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Abstract. It is shown that each sequence lying sufficiently close in the hyperbolic sense to a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for a meromorphic function $f$ in the unit disc is also a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$.

## 1. Introduction and results

Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the class of meromorphic functions in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}=$ $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Green's function in $\mathbb{D}$ with logarithmic singularity at $a \in \mathbb{D}$ is $g(z, a)=-\log \left|\varphi_{a}(z)\right|$, where $\varphi_{a}(z)=(a-z) /(1-\bar{a} z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The function $\varphi_{a}$ is the Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{D}$ which interchanges the point $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and the origin, and it is its own inverse. For $0<p<\infty$, the class $Q_{p}^{\#}$ consists of $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{Q_{p}^{\#}}^{2}=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}(z, a) d A(z)<\infty
$$

where $f^{\#}(z)=\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| /\left(1+|f(z)|^{2}\right)$ is the spherical derivative of $f$ at $z$ and $d A(z)=r d r d \theta$ for $z=r e^{i \theta}$ denotes the element of Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. It is known that $Q_{1}^{\#}$ coincides with the class UBC of functions in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ of uniformly bounded Nevanlinna characteristic in $\mathbb{D}[5]$, and, for each $p>1, Q_{p}^{\#}$ is the same as the class $\mathcal{N}$ of meromorphic normal functions [2], defined by the condition

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{N}}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} f^{\#}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)<\infty
$$

[^0]Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$. According to [1, Definition 1], a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is a $q_{\mathcal{N}}$-sequence for $f$ if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{\#}\left(a_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=\infty
$$

Further, we say that $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-sequence for $f$ if

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{\#}\left(a_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)=\infty
$$

Then $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-sequence for $f$ if and only if one of its subsequences is a $q_{\mathcal{N}}$-sequence for $f$. Similarly, if $0<p<\infty$, then $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a $q_{p}$-sequence for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ according to [1, Definition 2] if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z)=\infty
$$

and it is a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$ if

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z)=\infty
$$

If $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a $q_{\mathcal{N}}$-sequence for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$, then each sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ for which $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is a $q_{p}$-sequence for $f$ for all $0<p<\infty$ by $\left[1\right.$, Theorem 1]. Here $\sigma(z, w)=\left|\varphi_{z}(w)\right|$ is the pseudohyperbolic distance between two points $z$ and $w$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Hence each $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ if $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an $\mathcal{N}$-sequence for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$.

If $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$, then each sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ for which $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is also a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$ by the proof of [1, Theorem 5]. The following theorem improves this result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $0<p<\infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$, and let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a $Q_{p}^{\#-}$ sequence for $f$. Then there exists $\delta=\delta(f, p) \in(0,1)$ such that each sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$.

By using Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following improvement of $[1$, Theorem 6].

Corollary 1.2. Let $0<p<p^{\prime}<\infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$, and let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, r\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a_{n}}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} d A(z)<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r \in(0,1)$, then there exists $\delta=\delta\left(f, p^{\prime}\right) \in(0,1)$ such that each sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a $Q_{p^{\prime}}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$.

In the forthcoming sections we will prove our results in the order of appearance.

## 2. Auxiliary result

To proof Theorem 1.1 we need the following auxiliary result.
Lemma 2.1. Let $0<p<\infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$, and let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an $\mathcal{N}$ sequence for $f$. Then there exists $\delta=\delta(f, p) \in(0,1)$ such that each sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$.
Proof. Assume on the contrary to the assertion that for each $\delta \in(0,1)$ there exists a sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=K\left(f, p,\left\{b_{n}\right\}\right)=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, b_{n}\right) d A(z)<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta(z, r)=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}: \sigma(z, \zeta)<r\}$ denote the pseudohyperbolic disc with center $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and radius $r \in(0,1)$, and let $D(z, R)=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}:|z-\zeta|<R\}$ be the Euclidean disc with center $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and radius $R>0$. By the hypothesis, we can pick up a subsequence $\left\{a_{n}^{1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which is a $q_{\mathcal{N}}$-sequence for $f$. Let $\left\{b_{n}^{1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the corresponding subsequence of $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then (2.1) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
K & \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, b_{n}^{1}\right) d A(z) \geq \int_{\Delta\left(b_{n}^{1}, r\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, b_{n}^{1}\right) d A(z) \\
& \geq\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{p} \int_{D(0, r)} f_{n}^{\#}(z)^{2} d A(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 0<r<1 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{n}=f \circ \varphi_{b_{n}^{1}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $r=r(K, p) \in(0,1)$ sufficiently small such that $(-\log r)^{p}>K / \pi$. Then $\left[3\right.$, Theorem 6] shows that $\left\{f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a normal family in $D(0, r)$. Therefore there exists a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which converges uniformly on compact subsets of $D(0, r)$ to a meromorphic function $h$ on $D(0, r)$ or to $\infty$. In the latter case, (2.2) yields

