Korean J. Math. **28** (2020), No. 4, pp. 739–751 http://dx.doi.org/10.11568/kjm.2020.28.4.739

A NOTE ON ALMOST RICCI SOLITON AND GRADIENT ALMOST RICCI SOLITON ON PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Krishnendu De and Uday Chand De

ABSTRACT. The object of the offering exposition is to study almost Ricci soliton and gradient almost Ricci soliton in 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifolds. At first, it is shown that if (g, V, λ) be an almost Ricci soliton on a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold M, then it reduces to a Ricci soliton and the soliton is expanding for $\lambda=$ -2. Besides these, in this section, we prove that if V is pointwise collinear with ξ , then V is a constant multiple of ξ and the manifold is of constant sectional curvature -1. Moreover, it is proved that if a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold admits gradient almost Ricci soliton under certain conditions then either the manifold is of constant sectional curvature -1 or it reduces to a gradient Ricci soliton. Finally, we consider an example to justify some results of our paper.

1. Introduction

A Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) obeys a Ricci soliton equation, (see Hamilton [10]) if there exists a complete vector field V, called potential vector field satisfying

(1.1)
$$\frac{1}{2}\pounds_V g + S = \lambda g,$$

Received May 6, 2020. Revised November 28, 2020. Accepted November 30, 2020. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C21, 53C25, 53C50.

Key words and phrases: 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold, Almost Ricci soliton, Gradient almost Ricci soliton.

[©] The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2020.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by -nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

where \pounds_V is the Lie derivative operator, λ is a real scalar and S is the Ricci tensor. It will be named *shrinking*, *steady* or *expanding* according as $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda < 0$, respectively. Otherwise, it will be called *indefinite*. The Ricci soliton has been studied by several authors such as ([3], [6], [7], [10], [13], [18], [20]) and many others. As a generalization of Ricci soliton, the notion of almost Ricci soliton was introduced by Pigola et. al. [15], where basically they modified the definition of Ricci soliton by affixing the condition on the parameter λ to be a variable function in (1.1). In the present paper, we study the para-Sasakian manifold admitting an almost Ricci soliton which plays an important role in coeval mathematics.

When the vector field V is the gradient of a smooth function $f: M^n \to \mathbb{R}$, then the manifold will be called gradient almost Ricci soliton. In this case the antecedent equation takes the form

(1.2)
$$\nabla^2 f + S = \lambda g,$$

where $\nabla^2 f$ stands for the Hessian of f.

Over and above, the almost Ricci soliton will be called trivial if the vector field X is trivial, or the potential f is constant, otherwise, it will be a non-trivial almost Ricci soliton. In this context, we mention that when n > 3 and X is Killing vector field an almost Ricci soliton will be a Ricci soliton, since in this case, we have an Einstein manifold, from which we can take up Schur's lemma to presume that λ is constant. Since the soliton function, λ is not necessarily constant, surely comparison with soliton theory will be modified. In particular, the rigidity result contained in Theorem 1.3 of [15] indicates that almost Ricci soliton should reveal a fair board generalization of the productive concept of classical soliton. In truth, we refer the reader to [15] to see some of these changes. In the way to fathom the geometry of almost Ricci soliton, Barros and Ribeiro Jr. proved in [2] that a compact gradient almost Ricci soliton with non-trivial conformal vector field is isometric to a Euclidean sphere. In the same paper, they proved an integral formula for the compact case, which was applied to prove various rigidity results, for more trifles see [2].

The existence of Ricci almost soliton has been verified by Pigola et. al. [15] on some certain class of warped product manifolds. Some characterization of Ricci almost soliton on a compact Riemannian manifold can be found in([1], [2]). It is fascinating to note that if the potential vector field V of the Ricci almost soliton (M, g, V, λ) is Killing then the

soliton becomes trivial, provided the dimension of M > 2. Moreover, if V is conformal then M^n is isometric to Euclidean sphere S^n . Thus the Ricci almost soliton can be considered as a generalization of Einstein metric as well as Ricci soliton. The almost Ricci solitons have been studied by several authors such as ([8], [9], [15], [17])and many others. Motivated from the above studies, we make the contribution to investigate an almost Ricci soliton and gradient almost Ricci soliton in a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold.

