

**BANACH FUNCTION ALGEBRAS OF n -TIMES
CONTINUOUSLY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS ON \mathbf{R}^d
VANISHING AT INFINITY AND THEIR BSE-EXTENSIONS**

JYUNJI INOUE AND SIN-EI TAKAHASI

Dedicated to Professor Kozo Yabuta on his 77th birth day

ABSTRACT. In authors' paper in 2007, it was shown that the BSE-extension of $C_0^1(\mathbf{R})$, the algebra of continuously differentiable functions f on the real number space \mathbf{R} such that f and df/dx vanish at infinity, is the Lipschitz algebra $Lip_1(\mathbf{R})$. This paper extends this result to the case of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, where n and d represent arbitrary natural numbers. Here $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the space of all n -times continuously differentiable functions f on \mathbf{R}^d whose k -times derivatives are vanishing at infinity for $k = 0, \dots, n$, and $C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the space of all $(n-1)$ -times continuously differentiable functions on \mathbf{R}^d whose k -times derivatives are bounded for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, and $(n-1)$ -times derivatives are Lipschitz. As a byproduct of our investigation we obtain an important result that $C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ has a predual.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper \mathbf{N} represents the set of natural numbers, and \mathbf{C} the complex number field. We denote by $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$ a commutative semisimple Banach algebra with Gelfand space Φ_A . $C_b(\Phi_A)$ and $C_0(\Phi_A)$ denote the space of all complex-valued continuous functions on Φ_A which are bounded and vanishing at infinity, respectively. The Gelfand transform of an element $a \in A$ is denoted by \hat{a} , and \hat{A} represents the set of all Gelfand transforms of $a \in A$. A^* denotes the dual space of A , and $\text{span}(\Phi_A)$ is the linear subspace of A^* generated by Φ_A . So, every element $p \in \text{span}(\Phi_A)$ can be represented uniquely in the form $p = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi)\varphi$, where \hat{p} is a complex-valued function on Φ_A with a finite support; $\text{supp}(\hat{p}) = \{\varphi \in \Phi_A : \hat{p}(\varphi) \neq 0\}$. A continuous function σ on Φ_A is

Received October 2, 2018; Accepted February 7, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46J15; Secondary 46J40, 46J20.

Key words and phrases. natural commutative Banach function algebra, Lipschitz algebra, BSE-extension, BSE-algebra, BED-algebra, bounded approximate identity, multiplier algebra.

said to be a BSE-function if there exists a nonnegative constant β such that

$$(1) \quad \left| \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi)\sigma(\varphi) \right| \leq \beta \|p\|_{A^*} \quad (p \in \text{span}(\Phi_A)).$$

The infimum of β in (1) is denoted by $\|\sigma\|_{BSE(A)}$. The set of all BSE-functions on Φ_A is denoted by $C_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$. Obviously, $C_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ forms a linear subspace of $C_b(\Phi_A)$. It turns out that $\|\cdot\|_{BSE(A)}$ is a complete algebra norm on $C_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ ([9]). The Banach algebra $(C_{BSE}(\Phi_A), \|\cdot\|_{BSE(A)})$ has an important subalgebra $C_{BSE}^0(\Phi_A)$. Suppose $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(\Phi_A)$ the directed set of all compact subsets of Φ_A with inclusion order. For $K \in \mathcal{K}(\Phi_A)$, we put

$$\|\sigma\|_{BSE(A),K} := \sup_{p \in \text{span}(\Phi_A \setminus K), \|p\|_{A^*} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi)\sigma(\varphi) \right|.$$

$C_{BSE}^0(\Phi_A)$ is the set of all $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ satisfying $\lim_{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Phi_A)} \|\sigma\|_{BSE(A),K} = 0$.

It follows that $C_{BSE}^0(\Phi_A)$ forms a closed ideal of $C_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ ([4, Corollary 3.9]).

A bounded linear operator T of A is called a multiplier of A if $T(fg) = (Tf)g$ ($f, g \in A$) holds. The set of all multipliers of A is denoted by $M(A)$. $M(A)$ forms a commutative Banach algebra with respect to usual sum, scalar multiplication, the operator composition as multiplication, and the operator norm as norm. This algebra is called the multiplier algebra of A . It is well known that, for every $T \in M(A)$, there exists a unique bounded continuous function on Φ_A , denoted by \hat{T} , which satisfies $\widehat{Ta} = \hat{T}\hat{a}$ ($a \in A$). We denote $\hat{M}(A) = \{\hat{T} : T \in M(A)\}$. $\hat{M}(A)$ forms a Banach function algebra on Φ_A , with $\|\hat{T}\| = \|T\|$ as norm.

Definition 1 (cf. [4,9]). Let A be a commutative semisimple Banach algebra.

- (i) A is said to be a BSE-algebra if $C_{BSE}(\Phi_A) = \hat{M}(A)$ holds.
- (ii) A is said to be a BED-algebra if $C_{BSE}^0(\Phi_A) = \hat{A}$ holds.

Lemma 1. *Suppose A is a Banach function algebra on a locally compact non-compact Hausdorff space X which satisfies the following (i), (ii), and (iii).*

- (i) $A \subseteq C_0(X)$;
- (ii) A is closed under taking the complex conjugation;
- (iii) If $f \in A$ and $\lambda > 0$ satisfy $\lambda - f(x) > 0$ ($x \in X$), we have $\frac{1}{\lambda - f} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \in A$.

Then A is natural, that is, every $\varphi \in \Phi_A$ is represented, by some $x_\varphi \in X$, as

$$\varphi(f) = f(x_\varphi) \quad (f \in A).$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{X} = X \cup \{\infty\}$ be the one point compactification of X , and $A_e = A \oplus \mathbb{C}e$, the unitization of A . Every $f + \mu e \in A_e$ is considered as a function on \tilde{X} by $(f + \mu e)(x) = f(x) + \mu$ if $x \in X$, and $= \mu$ if $x = \infty$, with $\|f + \mu e\| = \|f\| + |\mu|$ as norm. Then A_e is a Banach function algebra on \tilde{X} which is also closed under taking the complex conjugation. We first show that A_e is natural. To do this, suppose contrary that A_e is not natural. Then there exists $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_{A_e}$ which can

not be given by any point $x \in \tilde{X}$, and $\text{Ker } \varphi_0$ is a maximal ideal of A_e which does not contain any maximal ideal of A_e given by an element of \tilde{X} . Therefore, for each $x \in \tilde{X}$, there exists $f_x \in A_e$ such that $\varphi_0(f_x) = 0$ and $|f_x(x)| = 1$. Choose an open neighborhood $U_x \subseteq \tilde{X}$ of x such that $|f_x| > 0$ on U_x . Since \tilde{X} is compact, there exist a finite number of elements $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \tilde{X}$ such that $\cup_{k=1}^m U_{x_k} = \tilde{X}$. Put $g := \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{f_{x_k}} f_{x_k} \in A_e$, where $\overline{f_{x_k}}$ is the complex conjugate of f_{x_k} . Then $0 < g(x)$ ($x \in \tilde{X}$). Set $\lambda := g(\infty)$, and $f := \lambda e - g$. Then $f \in A$ with $0 < \lambda - f(x) (= g(x))$ for all $x \in X$. By (iii), it follows that $h := \frac{1}{\lambda - f} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \in A$. Then we have $1 = (\lambda - f(x))(h(x) + 1/\lambda)$ for all $x \in X$. From this we have

$$(2) \quad e = (\lambda e - f)(h + \frac{1}{\lambda}e).$$

Applying φ_0 to (2), we obtain

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &= \varphi_0(\lambda e - f)\varphi_0(h + \frac{1}{\lambda}e) \\ &= \varphi_0(g)\varphi_0(h + \frac{1}{\lambda}e) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \varphi_0(\overline{f_{x_k}})\varphi_0(f_{x_k})\right)\varphi_0(h + \frac{1}{\lambda}e) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we arrive at a contradiction (3), hence A_e is natural.

