Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **56** (2019), No. 4, pp. 1041–1057 https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b180870 pISSN: 1015-8634 / eISSN: 2234-3016

GRADED INTEGRAL DOMAINS IN WHICH EACH NONZERO HOMOGENEOUS IDEAL IS DIVISORIAL

GYU WHAN CHANG, HALEH HAMDI, AND PARVIZ SAHANDI

ABSTRACT. Let Γ be a nonzero commutative cancellative monoid (written additively), $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a Γ -graded integral domain with $R_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, and $S(H) = \{f \in R \mid C(f) = R\}$. In this paper, we study homogeneously divisorial domains which are graded integral domains whose nonzero homogeneous ideals are divisorial. Among other things, we show that if R is integrally closed, then R is a homogeneously divisorial domain if and only if $R_{S(H)}$ is an h-local Prüfer domain whose maximal ideals are invertible, if and only if R satisfies the following four conditions: (i) R is a graded-Prüfer domain, (ii) every homogeneous maximal ideal of R is invertible, (iii) each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R is contained in a unique homogeneous maximal ideal, and (iv) each homogeneous ideal of R is a graded-Noetherian domain, then R is a homogeneously divisorial domain if and only if $R_{S(H)}$ is a divisorial domain of (Krull) dimension one.

0. Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be a unitary R-module. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$, called the dual of M, is an R-module, and there is a natural R-module homomorphism φ from M into $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R), R)$ given by $\varphi(m)(f) = f(m)$ for all $m \in M$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$. An R-module M is said to be *reflexive* if φ is bijective. We say that R is a *reflexive ring* if every submodule of a finitely generated R-module is reflexive [11, page 6]. It is known that if R is a Noetherian domain, then R is reflexive if and only if every ideal of R is reflexive [11, Theorem 3.8]. Also, let D be an integral domain with quotient field K, and note that if I is a nonzero ideal of D, then $\operatorname{Hom}_D(I, D)$ is naturally isomorphic to $I^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq D\}$; hence I is reflexive if and only if $(I^{-1})^{-1} = I$.

Let F(D) be the set of all nonzero fractional ideals of D. An ideal of D means a fractional ideal I of D with $I \subseteq D$. For $I, J \in F(D)$, let $(I :_K)$

©2019 Korean Mathematical Society

Received September 15, 2018; Revised January 3, 2019; Accepted March 4, 2019.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A02, 13A15, 13F05.

Key words and phrases. graded integral domain, homogeneous ideal, divisorial ideal, graded-Prüfer domain, graded-Noetherian domain.

 $J) = \{x \in K \mid xJ \subseteq I\}$; then $(I :_K J) \in F(D)$. Also, $I^{-1} = (D :_K I)$ and $I_v = (I^{-1})^{-1}$. It is known that if $\{A_\alpha\} \subseteq F(D)$ with $\bigcap_\alpha A_\alpha \neq (0)$, then $\bigcap_\alpha (A_\alpha)_v = (\bigcap_\alpha (A_\alpha)_v)_v$. Also, $(IJ)_v = (I_vJ)_v = (I_vJ_v)_v$ and $(aD)_v = aD$ for all $I, J \in F(D)$ and $0 \neq a \in K$. We say that I is a divisorial ideal or a v-ideal if $I_v = I$. Note that if A is a nonzero fractional ideal of D, then there exists a nonzero element $a \in D$ such that $aA \subseteq D$, and since $(aA)_v = a(A_v)$, A is divisorial if and only if aA is divisorial. Hence, every nonzero fractional ideal of D is divisorial. We say that D is a divisorial domain if every nonzero ideal of D is divisorial. Hence, if D is Noetherian, then D is divisorial if and only if D is reflexive.

Divisorial domains have been studied by many researchers (see, for example, [5,7,11]). In [7], Heinzer showed that (i) if D is a divisorial domain, then D is an h-local domain (i.e., every nonzero ideal of D is contained in only finitely many maximal ideals and every nonzero prime ideal of D is contained in a unique maximal ideal) and (ii) if D is integrally closed, then D is a divisorial domain if and only if D is a Prüfer domain whose nonzero maximal ideals are invertible, each nonzero prime ideal of D is contained in a unique maximal ideal and each ideal of D has only finitely many minimal prime ideals. Matlis [11] studied a larger class of domains, the class of reflexive domains, where a nonzero ideal of D that is D-reflexive is just the divisorial ideal. Among other things, he showed that D is a Noetherian divisorial domain if and only if Dhas (Krull) dimension one and M^{-1} is two generated for all maximal ideals M of D [11, Theorem 3.8]. In [5, Proposition 5.4], Bazzoni and Salce proved that D is a divisorial domain if and only if D is an h-local domain and D_M is a divisorial domain for every maximal ideal M of D. In this paper, we study homogeneously divisorial domains which are graded integral domains whose nonzero homogeneous ideals are divisorial. (Definitions related with graded integral domains will be reviewed in the sequel.)

Let Γ be a nonzero torsionless grading monoid, $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a Γ -graded integral domain with $R_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, H be the saturated multiplicative set of nonzero homogeneous elements of R, and $S(H) = \{f \in R \mid C(f) = R\}$. In Section 1, we show that if R is a homogeneously divisorial domain, then every nonzero homogeneous ideal (resp., homogeneous prime ideal) of R is contained in only finitely many homogeneous maximal ideals (resp., a unique homogeneous maximal ideal) and $R_{H \setminus P}$ is a homogeneously divisorial domain for all $P \in h\text{-Max}(R)$. In Section 2, among other things, we prove that if R is integrally closed, then R is a homogeneously divisorial domain if and only if $R_{S(H)}$ is a divisorial domain, if and only if R satisfies the following four conditions: (i) R is a graded-Prüfer domain, (ii) every homogeneous maximal ideal of R is invertible, (iii) each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R is contained in a unique homogeneous maximal ideal, and (iv) each homogeneous ideal of R has only finitely many minimal prime ideals. Suppose that R is a gr-Noetherian domain. In Section 3, we show that R is a homogeneously divisorial domain if and only if $R_{H\setminus P}$ is a homogeneously divisorial domain for all $P \in \text{h-Max}(R)$, if and only if $R_{S(H)}$ is a divisorial domain of (Krull) dimension one, if and only if each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R has height-one and M^{-1} is generated by two elements for every $M \in \text{h-Max}(R)$.