$$
\pi>\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\overline{D(0, r / 2)}} f_{n_{k}}^{\#}(z)^{2} d A(z)=\infty
$$

which is a contradiction, and thus the assertion follows. If the limit $h$ is meromorphic on $D(0, r)$, then $f_{n_{k}}^{\#}$ converges uniformly to $h^{\#}$ on $\overline{D(0, r / 2)}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Fix now $\delta=\delta(r)>0$ sufficiently small such that $\Delta\left(\varphi_{b_{n}}\left(a_{n}\right), \delta\right) \subset D(0, r / 2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is possible because $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by the uniform convergence on $\overline{D(0, r / 2)}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta\left(a_{n_{k}}^{1}, \delta\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n_{k}}^{1}\right) d A(z) \\
= & \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta\left(\varphi_{b_{n_{k}}^{1}}\left(a_{n_{k}}^{1}\right), \delta\right)} f_{n_{k}}^{\#}(\zeta)^{2} g^{p}\left(\zeta, \varphi_{b_{n_{k}}^{1}}\left(a_{n_{k}}^{1}\right)\right) d A(\zeta) \\
\leq & \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D(0, r / 2)} f_{n_{k}}^{\#}(\zeta)^{2} g^{p}\left(\zeta, \varphi_{b_{n_{k}}^{1}}\left(a_{n_{k}}^{1}\right)\right) d A(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \sup _{c \in D(0, \delta)} \int_{D(0, r / 2)} h^{\#}(\zeta)^{2} g^{p}(\zeta, c) d A(\zeta)<\infty
$$

because $h$ is meromorphic in $D(0, r)$. Since $\left\{a_{n}^{1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a $q_{\mathcal{N}}$-sequence for $f \in$ $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$, so is its subsequence $\left\{a_{n_{k}}^{1}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that for each $q_{\mathcal{N}}$-sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ there exists a subsequence $\left\{c_{n_{j}}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta\left(c_{n_{j}}, \rho\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, c_{n_{j}}\right) d A(z)=\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\rho \in(0,1)$ and $0<p<\infty$. This for $\rho=\delta$ contradicts what just have been proved, and thus gives the assertion. To prove (2.3), we employ the method used in the proof of [1, Theorem 4]. Assume on the contrary that there exist $\rho \in(0,1)$ and $0<p<\infty$ such that

$$
C=C\left(f, p,\left\{c_{n}\right\}\right)=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Delta\left(c_{n}, \rho\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, c_{n}\right) d A(z)<\infty
$$

Choose $r=r\left(f, p,\left\{c_{n}\right\}\right) \in(0, \rho)$ sufficiently small such that $2(-\log r)^{-p} C \leq \pi$. Then a change of variable gives

$$
C \geq \int_{\Delta\left(c_{n}, r\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, c_{n}\right) d A(z) \geq\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{p} \int_{D(0, r)}\left(f \circ \varphi_{c_{n}}\right)^{\#}(z)^{2} d A(z)
$$

and hence $g_{n}=f \circ \varphi_{c_{n}}$ satisfies $g_{n}^{\#}(0)=f^{\#}\left(c_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \leq 1 / r$ by Dufresnoy's theorem [4, p. 83]. This is a contradiction, and hence the claimed subsequence exists.

