

## A SUBCLASS OF HARMONIC UNIVALENT MAPPINGS WITH A RESTRICTED ANALYTIC PART

BIKASH KUMAR CHINHARA, PRIYABRAT GOCHHAYAT,  
AND SUDHANANDA MAHARANA

ABSTRACT. In this article, a subclass of univalent harmonic mapping is introduced by restricting its analytic part to lie in the class  $\mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $-\infty < \delta < \infty$  which has been introduced and studied by Kumar [17] (see also [20], [21], [22], [23]). Coefficient estimations, growth and distortion properties, area theorem and covering estimates of functions in the newly defined class have been established. Furthermore, we also found bound for the Bloch's constant for all functions in that family.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions  $f$  of the form  $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$ , which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . The subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all analytic and univalent functions in  $\mathbb{D}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{S}$ . A well known sufficient condition (cf. [25]) for a function to be in the class  $\mathcal{S}$  is that  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \leq 1$ . An analogous sufficient condition (cf. [17]) for a function  $f$  to be in the class  $\mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $-\infty < \delta < \infty$  is that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^\delta \left( \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right) |a_n| \leq 1.$$

Note that for each fixed  $n$  the function  $n^\delta$  is increasing with respect to  $\delta$ . This shows that if  $\delta$  increases, then the corresponding class decreases. Consequently, the functions in  $\mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$  are univalent starlike of order  $\alpha$  if  $\delta \geq 0$  and if  $\delta \geq 1$  then the functions in the family  $\mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$  are univalent convex of order  $\alpha$ . The classical subfamily  $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$  ( $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ ), of univalent convex of order  $\alpha$  (univalent starlike of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ), respectively were introduced and studied in [24] are found in [7, 10, 11]. Mishra and Choudhury [21] showed that the class  $\mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$  also contains non-univalent function for negative  $\delta$ . In [23], Mishra and Gochhayat found the coefficient estimate problems for inverse of functions in

---

Received June 22, 2018; Revised August 24, 2018; Accepted September 17, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50, 30C55.

*Key words and phrases*. harmonic univalent mapping, Bloch's constant, coefficient estimates, growth theorem, distortion theorem, covering theorem, area theorem.

the class  $\mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$ . For more basic properties of the class we refer to [22, 23] and the references therein. In the present sequel, it is our interest to consider planar harmonic mappings whose analytic parts are members of the family  $\mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$ .

Recalling, a complex-valued harmonic function in a simply connected domain  $D$  subset of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  has a representation  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic functions in  $D$ , that is unique up to an additive constant. Notice that when  $D = \mathbb{D}$ , the open unit disk, it is convenient to choose the additive constant so that  $g(0) = 0$ . The representation  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is therefore unique and is called the canonical representation of  $f$ . Lewy in [18] proved that  $f$  is locally univalent if and only if the Jacobian satisfies  $J_f = |h'|^2 - |g'|^2 \neq 0$ . Thus, harmonic mappings are either sense-preserving or sense-reversing depending on the conditions  $J_f > 0$  and  $J_f < 0$ , respectively throughout the domain  $D$ , where  $f$  is locally univalent. Since  $J_f > 0$  if and only if  $J_{\bar{f}} < 0$  we will consider sense-preserving mappings in  $\mathbb{D}$  throughout all of this work. In this case the analytic part  $h$  is locally univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$  since  $h' \neq 0$ , and the second complex dilatation  $w$  of  $f$ , given by  $w = g'/h'$ , is an analytic function in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $|w| < 1$ , see [5].

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the set of all locally univalent and sense preserving complex harmonic mappings in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Therefore, any function  $f$  in the class  $\mathcal{H}$  has unique power series representation of the form:

$$(1) \quad f = h + \bar{g}, \quad \text{where} \quad h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

and  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Following Clunie and Sheil-Small's notation [5], let  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}} \subset \mathcal{H}$  denote the class of all sense preserving univalent harmonic functions  $f = h + \bar{g}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  with the normalization  $h(0) = g(0) = h'(0) - 1 = 0$ . The class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  is a normal family [8]. Further, the subclass of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  for which  $g'(0) = 0$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  is a compact normal family of harmonic functions [5]. Moreover, when  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ , the coefficients  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_1 = 1$ , and for  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0$ , the coefficients  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_1 = 0$ , and  $b_1 = 0$ .