Definitions related to graded integral domains. Let Γ be a nonzero torsionless grading monoid, that is, Γ is a commutative cancellative monoid (written additively) and $\langle \Gamma \rangle = \{a - b \mid a, b \in \Gamma\}$ be the quotient group of Γ ; so $\langle \Gamma \rangle$ is a torsionfree abelian group. It is well known that a cancellative monoid is torsionless if and only if it can be given a total order compatible with the monoid operation [12, page 123]. By a (Γ -)graded integral domain $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$, we mean an integral domain graded by Γ . That is, each nonzero $x \in R_{\alpha}$ has degree α , i.e., deg $(x) = \alpha$, and deg(0) = 0. Thus, each nonzero $f \in R$ can be written uniquely as $f = x_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + x_{\alpha_n}$ with deg $(x_{\alpha_i}) = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_n$. Clearly, Supp $(R) = \{\alpha \in \Gamma \mid R_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}\}$ is a submonoid of Γ because R is an integral domain. Hence, by replacing Γ with Supp(R), we may assume that $R_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$. A nonzero $x \in R_{\alpha}$ for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$ is said to be homogeneous.

Let $H = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} (R_{\alpha} \setminus \{0\})$; so H is the saturated multiplicative set of nonzero homogeneous elements of R. Then R_H , called the homogeneous quotient field of R, is a $\langle \Gamma \rangle$ -graded integral domain whose nonzero homogeneous elements are units. We say that an overring E of R is a homogeneous overring of Rif $E = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \langle \Gamma \rangle} (E \cap (R_H)_{\alpha})$; so E is a $\langle \Gamma \rangle$ -graded integral domain such that $R \subseteq E \subseteq R_H$. Clearly, if $\Lambda = \{\alpha \in \langle \Gamma \rangle | E \cap (R_H)_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}\}$, then Λ is a torsionless grading monoid such that $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \langle \Gamma \rangle$ and $E = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (E \cap (R_H)_{\alpha})$. It is obvious that R_S is a homogeneous overring of R for a multiplicative set S of nonzero homogeneous elements of R (with $\deg(\frac{a}{b}) = \deg(a) - \deg(b)$ for $a \in H$ and $b \in S$). For a fractional ideal A of R with $A \subseteq R_H$, let A^* be the fractional ideal of R generated by homogeneous elements in A. The A is said to be homogeneous if $A^* = A$. Clearly, $A^* \subseteq A$; and if A is a prime ideal, then A^* is a prime ideal; in particular, the minimal prime ideals of a nonzero homogeneous ideal are homogeneous. The letter R will denote a graded integral domain henceforth. A homogeneous ideal of R is called a homogeneous maximal ideal if it is maximal among proper homogeneous ideals of R. It is easy to see that each proper homogeneous ideal of R is contained in a homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Let h-Max(R) be the set of homogeneous maximal ideals of R. It is known that if A is a homogeneous ideal of R, then $A = \bigcap \{AR_{H \setminus P} \mid P \in h\text{-Max}(R)\}$ [16, Proposition 2.6]. For $f \in R_H$, let C(f)denote the fractional ideal of R generated by the homogeneous components of f. It is well known that if $f,g \in R_H$, then $C(f)^{n+1}C(g) = C(f)^n C(fg)$ for some integer $n \ge 1$ ([12] or [2, Lemma 1.1]); so if $S(H) = \{f \in R | C(f) = R\},\$ then S(H) is a saturated multiplicative subset of R.

We say that R is a graded-Prüfer domain if each nonzero finitely generated homogeneous ideal of R is invertible. R is a graded-valuation domain (grvaluation domain) if either $aR \subseteq bR$ or $bR \subseteq aR$ for all $a, b \in H$; equivalently, if R is a graded-Prüfer domain with unique homogeneous maximal ideal. We call R a graded-Noetherian domain (gr-Noetherian domain) if R satisfies the ascending chain condition on homogeneous ideals. Clearly, R is a gr-Noetherian domain if and only if each homogeneous ideal of R is finitely generated, if and only if each homogeneous prime ideal of R is finitely generated [15, Lemma 2.3].

Notation. Throughout this paper, Γ denotes a nonzero torsionless grading monoid (written additively), $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ is a Γ -graded integral domain with $R_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, h-Max(R) is the set of homogeneous maximal ideals of R, H is the saturated multiplicative set of nonzero homogeneous elements of R, and $S(H) = \{f \in R \mid C(f) = R\}$. In order to avoid a trivial case, we always assume that $R \subsetneq R_H$.

1. Some results in the general case

Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a graded integral domain. We will say that R is a homogeneously divisorial domain if each nonzero homogeneous ideal of R is divisorial. We begin this section with an example of graded integral domains R such that R is a homogeneously divisorial domain but not a divisorial domain.

Example 1.1. Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K and $R = D[X, X^{-1}]$ be the Laurent polynomial ring over D. Then R is a \mathbb{Z} -graded integral domain with $\deg(aX^n) = n$ for $0 \neq a \in D$ and an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $H = \{aX^n \mid 0 \neq a \in D \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$; so $R_H = K[X, X^{-1}]$.

(1) If $D \neq K$, then $(a, 1 + X) \subsetneq (a, 1 + X)_v = R$ for any nonzero nonunit $a \in D$. Hence, R is a divisorial domain if and only if D = K.

(2) Note that each nonzero homogeneous element of R is of the form aX^n for some $0 \neq a \in D$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note also that $aX^nR = aR$. Hence, a nonzero ideal A of R is homogeneous if and only if A = IR for some nonzero ideal I of D. In this case, $A_v = I_vR$ [8, Proposition 2.2], $IR \cap D = I$ and $I_vR \cap D = I_v$. Thus, R is a homogeneously divisorial domain if and only if D is a divisorial domain.

(3) Let $D = \mathbb{Z}$ be the ring of integers. Then, R is a homogeneously divisorial domain by (2), while there is a nonzero ideal of R that is not divisorial (for example, $(2, 1 + X) \subsetneq (2, 1 + X)_v = R$).

The next result appears in [1, Proposition 2.5] which is essential in the subsequent arguments, and we use it without citation.

Lemma 1.2. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a graded integral domain with quotient field K. If I and J are homogeneous fractional ideals of R, then $(I :_K J)$ is also a homogeneous fractional ideal and $(I :_K J) = (I :_{R_H} J)$. Thus if I is a homogeneous fractional ideal of R, then both I^{-1} and I_v are homogeneous.

Let I be a nonzero fractional ideal of an integral domain D. Then I_v is the intersection of all principal fractional ideals of D containing I [6, Theorem 34.1]. The next result is a graded integral domain analog.

Lemma 1.3. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a graded integral domain. If I is a homogeneous fractional ideal of R, then I_v is the intersection of the set of homogeneous principal fractional ideals of R containing I.

Proof. Assume that $\{(\xi_{\lambda})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the set of homogeneous principal fractional ideals of R which contain I. If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $I \subseteq (\xi_{\lambda})$, and hence $I_v \subseteq (\xi_{\lambda})_v = (\xi_{\lambda})$. Thus, $I_v \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (\xi_{\lambda})$. For the reverse containment, let $x \in R_H \setminus I_v$. Then $xI^{-1} \notin R$, and hence $xa \notin R$ for some homogeneous element $a \in I^{-1}$. Thus, $x \notin (a^{-1})$, but $I \subseteq (a^{-1})$ because $a \in I^{-1}$. Consequently, $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (\xi_{\lambda}) \subseteq I_v$. \Box

Lemma 1.4 (cf. [7, Lemma 2.1]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain and $\{A_{\alpha}\}$ be a set of homogeneous fractional ideals of R such that $\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \neq (0)$. Then $(\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{-1}$.

