



한국 성인의 고 위험 당뇨에 대한 스트레스와 체질량 지수의 성별 차이

이혜순

한남대학교 간호학과

Sex Difference in the Effect of Body Mass Index and Stress on High-Risk Diabetes Mellitus in Korean Adults

Lee, Hea Shoon

Department of Nursing, College of Life Science and Nano Technology, Hannam University, Korea

Purpose: This study aimed to investigate sex differences in the effect of body mass index (BMI) and stress on high-risk diabetes mellitus (DM). **Methods:** Secondary analysis of data from 4,271 male and female adults participating in the Sixth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2015 was performed. The participants were evaluated using questionnaires and blood tests. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, t-test, χ^2 -test, and multiple logistic regression analysis (SPSS 24.0). **Results:** To identify sex-specific effects, interaction variables were included. Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level was higher in men than in women, and the risk of DM decreased 0.31 times in women compared to that in men. As age increased, the odds of risk DM increased 1.03. The risk of DM increased 1.99 times in overweight individuals and 2.79 times for obese individuals compared to that in individuals with normal weight. Stress levels were higher in women than in men, but stress is not an influential factor in high-risk DM. In age-sex interaction, the odds of risk DM increased 1.02 in women compared to that in men as age increased. **Conclusion:** HbA1c level was affected by age-sex interaction, and age and sex should be considered in the application of HbA1c in the diagnosis of DM.

Key Words: Adults; Body Mass Index; Sex; Hemoglobin A1c protein, human; Psychological stress

국문주요어: 성인, 체질량지수, 성별, 당화혈색소, 스트레스

Introduction

Globally, 382 million individuals are estimated to have diabetes, and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) is expected to affect nearly 10% of the world's population by 2035 [1]. More than 25% of the US population

aged 65 years has DM [2]. Aging of the overall population is a significant driver of the DM epidemic, and DM in older adults is linked to higher mortality, reduced functional status, and increased risk of institutionalization [3].

The epidemic of type 2 DM is clearly linked to increasing overweight

Corresponding author: Lee, Hea Shoon

Department of Nursing, College of Life Science and Nano Technology, Hannam University, 70, Hannam-ro, Daedeok-gu, Daejeon 34430, Korea
Tel: +82-42-629-8474 Fax: +82-42-629-8883 E-mail: lhs7878@hanmail.net

*이 연구는 2019년 한남대학교 연구 기금에서 지원 받았음.

* This work was supported by 2019 Hannam University Research Fund.

Received: March 31, 2019 Revised: May 26, 2019 Accepted: August 14, 2019

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and obesity rates in the US population [4]. Obesity is a condition in which fat tissue excessively accumulates in the body and causes chronic diseases such as type 2 DM, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and cardiovascular disease [5,6]. The association between visceral obesity and risk of type 2 DM differs by sex, age, and race, and various anthropometric indicators remain poorly understood [6]. It has been established that subjects with increased body mass index (BMI) are susceptible to type 2 DM [7]. Several factors contribute to DM pathogenesis, including psychological factors [8]. Moreover, the prevalence of DM substantially increased because of the epidemic of obesity [7].

Previous studies have reported that patients with DM are at least twice at risk of having depression, anxiety, and stress compared to the general population [9]. Stress level was higher in women than in men based on the hormone difference due to sex and difference in specific brain activation that recognizes emotion [10]. DM and stress are significantly associated with Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) [8]. Severe stressors were associated with poorer glycemic control [11]. This chronic stress affects obesity indicators such as body fat mass and abdominal obesity [12]. Furthermore, the clinical DM evaluation reported that the level of DM-related psychological distress among patients with DM is >30% [13].

The data from previous studies have suggested that HbA1c helps in detecting undiagnosed DM, especially in high-risk subjects [14]. HbA1c is a form of hemoglobin that is measured to identify the average concentration of plasma glucose over the relatively long period of 2–3 months prior to the date of measurement [15]. In 2011, the World Health Organization (WHO) and American Diabetes Association accepted the HbA1c level $\geq 6.5\%$ as a diagnostic criterion for DM and HbA1c level of 5.7%–6.4% for high-risk DM [16].

An obesity-related advanced study based on the national health nutrition survey in our country examines mostly the change and trend in the obesity rate based on BMI [17]. Women had significantly lower BMI, more support and confidence in living with DM (22% of the variance) [18], and men have worse control conditions of blood lipid levels [19], so it is necessary to confirm according to sex.

