

## TOTAL DOMINATION NUMBER OF CENTRAL TREES

XUE-GANG CHEN, MOO YOUNG SOHN, AND YU-FENG WANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $\gamma_t(G)$  and  $\tau(G)$  denote the total domination number and vertex cover number of graph  $G$ , respectively. In this paper, we study the total domination number of the central tree  $C(T)$  for a tree  $T$ . First, a relationship between the total domination number of  $C(T)$  and the vertex cover number of tree  $T$  is discussed. We characterize the central trees with equal total domination number and independence number. Applying the first result, we improve the upper bound on the total domination number of  $C(T)$  and solve one open problem posed by Kazemnejad et al..

### 1. Introduction

Graph theory terminology not presented here can be found in [2] and [3]. Let  $G$  be a simple and undirected graph. The *vertex set* and the *edge set* of  $G$  are denoted by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ , respectively. The *degree*, *neighborhood* and *closed neighborhood* of a vertex  $v$  in the graph  $G$  are denoted by  $d_G(v)$ ,  $N_G(v)$  and  $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ , respectively. If the graph  $G$  is clear from context, we simply write  $d(v)$ ,  $N(v)$  and  $N[v]$ , respectively. The *minimum degree* and *maximum degree* of the graph  $G$  are denoted by  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$ , respectively. Let  $S \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N_G(v)$  and  $N_G[S] = N_G(S) \cup S$ . The subgraph induced by  $S \subseteq V$  is denoted by  $G[S]$ . For any two vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , let  $d(u, v)$  denote the distance between vertex  $u$  and vertex  $v$ . The *diameter* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{diam}(G)$ , is the maximum distance among pairs of vertices in  $G$ . Let  $P_n$  and  $C_n$  denote the path and cycle with order  $n$ , respectively. Let  $K_{1,n}$  denote the star with order  $n + 1$ .

A set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  in a graph  $G$  is called a *total dominating set* if every vertex in  $G$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $S$ . The *total domination number*  $\gamma_t(G)$  equals to the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set in  $G$ . Moreover, a total dominating set of  $G$  of cardinality  $\gamma_t(G)$  is called a  $\gamma_t$ -set of  $G$ .

The concept of total domination in graphs was first introduced by Cockayne, Dawes and Hedetniemi [1] and has been studied extensively by many researchers

---

Received February 10, 2019; Revised April 26, 2019; Accepted July 8, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05C69, 05C35.

*Key words and phrases*. Total domination number, vertex cover number, central tree.

in the last years. The literature on the subject has been surveyed and detailed in the recent book [4].

A *vertex cover* of the graph  $G$  is a set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  such that every edge of  $G$  is incident to at least one element of  $D$ . The *vertex cover number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\tau(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a vertex cover of  $G$ . An *independent set* of  $G$  is a subset of vertices of  $G$ , no two of which are adjacent. A *maximum independent set* is an independent set with the largest cardinality in  $G$ . This cardinality is called the *independence number* of  $G$ , and is denoted by  $\alpha(G)$ . A *matching* of  $G$  is a subset of edges of  $G$  such that no two of which have a common vertex. For a tree  $T$ , any vertex of degree one is called a *leaf* and the neighbour of a leaf is called a *support vertex* of  $G$ .

By doing an operation on a given graph  $G$ , Vernold et al. [6] obtained the central graph of  $G$  as follows.

**Definition 1** ([6]). The central graph  $C(G)$  of a graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and size  $m$  is a graph of order  $n + m$  and size  $\binom{n}{2} + m$  which is obtained by subdividing each edge of  $G$  exactly once and joining all the non-adjacent vertices of  $G$  in  $C(G)$ .

We fix a notation for the vertex set and the edge set of the central graph  $C(G)$  to work with throughout the paper. Let  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . We set  $V(C(G)) = V(G) \cup \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_{ij} : v_i v_j \in E(G)\}$  and  $E(C(G)) = \{v_i c_{ij}, v_j c_{ij} : v_i v_j \in E(G)\} \cup \{v_i v_j : v_i v_j \notin E(G)\}$ . The central graph  $C(T)$  of a tree  $T$  is called a *central tree*.