Next, suppose $\varphi \in \Phi_A$. If we put $\tilde{\varphi}(f + \lambda e) = \varphi(f) + \lambda$ ($f + \lambda e \in A_e$), then $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Phi_{A_e}$. Since A_e is natural from the above argument, there exists $x_\varphi \in \tilde{X}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(f + \lambda e) = (f + \lambda e)(x_\varphi) \quad (f + \lambda e \in A_e).$$

In this case $x_\varphi \neq \infty$. For, if $x_\varphi = \infty$, we have from (4) that $\varphi(f) = 0$ ($f \in A$), which is impossible since φ is a nonzero complex homomorphism of A . Therefore $x_\varphi \in X$ follows, and from (4) we have $\varphi(f) = f(x_\varphi)$ ($f \in A$), which implies that A is natural. \square

2. Algebras of differentiable functions, $C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$

Let n, d be given natural numbers. The symbol $S(\mathbf{R}^d)$ represents the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^d , and $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_d = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$. We use the notation $|x| = (\sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^2)^{1/2}, x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$, T_a denotes the differential operator $T_a = \sum_{k=1}^d a_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} = \sum_{k=1}^d a_k T_{e_k}$. We denote by $C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (resp. $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$) the space of all complex-valued functions on \mathbf{R}^d which are n -times continuously differentiable, and satisfy that all

$$T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f (= T_{e_{i_k}}(\dots(T_{e_{i_2}}(T_{e_{i_1}} f)) \dots))$$

for $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d, k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, are bounded (resp. vanishing at infinity).

Suppose $f \in C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (resp. $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$). For $a^k = (a_1^k, \dots, a_d^k) \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, by applying $T_{a^1}, T_{a^2}, \dots, T_{a^k}$ to f successively, we obtain

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d} a_{i_1}^1 \cdots a_{i_k}^k T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f \\ &\in C_b^{n-k}(\mathbf{R}^d) \text{ (resp. } C_0^{n-k}(\mathbf{R}^d)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d}$ represents the sum over all choices of i_1, \dots, i_k in $\{1, \dots, d\}$.

In the following, $\|f\|_\infty$ denotes the sup-norm of f on \mathbf{R}^d .

Definition 2. We define $\| \cdot \|_{C_b^n}$ on $C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{C_b^n} &= \|f\|_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f\|_\infty \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f\|_\infty \quad (f \in C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.

$$\|f\|_{C_b^n} \leq \|f\|_{n, \infty} \leq d^n \|f\|_{C_b^n} \quad (f \in C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)),$$

where $\|f\|_{n, \infty} = \|f\|_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d} \|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f\|_\infty$.

Proof. The first inequality is a consequence of easy calculation using (5):

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{C_b^n} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f\|_\infty \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d} a_{i_1}^1 \cdots a_{i_k}^k T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d} \|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f\|_\infty = \|f\|_{n, \infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a^j = (a_1^j, \dots, a_d^j) \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$.

For the second inequality, fix $k(1 \leq k \leq n)$. Then, for each $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d$, we have $\|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f\|_\infty \leq \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f\|_\infty$, and from this we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{n, \infty} &= \|f\|_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d} \frac{1}{k!} \|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^n d^k \frac{1}{k!} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f\|_\infty \\ &\leq d^n \|f\|_{C_b^n}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

By Proposition 1, two norms on $C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $\|\cdot\|_{n,\infty}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C_b^n}$ are equivalent, and since it is obvious that $\|\cdot\|_{n,\infty}$ is complete, it follows that $\|\cdot\|_{C_b^n}$ is also complete.

Lemma 2. *Suppose $f, g \in C_b^k(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and $0 \leq N$. Then*

$$(6) \quad \sup_{N \leq |x|} \left| T_{a^1, \dots, a^k}(fg)(x) \right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sup_{b^1, \dots, b^j \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \sup_{N \leq |x|} \left| T_{b^1, \dots, b^j} f(x) \right| \cdot \sup_{c^1, \dots, c^{k-j} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \sup_{N \leq |x|} \left| T_{c^1, \dots, c^{k-j}} g(x) \right|,$$

where $\binom{k}{j}$ represents the binomial coefficient.

Proof. We observe that

$$(7) \quad T_{a^1, \dots, a^k}(fg)(x) = f(x)T_{a^1, \dots, a^k}g(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{(\#)} T_{a^{s_1}, \dots, a^{s_j}} f(x) T_{a^{t_1}, \dots, a^{t_{k-j}}} g(x) + (T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f(x))g(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

where

$$(\#) = \left\{ 1 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_j \leq k, \quad 1 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_{k-j} \leq k, \right. \\ \left. \{s_1, \dots, s_j, t_1, \dots, t_{k-j}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \right\}.$$

With easy calculation, we obtain (6) from (7). □

Proposition 2. *$(C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{C_b^n})$ is a Banach algebra, and $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is its closed ideal.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be given arbitrarily. By Lemma 2 with $N = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|fg\|_{C_b^n} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{a^1, \dots, a^k}(fg)\|_\infty \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sup_{b^1, \dots, b^j \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{b^1, \dots, b^j} f\|_\infty \sup_{c^1, \dots, c^{k-j} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{c^1, \dots, c^{k-j}} g\|_\infty \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{j!} \sup_{\substack{b^1, \dots, b^j \\ \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)}} \|T_{b^1, \dots, b^j} f\|_\infty \frac{1}{(k-j)!} \sup_{\substack{c^1, \dots, c^{k-j} \\ \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)}} \|T_{c^1, \dots, c^{k-j}} g\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sup_{b^1, \dots, b^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{b^1, \dots, b^k} f\|_\infty \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sup_{c^1, \dots, c^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{c^1, \dots, c^k} g\|_\infty \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \|f\|_{C_b^n} \|g\|_{C_b^n}.$$

Thus, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{C_b^n}$ is submultiplicative, and hence $(C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{C_b^n})$ is a Banach algebra.