Previous studies have included obesity and DM [6,7,14], stress, and DM in the evaluation [8,9,11,13]. However, there are limited studies on DM that combine physical factors such as obesity and emotional stress. Particularly, there are few studies on the effect of obesity and stress on high-risk DM in Korean adults based on sex. Moreover, the Sixth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2015 (KNHANES

VI-3) collected representative, nationwide data and therefore presented a suitable sample to establish reference values in Korea adults. Thus, in this study, we attempted to identify sex differences in the effects of BMI and stress on high-risk DM (HbA1c level, 5.7%–6.4%). We would like to provide basic data on the prevention of DM.

Methods

1. Research design

This study is a descriptive survey study that includes a secondary analysis of KNHANES VI-3 raw data to investigate potential changes in HbA1c levels in BMI and stress in South Korean adults based on sex.

2. Samples and setting

Data of adult participants (>19 years of age) were extracted from KNHANES VI-3 conducted by the Ministry of Health and Welfare and the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and those who were diagnosed with DM with missing data were excluded.

The 4,271 included participants were divided into groups based on sex: male (n = 1840, 43.1%) and female participants (n = 2431, 56.9%).

3. Analyzed parameters

In this study, general participant characteristics consisted of age, sex, education level, height, and weight.

1) BMI

Height and weight were measured after an overnight fast with participants wearing light clothing. According to the definition of the WHO, a BMI >25 kg/m² is classified as overweight and that >30 kg/m² as obesity [5]. Nevertheless, this classification is not appropriate for Asian individuals as it was developed based on data obtained for the Western population [20]. Instead, the BMI classification of the WHO Western Pacific regional office was employed in this study [21], which defines a BMI of <23 kg/m² as normal weight, 23–24.9 kg/m² as overweight, and ≥ 25 kg/m² as obesity.

2) Stress

Stress level was classified based on the answers for the question “How much stress do you feel in ordinary days?” The choices include “does not feel much,” “feel a little,” “feel much,” and “feeling very much,”

3) Blood parameters

Blood samples were collected in the morning following an overnight fast (≥ 8 h), and blood was drawn from the median cubital vein using a vacutainer needle and collected in a vacuum tube. HbA1c level was measured using high-performance liquid chromatography (HLC-723G7, Tosoh, Japan).

The high-risk DM group (HbA1c level, 5.7–6.4%) and normal group (HbA1c level $< 5.7\%$) were classified by HbA1c level [14]. In this study, 30.9% of the participants are assigned to the high-risk DM group and 69.1% in the normal group.

4. Ethical considerations

KNHANES VI-3 was reviewed by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Institutional Review Boards (approval number of 117002). Anonymity and confidentiality of the participants were guaranteed by coding personal information with assigned serial numbers. According to the raw data sharing regulation of the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, data from the national survey were provided after we were granted permission to use the data (June 3, 2018) and we subsequently initiated our assessments.

5. Data analysis

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Science

(SPSS, version 24.0, Chicago, IL, USA). General participant characteristics were analyzed with descriptive statistics. Differences in BMI and stress relative to sex were analyzed using t-test and χ^2 -test. The predictive variables for high-risk DM were determined by multiple logistic regression analysis. We obtained 2-tailed significance levels for all statistical tests, and a P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

1. Sex differences in general characteristics

The average age of the participants was 49.6 years. The HbA1c level was higher in men than women ($t=2.80$, $p=.005$). The high-risk DM group included more men (32.4%) than women (29.7%) ($\chi^2=3.38$, $p=.031$) (Table 1).

2. Sex differences in BMI and stress

In BMI, 27.2% and 38.4% of men were overweight and obese, respectively. Moreover, 21.2% and 29.7% of women were overweight and obese, respectively. The prevalence of overweight and obesity was higher in men than in women ($\chi^2=93.14$, $p<.001$). The stress level was higher in women than in men ($\chi^2=18.21$, $p<.001$) (Table 2).

