta_Y}(B, \mathcal{G}(A))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} e_{\eta_Y}(B, \Phi(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)) \\ &= e_{\eta_Y}(B, \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} \Phi(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{G}(\sqcap_{\eta_X} \Phi) = \sqcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)$ from:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(y) &= \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A)(y)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} \mathcal{G}(\Phi(A) \rightarrow A)(y) \\ &= \mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{A \in \eta_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow A))(y) \\ &= \mathcal{G}(\sqcap_{\eta_X} \Phi)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $(x^*)^* = x$ for each $x \in L$. Let (X, η_X) and (Y, η_Y) be an Alexandrov L -precotopological spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\mathcal{G} : (\eta_X, e_{\eta_X}) \rightarrow (\eta_Y, e_{\eta_Y})$ is a meet preserving map.
- (2) Define $\mathcal{F} : (\tau_X, e_{\tau_X}) \rightarrow (\tau_Y, e_{\tau_Y})$ as $\mathcal{F}(A) = \mathcal{G}^*(A^*)$ where $\tau_X = \{A^* \in L^X \mid A \in \eta_X\}$ and $\tau_Y = \{B^* \in L^Y \mid B \in \eta_Y\}$. Then $\mathcal{F} : \tau_X \rightarrow \tau_Y$ is a join preserving map.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Put $B_0 = \sqcup \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)$ for each $\Phi \in L^{\tau_X}$. Then, for $\Psi \in L^{\tau_Y}$ with $\Psi(A^*) = \Phi(A)$, since $\mathcal{G}(\sqcap_{\eta_X} \Psi) = \mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{A^* \in \eta_X} (\Psi(A^*) \rightarrow A^*)) = \sqcap_{\eta_Y} \mathcal{G}^{\rightarrow}(\Psi) = \bigwedge_{A^* \in \eta_X} (\Psi(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A^*))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_{\tau_Y}(B_0, B) \\
&= \bigwedge_{C \in \tau_Y} (\mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)(C) \rightarrow e_{\tau_Y}(C, B)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{C \in \tau_Y} ((\bigvee_{\mathcal{F}(A)=C} \Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\tau_Y}(\mathcal{F}(A), B)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{A \in \tau_X} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\tau_Y}(\mathcal{F}(A), B)) \\
&= \bigwedge_{A^* \in \tau_X^*} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow e_{\eta_Y}(B^*, \mathcal{F}^*(A))) \\
&= e_{\eta_Y}(B^*, \bigwedge_{A^* \in \tau_X^*} (\Phi(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(A))) \\
&= e_{\eta_Y}(B^*, \bigwedge_{A^* \in \tau_X^*} (\Psi(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A^*))) \\
&= e_{\tau_Y}(\bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} (\Psi(A^*) \odot \mathcal{G}^*(A^*)), B) \\
&= e_{\tau_Y}(\bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} \Phi(A) \odot \mathcal{F}(A), B). \\
e_{\tau_Y}(B_0, B) &= e_{\eta_Y}(B^*, \bigwedge_{A^* \in \tau_X^*} (\Psi(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A^*))) \\
&= e_{\eta_Y}(B^*, \mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{A^* \in \tau_X^*} (\Psi(A^*) \rightarrow A^*))) \\
&= e_{\eta_Y}(\mathcal{G}^*(\bigwedge_{A^* \in \tau_X^*} (\Psi(A^*) \rightarrow A^*)), B) \\
&= e_{\tau_Y}(\mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{A \in \tau_X} \Phi(A) \odot A), B). \\
&= e_{\tau_Y}(\mathcal{F}(\sqcup \Phi), B).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}(\sqcup \Phi) = \sqcup \mathcal{F}^{\rightarrow}(\Phi)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) It is similarly proved as (1) \Rightarrow (2).