Let $\{f_N\}_N$ be a Cauchy sequence in $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then there exists $f \in C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_N\|_{C_b^n} = 0$. Let $k(0 \leq k \leq n), 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given arbitrarily. Then there exists $N_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\|f - f_{N_0}\|_{C_b^n} \leq \varepsilon/(2n!)$. Choose $M > 0$ such that $\sup_{M \leq |x|} |T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f_{N_0}(x)| \leq \varepsilon/2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{M \leq |x|} |T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f(x)| &\leq \sup_{M \leq |x|} |T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f_{N_0}(x)| + n! \|f - f_{N_0}\|_{C_b^n} \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + n!(\varepsilon/(2n!)) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f$ vanishes at infinity for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d$, that is, $f \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$. This implies that $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is closed.

Suppose $f \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $g \in C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$. For any $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq d, 1 \leq k \leq n, T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}}(fg)$ is a sum of the functions of forms

$$fT_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} g, \quad T_{e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_r}} fT_{e_{j_{r+1}}, \dots, e_{j_k}} g, \quad (T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f)g \quad (1 \leq r \leq k-1),$$

which belong to $C_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$, where $\{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ and $\{j_{r+1}, \dots, j_k\}$ are some subsequences of $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$.

Hence $fg, T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}}(fg) \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore $fg \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$. □

Proposition 3. $(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{C_b^n})$ is a natural Banach function algebra on \mathbf{R}^d , and by the identification of $\varphi \in \Phi_{C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)}$ with the corresponding $x_\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{R}^d$ is its Gelfand space and the identity map is the Gelfand transform.

From this, it follows easily that $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is regular.

Proof. Suppose $\lambda > 0$, and f a real function in $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\lambda - f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Since $f \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda - f(x) \geq \delta$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Put $F = \frac{1}{\lambda - f} - \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{f}{\lambda(\lambda - f)}$.

That $F \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is clear. Let $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq d$ be arbitrarily chosen. We claim here that $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} F = \frac{G_k}{(\lambda - f)^{k+1}}$, where $G_k \in C_0^{n-k}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Since $T_{e_{i_1}} F = (T_{e_{i_1}} f) \frac{1}{(\lambda - f)^2}$, the claim is true for $k = 1$. If the claim is true for $k (< n)$, then it is easy to see by elementary calculation that the claim is true for $k + 1$. By induction, the claim is true for $k = 1, \dots, n$, which prove that $F \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Since $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is closed under taking the complex conjugation, we can apply Lemma 1, to conclude that $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a natural Banach function algebra on \mathbf{R}^d . □

Theorem 1. The algebra $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ has a bounded approximate identity composed of elements with compact supports.

Proof. Let $u \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R})$ be such that $\text{supp}(u) \subset [-1, 1]$, and $\int_{-1}^1 u(x)dx = 1$. For each $N \in \mathbf{N}$, define a function u_N on \mathbf{R} by

$$u_N(x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^x u(t + N + 1)dt \right) \cdot \left(\int_x^{\infty} u(t - N - 1)dt \right) \quad (-\infty < x < \infty).$$

Then $u_N \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R})$ with $\text{supp}(u_N) \subset [-N - 2, N + 2]$ and $u_N(x) = 1$ ($-N \leq x \leq N$). Therefore if we define

$$u_N^{(d)}(x) = u_N(|x|) \quad (N \in \mathbf{N}, x \in \mathbf{R}^d),$$

we have $u_N^{(d)} \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ with $\text{supp}(u_N^{(d)}) \subseteq \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : |x| \leq N + 2\}$ which satisfy

$$u_N^{(d)}(x) = 1 \quad (|x| \leq N), \text{ and } \|u_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^n} = \dots = \|u_1^{(d)}\|_{C_b^n}.$$

Let $f \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There exists $N_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that if $N_0 \leq N$ then, for each $j = 0, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{j!} \sup_{\substack{b^1, \dots, b^j \\ \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)}} \sup_{N \leq |x|} |T_{b^1, \dots, b^j} f(x)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{j!} \sup_{\substack{b^1, \dots, b^j \\ \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_j \leq d} \langle b_{i_1}^1 \dots b_{i_j}^j \rangle \sup_{N \leq |x|} |T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_j}} f(x)| \\ (8) \quad & \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{(n + 1)(n + 2) \|1 - u_{N_0}^{(d)}\|_{C_b^n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b^\ell = (b_1^\ell, \dots, b_d^\ell)$, $\ell = 1, \dots, j$. By (8), Lemma 2(6), and the facts that $(f(1 - u_N^{(d)}))(x) = 0$ if $|x| \leq N$, $\|1 - u_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^n} = \dots = \|1 - u_1^{(d)}\|_{C_b^n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f - fu_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^n} \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \sup_{N \leq |x|} |T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} (f(1 - u_N^{(d)}))(x)| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \sup_{\substack{b^1, \dots, b^j \\ \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)}} \sup_{N \leq |x|} |T_{b^1, \dots, b^j} f(x)| \sup_{\substack{c^1, \dots, c^{k-j} \\ \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)}} \|T_{c^1, \dots, c^{k-j}} (1 - u_N^{(d)})\|_\infty \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{j!} \sup_{\substack{b^1, \dots, b^j \\ \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)}} \sup_{N \leq |x|} |T_{b^1, \dots, b^j} f(x)| \frac{\sup_{S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{c^1, \dots, c^{k-j}} (1 - u_N^{(d)})\|_\infty}{(k - j)!} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^n (k + 1) \frac{2\varepsilon}{(n + 1)(n + 2) \|1 - u_{N_0}^{(d)}\|_{C_b^n}} \|1 - u_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^n} = \varepsilon \quad (N_0 \leq N). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{u_N^{(d)}\}_{N \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a bounded approximate identity of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\text{supp}(u_N^{(d)}) \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : |x| \leq N + 2\}$ for $N = 1, 2, \dots$ □

3. Algebras $C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$

We denote by $Lip_1(\mathbf{R})$ (resp. $Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R})$) the Lipschitz algebra on \mathbf{R} ; that is, the space of complex-valued continuous functions on \mathbf{R} which are bounded (resp. vanishing at infinity) and satisfy

$$\rho(f) = \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbf{R}, \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} < \infty$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \rho_M(f) \left(= \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbf{R}, x \neq y \\ M \leq |x|, |y|}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \right) = 0 \right).$$

With $\|f\|_{Lip_1} = \|f\|_\infty + \rho(f)$ as norm, the space $Lip_1(\mathbf{R})$ becomes a Banach function algebra on \mathbf{R} .

It is shown in [4, p. 123] that the BSE-extension of $C_0^1(\mathbf{R})$ is $Lip_1(\mathbf{R})$, and that $Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R})$ is its closed ideal, which itself is a natural Banach function algebra on \mathbf{R} . Moreover it is shown that $C_{BSE}^0(\Phi_{C_0^1}(\mathbf{R})) = Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R})$ and $M(C_0^1(\mathbf{R})) = C_b^1(\mathbf{R})$.

Definition 3. For $f \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we write $\rho(f) = \sup_{x,y \in \mathbf{R}^d, x \neq y} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|}$, and

$$\rho[f](x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^d, x \neq y} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^d).$$

We put

$$Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d) := \{f \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^d) : \rho(f) < \infty\}, \text{ and}$$

$$Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d) := \{f \in Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d) : f \text{ and } \rho[f] \text{ vanish at infinity}\}.$$

One can verify easily that the definitions of $Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R})$ in [4] and in Definition 3 above are consistent.