Table 1. Sex differences in General characteristics

(N=4,271)

Variables		Male 1840 (43.1%) Mean \pm SD [†] n (%)	Female 2431 (56.9%) Mean \pm SD [†] n (%)	Total n (%)	t/ χ^2 (p)
Age (years)		49.62 \pm 16.89	49.75 \pm 16.00	49.69 \pm 16.39	0.27 (.785)
Age classification	19–29	298 (16.2)	310 (12.8)	608 (14.2)	14.95 (.011)
	30–39	254 (13.8)	384 (15.8)	638 (14.9)	
	40–49	329 (17.9)	481 (19.8)	810 (19.0)	
	50–59	393 (21.4)	545 (22.4)	938 (22.0)	
	60–69	322 (17.5)	398 (16.4)	720 (16.9)	
	over 70	244 (13.3)	313 (12.9)	557 (13.0)	
Education level	Under elementary school	273 (15.1)	555 (23.2)	828 (19.4)	48.39 (<.001)
	Middle school	174 (9.6)	262 (10.9)	436 (10.2)	
	High school	684 (37.9)	794 (33.1)	1478 (34.6)	
	Above college	675 (37.4)	785 (32.8)	1469 (34.2)	
Height (cm)		170.31 \pm 6.87	157.26 \pm 6.43	162.88 \pm 9.25	63.72 (<.001)
Weight (kg)		70.87 \pm 11.83	57.90 \pm 9.18	63.49 \pm 12.23	40.30 (<.001)
HbA1c [‡] (%)		5.56 \pm 0.56	5.52 \pm 0.45	5.53 \pm 0.50	2.80 (.005)
High-risk DM [§]	< 5.7	1243 (67.6)	1708 (70.3)	2951 (69.1)	3.38 (.031)
	5.7–6.4	597 (32.4)	723 (29.7)	1320 (30.9)	

[†]SD = Standard deviation; [‡]HbA1c = Hemoglobin A1c; [§]high-risk DM = high-risk diabetes mellitus.

Table 2. Sex differences in BMI and Stress

(N = 4,271)

Variables	Male	Female	Total n (%)	t/ χ^2 (p)
	1840 (43.1%) Mean \pm SD [†] n (%)	2431 (56.9%) Mean \pm SD [†] n (%)		
BMI [‡] (kg/m ²)	24.36 \pm 3.31	23.42 \pm 3.53	23.83 \pm 3.47	8.82 (< .001)
Normal weight (BMI < 23)	633 (34.4)	1195 (49.2)	1028 (42.8)	93.14 (< .001)
Overweight (23 < BMI < 25)	500 (27.2)	515 (21.2)	1015 (23.8)	
Obese (BMI \geq 25)	707 (38.4)	721 (29.7)	1428 (33.4)	
Stress				18.21 (< .001)
Does not feel much	331 (18.0)	336 (13.8)	667 (15.6)	
Feel a little	1049 (57.0)	1396 (57.4)	2445 (57.2)	
Many feel	385 (20.9)	568 (23.4)	953 (22.3)	
Feeling very much	75 (4.1)	131 (5.4)	206 (4.8)	

[†]SD = Standard deviation; [‡]BMI = body mass index.

Table 3. Factors affecting high-risk DM (HbA1c 5.7–6.4%)

(N = 4,271)

Variable	Category	Model I		Model II	
		OR [†] (95% CI [‡])	p	OR [†] (95% CI [‡])	p
Sex		0.99 (0.86–1.16)	.961	0.31 (0.12–0.76)	.010
Male (reference)					
Age		1.06 (1.05–1.06)	< .001	1.03 (1.01–1.05)	.001
BMI [§] (kg/m ²)	Overweight (23 \leq BMI < 25)	1.82 (1.51–2.19)	< .001	1.99 (1.52–2.60)	< .001
Normal weight (BMI < 23) (reference)	Obese (BMI \geq 25)	2.58 (2.18–3.06)	< .001	2.79 (1.67–4.65)	< .001
Stress	Feel a little	1.16 (0.79–1.71)	.448	1.66 (0.49–5.61)	.416
Does not feel much (reference)	Many feel	1.02 (0.72–1.46)	.898	1.14 (0.64–1.99)	.658
	Feeling very much	1.07 (0.73–1.57)	.717	1.06 (0.58–1.93)	.838
Interaction effect					
Age-Sex				1.02 (1.01–1.03)	.001
BMI-Sex	Overweight-Sex			1.08 (0.59–1.97)	.813
	Obese-Sex			1.21 (0.84–1.76)	.311

[†]OR = Odds ratio; [‡]CI = confidence interval; [§]BMI = body mass index.