Proof. Note that $\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \subseteq A_{\alpha}$ for each α ; so $A_{\alpha}^{-1} \subseteq (\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})^{-1}$, and hence $\sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{-1} \subseteq (\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})^{-1}$ and $\sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is a homogeneous fractional divisorial ideal. For the reverse containment, let $A = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{-1}$. Then $A_{\alpha}^{-1} \subseteq A$ implies that $A^{-1} \subseteq (A_{\alpha}^{-1})^{-1} = A_{\alpha}$ for each α . Thus, $A^{-1} \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$, and hence $(\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha})^{-1} \subseteq (A^{-1})^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{-1}$.

Lemma 1.5 (cf. [7, Lemma 2.2]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain and P be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Then $R \subsetneq P^{-1}$ and $P^{-1} = R + xR$ for all homogeneous elements $x \in P^{-1} \setminus R$.

Proof. Note that $P = (P^{-1})^{-1}$; so $R \subsetneq P^{-1}$, and since P^{-1} is homogeneous, the homogeneous elements of $P^{-1} \backslash R$ is non empty. Set F = xR + R for a homogeneous element $x \in P^{-1} \backslash R$. Then $R \subsetneq F \subseteq P^{-1}$, and hence $P = (P^{-1})^{-1} \subseteq F^{-1} \subsetneq R$; so $P = F^{-1}$. Thus, $P^{-1} = (F^{-1})^{-1} = F$.

Lemma 1.6 (cf. [7, Lemma 2.3]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain, A be a nonzero proper homogeneous ideal of R, and P be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R containing A. If $\{B_{\alpha}\}$ is the set of homogeneous ideals of R which contain A and are not contained in P, then $B = \bigcap_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}$ is not contained in P. Hence, $A \subsetneq B$.

Proof. If $B_1 \in \{B_\alpha\}$, then $B_1 \not\subseteq P$, and hence $B_1 + P = R$ because P is a homogeneous maximal ideal and $B_1 + P$ is homogeneous. Thus, $R = (B_1 + P)^{-1} = B_1^{-1} \cap P^{-1}$. Choose a homogeneous element $x \in P^{-1} \setminus R$. If $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a finite subset of $\{B_\alpha\}$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i$ is a homogeneous ideal of R which is not contained in P; so $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i \in \{B_\alpha\}$. Thus, $x \notin (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^{-1}$ by Lemma 1.4 because $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i)^{-1} \cap P^{-1} = R$ by the second sentence above. It follows that $x \notin \sum_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}^{-1} = (\bigcap_{\alpha} B_{\alpha})^{-1}$. Hence, $P^{-1} \nsubseteq (\bigcap_{\alpha} B_{\alpha})^{-1}$, and thus $\bigcap_{\alpha} B_{\alpha} \nsubseteq P$.

Let D be an integral domain, Max(D) be the set of maximal ideals of D, and $\{D_{\lambda}\}$ be a set of overrings of D such that $D = \bigcap_{\lambda} D_{\lambda}$. We say that the intersection $\bigcap_{\lambda} D_{\lambda}$ is *locally finite* if each nonzero nonunit of D is a unit in D_{λ} for all but a finitely many D_{λ} of $\{D_{\lambda}\}$. Following [10], we call D an *h*-local domain if (i) each nonzero prime ideal of D is contained in a unique maximal ideal and (ii) D has finite character, i.e., the intersection $\bigcap_{M \in \operatorname{Max}(D)} D_M$ is locally finite. As a graded integral domain analog, we will say that $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ is homogeneously *h*-local if (i) each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R is contained in a unique homogeneous maximal ideal and (ii) the intersection $\bigcap_{M \in h\operatorname{-Max}(R)} R_{H \setminus M}$ is locally finite.

We next give a graded integral domain analog of [7, Theorems 2.4 and 2.5] (or [11, Theorem 2.7]) that D is an h-local domain when every nonzero ideal of D is divisorial.

Theorem 1.7. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain. Then R is a homogeneously h-local domain.

Proof. (1) Let Q be a nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R, and assume that there exist two distinct homogeneous maximal ideals P_1 and P_2 of R which contain Q. Let $\{B_\alpha\}$ be the set of all homogeneous ideals of R such that $Q \subseteq B_\alpha \notin P_1$, and set $B = \bigcap_\alpha B_\alpha$. Then B is a homogeneous ideal of Rwith $B \notin P_1$ by Lemma 1.6. Choose a homogeneous element $y \in B \setminus P_1$. Then $y^2 \notin P_1$, and hence $Q + (y^2) \in \{B_\alpha\}$. Hence, $y \in Q + (y^2)$; so $y = q + ry^2$ for some $q \in Q$ and $r \in R$. Thus, $y(1 - ry) = q \in Q$. Note that $y \notin Q$; so $1 - ry \in Q$. However, note also that $P_2 \in \{B_\alpha\}$ because $Q \subseteq P_2$ and $P_2 \notin P_1$; so $y \in B \subseteq P_2$. Thus, $1 = (1 - ry) + ry \in P_2$, a contradiction.

(2) Let A be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of R, and let $\{P_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be the set of homogeneous maximal ideals of R which contain A. For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, let F_{α} be the intersection of homogeneous integral ideals of R which contain A but are not contained in P_{α} . By Lemma 1.6, F_{α} is not contained in P_{α} . Hence, $A \subseteq \sum F_{\alpha}$ and $\sum F_{\alpha} \notin P_{\beta}$ for all $\beta \in \Lambda$. Thus, $\sum F_{\alpha} = R$ and $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}$ for some $t_{i} \in F_{i} \in \{F_{\alpha}\}$. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i} = R$, and thus $\{P_{\alpha}\} = \{P_{i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$. Indeed, if $P_{\alpha} \notin \{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\}$, then $A \subseteq P_{\alpha}$ and $P_{\alpha} \notin P_{i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence, $F_{i} \subseteq P_{\alpha}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and therefore $R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i} \subseteq P_{\alpha} \subsetneq R$, a contradiction.

Corollary 1.8. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain and $S(H) = \{f \in R \mid C(f) = R\}.$

- (1) $\operatorname{Max}(R_{S(H)}) = \{Q_{S(H)} \mid Q \in h \operatorname{-Max}(R)\}.$
- (2) $R_{S(H)}$ has finite character.
- (3) Every maximal ideal of $R_{S(H)}$ is divisorial.