Note that, for $f, g \in Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho[fg](x) &= \sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^d, y \neq x} \frac{|f(y)g(y) - f(x)g(x)|}{|y - x|} \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^d, y \neq x} \left[|g(y)| \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|} + |f(x)| \frac{|g(y) - g(x)|}{|y - x|} \right] \\ (9) \quad &\leq \rho[f](x) \|g\|_\infty + |f(x)| \rho(g) \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^d). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. (i) For $f \in Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we have $\rho(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \rho[f](x)$.

(ii) For $f \in C_b^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we have $\rho(f) = \sup_{a \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_a f\|_\infty$.

(iii) For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$, and $f, g \in Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we have

$$\rho[\alpha f + \beta g](x) \leq |\alpha| \rho[f](x) + |\beta| \rho[g](x) \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^d).$$

(iv) $Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is an algebra and $Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is its ideal.

Proof. (i) Suppose $f \in Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. For any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ with $x \neq y$, we have

$$(10) \quad \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|} \leq \rho[f](x).$$

From (10), we have $\rho(f) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \rho[f](x)$. Conversely, it is easy to see that $\rho[f](x) \leq \rho(f)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and hence $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \rho[f](x) \leq \rho(f)$.

(ii) Suppose $f \in C_b^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$. For any $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and $a \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_a f(x)| &= \lim_{\substack{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{R}, \\ h \rightarrow 0}} \frac{|f(x + ha) - f(x)|}{|h|} \\ &= \lim_{\substack{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{R}, \\ h \rightarrow 0}} \frac{|f(x + ha) - f(x)|}{|(x + ha) - x|} \leq \rho[f](x), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(11) \quad \sup_{a \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_a f\|_\infty \leq \rho(f).$$

On the other hand, for any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ with $x \neq y$, if we put $a = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$ and $h = |y - x|$, then $a \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and

$$\frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|} = \frac{|f(x + ha) - f(x)|}{|h|} = |T_a f(x + \theta ha)|$$

for some $0 < \theta < 1$, and hence

$$(12) \quad \rho(f) \leq \sup_{a \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_a f\|_\infty.$$

From (11) and (12), we have (ii).

(iii) We can get this inequality by straightforward calculation.

(iv) This follows easily from (9). □

Definition 4. Let n, d be given natural numbers. We define;

$$\begin{aligned} C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d) &:= \left\{ f \in C_b^{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^d) : T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f \in Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d), 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d \right\}, \\ C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d) &:= \left\{ f \in C_0^{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^d) : T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f \in Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d), 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(13) \quad \|f\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} := \|f\|_{C_b^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{n!} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} f) \quad (f \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)).$$

In particular, we have $C_b^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}^d) = Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C_0^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}^d) = Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Proposition 4. $\|f\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} \leq \|f\|_{n-1, \infty, \rho} \leq d^{n-1} \|f\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}}$ ($f \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$), where $\|f\|_{n-1, \infty, \rho} = \|f\|_{n-1, \infty} + \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d} \rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f)$.

Proof. Suppose $f \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Let $a^j = (a_1^j, \dots, a_d^j) \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ be given arbitrarily. By Lemma 3 and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} f) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \rho[T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} f](x) \\
 &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d} |a_{i_1}^1 \cdots a_{i_{n-1}}^{n-1}| \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \rho[T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f](x) \\
 (14) \quad &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d} \rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 1, (13), and (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} &= \|f\|_{C_b^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{n!} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} f) \\
 &\leq \|f\|_{n-1, \infty} + \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d} \rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f) = \|f\|_{n-1, \infty, \rho}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the second inequality. From Proposition 1, we have

$$(15) \quad \|f\|_{n-1, \infty} \leq d^{n-1} \|f\|_{C_b^{n-1}}.$$

Further, since $\rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f) \leq \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} f)$ for each $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d$, we have

$$(16) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d} \rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f) \leq d^{n-1} \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} f).$$

From (15), (16), and the definitions of $\|f\|_{n-1, \infty, \rho}$ and $\|f\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}}$, we get the desired result. \square

Proposition 4 shows that the two norms $\| \cdot \|_{n-1, \infty, \rho}$ and $\| \cdot \|_{C_b^{n-1,1}}$ are equivalent. Obviously $\| \cdot \|_{n-1, \infty, \rho}$ is complete, and hence $\| \cdot \|_{C_b^{n-1,1}}$ is also complete.

Lemma 4. (i) For $f \in C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we have

$$\rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f) = \sup_{a \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{a^1, \dots, a^k, a} f\|_\infty, \quad k = 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

hence $T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f \in Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

(ii) If $f \in C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d$, then $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f \in Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Proof. (i) Obviously, (i) follows from Lemma 3(ii).

(ii) If $n = 1$, the assertion is trivial. So we consider the case $2 \leq n$. Suppose $f \in C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f \in C_0^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}^d) = Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ from the definition of $f \in C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For each $k(0 \leq k \leq n - 2)$, $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f$ belongs to $C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$, so by Lemma 3(ii), we have

$$\rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f) = \sup_{a \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}, a} f\|_\infty \leq (k + 1)! \|f\|_{C_b^{k+1}} < \infty.$$

Therefore $\rho[T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f]$ is bounded by Lemma 3(i). To show that $\rho[T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f]$ vanishes at infinity, choose $M > 0$, by (5), such that

$$(17) \quad \sup_{a \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \sup_{M \leq |x|} |T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}, a} f(x)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad 2\|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f\|_\infty \leq M\varepsilon.$$

Then, if $2M \leq |x|$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ with $y \neq x$, we put $a = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$, $h = |y - x|$, then for some $0 < \theta < 1$,

$$(18) \quad \frac{|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f(y) - T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f(x)|}{|y-x|} \leq \begin{cases} |T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}, a} f(x + \theta ha)| & \text{if } M > h, \\ 2\|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f\|_\infty / M & \text{if } M \leq h. \end{cases}$$

From (17) and (18), we have $\sup_{2M \leq |x|} \rho[T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f](x) \leq \varepsilon$, which implies that $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f \in Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$. □

Corollary 1. *The algebra $C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is contained in $C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and the identity map of $(C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{C_b^n})$ into $(C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}})$ is an isometry.*

Proof. Obviously, this follows from Lemma 4(i). □

In the following Lemma 5 and Proposition 5, we use the following notations;

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0(f) &= \|f\|_\infty, \quad \alpha_k(f) = \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \|T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f\|_\infty, \quad \text{and} \\ \beta_0(f) &= \rho(f), \quad \beta_k(f) = \sup_{a^1, \dots, a^k \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)} \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} f) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. *Suppose $f, g \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then we have*

- (i) $\alpha_k(fg) \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \alpha_j(f) \alpha_{k-j}(g)$ ($0 \leq k \leq n - 1$).
- (ii) $\beta_{n-1}(fg) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} (\alpha_k(f) \beta_{n-1-k}(g) + \beta_k(f) \alpha_{n-1-k}(g))$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2(6) with $N = 0$, the inequality follows.