It is pertinent that for a fixed analytic function  $h$  an interesting problem arises to describe all functions  $g$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Not much known on the geometric properties of such planar harmonic functions. Klimek and Michalski [15], first studied the properties of a subset of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  which is defined for all univalent anti-analytic perturbation of the identity and also considered the subclass of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  which is defined by restricting  $h$  as a member of  $\mathcal{C}$ , univalent convex functions [16]. Very recently, Hotta and Michalski [12] considered  $h$  as a member of  $\mathcal{S}^*$ , univalent starlike functions and discuss some geometric properties of certain subfamily of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Few more subclasses of planar harmonic mappings were considered by restricting  $h$  as member of univalent starlike function of order  $\alpha$  [27], univalent convex function of order  $\alpha$  [27] and to be in the class of bounded boundary rotation [13]. In continuation to our earlier works, in the present sequel we considered the following new subclass of  $\mathcal{H}$

which is defined by considering  $h$  as a member of  $\mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$ . Thus, we define following:

**Definition.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ , with  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$  and  $-\infty < \delta < \infty$  if the analytic part of  $f$  is a member of  $\mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$  and  $|b_1| = \beta$ . Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta] := \{f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H} : h(z) \in \mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha], |b_1| = \beta; \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1); -\infty < \delta < \infty\}.$$

Some facts about  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ :

- (i) for  $\delta \geq 1$ , the analytic part of  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  is a convex function of order  $\alpha$  (see [23]), therefore in view of [5, Theorem 5.7] and the identity  $|w(z)| = |g'(z)/h'(z)| < 1$ ,  $f$  is sense preserving and normalized univalent function. Thus  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathcal{S}_H$ . Further for  $\beta = 0$ , along with  $\delta \geq 1$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathcal{S}_H^0$ .
- (ii) for  $\delta \geq 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  becomes a subclass of  $\mathcal{S}_H^\beta[C_\alpha]$  studied in [27].
- (iii) for  $\delta \geq 0$ , the analytic part of  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  becomes a starlike function of order  $\alpha$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  is a subclass of  $\mathcal{S}_H^\beta[S_\alpha]$ , which is introduced in [27].

Finally, it is an easy exercise to check that:

**Proposition 1.1.** For  $\beta = 0, \delta \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , the class  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  is a convex set.

In the present investigation we also need following definitions and notations.

A harmonic function  $f$  is called a *Bloch mapping* if and only if

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{B}_f = \sup_{z, \xi \in \mathbb{D}, z \neq \xi} \frac{|f(z) - f(\xi)|}{\mathcal{Q}(z, \xi)} < \infty,$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}(z, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{1 + \left| \frac{z - \xi}{1 - \bar{z}\xi} \right|}{1 - \left| \frac{z - \xi}{1 - \bar{z}\xi} \right|} \right) = \operatorname{arctanh} \left| \frac{z - \xi}{1 - \bar{z}\xi} \right|$$

denotes the hyperbolic distance between  $z$  and  $\xi$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and  $\mathcal{B}_f$  is called the *Bloch's constant* of  $f$ . In [6], Colonna proved that the Bloch's constant  $\mathcal{B}_f$  of a harmonic mapping  $f = h + \bar{g}$  can be expressed as follows:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_f &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) (|h'(z)| + |g'(z)|) \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |h'(z)|(1 + |w(z)|), \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the well-known notion of the Bloch's constant for analytic functions. Moreover, the set of all harmonic Bloch mappings forms a complex Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  given by

$$\|f\| = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \Lambda_f(z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_f &= \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} |f_z(z) - e^{-2i\theta} f_{\bar{z}}(z)| = |f_z(z)| + |f_{\bar{z}}(z)| \\ &= |h'(z)| + |g'(z)| = |h'(z)| (1 + |w(z)|). \end{aligned}$$

This definition agrees with the notion of the Bloch’s constant for analytic functions. Recently, some authors (see [3, 4, 14, 19]) have studied Bloch’s constant for harmonic mappings. Thus it is our interest to find the bound on Bloch constant for functions in the family  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ .

The following lemmas are being used to proof our main results which can be found in Graham and Kohr [11], Mishra and Gochhayat [23] and Vincent [26] (also see [1, 2]), respectively.

**Lemma 1.2** ([11]). *If  $\Phi(z) = c_0 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  is an analytic function and  $|\Phi(z)| \leq 1$  on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ , then  $|c_n| \leq 1 - |c_0|^2$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$*

**Lemma 1.3** ([23]). *If the function  $f \in \mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$ , then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{n^\delta(n - \alpha)}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

and equality holds for each  $n$  only for functions of the form

$$f_n(z) = z + \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{n^\delta(n - \alpha)} e^{i\theta} z^n, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

**Lemma 1.4** ([26], For bisection version see [1, 2]). *Let  $p(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$ . There exists a positive quantity  $\delta$  so that for every pair of positive rational numbers  $a, b$  with  $|b - a| < \delta$  every transformed polynomial of the form*

$$V(x) = (1 + x)^n p\left(\frac{a + bx}{1 + x}\right)$$

has exactly 0 or 1 variations in the sequence of its coefficients. The second case is possible if and only if  $p(x)$  has a simple root within  $(a, b)$ . Moreover, the number of the sign variation is the maximal number of roots in  $(a, b)$ .