Proof. Clearly, $S(H) = \{f \in R \mid C(f)_v = R\}$. Also, by Theorem 1.7, the intersection $\bigcap_{Q \in h\text{-Max}(R)} R_Q$ is locally finite. Thus, $\operatorname{Max}(R_{S(H)}) = \{Q_{S(H)} \mid Q \in h\text{-Max}(R)\}$ [2, Proposition 1.4 and Lemma 2.2]. Hence, $R_{S(H)} = \bigcap_{Q \in h\text{-Max}(R)} R_Q$, and thus $R_{S(H)}$ has finite character. Also, if $Q \in h\text{-Max}(R)$, then $(QR_{S(H)})_v = Q_v R_{S(H)} = QR_{S(H)}$ [2, Proposition 1.3].

We next give in Theorem 1.13 a graded integral domain analog of [5, Proposition 5.4] that D is a divisorial domain if and only if D is h-local and D_M is a divisorial domain for all $M \in \text{Max}(D)$. We prove this result by a series of lemmas.

Lemma 1.9 (cf. [7, Lemma 3.4]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain, P be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R, and A be a nonzero proper homogeneous ideal of R such that $AR_{H\setminus P} \cap R = A$. Then P is a unique homogeneous maximal ideal of R containing A.

Proof. Note that $AR_{H\setminus P} \cap R = A$ implies $\sqrt{A} = \sqrt{AR_{H\setminus P}} \cap R$. Clearly, $\sqrt{AR_{H\setminus P}}$ is the intersection of all homogeneous prime ideals of $R_{H\setminus P}$ containing $AR_{H\setminus P}$. Hence, $\sqrt{A} = \bigcap_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}$ where $\{Q_{\alpha}\}$ is the set of minimal primes of A that are contained in P. Note that each Q_{α} is homogeneous; so if P_1 is a homogeneous maximal ideal of R distinct from P, then $Q_{\alpha} \notin P_1$ by Theorem 1.7. Hence, $\sqrt{A} = \bigcap_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha} \notin P_1$ by Lemma 1.6. Thus, P is a unique homogeneous maximal ideal of R containing A.

Lemma 1.10 (cf. [7, Lemma 3.5]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a graded integral domain, P be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R, and A be a homogeneous ideal of R such that P is a unique homogeneous maximal ideal of R containing A. Then $AR_{H\setminus P} \cap R = A$.

Proof. Since A is homogeneous,

$$A = \bigcap \{ AR_{H \setminus Q} \mid Q \in h\text{-Max}(R) \}$$

= $AR_{H \setminus P} \cap (\bigcap \{ AR_{H \setminus Q} \mid Q \in h\text{-Max}(R) \text{ with } Q \neq P \})$
= $AR_{H \setminus P} \cap R$

(see [16, Proposition 2.6] for the first equality).

Lemma 1.11 (cf. [7, Theorem 3.6]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain and P be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Then $R_{H\setminus P}$ is a homogeneously divisorial domain.

Proof. Let A' be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of $R_{H\setminus P}$ and $A = A' \cap R$. Then A is a homogeneous ideal of R such that $A' = AR_{H\setminus P}$ and $AR_{H\setminus P} \cap R = A$. Next, let $B = (AR_{H\setminus P})_v \cap R$. Then B is homogeneous, $BR_{H\setminus P} = (AR_{H\setminus P})_v$, and $A \subseteq B$. Choose $y \in H \setminus A$. Since A is homogeneous, $A_v = A$, and hence there exists a homogeneous element $x \in R_H$ such that $A \subseteq xR$ and $y \notin xR$ by Lemma 1.3. If $E = xR \cap R$, then E is homogeneous, $y \notin E$, and $A \subseteq E$, and since $AR_{H\setminus P} \cap R = A$, by Lemma 1.9, P is a unique homogeneous maximal ideal of R containing E. Hence, by Lemma 1.10, $ER_{H\setminus P} \cap R = E$. Moreover, $E = xR \cap R$ implies $ER_{H\setminus P} = xR_{H\setminus P} \cap R_{H\setminus P}$ which is a homogeneous divisorial ideal of $R_{H\setminus P}$ and contains $AR_{H\setminus P}$. Thus, $(AR_{H\setminus P})_v = BR_{H\setminus P} \subseteq ER_{H\setminus P}$, and hence $B \subseteq BR_{H\setminus P} \cap R \subseteq E$. We conclude that $y \notin B$; so A = B. Therefore, $A' = AR_{H\setminus P} = BR_{H\setminus P} = (AR_{H\setminus P})_v = (A')_v$.

Lemma 1.12 (cf. [5, Lemma 2.3]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously *h*-local domain, *M* be a homogeneous maximal ideal of *R*, and *A* be a nonzero homogeneous fractional ideal of *R*. Then

$$(AR_{H\setminus M})^{-1} = A^{-1}R_{H\setminus M}$$
 and $(AR_{H\setminus M})_v = A_v R_{H\setminus M}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $(AR_{H\setminus M})^{-1} = A^{-1}R_{H\setminus M}$ because A^{-1} is homogeneous. Note that

$$(R:A) = (\bigcap_{N \in h-Max(R)} R_{H \setminus N}) : A$$
$$= \bigcap_{N \in h-Max(R)} (R_{H \setminus N} : A)$$
$$= \bigcap_{N \in h-Max(R)} (R_{H \setminus N} : A_{H \setminus N})$$
$$= (R_{H \setminus M} : A_{H \setminus M}) \cap (\bigcap_{N \neq M} (R_{H \setminus N} : A_{H \setminus N}))$$

Also, if N is a homogeneous maximal ideal of R with $N \neq M$, then $(R_{H\setminus N})_{H\setminus M}$ = R_H because each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R is contained in a unique homogeneous maximal ideal. Note that if $A \not\subseteq R$, then there is an $x \in H$ such that $xA \subseteq R$ and xA is homogeneous; so we may assume that $A \subseteq R$. Hence, $R_H = \bigcap_{N \neq M} (R_{H\setminus N})_{H\setminus M} \subseteq \bigcap_{N \neq M} (R_{H\setminus N} : A_{H\setminus N})_{H\setminus M} \subseteq R_H$. Thus,

$$A^{-1}R_{H\setminus M} = (R:A)_{H\setminus M}$$

= $(R_{H\setminus M}:A_{H\setminus M}) \cap (\bigcap_{N \neq M} (R_{H\setminus N}:A_{H\setminus N})_{H\setminus M})$
= $(R_{H\setminus M}:A_{H\setminus M}) \cap R_H$
= $(R_{H\setminus M}:A_{H\setminus M})$
= $(AR_{H\setminus M})^{-1}$,

where the second equality follows because each nonzero nonunit of R is contained in only finitely many homogeneous maximal ideals of R.