Kazemnejad et al. [5] gave the following results.

**Proposition 1** ([5]). For any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\gamma_t(C(G)) \geq \tau(G)$ .

**Proposition 2** ([5]). Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 3$  such that  $\Delta(T) \geq n - 3$ . Then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = 3$ .

**Proposition 3** ([5]). Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 7$  such that  $\Delta(T) \leq n - 4$ . Then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \leq \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$ . Moreover, the upper bound is tight.

**Proposition 4** ([5]). For any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 3$  and size  $m$ ,  $\alpha(C(G)) = m$ .

**Proposition 5** ([5]). For any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 3$  with  $\Delta(G) \leq n - 2$ ,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \geq 3$ .

Furthermore, they gave the following two open problems.

**Problem 1** ([5]). Find some families graphs  $G$  of order  $n$  and size  $m$  where  $m \geq n \geq 5$  with  $\gamma_t(C(G)) = \alpha(C(G))$ .

**Problem 2** ([5]). Characterize the trees  $T$  satisfying  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$ .

In this paper, we study the total domination number of the central tree  $C(T)$  for a tree  $T$ . First, a relationship between the total domination number

of  $C(T)$  and the vertex cover number of tree  $T$  is discussed. We characterize the central trees with equal total domination number and independence number. Applying the first result, we improve the upper bound on the total domination number of  $C(T)$  and solve one open problem posed by Kazemnejad et al..

## 2. Main results

Let  $T$  be a tree,  $L(T) = \{v : d(v) = 1\}$  and  $I(T) = V(T) \setminus L(T)$ . Let  $S(T) = \{v : v \text{ is a support vertex}\}$  and  $N_2(T) = \{v : d(v) = 2\}$ . Let  $S_{1,n,n}$  be the tree obtained from star  $K_{1,n}$  by replacing every edge by a path of length 2. Let  $S_{1,2}$  be the tree obtained from vertex  $v$  and  $P_4$  by adding an edge between vertex  $v$  and one support vertex of  $P_4$ . Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{P_5, K_{1,4}, S_{1,2}, S_{1,3,3}\}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $T$  be a tree. If  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 5$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{diam}(T) = d$ . Then  $d \geq 5$ . Let  $v_0v_1 \cdots v_d$  be a longest path in  $T$ . Then both  $v_0$  and  $v_d$  are leaves. Let  $L_i(T) = \{v : d(v_0, v) = i\}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d$ . It is clearly  $\bigcup_{i=0}^d L_i(T) = V(T)$ . Let  $D$  be a minimal vertex cover of  $T$  such that  $\tau(T) = |D|$ . Since  $v_0v_1 \in E(T)$  and  $v_{d-1}v_d \in E(T)$ , it follows that  $D \cap \{v_0, v_1\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $D \cap \{v_{d-1}, v_d\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $v_1 \notin D$ , then  $v_0 \in D$ . Then  $(D \setminus \{v_0\}) \cup \{v_1\}$  is a minimal vertex cover of  $T$  with cardinality  $\tau(T)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\{v_1, v_{d-1}\} \subseteq D$ . For any vertex  $c_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}$ , since  $v_iv_j \in E(T)$ , it follows that  $D \cap \{v_i, v_j\} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence vertex  $c_{ij}$  is dominated by  $D$ . It is obvious that every vertex in  $\bigcup_{i=3}^d L_i(T)$  is dominated by vertex  $v_1$  in  $C(T)$  and every vertex in  $\bigcup_{i=0}^2 L_i(T)$  is dominated by vertex  $v_{d-1}$  in  $C(T)$ . So  $D$  is a total dominating set of  $C(T)$ . Hence,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \leq |D| = \tau(T)$ . By Proposition 1,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $\text{diam}(T) = 4$ . If  $I(T) = S(T)$  and  $I(T) \cap N_2(T) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v_0v_1 \cdots v_4$  be a longest path in  $T$ . Then both  $v_0$  and  $v_4$  are leaves. Let  $L_i(T) = \{v : d(v_0, v) = i\}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 4$ . It is clearly  $\bigcup_{i=0}^4 L_i(T) = V(T)$ . Let  $D$  be a minimal vertex cover of  $T$  such that  $|D| = \tau(T)$ .