## 2. Main results

### 2.1. Coefficient bound

In this section we have studied the bound of  $|b_n|$ , for  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ , with  $\delta \geq 0$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  have the series representations of the form (1).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ , where  $h(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are given by (1). Then*

$$(4) \quad |b_n| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1 - \alpha)\beta}{2^\delta(2 - \alpha)} + \frac{(1 - \beta^2)}{2}, & n = 2, \\ \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta^2)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k^{1-\delta}}{k-\alpha} + \frac{(1 - \alpha)\beta}{n^\delta(n - \alpha)}, & n = 3, 4, \dots \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let the function  $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$  be in the class  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are represented by (1). Let  $g'(z) = w(z)h'(z)$ , where  $w(z)$  is the dilatation of  $f$ ,

$$(5) \quad w(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where  $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Clearly,  $c_0 = |w(0)| = |g'(0)| = |b_1| = \beta < 1$ . Further, since  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  is sense preserving, we have  $|w(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Therefore, from Lemma 1.2, we have

$$|c_n| \leq 1 - |c_0|^2, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Simplifying  $g'(z) = w(z)h'(z)$ , by using the relations (1) and (5), we have

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n b_n z^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1) a_{k+1} c_{n-k-1} \right) z^{n-1}.$$

Comparing the coefficients in (6), we obtain

$$(7) \quad n b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1) a_{k+1} c_{n-1-k}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Since  $h(z) \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha]$ , it is clear from Lemma 1.3 that

$$(8) \quad |a_n| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{n^\delta(n - \alpha)}, \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Application of (8) in (7) together with Lemma 1.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} n|b_n| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (k+1) |a_{k+1}| |c_{n-1-k}| + n|a_n| |c_0| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(p+1)(1-\alpha)(1-\beta^2)}{(k+1)^\delta(k+1-\alpha)} + \frac{n(1-\alpha)\beta}{n^\delta(n-\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta^2)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k^{1-\delta}}{k-\alpha} + \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{n^\delta(n-\alpha)}.$$

In particular for  $n = 2$ , we have

$$2|b_2| \leq 2|a_2||c_0| + |a_1||c_1| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\beta}{2^\delta(2-\alpha)} + 1 - \beta^2,$$

which together with Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, provides

$$|b_2| \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{(2-\alpha)2^\delta} + \frac{(1-\beta^2)}{2}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ , where  $h(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are given by (1). Then, for  $\beta = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$ , the coefficients of the co-analytic part of  $f$  are:

$$|b_1| = 0, \quad |b_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad |b_n| \leq \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n}\right) [\Psi(n-\alpha) - \Psi(1-\alpha)], \quad n = 3, 4, \dots,$$

where  $\Psi(x)$  represents the Psi (or Digamma) function of a real non-negative  $x$ , and is defined by the logarithmic derivative of the usual Gamma function (see [9]).

**2.2. Growth and distortion results**

In this section, we found the growth and distortion estimates of the analytic and co-analytic part of function  $f$  in the class  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ , where  $h(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are given by (1). Then for  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$(9) \quad 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \leq |h'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}}$$

and

$$(10) \quad \left(\frac{|\beta-r|}{1-\beta r}\right) \left(1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}}\right) \leq |g'(z)| \leq \left(\frac{\beta+r}{1+\beta r}\right) \left(1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}}\right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $g'(0) = \beta e^{i\mu}$ ,  $\mu$  real. From a given dilatation  $w(z)$ ,  $|w(z)| < 1$  and  $|w(0)| = |g'(0)| = \beta$ , we consider

$$F_0(z) := \frac{e^{-i\mu}w(z) - \beta}{1 - \beta e^{-i\mu}w(z)} = e^{-i\mu} \left( \frac{w(z) - \beta e^{i\mu}}{1 - \beta e^{-i\mu}w(z)} \right), \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Since  $\beta \in [0, 1)$ , therefore the complex conjugate of  $\beta e^{i\mu}$  is equal to  $\beta e^{-i\mu}$ . Further as  $|w(z)| < 1$  and  $|\beta e^{i\mu}| < 1$ , clearly we have  $|F_0(z)| < 1$ . Therefore,  $F_0(z)$  satisfies the conditions of Schwartz lemma. Hence  $|F_0(z)| \leq |z|$ . This implies that

$$|e^{-i\mu}w(z) - \beta| \leq |z| |1 - \beta e^{-i\mu}w(z)|, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(11) \quad \left| e^{-i\mu}w(z) - \frac{\beta(1-r^2)}{1-\beta^2 r^2} \right| \leq \frac{r(1-\beta^2)}{(1-\beta^2 r^2)}, \quad (z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Equality in the above inequality holds for the function

$$(12) \quad w(z) = e^{i\mu} \frac{e^{i\phi}z + \beta}{1 + \beta e^{i\phi}z}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}, \phi \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Applying triangle inequality over (11), we obtain

$$(13) \quad \frac{|\beta-r|}{1-\beta r} \leq |w(z)| \leq \frac{\beta+r}{1+\beta r}, \quad |z| = r < 1.$$