Theorem 1.13. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a graded integral domain. Then R is a homogeneously divisorial domain if and only if R is homogeneously h-local and $R_{H\setminus M}$ is a homogeneously divisorial domain for every $M \in h$ -Max(R).

Proof. If *R* is a homogeneously divisorial domain, then *R* is homogeneously hlocal by Theorem 1.7 and $R_{H\setminus M}$ is a homogeneously divisorial domain for every *M* ∈ h-Max(*R*) by Lemma 1.11. For the reverse implication, let *A* be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of *R*. Then $AR_{H\setminus M} = (AR_{H\setminus M})_v = A_v R_{H\setminus M}$ for all *M* ∈ h-Max(*R*) by assumption and Lemma 1.12. Thus, $A = \bigcap \{AR_{H\setminus M} \mid M \in$ h-Max(*R*) $\} = \bigcap \{A_v R_{H\setminus Q} \mid Q \in$ h-Max(*R*) $\} = A_v$ [16, Proposition 2.6].

2. Integrally closed graded integral domains

In this section, we completely characterize integrally closed homogeneously divisorial domains. We first need a gr-valuation domain analog of [7, Lemma 5.2] that if V is a valuation domain with maximal ideal M, then M is principal if and only if every nonzero ideal of V is divisorial.

Lemma 2.1. Let $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} V_{\alpha}$ be a gr-valuation domain with homogeneous maximal ideal M. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) M is principal.
- (2) V be a homogeneously divisorial domain.
- (3) M is divisorial, i.e., $M_v = M$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $x \in V$ be a homogeneous element such that M = xV, and A be a homogeneous ideal of V. If $y \in V \setminus A$ is a homogeneous element, then $A \subsetneq yV$, and hence $\frac{1}{y}A \subsetneq V$. Hence, $\frac{1}{y}A \subseteq xV$, and thus $A \subseteq xyV \subsetneq yV$. Thus, $A_v \subseteq xyV$ and $y \notin A_v$. Therefore, $A = A_v$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Clear.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Note that $V \subsetneq M^{-1}$ and M^{-1} is homogeneous; so we can choose a homogeneous element $a \in M^{-1} \setminus V$. Then $\frac{1}{a} \in V$, and thus $M = M_v = (1, a)^{-1} = V \cap \frac{1}{a}V = \frac{1}{a}V$.

We next give a complete characterization of integrally closed graded integral domains in which each nonzero homogeneous ideal is divisorial.

Theorem 2.2 (cf. [7, Theorem 5.1]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be an integrally closed graded integral domain. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) R is a homogeneously divisorial domain.
- (2) R satisfies the following four conditions.
 - (a) R is a graded-Prüfer domain.
 - (b) Each homogeneous maximal ideal of R is invertible.
 - (c) Each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R is contained in a unique homogeneous maximal ideal.
 - (d) Each homogeneous ideal of R has only finitely many minimal prime ideals.
- (3) R is a homogeneously h-local graded-Prüfer domain in which each homogeneous maximal ideal is invertible.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): (a) This follows from [17, Corollary 3.4].

(b) Let P be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Then $P_v = P$, and hence there are homogeneous elements $a, b \in R$ such that $P = (1, \frac{b}{a})^{-1}$ by Lemma 1.5. Note that $(1, \frac{b}{a})$ is homogeneous; so $P^{-1} = (1, \frac{b}{a})_v = (1, \frac{b}{a})$ by (1). Thus, P is invertible by (a).

(c) This follows from Theorem 1.7.

(d) Let A be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of R and $\{P_{\alpha}\}$ be the set of minimal prime ideals of A. (Note that each P_{α} is homogeneous because A is

homogeneous.) Then by Theorem 1.7, A is contained in only finitely many homogeneous maximal ideals of R, say $\{M_1, \ldots, M_n\}$. Since R is a graded-Prüfer domain, the homogeneous prime ideals of R contained in a fixed homogeneous maximal ideal are linearly ordered with respect to inclusion. Thus, $|\{P_{\alpha}\}| \leq n$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let A be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of R and P be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Then $R_{H\setminus P}$ is a gr-valuation domain with homogeneous maximal ideal $PR_{H\setminus P}$ by (a) and [16, Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4]. Also, $PR_{H\setminus P}$ is principal because P is finitely generated by (b). Hence, each nonzero homogeneous ideal of $R_{H\setminus P}$ is divisorial by Lemma 2.1. Thus, $AR_{H\setminus P}$ is divisorial, and hence $AR_{H\setminus P} = \bigcap_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}R_{H\setminus P}$ for some homogeneous elements $x_{\alpha} \in R$ (because each homogeneous element of $R_{H\setminus P}$ is of the form $\frac{a}{b}$ with $a \in H$ and $b \in H \setminus P$). Hence, if each $x_{\alpha}R_{H\setminus P} \cap R$ is divisorial, then $AR_{H\setminus P} \cap R = \bigcap_{\alpha} (x_{\alpha}R_{H\setminus P} \cap R)$ is divisorial [6, Theorem 32.2]. Also, since $A = \bigcap \{AR_{H\setminus Q} \cap R \mid Q \in h\text{-Max}(R)\}$ [16, Proposition 2.6], A is divisorial. Thus, it suffices to show that $aR_{H\setminus P} \cap R$ is divisorial for each nonzero homogeneous element $a \in R$.

Let $J = aR_{H\setminus P} \cap R$. If $a \notin P$, then $aR_{H\setminus P} = R_{H\setminus P}$, and hence J = R is divisorial. Next, assume that $a \in P$. Then a is contained in only finitely many homogeneous maximal ideals of R by (c) and (d), say $\{P, M_1, \ldots, M_n\}$. Since $R_{H\setminus P}$ is a gr-valuation domain, $\sqrt{aR_{H\setminus P}}$ is a homogeneous prime ideal, and hence $\sqrt{J} \subseteq P$ is a nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R because $\sqrt{aR_{H\setminus P}} \cap$ $R = \sqrt{J}$. Therefore, \sqrt{J} , and hence J is contained in no M_i by (c). Choose a homogeneous element $y_i \in J \setminus M_i$ for each i, and let $I = (a, y_1, \ldots, y_n)R$. Then P is a unique homogeneous maximal ideal of R containing I. Note that $a \in I \subseteq J$; so

$$I = IR_{H \setminus P} \cap R = aR_{H \setminus P} \cap R = J.$$

Thus, J is a finitely generated homogeneous ideal of R, and since R is a graded-Prüfer domain, J is invertible; so J is divisorial [6, Lemma 32.17].

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) This follows because (d) is equivalent to that each nonzero homogeneous ideal of R is contained in only finitely many homogeneous maximal ideals of R by (a) and (c).