Since there exists a matching  $M$  of  $T$  such that every edge of  $M$  is incident to one leaf and one support vertex,  $|D| \geq |S(T)|$ . Since  $I(T) = S(T)$ ,  $|D| \geq |I(T)|$ . So  $\tau(T) \geq |I(T)|$ . It is obvious that  $I(T)$  is a vertex cover of  $T$  and  $\tau(T) \leq |I(T)|$ . Hence  $\tau(T) = |I(T)|$ . Since  $I(T) \cap N_2(T) \neq \emptyset$ , it follows that  $N_2(T) \subseteq (L_1(T) \cup L_3(T))$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $v_3 \in I(T) \cap N_2(T)$ . Let  $I'(T) = (I(T) \setminus \{v_3\}) \cup \{v_4\}$ . It is obvious that  $I'(T)$  is a vertex cover of  $T$  with cardinality  $\tau(T)$ . In the following, we will prove that  $I'(T)$  is a total dominating set of  $C(T)$ .

For any vertex  $c_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}$ , since  $v_iv_j \in E(T)$ , it follows that  $I'(T) \cap \{v_i, v_j\} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence vertex  $c_{ij}$  is dominated by  $I'(T)$ . It is obvious that every vertex in  $\bigcup_{i=0}^2 L_i(T)$  is dominated by vertex  $v_4$  in  $C(T)$  and every vertex in  $\bigcup_{i=3}^4 L_i(T)$

is dominated by vertex  $v_1$  in  $C(T)$ . So  $I'(T)$  is a total dominating set of  $C(T)$ . Hence,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \leq |I'(T)| = \tau(T)$ . By Proposition 1,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $\text{diam}(T) = 4$ . If  $I(T) \neq S(T)$  or  $I(T) \cap N_2(T) = \emptyset$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v_0v_1 \cdots v_4$  be a longest path in  $T$ . Then both  $v_0$  and  $v_4$  are leaves. Let  $L_i(T) = \{v : d(v_0, v) = i\}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 4$ . It is clearly  $\bigcup_{i=0}^4 L_i(T) = V(T)$ . We will discuss it from the following cases.

**Case 1.**  $I(T) = S(T)$  and  $I(T) \cap N_2(T) = \emptyset$ . Let  $D$  be a minimal vertex cover of  $T$  such that  $|D| = \tau(T)$ . Since there exists a matching  $M$  of  $T$  such that every edge of  $M$  is incident to one leaf and one support vertex,  $|D| \geq |S(T)|$ . Since  $I(T) = S(T)$ ,  $|D| \geq |I(T)|$ . So  $\tau(T) \geq |I(T)|$ . It is obvious that  $I(T)$  is a vertex cover of  $T$  and  $\tau(T) \leq |I(T)|$ . Hence  $\tau(T) = |I(T)|$ . Furthermore, if  $v_2$  is adjacent to at least two leaves, then  $I(T)$  is the unique minimum vertex cover of  $T$ . If  $v_2$  is adjacent to exactly one leaf, say  $u$ , then  $I(T)$  or  $(I(T) \setminus \{v_2\}) \cup \{u\}$  is the unique two minimum vertex covers of  $T$ .

By Proposition 1,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \geq \tau(T)$ . Assume that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T)$ .

Let  $S$  be a minimal total dominating set of  $C(T)$  such that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = |S|$ . Then  $S \cap V(T)$  is a vertex cover of  $T$ . So,  $\tau(T) \leq |S \cap V(T)| \leq |S| = \gamma_t(C(T))$ . Since  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T)$ , it follows that  $S \cap V(T) = S$ . Then  $S$  is a minimum vertex cover of  $T$ . Hence, if  $v_2$  is adjacent to at least two leaves, then  $S = I(T)$ . If  $v_2$  is adjacent to exactly one leaf, say  $u$ , then  $S = I(T)$  or  $S = (I(T) \setminus \{v_2\}) \cup \{u\}$ . For any case, vertex  $v_2$  is not dominated by  $S$  in  $C(T)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \geq \tau(T) + 1$ . Since  $I(T) \cup \{c_{12}\}$  is a total dominating set of  $C(T)$ , it follows that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \leq |I(T)| + 1 = \tau(T) + 1$ . So  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 1$ .