(ii) For any choice of $a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we have

$$(19) \quad T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}}(fg) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{(\#)} T_{a^{s_1}, \dots, a^{s_k}} f T_{a^{t_1}, \dots, a^{t_{n-1-k}}} g,$$

where $(\#) = \left\{ 1 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq n - 1, 1 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_{n-1-k} \leq n - 1, \right. \\ \left. \{s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1-k}\} = \{1, \dots, n - 1\} \right\}.$

Operating ρ to the both sides of the equation (19), we have (cf. (9))

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}}(fg)) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{(\#)} \left(\|T_{a^{s_1}, \dots, a^{s_k}} f\|_\infty \rho(T_{a^{t_1}, \dots, a^{t_{n-1-k}}} g) \right. \\
 (20) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + \rho(T_{a^{s_1}, \dots, a^{s_k}} f) \|T_{a^{t_1}, \dots, a^{t_{n-1-k}}} g\|_\infty \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum in (20) over all choices of $a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we obtain (ii). □

Proposition 5. $(C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d), \| \cdot \|_{C_b^{n-1,1}})$ is a Banach algebra, and $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is its closed ideal.

Proof. In the following calculation, we use the relations $\beta_k(h) = \alpha_{k+1}(h)$ for $h \in C_b^{k+1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, $k = 0, \dots, n-2$ (cf. Lemma 4(i)). Let $f, g \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then by Lemma 5 and the formula $\binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \binom{n}{k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|fg\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \alpha_k(fg) + \frac{1}{n!} \beta_{n-1}(fg) \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{k!} \binom{k}{j} \alpha_j(f) \alpha_{k-j}(g) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \alpha_k(f) \beta_{n-1-k}(g) + \binom{n-1}{k} \beta_k(f) \alpha_{n-1-k}(g) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{k!} \binom{k}{j} \alpha_j(f) \alpha_{k-j}(g) + \frac{1}{n!} \|f\|_\infty \beta_{n-1}(g) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \alpha_k(f) \alpha_{n-k}(g) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-1}{k} \alpha_{k+1}(f) \alpha_{n-1-k}(g) + \frac{1}{n!} \beta_{n-1}(f) \|g\|_\infty \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{j!} \alpha_j(f) \frac{1}{(k-j)!} \alpha_{k-j}(g) + \frac{1}{n!} \|f\|_\infty \beta_{n-1}(g) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1} \right) \alpha_k(f) \alpha_{n-k}(g) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n!} \beta_{n-1}(f) \|g\|_\infty \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{j!} \alpha_j(f) \frac{1}{(k-j)!} \alpha_{k-j}(g) + \frac{1}{n!} \|f\|_\infty \beta_{n-1}(g)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \alpha_k(f) \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \alpha_{n-k}(g) + \frac{1}{n!} \beta_{n-1}(f) \|g\|_\infty \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \alpha_k(f) + \frac{1}{n!} \beta_{n-1}(f) \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \alpha_k(g) + \frac{1}{n!} \beta_{n-1}(g) \right) \\ & = \|f\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} \|g\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\| \cdot \|_{C_b^{n-1,1}}$ is submultiplicative, hence $(C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d), \| \cdot \|_{C_b^{n-1,1}})$ is a Banach algebra.

Let $\{f_N\}_N$ be a Cauchy sequence in $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then there exists $f \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_N\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} = 0$. Since

$$\|f - f_N\|_{C_b^{n-1}} \leq \|f - f_N\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (N \rightarrow \infty),$$

we have $f \in C_0^{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ from Proposition 2. Let $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given arbitrarily. Let $N_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $\|f - f_{N_0}\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} \leq \varepsilon/(2n!)$, and choose $M > 0$ such that $\sup_{M \leq |x|} \rho[T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f_{N_0}](x) \leq \varepsilon/2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{M \leq |x|} \rho[T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f](x) & \leq \sup_{M \leq |x|} \rho[T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f_{N_0}](x) + n! \|f_{N_0} - f\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} \\ & \leq \varepsilon/2 + n!(\varepsilon/(2n!)) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\rho[T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f]$ vanishes at infinity. This implies that $f \in C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and hence $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is closed.

Suppose $f \in C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $g \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By Proposition 2, fg belongs to $C_0^{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Let $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}}(fg)$ is a sum of the functions in the following (a) or (b);

- (a) $T_{e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}} f T_{e_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, e_{j_{n-1}}} g$ with $1 \leq k \leq n-2, 1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_k \leq n-1, 1 \leq j_{k+1} \leq \dots \leq j_{n-1} \leq n-1$ and $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$,
- (b) $f T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} g, (T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f) g$.

In (a), $T_{e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}} f \in Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $T_{e_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, e_{j_{n-1}}} g \in Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ from Lemma 4, hence functions in (a) belong to $Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ from Lemma 3(iv). That functions in (b) belong to $Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ follows from Definition 4 and Lemma 3(iv).

Therefore $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}}(fg) \in Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for all choices of $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d$, and hence $fg \in C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ follows. \square

Proposition 6. $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a natural Banach function algebra on \mathbf{R}^d , and by the identification of $\varphi \in \Phi_{C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)}$ with the corresponding $x_\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^d$, \mathbf{R}^d is its Gelfand space and the identity map is the Gelfand transform.

From this, it follows easily that $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is regular.

Proof. Suppose $f \in C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda - f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Since $f \in C_0^{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\lambda - f(x) \geq \delta$ for all

$x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Put $F = \frac{1}{\lambda-f} - \frac{1}{\lambda}$. Then it follows from the proof of Proposition 3 that $F = \frac{f}{\lambda(\lambda-f)} \in C_0^{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

We claim that, for any choice of $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, we have $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} F = \frac{G_k}{(\lambda-f)^{k+1}}$ with $G_k \in C_0^{n-1-k,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Since $T_{e_{i_1}} F = T_{e_{i_1}} f \frac{1}{(\lambda-f)^2}$, the claim is true for $k = 1$. If the claim is true for $k (< n-1)$, then it is easy to see by elementary calculation that the claim is true for $k+1$. By induction we can conclude that the claim is true for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$; in particular

$$(21) \quad T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} F = \frac{1}{(\lambda-f)^n} G_{n-1}.$$

From (21) we have that

$$T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} F \in C_0^{0,1}(\mathbf{R}^d) = Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

Since $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is closed under taking the complex conjugation, we can apply Lemma 1 to conclude that $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is natural. \square

Lemma 6. *Suppose $f \in Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and $\{e_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence in $Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $e_N(x) = 0$ if $|x| \leq N$.*