From above theorems, we can obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $(x^*)^* = x$ for each $x \in L$. Let (X, η_X) and (Y, η_Y) be an Alexandrov L -precotopological spaces. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $f^{\rightarrow} : (\eta_X, e_{\eta_X}) \rightarrow (\eta_Y, e_{\eta_Y})$ is a meet preserving map.
- (2) For all $\alpha \in L, A, A_i \in \eta_X$, we have $f^{\rightarrow}(\alpha \rightarrow A) = \alpha \rightarrow f^{\rightarrow}(A) \in \eta_Y$ and $f^{\rightarrow}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} f^{\rightarrow}(A_i) \in \eta_X$
- (3) Define $h : \tau_X \rightarrow \tau_Y$ as $h(A) = (f^{\rightarrow}(A^*))^*$ where $\tau_X = \{A^* \in L^X \mid A \in \eta_X\}$ and $\tau_Y = \{B^* \in L^Y \mid B \in \eta_Y\}$. Then h is a join preserving map.

Since $f^{\leftarrow}(\alpha \rightarrow A) = \alpha \rightarrow f^{\leftarrow}(A)$, $f^{\leftarrow}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} f^{\leftarrow}(A_i)$ and $f^{\leftarrow}(A) = (f^{\leftarrow}(A^*))^*$, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $(x^*)^* = x$ for each $x \in L$. Let (X, η_X) and (Y, η_Y) be an Alexandrov L -precotopological spaces with $\tau_X = \{A^* \in L^X \mid A \in \eta_X\}$ and $\tau_Y = \{B^* \in L^Y \mid B \in \eta_Y\}$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $f^{\leftarrow} : (\eta_Y, e_{\eta_Y}) \rightarrow (\eta_X, e_{\eta_X})$ is a meet preserving map.
- (2) For all $\alpha \in L, A, A_i \in \eta_Y$, we have $f^{\leftarrow}(\alpha \rightarrow A) = \alpha \rightarrow f^{\leftarrow}(A) \in \eta_X$ and $f^{\leftarrow}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} f^{\leftarrow}(A_i) \in \eta_X$
- (3) $f : (X, \eta_X) \rightarrow (Y, \eta_Y)$ is continuous; i.e., for each $A \in \tau_Y$, $f^{\leftarrow}(A) \in \tau_X$.
- (4) $f^{\leftarrow} : (\tau_Y, e_{\tau_Y}) \rightarrow (\tau_X, e_{\tau_X})$ is a join preserving map.
- (5) $f : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ is continuous; i.e., for each $A \in \tau_Y$, $f^{\leftarrow}(A) \in \tau_X$.

Example 3.10. Let $([0, 1], \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ be a complete residuated lattice (ref.[1-4]) as

$$x \odot y = \max\{0, x + y - 1\}, \quad x \rightarrow y = \min\{1 - x + y, 1\}.$$

Define $x^* = x \rightarrow 0 = 1 - x$. Then $(x^*)^* = x$. Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and $A \in [0, 1]^X$ with $A(x) = 0.6, A(y) = 0.7, A(z) = 0.4$.

(1) Define an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -pretopology

$$\tau_X = \{(\alpha \odot A) \vee \beta_X \mid \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Since $0.8 \rightarrow A = (0.8, 0.9, 0.6) \notin \tau_X$, τ_X is not an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -pretopology. Moreover, (τ_X, e_{τ_X}) is a fuzzy poset. For each $\Phi : \tau_X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, since $\bigvee_{C \in \tau_X} (\Phi(C) \odot C) \in \tau_X$ for $C \in \tau_X$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\tau}(\sqcup_{\tau_X} \Phi, B) &= \bigwedge_{C \in \tau_X} (\Phi(C) \rightarrow e_{\tau_X}(C, B)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{C \in \tau_X} e_{\tau_X}(\Phi(C) \odot C, B) \\ &= e_{\tau_X}(\bigvee_{C \in \tau_X} (\Phi(C) \odot C), B) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.9(2), (τ_X, e_{τ_X}) is a fuzzy join-complete lattice.

We obtain an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -pretopology $\eta = \{(\alpha \rightarrow A) \wedge \beta_X \mid \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\}$ and an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -topology $\tau = \{((\alpha \odot A) \vee \beta_X), (\alpha \rightarrow A) \wedge \beta_X \mid \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\}$. Similarly, (η, e_{η}) is a fuzzy meet-complete lattice and (η, e_{τ}) is a fuzzy complete lattice.