**Case 2.**  $I(T) \neq S(T)$ . Then  $v_2 \notin S(T)$  and  $I(T) = S(T) \cup \{v_2\}$ . Let  $D$  be a minimal vertex cover of  $T$  such that  $|D| = \tau(T)$ . If a leaf  $v$  belongs to  $D$ , then  $(D \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{w\}$  is a minimum vertex cover of  $T$ , where  $w \in N(v) \cap S(T)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $D \cap L(T) = \emptyset$ . That is  $S(T) \subseteq D$ . If  $v_2 \in D$ , then  $D \setminus \{v_2\}$  is a vertex cover of  $T$  with cardinality less than  $|D|$ , which is a contradiction. So  $v_2 \notin D$ . Hence,  $\tau(T) = |D| \geq |I(T)| - 1$ . It is obvious that  $I(T) \setminus \{v_2\}$  is a vertex cover of  $T$  and  $\tau(T) \leq |I(T)| - 1$ . Hence  $\tau(T) = |I(T)| - 1$ . Furthermore,  $I(T) \setminus \{v_2\}$  is the unique minimum vertex cover of  $T$ .

By Proposition 1,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \geq \tau(T)$ . Assume that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T)$ .

Let  $S$  be a minimal total dominating set of  $C(T)$  such that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = |S|$ . Then  $S \cap V(T)$  is a vertex cover of  $T$ . So,  $\tau(T) \leq |S \cap V(T)| \leq |S| = \gamma_t(C(T))$ . Since  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T)$ , it follows that  $S \cap V(T) = S$ . Then  $S$  is a minimum vertex cover of  $T$ . Hence,  $S = I(T) \setminus \{v_2\}$ . Then vertex  $v_2$  is not dominated by  $S$  in  $C(T)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \geq \tau(T) + 1$ . Since  $(I(T) \setminus \{v_2\}) \cup \{c_{12}\}$  is a total dominating set of  $C(T)$ , it follows that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \leq |(I(T) \setminus \{v_2\}) \cup \{c_{12}\}| = |I(T)| = \tau(T) + 1$ . So  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $T$  be a tree. If  $\text{diam}(T) = 3$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 1$ . If  $\text{diam}(T) = 2$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{diam}(T) = 3$ , then it is obvious that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = 3$  and  $\tau(T) = 2$  by Proposition 5. So  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 1$ . If  $\text{diam}(T) = 2$ , then it is obvious that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = 3$  and  $\tau(T) = 1$  by Proposition 2. So  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 2$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 1, Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we have the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with order  $n \geq 3$ . Then*

$$\gamma_t(C(T)) = \begin{cases} \tau(T), & \text{if } \text{diam}(T) \geq 5, \\ \tau(T), & \text{if } \text{diam}(T) = 4, I(T) = S(T) \text{ and } I(T) \cap N_2(T) \neq \emptyset, \\ \tau(T) + 2, & \text{if } \text{diam}(T) = 2, \\ \tau(T) + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any tree  $T$  of order  $n \geq 3$ , it is well known that  $\tau(T) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . By Theorem 1, an improved upper bound on total domination number of  $C(T)$  is as follows.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with order  $n \geq 3$ . Then*

$$\gamma_t(C(T)) \leq \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, & \text{if } \text{diam}(T) \geq 5, \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, & \text{if } \text{diam}(T) = 4, I(T) = S(T) \text{ and } I(T) \cap N_2(T) \neq \emptyset, \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2, & \text{if } \text{diam}(T) = 2, \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with order  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \alpha(C(T))$  if and only if  $T = P_4$  or  $T = K_{1,3}$ .*

*Proof.* It is obvious that if  $T = P_4$  or  $T = K_{1,3}$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \alpha(C(T))$  by Proposition 4 and Theorem 1. The sufficiency follows. Now we only prove the necessity. Suppose that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \alpha(C(T))$ . By Proposition 4,  $\alpha(C(T)) = m(T) = n - 1$ . Hence,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = n - 1$ .