Then, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\rho(fe_N) \leq \varepsilon$ ($N_0 \leq N$), that is, $\rho(fe_N)$ vanishes as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Put $\beta = \sup_{N \in \mathbf{N}} (\|e_N\|_\infty + \rho(e_N)) < \infty$. Choose $N_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$(22) \quad \max \left\{ \sup_{N_0/2 \leq |x|} |f(x)|, \sup_{N_0/2 \leq |x|} \rho[f](x) \right\} \leq \varepsilon/(2\beta),$$

$$(23) \quad 3\beta\|f\|_\infty \leq (N_0/2)\varepsilon.$$

Suppose $N_0 \leq N$. Then, for any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $x \neq y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|f(y)e_N(y) - f(x)e_N(x)|}{|y-x|} \\ & \leq |e_N(y)| \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y-x|} + |f(x)| \frac{|e_N(y) - e_N(x)|}{|y-x|} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} 0 \cdot \frac{|f(y)-f(x)|}{|y-x|} + |f(x)| \frac{|0-0|}{|y-x|} = 0 & \text{if } |x| < N/2, |y| \leq N, \\ \beta \cdot \frac{2\|f\|_\infty}{N/2} + \|f\|_\infty \frac{\beta}{N/2} \leq \varepsilon & \text{if } |x| < N/2, N < |y| \text{ (from (23))}, \\ 0 \cdot \frac{|f(y)-f(x)|}{|y-x|} + |f(x)|\beta \leq \varepsilon/2 & \text{if } N/2 \leq |x|, |y| \leq N \text{ (from (22))}, \\ \beta\rho[f](x) + |f(x)|\beta \leq \varepsilon & \text{if } N/2 \leq |x|, N < |y| \text{ (from (22))}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\rho(fe_N) \leq \varepsilon$ if $N_0 \leq N$, that is, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \rho(fe_N) = 0$. \square

Theorem 2. *The algebra $(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d), \| \cdot \|_{C_b^{n-1,1}})$ has a bounded approximate identity composed of elements with compact supports.*

Proof. We will show that the bounded approximate identity $\{u_N^{(d)}\}$ for $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$, which is defined in Theorem 1, is also valid as a bounded approximate identity for $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

That $\{u_N^{(d)}\}$ is a bounded sequence in $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is clear by Corollary 1. Let $f \in C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be given arbitrarily. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|f - fu_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} \\
 = & \|f - fu_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{n!} \sup_{\substack{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \\ \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)}} \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}}(f(1 - u_N^{(d)}))) \\
 \leq & \|f - fu_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^{n-1}} \\
 & + \frac{1}{n!} \sup_{\substack{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \\ \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d} |a_{i_1}^1 \cdots a_{i_{n-1}}^{n-1}| \rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}}(f(1 - u_N^{(d)}))) \\
 & \text{where } a^i = (a_1^i, \dots, a_d^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\
 \leq & \|f - fu_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d} \rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}}(f(1 - u_N^{(d)}))) \\
 \leq & \|f - fu_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^{n-1}} \\
 (24) \quad & + \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{(\#)} \rho(T_{e_{i_{s_1}}, \dots, e_{i_{s_k}}} f \cdot T_{e_{i_{t_1}}, \dots, e_{i_{t_{n-1-k}}}}(1 - u_N^{(d)})),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\#) = & \{1 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k \leq n-1, 1 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_{n-1-k} \leq n-1, \\
 & \{s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1-k}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the last line of (24), for $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d$, $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have

$$T_{e_{i_{s_1}}, \dots, e_{i_{s_k}}} f \in Lip_1^0(\mathbf{R}^d)$$

by Lemma 4(ii), and $\{T_{e_{i_{t_1}}, \dots, e_{i_{t_{n-1-k}}}}(1 - u_N^{(d)})\}_{N=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of bounded functions in $Lip_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which satisfy $T_{e_{i_{t_1}}, \dots, e_{i_{t_{n-1-k}}}}(1 - u_N^{(d)})(x) = 0$ if $|x| \leq N$, so we have by Lemma 6 that $\rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f \cdot T_{e_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}}(1 - u_N^{(d)}))$ vanishes as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Of course, $\|f - fu_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^{n-1}}$ vanishes as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by Theorem 1. Therefore in the last line of (24) each term vanishes as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then (24) implies that $\|f - fe_N^{(d)}\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}}$ vanishes as $N \rightarrow \infty$. \square

4. BSE-extension of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$, BSE-, BED-properties of $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and the multiplier algebras of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$

In this section, we prefer to use the expressions $C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C_{BSE(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ instead of $C_{BSE(\Phi_{C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)})}$ and $C_{BSE(\Phi_{C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)})}$, respectively, because in either of the two cases the Gelfand space is identified with \mathbf{R}^d by Propositions 3 and 6.

Theorem 3. *The BSE-extension of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is $C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$; that is,*

$$C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d) = C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

Proof. We show first the inclusion \subseteq . Suppose $\sigma \in C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. We observe that, for any $k(0 \leq k \leq n)$, any choice of $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$, the map $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{C} : f \mapsto \frac{1}{k!} T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f(x)$ is a bounded linear functional which is contained in the unit ball of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)^*$. By [9, Theorem 4(i)], there exists a bounded net $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ of a bound, say β , such that $\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda(x) = \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. By the natural embedding of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ into its second dual, $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a net in the β -ball of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)^{**}$. Since the β -ball of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)^{**}$ is weak*-compact, there exists a weak*-convergent subnet $\{f_{\lambda'}\}_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'}$ of $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Hence, for any $k(0 \leq k \leq n)$ and any choice $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d$, there exists a bounded function τ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} on \mathbf{R}^d such that

$$\lim_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'} T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} f_{\lambda'}(x) = \tau_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(x) \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^d).$$

We claim that $\sigma \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} \sigma(x) &= \tau_{i_1, \dots, i_k}(x) \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^d, 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d, k = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ \rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} \sigma) &< \infty, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq d. \end{aligned}$$

To see this, let $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and $h \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ be given arbitrarily. Then, by a mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(x + he_{i_1}) - \sigma(x)}{h} &= \lim_{\lambda'} \frac{f_{\lambda'}(x + he_{i_1}) - f_{\lambda'}(x)}{h} = \lim_{\lambda'} T_{e_{i_1}} f_{\lambda'}(x + \theta_{\lambda'} he_{i_1}) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda'} \left[T_{e_{i_1}} f_{\lambda'}(x) + \frac{T_{e_{i_1}} f_{\lambda'}(x + \theta_{\lambda'} he_{i_1}) - T_{e_{i_1}} f_{\lambda'}(x)}{\theta_{\lambda'} h} \theta_{\lambda'} h \right] \\ &= \tau_{i_1}(x) + \lim_{\lambda'} T_{e_{i_1}, e_{i_1}} f_{\lambda'}(x + \tilde{\theta}_{\lambda'} \theta_{\lambda'} he_{i_1}) \theta_{\lambda'} h \\ &\quad (0 < \theta_{\lambda'}, \tilde{\theta}_{\lambda'} < 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{\sigma(x + e_{i_1} h) - \sigma(x)}{h} - \tau_{i_1}(x) \right| \leq \sup_{\lambda'} \|T_{e_{i_1}, e_{i_1}} f_{\lambda'}\|_\infty |\theta_{\lambda'} h| \rightarrow 0 (h \rightarrow 0).$$

This implies that σ is partially differentiable with respect to x_{i_1} and that $T_{e_{i_1}} \sigma = \tau_{i_1}$. We can repeat this procedure, with respect to $x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}$

successively, to obtain

$$T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}} \sigma = \tau_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n - 1).$$