(2) From (1), we obtain an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -pretopology

$$\eta_X = \{A^* \mid A \in \tau_X\} = \{(\alpha \rightarrow A^*) \wedge \beta_X \mid \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Then (η_X, e_{η_X}) is a fuzzy poset. For each $\Psi : \eta_X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\Psi(A) = \Phi(A^*)$, since $\bigvee_{C^* \in \tau_X} (\Phi(C^*) \odot C^*) \in \tau_X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\eta_X}(B, \sqcap_{\eta_X} \Psi) &= \bigwedge_{C \in \eta_X} (\Psi(C) \rightarrow e_{\eta_X}(B, C)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{C^* \in \tau_X} (\Psi(C) \rightarrow e_{\tau_X}(C^*, B^*)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{C^* \in \tau_X} e_{\tau_X}(\Psi(C) \odot C^*, B^*) \\ &= e_{\tau_X}(\bigvee_{C^* \in \tau_X} (\Phi(C^*) \odot C^*), B^*) \\ &= e_{\eta_X}(B, \bigwedge_{C^* \in \tau_X} (\Phi(C^*) \rightarrow C)) \\ &= e_{\eta_X}(B, \bigwedge_{C \in \tau_X^*} (\Psi(C) \rightarrow C)) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.9(3), (η_X, e_{η_X}) is a fuzzy meet-complete lattice.

(3) Let $Y = \{u, v\}$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map defined as $f(x) = f(y) = u, f(z) = v$. Then we obtain $f^{\rightarrow}(A)(u) = 0.7, f^{\rightarrow}(A)(v) = 0.4$. We obtain an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -pretopology

$$\tau_Y = \{(\alpha \odot f^{\rightarrow}(A)) \vee \beta_Y \mid \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Then (τ_Y, e_{τ_Y}) is a fuzzy poset and a join-complete lattice. Since $f^{\rightarrow}(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot f^{\rightarrow}(A)$ and $f^{\rightarrow}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} f^{\rightarrow}(A_i)$, by Corollary 3.4(2), $f^{\rightarrow} : (\tau_X, e_{\tau_X}) \rightarrow (\tau_Y, e_{\tau_Y})$ is a join-preserving map. Since $f^{\leftarrow}(f^{\rightarrow}(A)) = (0.7, 0.7, 0.4) \notin \tau_X$ for $f^{\rightarrow}(A) \in \tau_Y$, by Corollary 3.5(3), $f^{\leftarrow} : (\tau_Y, e_{\tau_Y}) \rightarrow (\tau_X, e_{\tau_X})$ is not a join-preserving map.

We obtain an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -precotology

$$\eta_Y = \{(\alpha \rightarrow f^{\rightarrow}(A)^*) \wedge \beta_Y \mid \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\}.$$

For $A^* \in \eta_X$, $f^{\rightarrow}(A^*) = (0.4, 0.6) = (0.9 \rightarrow f^{\rightarrow}(A)^*) \wedge 0.6_X \in \eta_Y$. By Corollary 3.8(2), $f^{\rightarrow} : (\eta_X, e_{\eta_X}) \rightarrow (\eta_Y, e_{\eta_Y})$ is a meet-preserving map.

We obtain an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -precotology

$$\eta_Y^1 = \{(\alpha \rightarrow f^{\rightarrow}(A^*)) \wedge \beta_Y \mid \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Since \odot is continuous; i.e.; $x \odot \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i^* = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \odot y_i^*)$, $(x^*)^*(x) = x$ and $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i)^* = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i^*$,

$$x \rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i = (x \odot \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i^*)^* = (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \odot y_i^*))^* = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x \rightarrow y_i).$$

Since f is onto, $f^{\rightarrow}((\alpha \rightarrow A^*) \wedge \beta_X)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} ((\alpha \rightarrow A^*) \wedge \beta_X)(x) = (\alpha \rightarrow \bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} A^*(x)) \wedge \beta_Y = ((\alpha \rightarrow f^{\rightarrow}(A^*)(y)) \wedge \beta_Y) \in \eta_Y^1$.

Since $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} B_i = (\alpha \rightarrow A^*) \wedge \beta_X$ for $B_i \in \eta_X$, $f^{\rightarrow}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} B_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} f^{\rightarrow}(B_i) \in \eta_Y^1$. By Corollary 3.8(2), $f^{\rightarrow} : (\eta_X, e_{\eta_X}) \rightarrow (\eta_Y^1, e_{\eta_Y^1})$ is a meet-preserving map.