The present paper is constructed as follows: In section 2, we recall some basic facts and formulas of para-Sasakian manifolds which we will need throughout the paper. In section 3, we prove that if (g, V, λ) be an almost Ricci soliton on a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold M, then it reduces to a Ricci soliton and the soliton is expanding for λ =-2. Besides these, in this section, we prove that if V is pointwise collinear with ξ , then V is a constant multiple of ξ and the manifold is of constant sectional curvature -1. Finally, in section 4, it is proved that if a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold admits gradient almost Ricci soliton under certain conditions then either the manifold is of constant sectional curvature -1 or it reduces to a gradient Ricci soliton. Then we consider an example to verify the results of our paper. This paper terminates with a small bibliography which by no means is exhaustive but contains only those references which have been consulted during the preparation of the present paper.

2. Para-Sasakian manifolds

In this section, we gather the formulas and results of the para-Sasakian manifold which will be required in later sections. To know more fact about paracontact metric geometry, we may refer to ([4], [14]) and references therein. Several years ago, the notion of Paracontact metric structures was introduced in [14]. Since the publication of [14], paracontact metric manifolds have been studied by many authors in recent years. The importance of para-Sasakian geometry has been pointed out especially in the last years by several papers highlighting the exchanges with the theory of para-Kähler manifolds and its role in semi-Riemannian geometry and mathematical physics ([5, 11, 12]).

K. De and U. C. De

Let M be an 2n + 1-dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^{∞} in which there are given a (1, 1)-type tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ and a 1-form η such that

(2.1)
$$\phi^2 X = X - \eta(X)\xi, \ \phi\xi = 0, \ \eta(\xi) = 1, \ \eta(\phi X) = 0.$$

Then (ϕ, ξ, η) is called an almost paracontact structure and M an almost paracontact manifold. Moreover, if M admits a semi-Riemannian metric g such that

(2.2)
$$g(\xi, X) = \eta(X), \ g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

then (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called an almost paracontact metric structure and M an almost paracontact metric manifold [16].

We can now define the fundamental 2-form of the almost paracontact metric manifold by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$. If $d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$, then (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be paracontact metric manifold.

A normal paracontact metric manifold is called a para-Sasakian manifold. In a para-Sasakian manifold the following relations hold :

(2.3)
$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X,$$

(2.4)
$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = -g(X,Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X,$$

(2.5)
$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X,$$

(2.6)
$$R(X,\xi)Y = g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X,$$

(2.7)
$$S(X,\xi) = -(n-1)\eta(X), \ Q\xi = -(n-1)\xi,$$

(2.8)
$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = -S(X, Y) - (n-1)\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z where Q is the Ricci operator, i.e., g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y) of the manifold.

An almost paracontact metric manifold M is said to be η -Einstein if there exist smooth functions a and b, such that

(2.9)
$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$. If b = 0, then M becomes an Einstein manifold.

3. Almost Ricci soliton

The infamous Riemannain curvature tensor of a three dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold is given by

$$R(X,Y)Z = g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY + S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y (3.1) - \frac{r}{2}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y],$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold. Replacing $Y=Z=\xi$ in the above equation and using (2.3) and (2.7) we obtain(see [12]

(3.2)
$$QX = \frac{1}{2}[(r+2)X - (r+6)\eta(X)\xi].$$

In view of (3.2) the Ricci tensor is written as

(3.3)
$$S(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}[(r+2)g(X,Y) - (r+6)\eta(X)\eta(Y)].$$

Now before introducing the detailed proof of our main theorem, we first state the following result [12]:

LEMMA 3.1. For a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold $(M^3, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, we have

$$(3.4) \qquad \qquad \xi r = 0$$

where r denotes the scalar curvature of M.