Further, we must show that $\rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} \sigma) < \infty$. (This will also make sure that $T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} \sigma \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^d)$.) For any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $x \neq y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} \sigma(x) - T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} \sigma(y)}{x - y} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\tau_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}}(x) - \tau_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}}(y)}{x - y} \right| \\ &= \lim_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'} \left| \frac{T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f_{\lambda'}(x) - T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f_{\lambda'}(y)}{x - y} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'} \rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f_{\lambda'}) \leq \sup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'} n! \|f_{\lambda'}\|_{C_b^n} \leq n! \beta < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $\sigma \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, that is, $C_{BSE}(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Next, we show the reverse inclusion \supseteq . Let $\sigma \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be given arbitrarily. Choose a nonnegative function $v \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\text{supp}(v) \subseteq B_1(= \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : |x| \leq 1\})$ and $\int_{B_1} v(x) dx = 1$. Set $v_\ell(x) = \ell^d v(\ell x)$ ($x \in \mathbf{R}^d$), and put $\sigma_\ell = \sigma * v_\ell$, $\ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Obviously, $\{\sigma_\ell\}$ is a sequence of n -times continuously differentiable functions on \mathbf{R}^d which converges pointwisely to σ . We will show that $\{\sigma_\ell\}_\ell$ is a bounded sequence in $C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Let $a^1, \dots, a^{n-1} \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be given arbitrarily. Then

$$(25) \quad |\sigma_\ell(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\sigma(x - y)| v_\ell(y) dy \leq \|\sigma\|_\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} v_\ell(y) dy = \|\sigma\|_\infty \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^d),$$

and

$$(26) \quad |T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} \sigma_\ell(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} \sigma(x - y) v_\ell(y) dy \right| \leq \|T_{a^1, \dots, a^k} \sigma\|_\infty$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} \sigma_\ell)(x) \\ &= \sup_{x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d, x \neq y} \frac{1}{|x - y|} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} \sigma(x - z) v_\ell(z) dz \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} \sigma(y - z) v_\ell(z) dz \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \sup_{x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d, x \neq y} \left| \frac{T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} \sigma(x - z) - T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} \sigma(y - z)}{x - y} \right| v_\ell(z) dz \\ (27) \quad &\leq \rho(T_{a^1, \dots, a^{n-1}} \sigma) \leq n! \|\sigma\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (25), (26), (27), and the properties of functions $\{u_\ell^{(d)}\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ constructed in Theorem 1, it follows that $\{u_\ell^{(d)} \sigma_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence of functions

in $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which converges pointwisely to σ . So $\sigma \in C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by [9, Theorem 4(i)]. \square

Theorem 4. (i) $C_{BSE(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d) = C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

(ii) $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a BSE-algebra, that is,

$$C_{BSE(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d) = M(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)).$$

(iii) $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a BED-algebra, that is,

$$C_{BSE(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d))}^0(\mathbf{R}^d) = C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

Proof. (i) We prove first the inclusion \subseteq . Suppose $\sigma \in C_{BSE(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By [9, Theorem 4(i)], there exist $\beta < \infty$ and a net $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which satisfy $\|f_\lambda\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} \leq \beta$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$), and $\lim_\lambda f_\lambda(x) = \sigma(x)$ ($x \in \mathbf{R}^d$). By Theorem 3, there exists a constant γ such that

$$(28) \quad \|f\|_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))} \leq \gamma \|f\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} \quad (f \in C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)).$$

Here, we denote by Ω the directed set of all finite subsets of \mathbf{R}^d with inclusion order, and $\Lambda \times \Omega$ is the directed set with the order: $(\lambda_1, \omega_1) \leq (\lambda_2, \omega_2)$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ and $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$.

We claim here that, for each $(\lambda, \omega) \in \Lambda \times \Omega$, we can choose $f_{\lambda, \omega} \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which satisfies (a) $f_\lambda(x) = f_{\lambda, \omega}(x)$ ($x \in \omega$), and (b) $\|f_{\lambda, \omega}\|_{C_b^n} \leq \gamma\beta + 1$. To show this, we observe that each element f_λ is a BSE-function of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and by (28), that $\|f_\lambda\|_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))} \leq \gamma \|f_\lambda\|_{C_b^{n-1,1}} \leq \gamma\beta$. Hence by Helly's theorem we can choose $f_{\lambda, \omega} \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which satisfies (a) and (b).

We assert that $\{f_{\lambda, \omega}\}_{(\lambda, \omega) \in \Lambda \times \Omega}$ is a bounded net in $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ which converges pointwisely to σ . Indeed, take $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ arbitrarily and put $\omega_0 = \{x\} \in \Omega$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given arbitrarily. Then we can choose $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $|f_{\lambda_0}(x) - \sigma(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ ($\lambda_0 \leq \lambda$). Then we have

$$|f_{\lambda, \omega}(x) - \sigma(x)| = |f_\lambda(x) - \sigma(x)| \leq \varepsilon \quad ((\lambda, \omega) \geq (\lambda_0, \omega_0)).$$

This implies that $\sigma \in C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Hence $C_{BSE(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is contained in $C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. The reverse inclusion " \supseteq " is easily proved by Corollary 1 and [9, Theorem 4], so we obtain (i).

(ii) By Proposition 5, we have

$$(29) \quad C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d) \subseteq M(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)).$$

On the other hand, since $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ has a bounded approximate identity by Theorem 2, it follows from [9, Corollary 5] that

$$(30) \quad M(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)) \subseteq C_{BSE(C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

From (29), (30), (i), and Theorem 3, we get the desired result.

(iii) Since $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is regular and has a bounded approximate identity composed of elements with compact supports from Proposition 6 and Theorem 2, (iii) follows from [4, Theorem 4.7]. \square

Remark 1. By Theorems 1 and 2, $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ are in the class of commutative Banach algebras B with the properties (α_B) and (β_B) in [5, p. 539] (see also [5, p. 543, Examples 3.3]). Hence we can define and investigate Segal algebras in $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Theorem 5. (i) $M(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)) = C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

(ii) The algebra $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is neither of BSE nor of BED.

Proof. (i) The inclusion \supseteq follows from Proposition 2. To prove the reverse inclusion, let $f \in M(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))$ be given. For each $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and a compact neighborhood U_x of x , there exists $u_x \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $u_x = 1$ on U_x . Then $fu_x \in C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $f = fu_x$ on U_x . Therefore f is n -times continuously differentiable. Since $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ has a bounded approximate identity by Theorem 1, $M(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))$ is contained in $C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by [9, Corollary 5]. Also $C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d) = C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by Theorem 3, and hence $f \in C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then, for any $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}} f\|_\infty &= \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \lim_{h \in \mathbf{R}, h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f(he_{i_n} + x) - T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f(x)|}{|h|} \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} \rho[T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f](x) = \rho(T_{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{n-1}}} f) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $f \in C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

(ii) $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is not of BSE since $C_{BSE(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))}(\mathbf{R}^d) = C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d) \neq C_b^n(\mathbf{R}^d) = M(C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d))$ by Theorem 3 and (i). Then, since $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is regular and has a bounded approximate identity composed of elements with compact supports, we can apply [4, Theorem 4.7] to conclude that $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is not of BED. \square

5. $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ as Birtel’s commutative extension of $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$

Birtel [1] introduced the notion of commutative extension of commutative semisimple Banach algebras:

Definition 5 ([1]). Suppose that A is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra. Denote by A' the norm closed subspace of A^* generated by Φ_A , and A'^* the Banach space dual of A' . Arens type products $A \times A' \rightarrow A' : (f, p) \mapsto f \cdot p$; $A' \times A'^* \rightarrow A' : (p, F) \mapsto p \cdot F$; $A'^* \times A'^* \rightarrow A'^* : (F, G) \mapsto F \cdot G$; are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i) } \langle f \cdot p, g \rangle &= \langle fg, p \rangle = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi) \varphi(f) \varphi(g) \\ (f, g \in A, p &= \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi) \varphi \in \text{span}(\Phi_A)); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii)} \quad \langle f, p \cdot F \rangle &= \langle f \cdot p, F \rangle = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi) F(\varphi) \varphi(f) \\
 (f \in A, p &= \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi) \varphi \in \text{span}(\Phi_A)), F \in A'^*); \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad \langle p, F \cdot G \rangle &= \langle p \cdot F, G \rangle = \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi) F(\varphi) G(\varphi) \\
 (p &= \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi) \varphi \in \text{span}(\Phi_A), F, G \in A'^*).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{span}(\Phi_A)$ is dense in A' , above (i), (ii), and (iii) are enough to define products.

Birtel showed that A'^* is a commutative Banach algebra with respect to the Arens type product and that the natural embedding of A into A'^* is a continuous isomorphism, and called A'^* the commutative extension of A .

Definition 6. Let $D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ be the space of bounded complex-valued functions σ on Φ_A which satisfy BSE-condition with respect to A with norm

$$(31) \quad \|\sigma\|_{BSE} := \sup_{p \in \text{span}(\Phi_A), \|p\|_{A'^*} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi) \sigma(\varphi) \right| < \infty.$$

Using Helly's theorem, we can prove easily that a bounded function σ on Φ_A belongs to $D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ if and only if there exists a bounded net in A converging pointwisely to σ , (cf. the proof of Theorem 4(i) of [9]).

If we consider $F \in A'^*$ as a function on A' defined by $F(\zeta) = \langle F, \zeta \rangle$ ($\zeta \in A'$), $\pi(F) := F|_{\Phi_A}$ is a bounded function on Φ_A with a bound $\|F\|_{A'^*}$.

Lemma 7. For each $F \in A'^*$, we have $\pi(F) \in D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$, and $\pi : A'^* \rightarrow D_{BSE}(\Phi_A) : F \mapsto F|_{\Phi_A}$ is a surjective isometric isomorphism. Hence we can identify A'^* with $D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ through this representation, that is, $A'^* = D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$.

Proof. Let $F \in A'^*$ be given arbitrarily. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\pi(F)\|_{BSE} &= \sup_{p \in \text{span}(\Phi_A), \|p\|_{A'^*} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{\varphi \in \Phi_A} \hat{p}(\varphi) \sigma(\varphi) \right| \\
 &= \sup_{p \in \text{span}(\Phi_A), \|p\|_{A'^*} \leq 1} |\langle p, F \rangle| = \|F\|_{A'^*}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore π is an isometric map from A'^* to $D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$. Also if $\sigma \in D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$, we see from (31) that σ corresponds to an element of A'^* , which implies that π is surjective.

By (iii) of Definition 5, Arens type product in $D_{BSE(A)}(\Phi_A)$ is equal to pointwise multiplication on Φ_A . This proves that π is a homomorphism. \square

We can see by Lemma 7 that $D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ is a representation of A'^* as a Banach function algebra on Φ_A . Note that $C_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ is the set of complex-valued continuous functions σ with $\|\sigma\|_{BSE} < \infty$. In general, $D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ is not

equal to $C_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$. For example, in the case where $A = L^1(\mathbf{R})$ with $\Phi_A = \mathbf{R}$, $C_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ is the set of all the Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of elements in $M(\mathbf{R})$. On the other hand, $D_{BSE}(\Phi_A)$ is the set of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of elements in $M(\overline{\mathbf{R}})$, where $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ is the Bohr compactification of \mathbf{R} , and they are not equal. But in our case where $A = C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we have the following result.

Theorem 6. $D_{BSE}(\Phi_{C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)}) = C_{BSE}(\Phi_{C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)})$.

Proof. Suppose $\sigma \in D_{BSE}(\Phi_{C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)})$. Since σ is a bounded function on \mathbf{R}^d which satisfies the BSE-condition with respect to $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$, by Helly's theorem, there is a bounded net $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ (with a bound β) converging pointwisely to σ on \mathbf{R}^d . Let $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $x \neq y$. We put $a = \frac{x-y}{|x-y|}$, $h = |x-y|$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)|}{|x-y|} &= \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|f_\lambda(x) - f_\lambda(y)|}{|x-y|} \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |T_a f_\lambda(y + \theta_{x,y} h a)|, \quad (\text{with } 0 < \theta_{x,y} < 1) \\ &\leq \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|T_a f_\lambda\|_\infty \leq \beta. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\sigma \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and hence $\sigma \in C_{BSE}(\Phi_{C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)})$. The reverse inclusion is obvious. □

Corollary 2. $C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d) = C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)'*$, that is, $C_b^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ has a predual.

Proof. The proof follows by an obvious combination of Lemma 7, Theorems 3 and 6. □

Remark 2. A Banach algebra of n -times continuously differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$ are treated in [8, p. 300] (see also, [2, 3, 6, 7]). But as far as the authors know, there are no articles in which $C_0^n(\mathbf{R}^d)$ or $C_0^{n-1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is investigated as a Banach algebra.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, a Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University. Authors are grateful to the referee for the precise evaluation to the paper, and for giving helpful suggestions to improve the paper.

References

[1] F. T. Birtel, *On a commutative extension of a Banach algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 815–822. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2034184>
 [2] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, **24**, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
 [3] J. T. Daly and P. B. Downum, *A Banach algebra of functions with bounded n th differences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **223** (1976), 279–294. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1997529>
 [4] J. Inoue and S.-E. Takahasi, *On characterizations of the image of the Gelfand transform of commutative Banach algebras*, Math. Nachr. **280** (2007), no. 1-2, 105–126. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.200410468>

- [5] ———, *Segal algebras in commutative Banach algebras*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **44** (2014), no. 2, 539–589. <https://doi.org/10.1216/RMJ-2014-44-2-539>
- [6] E. Kaniuth, *A Course in Commutative Banach Algebras*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **246**, Springer, New York, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-72476-8>
- [7] R. Larsen, *Banach Algebras*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [8] C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, NJ, 1960.
- [9] S.-E. Takahasi and O. Hatori, *Commutative Banach algebras which satisfy a Bochner-Schoenberg-Eberlein type-theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), no. 1, 149–158. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2048254>

JYUNJI INOUE
HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY
HANAKAWA KITA 3-2-62
ISHIKARI, HOKKAIDO, 061-3213, JAPAN
Email address: rqstw4a1@xk9.so-net.ne.jp

SIN-EI TAKAHASI
YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY
LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND GAMES
FUNABASHI 273-0032, JAPAN
Email address: sin_ei@yahoo.co.jp