Corollary 2.3. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be an integrally closed graded integral domain and $S(H) = \{f \in R | C(f) = R\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) R is a homogeneously divisorial domain.
- (2) $R_{S(H)}$ is a divisorial domain.
- (3) $R_{S(H)}$ is an h-local Prüfer domain whose maximal ideals are invertible.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Clearly, $S(H) = \{f \in R \mid C(f)_v = R\}$, and since $\operatorname{Max}(R_{S(H)}) = \{Q_{S(H)} \mid Q \in h\operatorname{-Max}(R)\}$ by Corollary 1.8, every ideal of $R_{S(H)}$ is extended from a homogeneous ideal of R by Theorem 2.2 and [2, Corollary 1.10]. Hence,

if A' is a nonzero ideal of $R_{S(H)}$, then $A' = AR_{S(H)}$ for some nonzero homogeneous ideal A of R. Thus, $(A')_v = (AR_{S(H)})_v = A_vR_{S(H)} = AR_{S(H)} = A'$ (cf. [2, Proposition 1.3] for the second equality).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Note that $R_{S(H)}$ is integrally closed; so $R_{S(H)}$ is a Prüfer domain [7, Theorem 5.1]. Hence, if $Q \in h$ -Max(R), then $Q_{S(H)} \subsetneq R_{S(H)}$, and thus $R_Q = (R_{S(H)})_{Q_{S(H)}}$ is a valuation domain. Thus, R is a graded-Prüfer domain [4, Theorem 2.1] and $S(H) = \{f \in R \mid C(f)_v = R\}$. Let A be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of R. Then $AR_{S(H)} = (AR_{S(H)})_v = A_v R_{S(H)}$ [2, Proposition 1.3], and since both A and A_v are homogeneous, $A = AR_{S(H)} \cap R = A_v R_{S(H)} \cap R = A_v$ [3, Lemma 2].

 $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$ [7, Theorem 5.1].

We recall that $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ is a graded-Dedekind domain (gr-Dedekind domain) if R is a gr-Noetherian and graded-Prüfer domain. Hence, R is a gr-Dedekind domain if and only if each nonzero homogeneous ideal of R is invertible, if and only if each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R is invertible. In [3, Corollary 7], Anderson and Chang showed that if $\Gamma \cap (-\Gamma) = \{0\}$, then R is a gr-Dedekind domain if and only if R is a Dedekind domain, if and only if R is a PID.

Theorem 2.4 (cf. [7, Proposition 5.5]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a completely integrally closed graded integral domain and $S(H) = \{f \in R \mid C(f) = R\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) R is a homogeneously divisorial domain.
- (2) R is a gr-Dedekind domain.
- (3) $R_{S(H)}$ is a Dedekind domain.
- (4) $R_{S(H)}$ is a PID.
- (5) *R* is a gr-Noetherian domain in which each homogeneous maximal ideal is invertible.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let A be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of R. Since R is completely integrally closed, $(AA^{-1})_v = R$ [6, Theorem 34.3], and since AA^{-1} is homogeneous, $(AA^{-1})_v = AA^{-1}$. Thus, $AA^{-1} = R$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ If R is a gr-Dedekind domain, then each nonzero homogeneous ideal of R is invertible. Thus, R is a homogeneously divisorial domain.

 $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5)$ [3, Theorem 4].

 $(5) \Rightarrow (2)$ It suffices to show that $R_{H\setminus P}$ is a gr-valuation domain for all $P \in h\text{-Max}(R)$. Let M be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Then $MR_{H\setminus M}$ is invertible by (5), and hence $MR_{H\setminus M}$ is principal. Also, since $R_{H\setminus M}$ is gr-Noetherian, $MR_{H\setminus M}$ is a unique nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of $R_{H\setminus M}$. Hence, $R_{H\setminus M}$ is a gr-Dedekind domain with a unique homogeneous maximal ideal. Thus, $R_{H\setminus M}$ is a gr-valuation domain.

Corollary 2.5. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a completely integrally closed graded integral domain such that $\Gamma \cap (-\Gamma) = \{0\}$. Then R is a divisorial domain if and only if R is a homogeneously divisorial domain.

Proof. If R is a homogeneously divisorial domain, then R is a gr-Dedekind domain by Theorem 2.4, and thus R is a Dedekind domain [3, Corollary 7]. Hence, R is a divisorial domain [7, Proposition 5.5]. The converse is trivial. \Box

3. Graded-Noetherian domains

In this section, we study gr-Noetherian domains which are also homogeneously divisorial domains.

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [7, Corollary 3.2]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a graded integral domain, S be a multiplicative set of nonzero homogeneous elements of R, and A be a finite intersection of principal homogeneous fractional ideals of R. Then AR_S is a homogeneous divisorial ideal.

Proof. Let $A = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} x_i R$ be a finite intersection of nonzero principal homogeneous ideals of R. Then $AR_S = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} x_i R_S$ [7, Lemma 3.1], and thus AR_S is a homogeneous divisorial ideal of R_S .

Let V be a rank 2 valuation domain with prime ideals $(0) \subsetneq P \subsetneq M$ such that M is principal but V_P is not discrete. Then each nonzero homogeneous ideal of $R = V[X, X^{-1}]$ is divisorial, while $R_{H \setminus PR} = V_P[X, X^{-1}]$ has a nondivisorial homogeneous ideal $PR_{H \setminus PR}$ by Example 1.1. Hence, Lemma 1.11 is not true for homogeneous non-maximal ideals. However, our next result shows that every nonzero homogeneous ideal of R_S is divisorial for any multiplicative set $S \subseteq H$ when $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ is a gr-Noetherian homogeneously divisorial domain.

Proposition 3.2 (cf. [7, Remark 3.3]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain and S be a multiplicative set of nonzero homogeneous elements of R. If R is gr-Noetherian, then R_S is a homogeneously divisorial domain.

Proof. Let A be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of R and $B = A^{-1}$. Then B is a finitely generated homogeneous fractional ideal of R, say $B = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ for some homogeneous elements $x_i \in R_H$, and hence

$$A = B^{-1} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (R : x_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (1/x_i)R.$$

Thus, AR_S is divisorial by Lemma 3.1.

Let D be a Noetherian domain. It is known that if D is a divisorial domain, then D has (Krull) dimension one [7, Corollary 4.3]. Also, if D has (Krull) dimension one, then D is an h-local domain. Thus, D is a divisorial domain if and only if D_M is a divisorial domain for all $M \in \text{Max}(D)$ [5, Proposition 5.4].

Corollary 3.3. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a gr-Noetherian domain. Then R is a homogeneously divisorial domain if and only if $R_{H \setminus P}$ is a homogeneously divisorial domain for all $P \in h$ -Max(R).