We consider a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold M admitting an almost Ricci soliton defined by(1.1). Using (3.3) in (1.1) we write

(3.5)
$$(\pounds_V g)(Y,Z) = (2\lambda - r - 2)g(Y,Z) + (r+6)\eta(Y)\eta(Z).$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to X and making use (2.7) we obtain

$$(\nabla_X \pounds_V g)(Y, Z) = [2(X\lambda) - (Xr)]g(Y, Z) + (Xr)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) (3.6) - (r+6)\{g(X, \phi Y)\eta(Z) + \eta(Y)g(X, \phi Z)\}.$$

Now we recall the following well-known formula (Yano [19]):

 $(\pounds_V \nabla_X g - \nabla_X \pounds_V g - \nabla_{[V,X]} g)(Y,Z) = -g((\pounds_V \nabla)(X,Y),Z) - g((\pounds_V \nabla)(X,Z),Y),$ for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M. From this we can easily deduce: $(3.7) \nabla_X \pounds_V g)(Y,Z) = g((\pounds_V \nabla)(X,Y),Z) + g((\pounds_V \nabla)(X,Z),Y).$ Since $\pounds_V \nabla$ is symmetric tensor of type (1,2), it follows from (3.7) that $q((\pounds_V \nabla)(X, V), Z)$

$$g((\pounds_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z)$$
(3.8)
$$= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_X \pounds_V g)(Y, Z) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_Y \pounds_V g)(X, Z) - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_Z \pounds_V g)(X, Y).$$

Using (3.6) in (3.8) we get

$$2g((\pounds_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) = [2(X\lambda) - (Xr)]g(Y, Z) + (Xr)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + [2(Y\lambda) - (Yr)]g(X, Z) + (Yr)\eta(X)\eta(Z) - [2(Z\lambda) - (Zr)]g(X, Y) - (Zr)\eta(X)\eta(Y) - 2(r+6)g(X, \phi Y)\eta(Z).$$

After substituting $X = Y = e_i$ in the above equation and removing Z from both sides, where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold and taking $\sum i$, $1 \le i \le 3$, we have

(3.10)
$$(\pounds_V \nabla)(e_i, e_i) = -D\lambda$$

where $X\alpha = g(D\alpha, X)$, D denotes the gradient operator with respect to g.

Now differentiating (1.1) and using it in (3.7) we can easily determine (3.11)

$$g((\pounds_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) = (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) - (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z).$$

Taking $X = Y = e_i$ (where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal frame) in (3.11) and summing over *i* we obtain

(3.12)
$$(\pounds_V \nabla)(e_i, e_i) = 0,$$

for all vector fields Z. Associating (3.10) and (3.12) yields

$$(3.13) D\lambda = 0.$$

This implies that λ is constant. This leads to the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.1. An almost Ricci soliton on a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold reduces to a Ricci soliton.

Following the above theorem and removing Z from both sides of (3.9) yields

$$2(\pounds_V \nabla)(X,Y) = -(Xr)Y + (Xr)\eta(Y)\xi - (Yr)X + (Yr)\eta(X)\xi (3.14) + g(X,Y)Dr - \eta(X)\eta(Y)Dr - 2(r+6)g(X,\phi Y)\xi.$$

Setting $Y = \xi$ in the above equation and using (3.4) we obtain

(3.15)
$$2(\pounds_V \nabla)(X,\xi) = 0.$$

Taking covariant derivative of (3.15) along an arbitrary vector field Y we get

(3.16)
$$2(\nabla_Y \pounds_V \nabla)(X,\xi) + 2(\pounds_V \nabla)(X,\phi Y) = 0.$$

If, we apply the following formula:

$$(\pounds_V R)(X,Y)Z = (\nabla_X \pounds_V \nabla)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y \pounds_V \nabla)(X,Z)$$

in the above equation we have

(3.17)
$$(\pounds_V R)(X,\xi)\xi = 0.$$

Taking Lie derivative of (2.3) along V we obtain

$$(\pounds_V R)(X,\xi)\xi + R(X,\pounds_V\xi)\xi + R(X,\xi)\pounds_V\xi$$

(3.18)
$$= (\pounds_V \eta(X))\xi + \eta(X)\pounds_V \xi$$

Using (2.3), (2.6) and (3.17) in the above equation we infer

(3.19)
$$g(X, \pounds_V \xi) \xi \quad -2\eta(\pounds_V \xi)\eta(X)\xi = (\pounds_V \eta(X))\xi.$$

Now setting $Z = \xi$ in (3.5) it follows that $(\pounds_V g)(Y,\xi) = (2\lambda + 4)\eta(Y)$. Lie-differentiating the equation (2.2) along V and by virtue of the last equation we have

(3.20)
$$(\pounds_V \eta)(X) - g(\pounds_V \xi, X) - (2\lambda + 4)\eta(X) = 0.$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in the foregoing equation gives

(3.21)
$$\eta(\pounds_V \xi) = -(2\lambda + 4).$$

By the help of (3.20) and (3.21), equation (3.19) provides $\lambda = -2$. Thus we can state the following:

THEOREM 3.2. Let $(M^3, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a para-Sasakian manifold. If g represents an almost Ricci solitons, then the soliton is expanding for $\lambda = -2$.