Suppose that  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 4$ . Then  $n \geq 5$ . By Corollary 1,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 < n - 1$ , which is a contradiction. So  $\text{diam}(T) \leq 3$ .

If  $\text{diam}(T) = 3$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 1 = 3$ . So  $n = 4$  and  $T$  is isomorphic to  $P_4$ . If  $\text{diam}(T) = 2$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 2 = 3$ . So  $n = 4$  and  $T$  is isomorphic to  $K_{1,3}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with order  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$  if and only if  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .*

*Proof.* It is obvious that if  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$  by Theorem 1. The sufficiency follows. Now we only prove the necessity. Suppose that  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$ .

Suppose that  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 5$  or  $\text{diam}(T) = 4, I(T) = S(T)$  and  $I(T) \cap N_2(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $n \geq 6$ . By Corollary 1,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor < \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$ , which is a contradiction. Suppose that  $\text{diam}(T) = 4, I(T) = S(T)$  and  $I(T) \cap N_2(T) = \emptyset$ . Then  $n \geq 6$ . By the proof of Case 1 in Lemma 3,  $|I(T)| = \tau(T)$  and  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 1$ . Hence,  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = |S(T)| + 1$ . Since  $I(T) = S(T)$  and

$I(T) \cap N_2(T) = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $|S(T)| + 1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . So  $\gamma_t(C(T)) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor < \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$ , which is a contradiction.

Hence,  $T$  is a tree with  $\text{diam}(T) \leq 4$ . Furthermore, if  $\text{diam}(T) = 4$ , then  $I(T) \neq S(T)$ .

If  $\text{diam}(T) = 4$  and  $I(T) \neq S(T)$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = \tau(T) + 1 = |I(T)|$  by the proof of Case 2 in Lemma 3. So,  $|I(T)| = \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor$ . Hence,  $|L(T)| = n - |I(T)| = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ . Since every vertex in  $I(T) \setminus \{v_2\}$  is adjacent to at least one leaf, it follows that  $\lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil \geq \lfloor \frac{2n}{3} \rfloor - 1$ . Hence  $n = 5$  or  $n = 7$ . If  $n = 5$ , then  $T = P_5$ . Suppose that  $n = 7$ . Then  $|I(T)| = 4$ . So  $T = S_{1,3,3}$ .

If  $\text{diam}(T) = 3$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = 3$ . So  $n = 5$  and  $T = S_{1,2}$ . If  $\text{diam}(T) = 2$ , then  $\gamma_t(C(T)) = 3$ . So  $n = 5$  and  $T = K_{1,4}$ . Hence, in all cases,  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1D1A3B03029912).

### References

- [1] E. J. Cockayne, R. M. Dawes, and S. T. Hedetniemi, *Total domination in graphs*, Networks **10** (1980), no. 3, 211–219. <https://doi.org/10.1002/net.3230100304>
- [2] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, and P. J. Slater, *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 208, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [3] ———, *Domination in graphs*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **209**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [4] M. A. Henning and A. Yeo, *Total domination in graphs*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6525-6>
- [5] F. Kazemnejad and S. Moradi, *Total domination number of central graphs*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **56** (2019), no. 4, 1059–1075. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b180891>
- [6] K. Thilagavathi, J. V. Vivin, and B. Anitha. *Circumdetic graphs*, Far East J. Appl. Math. **26** (2007), no. 2, 191–201.

XUE-GANG CHEN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NORTH CHINA ELECTRIC POWER UNIVERSITY  
BEIJING 102206, P. R. CHINA  
Email address: gxcxdm@163.com

MOO YOUNG SOHN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
CHANGWON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
CHANGWON 51140, KOREA  
Email address: mysohn@changwon.ac.kr

YU-FENG WANG  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NORTH CHINA ELECTRIC POWER UNIVERSITY  
BEIJING 102206, P. R. CHINA  
Email address: 1137600990@qq.com