3. Factors affecting high-risk DM

In Model I, multiple logistic regression analysis including sex, age, BMI, and stress were performed to identify the influencing factors of high-risk DM. As a result, age and BMI were influential factors. Specifically, as the age increased, the odds of risk DM increased 1.06 (95% CI, 1.05–1.06; $p < .001$). In BMI, the risk of DM increased 1.82 times (95% CI, 1.51–2.19; $p < .001$) in overweight individuals and 2.58 times (95% CI, 2.18–3.06; $p < .001$) in obese individuals compared to that in individuals with normal weight. Stress and sex were not influential factors of high-risk DM.

In Model II, in order to identify sex-specific effects, the study focused on age and BMI, which are influential factors of high-risk DM in Model I. Interaction variables (age-sex and BMI-sex) were included in the multiple logistic regression analysis. As a result, sex, age, BMI, and age-sex were considered influential factors. Specifically, based on sex, the risk of DM decreased 0.31 times (95% CI, 0.12–0.76; $p = .001$) in women com-

pared to that in men. As the age increased, the odds of risk DM increased 1.03 (95% CI, 1.01–1.05; $p = .001$). In BMI, the risk of DM increased 1.99 times (95% CI, 1.52–2.60; $p < .001$) in overweight individuals and 2.79 times (95% CI, 1.67–4.65; $p < .001$) in obese individuals compared to that in individuals with normal weight.

In the age-sex interaction, the odds of risk DM increased 1.02 (95% CI, 1.01–1.03; $p = .001$) in women compared to that in men as age increased. There was no significant difference in the BMI-sex interaction. In other words, as BMI increased, there was no difference in the effect on high-risk DM based on sex (Table 3).

Discussion

This study aimed to identify sex differences in the effect of BMI and stress on high-risk DM in Korean adults. To identify sex-specific effects,

interaction variables were included in the analysis. As a result, sex, age, BMI, and age-sex interaction were influential factors of high-risk DM.

In this study, HbA1c level was higher in men than in women, and there were more men (32.4%) than women (29.7%) in the high-risk DM group. The risk of DM decreased 0.31 times in women compared to that in men. HbA1c levels in men were higher than those in women [15]. Furthermore, in different sex groups, HbA1c levels gradually increase with increasing age [22]. It is most likely because factors such as blood pressure in adult men had worse control conditions and women may be easily affected by the physiological cycle [18]. It is possible that this finding is related to lower hemoglobin levels in menstruating women with more rapid erythrocyte turnover, as suggested previously [19].

In this study, as age increased, the odds of risk DM increased 1.03. The HbA1c level is higher in individuals aged ≥ 60 years than in those aged < 30 years [23]. HbA1c levels were positively correlated with age in Chinese [15], and Japanese adults [24]. As the age increased, the HbA1c level increased to 5.7%–6.4% compared to the baseline level of 5.6% [25]. This indicated that age is a significant independent influential factor of HbA1c. It seemed that blood glucose level increases with age incrementally so that HbA1c levels also increased, especially with advancing age. Moreover, HbA1c is a form of hemoglobin, which may affect the hemoglobin level in women due to their physiology, so it seems to be different according to sex.

In this study, BMI is higher in men than in women, and the risk of DM increased 1.99 times in overweight individuals and 2.79 times in obese individuals compared to that in individuals with normal weight. Based on the BMI, the HbA1c level was 5.7%–6.4% higher than that of 5.6% [25]. Increased BMI among adults with DM reflected better HbA1c level [18]. In both men and women, the group with increased HbA1c level showed obesity. Particularly, there was a difference between the HbA1c group with level $< 6.0\%$ and those with level $> 6.1\%$. A positive correlation between BMI and HbA1c was reported. Moreover, BMI was an influential factor of HbA1c [26]. Obesity (26.4%) among adults with DM led to poorly controlled HbA1c levels (67%) [27]. The risk of developing metabolic syndrome according to the HbA1c level increased more rapidly with the higher HbA1c level in women than in men [26]. These results are associated with increased blood glucose levels in obese individuals, and the increase in HbA1c level was due to increased body weight.