Now let the potential vector field V be pointwise collinear with ξ i.e., $V = b\xi$, where b is a function on M. Then from (1.1) we have

(3.22)
$$g(\nabla_X b\xi, Y) + g(\nabla_Y b\xi, X) + 2S(X, Y) = 2\lambda g(X, Y).$$

Using (2.5) in (3.22), we get

(3.23)
$$(Xb)\eta(Y) + (Yb)\eta(X) + 2S(X,Y) = 2\lambda g(X,Y).$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (3.23) and using (2.7) yields

(3.24)
$$(Xb) + (\xi b)\eta(X) - 4\eta(X) = 2\lambda\eta(X).$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in (3.24) we obtain

$$(3.25) \qquad \qquad (\xi b) = 2 + \lambda.$$

Putting the value of ξb in (3.24) yields

$$(3.26) db = (2+\lambda)\eta.$$

Operating (3.26) by d and using Poincare lemma $d^2 \equiv 0$, we obtain

(3.27)
$$0 = d^2b = (2+\lambda)d\eta + d\lambda\eta.$$

Taking wedge product of (3.27) with η , we have

$$(3.28) \qquad (2+\lambda)\eta \wedge d\eta = 0.$$

Since $\eta \wedge d\eta \neq 0$ in a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold, therefore

$$(3.29) \qquad \qquad \lambda = -2$$

Using (3.29) in (3.26) gives db = 0 i.e., b = constant. Therefore from (3.23) we infer

(3.30)
$$S(X,Y) = -2g(X,Y),$$

that is the manifold is an Einstein manifold and hence from (3.1) it follows that the manifold is of constant sectional curvature -1.

Thus we can state the following:

THEOREM 3.3. Let $(M^3, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a para-Sasakian manifold. If g represents an almost Ricci solitons and V is pointwise collinear with ξ , then V is constant multiple of ξ and the manifold is of constant sectional curvature -1.

4. Gradient Almost Ricci soliton

This section is devoted to studying 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifolds admitting gradient almost Ricci soliton. For a gradient almost Ricci soliton, we have

(4.1)
$$\nabla_Y Df = -QY + \lambda Y.$$

where D denotes the gradient operator of g. Differentiating (4.1) covariantly in the direction of X yields

(4.2)
$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y Df = -\nabla_X QY + (X\lambda)Y + \lambda \nabla_X Y.$$

Similarly we get

(4.3)
$$\nabla_Y \nabla_X Df = -\nabla_Y QX + (Y\lambda)X + \lambda \nabla_Y X,$$

and

(4.4)
$$\nabla_{[X,Y]}Df = -Q[X,Y] + \lambda[X,Y].$$

In view of (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) we have

$$R(X,Y)Df = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Df - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Df - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Df$$

(4.5)
$$= -(\nabla_X Q)Y + (\nabla_Y Q)X + (X\lambda)Y - (Y\lambda)X.$$

In view of (3.2) we obtain

$$R(X,Y)Df = \frac{(Yr)}{2}X - \frac{(Xr)}{2}Y - \frac{(Yr)}{2}\eta(X)\xi + \frac{(Xr)}{2}\eta(Y)\xi + (\frac{r}{2}+3)[\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X] + (X\lambda)Y - (Y\lambda)X.$$

This reduces to

(4.7)
$$g(R(X,Y)\xi,Df) = (Y\lambda)\eta(X) - (X\lambda)\eta(Y).$$

Using (2.3) in the above equation we obtain

(4.8)
$$\eta(X)(Yf) - \eta(Y)(Xf) = (Y\lambda)\eta(X) - (X\lambda)\eta(Y).$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (4.8) we have

(4.9)
$$d(\lambda - f) = \xi(\lambda - f)\eta.$$

Operating (4.9) by d and using Poincare lemma $d^2 \equiv 0$, we obtain

(4.10)
$$d[\xi(\lambda - f)]\eta \wedge d\eta = 0$$

Since in a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold $\eta \wedge d\eta \neq 0,$ we have