(4) Let $Z = \{u, v, w\}$ and $h : X \rightarrow Z$ be a map defined as $h(x) = h(y) = u, h(z) = v$. We obtain an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -precotology

$$\tau_Z = \{(\alpha \odot h^{\rightarrow}(A)) \vee \beta_Z \mid \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Then (τ_Z, e_{τ_Z}) is a fuzzy poset. Since $h^{\rightarrow}(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot h^{\rightarrow}(A)$ and $h^{\rightarrow}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} h^{\rightarrow}(A_i)$, by Corollary 3.4(2), $h^{\rightarrow} : (\tau_X, e_{\tau_X}) \rightarrow (\tau_Z, e_{\tau_Z})$ is a join-preserving map.

We obtain an Alexandrov $[0, 1]$ -precotology

$$\eta_Z = \{(\alpha \rightarrow h^{\rightarrow}(A^*)) \wedge \beta_Z \mid \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} h^{\rightarrow}(A^*)(u) &= 0.4, h^{\rightarrow}(A^*)(v) = 0.6, h^{\rightarrow}(A^*)(w) = 0, \\ h^{\rightarrow}(0.7 \rightarrow A^*) &= (0.7, 0.9, 0) \\ &\neq 0.7 \rightarrow h^{\rightarrow}(A^*) = (0.7, 0.9, 0.3). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.8(2), $h^{\rightarrow} : (\eta_X, e_{\eta_X}) \rightarrow (\eta_Z, e_{\eta_Z})$ is not a meet-preserving map.

REFERENCES

1. R. Bělohlávek, *Fuzzy Relational Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2002.
2. L.Fan, *A new approach to quantitative domain theory*, Electronic Notes in Theoretic Computer Science **45** (2011).
3. P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.
4. U. Höhle, E.P. Klement, *Non-classical logic and their applications to fuzzy subsets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1995.
5. U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Logic, Topology and Measure Theory*, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
6. Y.C. Kim, *Join-meet preserving maps and Alexandrov fuzzy topologies*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems **28** (2015), 457-467.

7. Y.C. Kim, *Join-meet preserving maps and fuzzy preorders*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems **28** (2015), 1089-1097.
8. Y.C. Kim, *Categories of fuzzy preorders, approximation operators and Alexandrov topologies*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems **31** (2016), 1787-1793.
9. Y.C. Kim, J.M. Ko, *Images and preimages of filterbases*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **157** (2006), 1913-1927.
10. H. Lai, D. Zhang, *Fuzzy preorder and fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **157** (2006), 1865-1885.
11. S.E. Rodabaugh, E.P. Klement, *Topological and Algebraic Structures In Fuzzy Sets*, The Handbook of Recent Developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London, 2003.
12. S.P. Tiwari, A.K. Srivastava, *Fuzzy rough sets, fuzzy preorders and fuzzy topologies*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **210** (2013), 63-68.
13. M. Ward, R.P. Dilworth, *Residuated lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1939), 335-354.
14. D. Zhang, *An enriched category approach to many valued topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **158** (2007), 349-366.
15. W.X. Xie, Q.Y. Zhang, L. Fan, *Fuzzy complete lattices*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **160** (2009), 2275-2291.
16. Q.Y. Zhang, *Algebraic generations of some fuzzy powerset operators*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy systems **8** (2011), 31-58.
17. Q.Y. Zhang, L. Fan, *Continuity in quantitive domains*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **154** (2005), 118-131.
18. Q.Y. Zhang, W.X. Xie, , L. Fan, *The Dedekind-MacNeille completion for fuzzy posets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **160** (2009), 2292-2316.

Jung Mi Ko received M.Sc. and Ph.D. from Yonsei University. Since 1988 she has been at Gangneung-Wonju National University. Her research interests are fuzzy logics, rough sets and fuzzy topology.

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju University, Gangneung, Gangwondo 25457, Korea.

e-mail: jmko@gwnu.ac.kr

Yong Chan Kim received M.Sc. and Ph.D. from Yonsei University. Since 1991 he has been at Gangneung-Wonju National University. His research interests are fuzzy logics, rough sets and fuzzy topology.

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju University, Gangneung, Gangwondo 25457, Korea.

e-mail: yck@gwnu.ac.kr