In this study, stress levels were higher in women than in men, and

stress is not influential factor of high-risk DM. Stress symptoms have been positively linked with DM, and women were found to be at risk of stress in the study [9]. Stress was the major significant contributor when it was mild or moderate. The HbA1c level was 1.47 times and 1.68 times for severe stress levels [28]. This study result was in contrast to the results of general studies because it had limited appropriate stress evaluation by investigating stress level only through one question. Therefore, future research should involve stress measurement tools and cortisol as a stress hormone.

Stress symptoms strongly affect glycemic HbA1c control. In fact, those psychiatric symptoms remained as important independent risk factors for DM [28]. It seemed that stress affects obesity indicators such as BMI and increases the risk of obesity. Moreover, obesity is an influential factor of DM, so the risk of DM increases with increasing stress.

In this study, in the age-sex interaction, the odds of risk DM increased 1.02 in women compared to that in men as age increased. Sex differences were noted in the relationship between HbA1c level and age [22]. The analysis of the HbA1c levels gradually increased with age until 79 years [15]. Tissue sensitivity to insulin decreases as age increases, and HbA1c level is particularly increased in menopausal women than in men. In other words, as the age and sex interact, the blood glucose and HbA1c levels increase, especially with age [29]. Age and sex should be considered in the application of HbA1c in the diagnosis of DM and are intended to provide basic data for prevention and management of DM in Korean adults.

Conclusion

To identify sex-specific effects, interaction variables were included in the study. Sex, age, BMI, and age-sex interaction were influential factors of high-risk DM. In sex, the risk of DM decreased in women compared to that in men. As age and BMI increased, the risk of DM increased. In the age-sex interaction, the risk of DM increased in women compared to that in men as age increased.