(4.11)
$$\xi(\lambda - f) = constant$$

Now contracting Y in (4.6) and using $\xi r = 0$ we obtain

(4.12)
$$S(X, Df) = \frac{1}{2}(Xr) - 2(X\lambda).$$

Comparing (3.3) and (4.12) we have

(4.13)
$$\frac{1}{2}(Xr) - 2(X\lambda) = \frac{(r+2)}{2}(Xf) - \frac{(r+6)}{2}\eta(X)(\xi f)$$

Substituting $X = \xi$ and using $\xi r = 0$ in (4.13) we obtain

(4.14)
$$\xi(\lambda - f) = 0.$$

In view of (4.9) and (4.14) we get

(4.15)
$$(\lambda - f) = constant.$$

Suppose the soliton function λ is invariant under the characteristic vector field ξ and the scalar curvature is constant. Then from (4.13) we have

$$(4.16) (r+6)(X\lambda) = 0,$$

which implies that either r = -6 or $\lambda = constant$.

If r=-6, then from (3.3) we get S=-2g, that is the manifold is an Einstein manifold and hence from (3.1) it follows that the manifold is of constant sectional curvature -1.

If $\lambda = constant$, then gradient almost Ricci soliton reduces to a gradient Ricci soliton. Hence we can state the following:

THEOREM 4.1. If a 3-dimensional para-Sasakian manifold admits a gradient almost Ricci soliton (f, ξ, λ) , then either the manifold is of constant sectional curvature -1 or it reduces to a gradient Ricci soliton, provided the soliton function λ is invariant under the characteristic vector field ξ and the scalar curvature is constant.

5. Example

Here we consider an example of the paper [12]. In this paper the author considers the 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, z \neq 0\}$ and the vector fields

$$\phi e_2 = e_1 = 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \ \phi e_1 = e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \ \xi = e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

and shows that the manifold is a para-Sasakian manifold. Also the author has obtained the expressions of the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor respectively as follows:

$$R(e_1, e_2)\xi = 0, \quad R(e_2, \xi)\xi = -e_2, \quad R(e_1, \xi)\xi = -e_1,$$

$$R(e_1, e_2)e_2 = -3e_1, \quad R(e_2, \xi)e_2 = -\xi, \quad R(e_1, \xi)e_2 = 0,$$

$$R(e_1, e_2)e_1 = -3e_2, \quad R(e_2, \xi)e_1 = 0, \quad R(e_1, \xi)e_1 = \xi$$

and

$$S(e_1, e_1) = -g(R(e_1, e_2)e_2, e_1) + g(R(e_1, e_3)e_3, e_1)$$

= 2
= 2g(e_1, e_1).

Similarly, we have

$$S(e_2, e_2) = 2g(e_2, e_2)$$
 and $S(e_3, e_3) = 2g(e_3, e_3)$.

Therefore,

$$r = S(e_1, e_1) - S(e_2, e_2) + S(\xi, \xi) = 2.$$

After writing $V = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$; a, b, c are real number and using the equation

$$(\pounds_V g)(X,Y) = \pounds_V g(X,Y) - g(\pounds_V X,Y) - g(X,\pounds_V Y)$$

we have

$$(\pounds_{ae_1+be_2+ce_3}g)(X,Y) = a[g(\nabla_X e_1,Y) + g(X,\nabla_Y e_1)] + b[g(\nabla_X e_2,Y) + g(X,\nabla_Y e_2)] + c[g(\nabla_X e_3,Y) + g(X,\nabla_Y e_3)].$$