Proof. Suppose that $R_{H\setminus P}$ is a homogeneously divisorial domain for all $P \in$ h-Max(R). Let A be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of R. Then A is finitely generated, and hence $AR_{H\setminus P} = (AR_{H\setminus P})_v = (A_vR_{H\setminus P})_v$ [18, Lemma 4]; so $AR_{H\setminus P} = A_vR_{H\setminus P}$ for all $P \in$ h-Max(R). Thus,

$$A = \bigcap \{AR_{H\setminus Q} \mid Q \in \text{h-Max}(R)\}$$
$$= \bigcap \{A_v R_{H\setminus Q} \mid Q \in \text{h-Max}(R)$$
$$= A_v$$

[16, Proposition 2.6]. The converse is from Proposition 3.2 or Lemma 1.11. \Box

Let D be an almost Dedekind domain that is not Dedekind (see, for example, [6, Example 42.6] for such an integral domain), and let $R = D[X, X^{-1}]$. Then D is not an h-local domain, h-Max $(R) = \{P[X, X^{-1}] | P \in Max(D)\}$, and $R_{H \setminus P[X, X^{-1}]} = D_P[X, X^{-1}]$ is a gr-valuation domain such that $PD_P[X, X^{-1}]$ is the homogeneous maximal ideal and $PD_P[X, X^{-1}]$ is principal. Hence, every nonzero homogeneous ideal of $R_{H \setminus Q}$ is divisorial for all $Q \in h$ -Max(R) by Lemma 2.1, but R has a nonzero homogeneous ideal that is not divisorial by Example 1.1. Thus, Corollary 3.3 does not hold if R is not a gr-Noetherian domain.

We next prove in Theorem 3.9 that if $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ is a homogeneously divisorial domain which is also gr-Noetherian, then every nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R has height-one. For which we first need several lemmas.

Lemma 3.4 (cf. [7, Lemma 4.1]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain with a unique homogeneous maximal ideal P and xR be a nonzero homogeneous principal ideal of R. Then xP^{-1} is contained in any homogeneous fractional ideal which properly contains xR.

Proof. Let A be a homogeneous fractional ideal of R with $xR \subsetneq A$. Then $R = \frac{1}{x}(xR) \subsetneq \frac{1}{x}A$, and hence $(\frac{1}{x}A)^{-1} \subsetneq R$. Note that $\frac{1}{x}A$ is homogeneous; so $(\frac{1}{x}A)^{-1} \subseteq P$. Hence, $P^{-1} \subseteq (\frac{1}{x}A)_v = \frac{1}{x}A$, and thus $xP^{-1} \subseteq A$.

We recall that a homogeneous ideal is h-irreducible if it is not a finite intersection of homogeneous ideals strictly containing it.

Corollary 3.5 (cf. [7, Corollary 4.2]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain with a unique homogeneous maximal ideal. Then every homogeneous principal ideal of R is h-irreducible.

Proof. Let $x \in R$ be a nonzero homogeneous element such that $xR = A \cap B$ for some homogeneous ideals A, B of R with $xR \subsetneq A$ and $xR \subsetneq B$, and P be the homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Then $xP^{-1} \subseteq A \cap B = xR$ by Lemma 3.4, and thus $P^{-1} \subseteq R$, a contradiction.

An ideal I of $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ is called *h*-primary if $ab \in I$ for homogeneous elements a, b of R implies that $a \in I$ or $b^n \in I$ for some integer $n \ge 1$. Clearly,

a primary ideal is h-primary. Also, it is known that if Q is an h-primary homogeneous ideal of R, then Q is a primary ideal of R [9, Proposition 5.6.20].

Lemma 3.6. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a gr-Noetherian domain. Then each hirreducible ideal of R is a primary ideal.

Proof. Let *I* be a nonzero h-irreducible ideal of *R*. Assume that *I* is not primary. Then there exist homogeneous elements $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \in I$, $a \notin I$, and $b^n \notin I$ for all integers $n \ge 1$. Since *R* is a gr-Noetherian domain, the ascending chain of homogeneous ideals $(I :_R b) \subseteq (I :_R b^2) \subseteq \cdots$ must be stationary. Hence, there exists an integer $m \ge 0$ such that $(I :_R b^m) = (I :_R b^{m+1})$. Consider the homogeneous ideals aR + I and $b^m R + I$. If $x \in (aR + I) \cap (b^m R + I)$, then $x = t_1a + i = t_2b^m + j$ for some $t_1, t_2 \in R$ and $i, j \in I$. Hence, $t_2b^{m+1} = (t_2b^m)b = (t_1a + (i-j))b \in I$, and thus $t_2 \in (I :_R b^{m+1}) = (I :_R b^m)$; so $x = t_2b^m + j \in I$. Thus, $(aR + I) \cap (b^m R + I) = I$, and hence *I* is not h-irreducible, a contradiction. □

Corollary 3.7. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain with a unique homogeneous maximal ideal. If R is gr-Noetherian, then every homogeneous principal ideal of R is primary.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 3.5 and Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.8. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain, P be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R, and $0 \neq x \in P$ be homogeneous. Then there is a homogeneous element $y \in R \setminus xR$ such that $P = (xR :_R y)$.

Proof. Note that $R \subsetneq P^{-1}$ and P^{-1} is homogeneous; so there are homogeneous elements $a, b \in R$ such that $\frac{b}{a} \in P^{-1} \setminus R$. Clearly, $P = P_v = (\frac{b}{a}, 1)^{-1} = \frac{a}{b}R \cap R = (aR:_R b)$. Thus, if $y = x\frac{b}{a}$, then $y \in R \setminus xR$ and $P = (aR:_R b) = (R:_R \frac{b}{a}) = (xR:_R y)$.

The *h*-height of a homogeneous prime ideal Q of $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ (denoted by h-htQ) is defined to be the supremum of the lengths of chains of homogeneous prime ideals $(0) \subsetneq Q_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Q_n = Q$. Clearly, h-ht $Q \leq htQ$, and equality holds when every prime ideal P of R with $P \subseteq Q$ is homogeneous. The *h*-dimension of R (denoted by *h*-dim R) is defined to be $\sup\{h-htQ \mid Q \in h-Spec(R)\}$.

Theorem 3.9 (cf. [7, Corollary 4.3]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain which is also gr-Noetherian. Then each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R has height-one.