It is meaningful that the study clearly demonstrates that age-sex interaction affects HbA1c levels in South Korean adults, indicating that HbA1c levels differ by age and sex and contributes to the prevention of DM. Moreover, this can be used as fundamental data to establish a DM nursing program for Korean adults.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- International Diabetes Federation. Diabetes Atlas (6th ed). Belgium: International Diabetes Federation, 2011. p.34.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Fact Sheet: National Estimates and General Information on Diabetes and prediabetes in the United States, 2011. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011.
- Brown AF, Mangione CM, Saliba D, Sarkisian CA. Guidelines for improving the care of the older person with diabetes mellitus. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2003; 51(5): S265-S280. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.51.5s.1.x>
- Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Gregg EW, Barker LE, Williamson DF. Projection of the year 2050 burden of diabetes in the US adult population: Dynamic modeling of incidence, mortality, and prediabetes prevalence. *Population Health Metrics*. 2010; 8(29):1-2. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1478-7954-8-29>.
- World Health Organization. Obesity and Overweight [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization 2017, [cited 2019 March 10]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight>
- Hayashi T, Boyko EJ, Leonetti DL, McNeely MJ, Newell-Morris L, Kahn SE, et al. Visceral adiposity is an independent predictor of incident hypertension in Japanese Americans. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2004; 140(12):992-1000. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-140-12-200406150-00008>
- Assmann G, Nofer JR, Schulte H. Cardiovascular risk assessment in the metabolic syndrome: view from PROCAM. *Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics of North America*. 2004; 33(2):372-392. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecl.2004.03.017>
- Berry E, Lockhart S, Davies M, Lindsay JR, Dempster M. Diabetes distress: understanding the hidden struggles of living with diabetes and exploring intervention strategies. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*. 2015; 91(2):278-283. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2014-133017>
- Bener A, Al-Lafthah F, Al-Hamaq AOOA, Daghsh M, Abdullatef WK. A study of diabetes complications in an endogamous population: An emerging public health burden. *Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome*. 2014; 8(2):108-114. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2014.04.005>
- Kogler L, Gur RC, Derntl B. Sex differences in cognitive regulation of psychosocial achievement stress: brain and behavior. *Human Brain Mapping*. 2015;6(3):1028-1042. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22683>
- Lloyd CE, Dyer PH, Lancashire RJ, Harris T, Daniels JE, Barnett AH. Association between stress and glycemic control in adults with type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 1999; 22(8):1278-1283. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.22.8.1278>
- Raspopow K, Abizaid A, Matheson K, Anisman H. Anticipation of a psychosocial stressor differentially influences ghrelin, cortisol and food intake among emotional and non-emotional eaters. *Appetite*. 2014; 74(1):35-43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2013.11.018>
- Hermans N, Kulzer B, Krichbaum M, Kubiak T, Haak T. How to screen for depression and emotional problems in patients with diabetes: Comparison of screening characteristics of depression questionnaires, measurement of diabetes-specific emotional problems and standard clinical assessment. *Diabetic medicine*. 2005; 22(3):293-300. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00125-005-0094-2>
- Nakagami T, Tominaga M, Nishimura R, Daimon M, Oizumi T, Yoshiike N. The combined use of fasting plasma glucose and glycated hemoglobin A1c in a stepwise fashion to detect undiagnosed diabetes mellitus. *The Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2007; 213(1):25-32. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1620/tjem.213.25>
- Ma Q, Liu H, Xiang G, Shan W, Xing A. Association between glycated hemoglobin A1c levels with age and gender in Chinese adults with no prior diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. *Biomedical Reports*. 2016; 4(1):737-740. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/br.2016.643>
- Colagiuri S. Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) for the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus practical implications. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. 2011; 93(1):312-313. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2011.06.025>
- Kang HT, Shim JY, Lee HR, Park BJ, Linton JA, Lee YJ. Trends in prevalence of overweight and obesity in Korean adults, 1998-2009: the Korean national health and nutrition examination survey. *Japan Epidemiological Association*. 2014; 24(2): 109-116. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2188/jea.JE20130017>
- Whittemore R, D'Eramo Melkus G, Grey M. Metabolic control, self-management and psychosocial adjustment in women with type 2 diabetes. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 2005;14(2):195-203. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2004.00937.x>
- Yang YC, Lu FH, Wu JS, Chang CJ. Age and sex effects on HbA1c: A study in a healthy Chinese population. *Diabetes Care*. 1997; 20(5):988-991. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.20.6.988>
- Sim KW, Lee SH, Lee HS. The relationship body mass index and morbidity in Korea. *Journal of Korean Society for the Study of Obesity*. 2001;10(2):147-155.
- World Health Organization Western Pacific Region. The Asia-pacific perspective: Redefining obesity and its Treatment. Australia: WHO Western Pacific Region, 2000 Feb. Report No.: 0-9577082-1-12000
- Karar T, Alhammad RI, Fattah MA, Alanazi A, Qureshi S. Relation between glycosylated hemoglobin and lipid and thyroid hormone among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus at King Abdulaziz Medical City, Riyadh. *Journal of Natural Science, Biology, and Medicine*. 2015; 6(Suppl 1):S75-S79. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0976-9668.166091>.
- Rosediani M, Azidah AK, Mafauzy M. Correlation between fasting plasma glucose, post prandial glucose and glycated hemoglobin and fructosamine. *The Medical Journal of Malaysia*. 2006;61(3):67-71.
- Inoue M, Inoue K, Akimoto K. Effects of age and sex in the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes using glycated hemoglobin in Japan: the yuport medical check-up center study. *PLoS One*. 2002; 7(2): e40375. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040375>
- Jung SM, Kim EK, Lee HH, Jung KM, Park JW, Ko J, et al. The relationship between smoking and hemoglobin A1c in adults without diabetes mellitus according to body mass index. *Korean Journal of Family Practice*. 2016; 6(4):339-345. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21215/kjfp.2016.6.4.339>
- Nah EH, Cho HI. Relationship between hemoglobin A1c levels and metabolic syndrome using data collected during a Medical check-ups program. *Laboratory Medicine Online*. 2011;1(1):3-9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3343/lmo.2011.1.1.2>
- Gilliland SS, Carter JS, Skipper B, Acton KJ. HbA(1c) levels among american indian/alaska native adults. *Diabetes Care*. 2002; 25(3):2178-2183. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.25.12.2178>

28. Bener A, Ozturk M, Yildirim E. Association between depression, anxiety and stress symptoms and glycemic control in diabetes mellitus patients. *International Journal of Clinical Endocrinology*. 2017; 1(1):1-7.
29. Pani LN, Korenda L, Meigs JB, Driver C, Chamany S, Fox CS. Effect of aging on A1C levels in individuals without diabetes: evidence from the Framingham Offspring Study and the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001-2004. *Diabetes Care*. 2008; 31(4):1991-1996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc08-0577>.