Using the Lie derivatives, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\pounds_V g)(e_1, e_1) &= 0, \quad (\pounds_V g)(e_2, e_2) = 0, \quad (\pounds_V g)(e_3, e_3) = 0, \\ (\pounds_V g)(e_1, e_2) &= (\pounds_V g)(e_2, e_1) = 0, \\ (\pounds_V g)(e_1, e_3) &= (\pounds_V g)(e_3, e_1) = -2b, \\ (\pounds_V g)(e_3, e_2) &= (\pounds_V g)(e_2, e_3) = 2a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the above equations for being $\pounds_V g=0,$ we get a=b=0. Again

$$(\pounds_{c\xi}g)(e_1, e_1)) + 2S(e_1, e_1) + 2\lambda g(e_1, e_1) = 0, (\pounds_{c\xi}g)(e_2, e_2)) + 2S(e_2, e_2) + 2\lambda g(e_2, e_2) = 0, (\pounds_{c\xi}g)(e_3, e_3)) + 2S(e_3, e_3) + 2\lambda g(e_3, e_3) = 0,$$

for $\lambda = -2$. Thus we have

nus we nave

$$(\pounds_{c\xi}g)(e_i, e_j)) + 2S(e_i, e_j) + 2\lambda g(e_i, e_j) = 0,$$

for i, j = 1, 2, 3 and $\lambda = -2$. So, the constructed metric reduces to a Ricci soliton. Thus the **Theorem 3.1.** and **Theorem 3.2.** are verified.

References

- Barros, A., Batista, R. and Ribeiro Jr., E., Compact almost Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature are gradient, Monatsh. Math., DOI 10.1007/s00605-013-0581-3.
- Barros, A., and Ribeiro Jr., E., Some characterizations for Compact almost Ricci solitons, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012),1033–1040.
- Blaga, A.M., Some Geometrical Aspects of Einstein, Ricci and Yamabe solitons, J. Geom. symmetry Phys. 52 (2019), 17–26.
- [4] Blaga, A.M., η-Ricci solitons on para-Kenmotsu manifolds, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 20 (2015), 1–13.
- [5] Cappelletti-Montano, B., Erken, I.K. and Murathan, C., Nullity conditions in paracontact geometry, Differ. Geom. Appl. 30 (2012), 665–693.
- [6] Deshmukh, S., Jacobi-type vector fields on Ricci solitons, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie 55 (103) (2012), 41–50.
- [7] Deshmukh, S., Alodan, H. and Al-Sodais, H., A Note on Ricci Soliton, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 16 (2011), 48–55.
- [8] Duggal, K. L., Almost Ricci Solitons and Physical Applications, Int. El. J. Geom., 2 (2017), 1–10.
- [9] Duggal, K. L., A New Class of Almost Ricci Solitons and Their Physical Interpretation, Hindawi Pub. Cor. Int. S. Res. Not., Volume 2016, Art. ID 4903520, 6 pages.
- [10] Hamilton, R. S., The Ricci flow on surfaces, Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), 237–262, Contemp. Math. 71, American Math. Soc., 1988.
- [11] Erken, I.K. and Murathan, C., A complete study of three-dimensional paracontact (κ, μ, ν) -spaces, Int. J.Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. (2017). https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887817501067.
- [12] Erken, I.K., Yamabe solitons on three-dimensional normal almost paracontact metric manifolds, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-019-00303-3.
- [13] Ivey, T., Ricci solitons on compact 3-manifolds, Diff. Geom. Appl. 3 (1993), 301–307.
- [14] Kaneyuki, S. and Williams, F.L., Almost paracontact and parahodge structures on manifolds, Nagoya Math. J. 99 (1985), 173–187.
- [15] Pigola, S., Rigoli, M., Rimoldi, M. and Setti, A., *Ricci almost solitons*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. 10(2011), 757–799.
- [16] Satō, I., On a structure similar to the almost contact structure, Tensor (N.S.) 30(1976), 219–224.
- [17] Sharma, R., Almost Ricci solitons and K-contact geometry, Monatsh Math. 175 (2014), 621–628.

A note on Almost Ricci Soliton and gradient almost Ricci soliton 751

- [18] Turan, M., De, U. C. and Yildiz, A., Ricci solitons and gradient Ricci solitons in three-dimensional trans-sasakian manifolds, Filomat 26 (2012), 363–370.
- [19] Yano, K., Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.
- [20] Yildiz, A., De, U. C. and Turan, M., On 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifolds and Ricci solitons, Ukrainian Math.J. 65 (2013), 684–693.

Krishnendu De

Kabi Sukanta Mahavidyalaya, Bhadreswar, P.O.-Angus, Hooghly Pin 712221, West Bengal, India. *E-mail*: krishnendu.de@outlook.in

Uday Chand De

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kol- 700019, West Bengal, India. *E-mail*: uc_de@yahoo.com