Proof. Let P be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Then $R_{H\setminus P}$ is a gr-Noetherian domain whose nonzero homogeneous ideals are divisorial by Corollary 3.3. Hence, by replacing R and P with $R_{H\setminus P}$ and $PR_{H\setminus P}$, respectively, we may assume that R is a gr-Noetherian domain with a unique homogeneous maximal ideal P. Let $a \in P$ be a nonzero homogeneous element. By Lemma

3.8, there is a homogeneous element $b \in R \setminus aR$ such that P = (aR : b). We show that $\sqrt{aR} = (aR : b)$. It is clear that $\sqrt{aR} \subseteq \sqrt{(aR : b)} = (aR : b)$. If $x \in (aR : b)$, then $xb \in aR$, and since aR is a primary ideal by Corollary 3.7 and $b \notin aR$, we have $x^n \in aR$ for some integer $n \ge 1$; so $x \in \sqrt{aR}$. Consequently, P is minimal over aR, and since R is a gr-Noetherian domain, h-h $P \le 1$ [13, Theorem 3.5]. Hence, h-dim(R) = 1, and if \overline{R} is the integral closure of R, then \overline{R} is a homogeneous overring of R with h-dim $(\overline{R}) = 1$ [14, Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, and Corollary 1.6]. Let Q be a nonzero prime ideal of R such that $Q \subseteq P$. Then there are prime ideals $Q' \subseteq P'$ of \overline{R} such that $Q' \cap R = Q$ and $P' \cap R = P$. Note that P' is a homogeneous ideal of \overline{R} ; so htP' = 1 (cf. [14, Theorem 2.10] and [1, Proposition 5.5]). Hence, $Q = Q' \cap R = P' \cap R = P$. Thus, htP = 1.

Corollary 3.10 (cf. [11, Theorem 3.8]). Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a gr-Noetherian domain and $S(H) = \{f \in R \mid C(f) = R\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) R is a homogeneously divisorial domain.
- (2) Each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R has height-one and M^{-1} is generated by two elements for all $M \in h$ -Max(R).
- (3) $R_{S(H)}$ is a divisorial domain of (Krull) dimension one.
- (4) $R_{H\setminus P}$ is a homogeneously divisorial domain for all $P \in h$ -Max(R).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Each nonzero homogeneous prime ideal of R has height-one by Theorem 3.9 and $M^{-1} = R + xR$ for any homogeneous element $x \in M^{-1} \setminus R$ by Lemma 1.5.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Let M be a homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Then R_M is a one-dimensional Noetherian domain such that $(MR_M)^{-1} = M^{-1}R_M$ (because M is finitely generated); so $(MR_M)^{-1}$ is two generated. Thus, each nonzero ideal of R_M is divisorial [11, Theorem 3.8]. Next, note that $\bigcap_{Q \in h-Max(R)} R_Q$ is locally finite because R is gr-Noetherian and each homogeneous maximal ideal of R has height-one; so $Max(R_{S(H)}) = \{Q_{S(H)} | Q \in h-Max(R)\}$ by the proof of Corollary 1.8. Thus, $R_{S(H)}$ is a one-dimensional Noetherian domain, and hence $R_{S(H)}$ is an h-local domain. Note that $(R_{S(H)})_{M_{S(H)}} = R_M$ for all $M \in h-Max(R)$. Thus, $R_{S(H)}$ is a divisorial domain [5, Proposition 5.4].

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let A be a nonzero homogeneous ideal of R. Then $A = AR_{S(H)} \cap R = (AR_{S(H)})_v \cap R = A_v R_{S(H)} \cap R = A_v$ by [3, Lemma 2] and the proof of Corollary 2.3. Thus, R is a homogeneously divisorial domain.

 $(1) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ Corollary 3.3.

Corollary 3.11. Let $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_{\alpha}$ be a homogeneously divisorial domain which is also gr-Noetherian. Then the integral closure of R is a gr-Dedekind domain.

Proof. Let \bar{R} be the integral closure of R and $S(H) = \{f \in R | C(f) = R\}$. Then $\bar{R}_{S(H)}$ is a one-dimensional integrally closed Noetherian domain by Corollary 3.10 because $\bar{R}_{S(H)}$ is the integral closure of $R_{S(H)}$. Thus, $\bar{R}_{S(H)}$ is a Dedekind domain [6, Theorem 37.8]. Also, R is a homogeneous overring of R [14, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3]. Hence, if $\bar{S}(H) = \{f \in \bar{R} \mid C(f) = \bar{R}\}$, then $S(H) \subseteq \bar{S}(H)$, and thus $\bar{R}_{\bar{S}(H)}$ is a Dedekind domain. Thus, \bar{R} is a gr-Dedekind domain [3, Theorem 4].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the referee for his/her several valuable comments and suggestions. The first-named author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1D1A1B06029867).

References

- D. D. Anderson and D. F. Anderson, Divisibility properties of graded domains, Canad. J. Math. 34 (1982), no. 1, 196–215. https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1982-013-3
- [2] D. F. Anderson and G. W. Chang, Graded integral domains and Nagata rings, J. Algebra 387 (2013), 169–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.04.021
- [3] _____, Graded integral domains whose nonzero homogeneous ideals are invertible, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 26 (2016), no. 7, 1361–1368. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218196716500582
- [4] D. F. Anderson, G. W. Chang, and M. Zafrullah, Graded Prüfer domains, Comm. Algebra 46 (2018), no. 2, 792–809. https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2017.1327595
- [5] S. Bazzoni and L. Salce, Warfield domains, J. Algebra 185 (1996), no. 3, 836-868. https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1996.0353
- [6] R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1972.
- W. Heinzer, Integral domains in which each non-zero ideal is divisorial, Mathematika 15 (1968), 164–170. https://doi.org/10.1112/S0025579300002527
- [8] B. G. Kang, Prüfer v-multiplication domains and the ring R[X]_{Nv}, J. Algebra 123 (1989), no. 1, 151–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(89)90040-9
- [9] M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, Computational Commutative Algebra. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [10] E. Matlis, Cotorsion modules, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 49 (1964), 66 pp.
- [11] _____, Reflexive domains, J. Algebra 8 (1968), 1-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0021-8693(68)90031-8
- [12] D. G. Northcott, Lessons on Rings, Modules and Multiplicities, Cambridge University Press, London, 1968.
- [13] C. H. Park and M. H. Park, Integral closure of a graded Noetherian domain, J. Korean Math. Soc. 48 (2011), no. 3, 449–464. https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.2011.48.3.449
- [14] M. H. Park, Integral closure of graded integral domains, Comm. Algebra 35 (2007), no. 12, 3965–3978. https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870701509511
- [15] D. E. Rush, Noetherian properties in monoid rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 185 (2003), no. 1-3, 259–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(03)00103-8
- [16] P. Sahandi, Characterizations of graded Pr
 üfer *-multiplication domains, Korean J. Math. 22 (2014), 181–206.
- [17] _____, Characterizations of graded Pr
 üfer *-multiplication domains. II, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 44 (2018), no. 1, 61–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41980-018-0005-1
- [18] M. Zafrullah, On finite conductor domains, Manuscripta Math. 24 (1978), no. 2, 191– 204. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01310053

Gyu Whan Chang Department of Mathematics Education Incheon National University Incheon 22012, Korea Email address: whan@inu.ac.kr

HALEH HAMDI DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF TABRIZ TABRIZ, IRAN Email address: h.hamdimoghadam@tabrizu.ac.ir

Parviz Sahandi Department of Pure Mathematics Faculty of Mathematical Sciences University of Tabriz Tabriz, Iran *Email address*: sahandi@ipm.ir