Note that the argument used in the end of the proof gives an easy way to see that each $\mathcal{N}$-sequence for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{D})$ is a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$, and let $\delta \in(0,1)$ be that of Lemma 2.1. Then either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z)=\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z)=\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume first (3.1). The inequalities $1-t \leq-\log t \leq \frac{1}{t}(1-t)$, valid for all $0<t \leq 1$, imply

$$
g\left(z, a_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a_{n}}(z)\right|\right) \leq \frac{C_{1}}{\delta}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{b_{n}}(z)\right|\right) \leq \frac{C_{1}}{\delta} g\left(z, b_{n}\right)
$$

where $C_{1}=C_{1}(\delta)>0$ is a constant, for all $z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right)$ and $b_{n} \in \overline{\Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right)}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{C_{1}}{\delta}\right)^{p} \int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, b_{n}\right) d A(z) \\
\leq & \left(\frac{C_{1}}{\delta}\right)^{p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, b_{n}\right) d A(z), \quad b_{n} \in \overline{\Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence every $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$.

Assume now (3.2). Then there either exists a $q_{\mathcal{N}}$-sequence $\left\{c_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\sigma\left(a_{n_{k}}, c_{n_{k}}\right) \leq \delta / 2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ or a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that $f^{\#}(z)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq C_{2}$ for all $z \in \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta / 2\right)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the first case, $\left\{b_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$ by Theorem 2.1 if $\sigma\left(a_{n_{k}}, b_{n_{k}}\right) \leq \delta / 2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ because then $\sigma\left(c_{n_{k}}, b_{n_{k}}\right) \leq \sigma\left(c_{n_{k}}, a_{n_{k}}\right)+\sigma\left(a_{n_{k}}, b_{n_{k}}\right) \leq \delta / 2+\delta / 2=\delta$. In the latter case, by a change of variable,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta / 2\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z) & \leq C_{2}^{2} \int_{D(0, \delta / 2)} \frac{\left(\log \frac{1}{|\zeta|}\right)^{p}}{\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)} d A(\zeta)  \tag{3.3}\\
& <\infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{align*}
$$

If now $\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1-\delta}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right) \subset \Delta\left(b_{n}, \frac{1+\delta}{2}\right)$ because $\sigma\left(z, b_{n}\right) \leq \sigma\left(z, a_{n}\right)+\sigma\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right) \leq \delta+\frac{1-\delta}{2}=\frac{1+\delta}{2}$ for all $z \in \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right)$. This observation together with (3.2) and (3.3) shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Delta\left(b_{n}, \frac{1+\delta}{2}\right) \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta / 2\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, b_{n}\right) d A(z) \\
\geq & \left(\log \frac{2}{1+\delta}\right)^{p} \int_{\Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right) \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta / 2\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} d A(z) \\
\geq & \left(\frac{\log \frac{2}{1+\delta}}{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}\right)^{p} \int_{\Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta\right) \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta / 2\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta\left(b_{n}, \frac{1+\delta}{2}\right) \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \delta / 2\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, b_{n}\right) d A(z)=\infty
$$

and thus $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a $Q_{p}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$.

## 4. Proof of Corollary 1.2

The hypothesis (1.1) implies

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta\left(a_{n}, r\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z)=\infty
$$

If $r \leq \frac{1}{e}$, then $g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) \leq g^{p^{\prime}}\left(z, a_{n}\right)$ for all $z \in \Delta\left(a_{n}, r\right)$, and hence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a $Q_{p^{\prime}}^{\#}$-sequence for $f$. The assertion now follows by Theorem 1.1. If $r>\frac{1}{e}$, then either
or

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta\left(a_{n}, \frac{1}{e}\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z)=\infty
$$

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Delta\left(a_{n}, r\right) \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \frac{1}{e}\right)} f^{\#}(z)^{2} g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z)=\infty
$$

In the former case we may proceed as before, meanwhile in the latter case we have

$$
g^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\left|\varphi_{a_{n}}(z)\right|^{p}}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{a_{n}}(z)\right|\right)^{p} \leq \frac{e^{p}}{(1-r)^{p^{\prime}-p}} g^{p^{\prime}}\left(z, a_{n}\right)
$$

for all $z \in \Delta\left(a_{n}, r\right) \backslash \Delta\left(a_{n}, \frac{1}{e}\right)$. Again the assertion follows by Theorem 1.1.
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