The function  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ , indicates that  $h(z) \in S^\delta[\alpha]$ . Hence,

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^\delta \left( \frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right) |a_n| \leq 1.$$

Clearly,

$$(2-\alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^\delta |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^\delta (n-\alpha) |a_n| \leq (1-\alpha).$$

Therefore,

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^\delta |a_n| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}.$$

For  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $n^\delta$  is increasing in  $n$ . Thus using (14) and (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2^\delta \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^\delta n |a_n| = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^\delta (n-\alpha) |a_n| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha n^\delta |a_n| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha) + \alpha \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2^{\delta-1}(2-\alpha)}.$$

Consider the function

$$G(z) := zh'(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Therefore, using (16), we have

$$|G(z)| = |zh'(z)| \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| |z|^n \leq r + r^2 \frac{1-\alpha}{2^{\delta-1}(2-\alpha)}.$$

This gives right hand side estimate of (9).

Similarly,

$$|G(z)| = |zh'(z)| \geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| |z|^n \geq r - r^2 \frac{1-\alpha}{2^{\delta-1}(2-\alpha)},$$

which estimates the left hand side of the inequality (9).

By using (13) and (9), in the identity  $g'(z) = w(z)h'(z)$ , we have

$$(17) \quad |g'(z)| \leq \left( \frac{\beta+r}{1+\beta r} \right) |h'(z)| \leq \left( \frac{\beta+r}{1+\beta r} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \right),$$

and

$$(18) \quad |g'(z)| \geq \frac{|\beta-r|}{1-\beta r} |h'(z)| \geq \left( \frac{|\beta-r|}{1-\beta r} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. □

Following theorem gives the upper and lower bounds of the co-analytic part of function  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are of the form (1).

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ , where  $h(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are of the form (1). Then for  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta$  real, we have*

$$\left| \frac{F}{2^\delta(2-\alpha)\beta^3} \right| \leq |g(z)| \leq \frac{E}{2^\delta(2-\alpha)\beta^3},$$

where

$$E := r\beta(2^\delta(2-\alpha)\beta - (1-\alpha)(2-(r+2\beta)\beta)) + (2-2\alpha-2^\delta(2-\alpha)\beta)(1-\beta^2)\log(1+r\beta)$$

and

$$F := r\beta(-2^\delta(2-\alpha)\beta + (1-\alpha)(2+(r-2\beta)\beta)) + (2-2\alpha-2^\delta(2-\alpha)\beta)(1-\beta^2)\log(1-r\beta).$$

*Proof.* Choose a path  $\gamma := [0, z]$ . From (17) and (18), for  $|z| = r < 1$ , we have

$$(19) \quad \left( \frac{|\beta-r|}{1-\beta r} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \right) \leq |g'(z)| \leq \left( \frac{\beta+r}{1+\beta r} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \right).$$

Right hand inequality of Theorem 2.4 is obtained immediately upon integration along a radial line  $\eta = te^{i\theta}$ .

In order to find the lower bound, let  $\Gamma = g(\{z : |z| = r\})$  and let  $\xi_1 \in \Gamma$  be the nearest point to the origin. By a rotation we may assume that  $\xi_1 > 0$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the line segment  $0 \leq \xi \leq \xi_1$  and suppose that  $z_1 = g^{-1}(\xi_1)$  and  $L = g^{-1}(\gamma)$ . Then, with  $\eta$  as the variable of integration on  $L$ , we have  $d\xi = g'(\eta)d\eta > 0$  on  $L$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \int_0^{\xi_1} d\xi = \int_0^{z_1} g'(\eta) d\eta = \int_0^{z_1} |g'(\eta)| |d\eta| \geq \int_0^r |g'(te^{i\theta})| dt \\ &\geq \int_0^r \left( \frac{|\eta-\beta|}{1-\beta\eta} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)\eta}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \right) d\eta = \left| \frac{F}{2^\delta(2-\alpha)\beta^3} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4. □

### 2.3. Area estimation

In this section, we deal with the area estimates of the function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ , where  $h(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are of the form (1). Then the estimation of area  $A := \int \int_{\mathbb{D}} J_f(z) dx dy$ , is given by*

$$(20) \quad 2\pi \int_0^1 r \left( \frac{((2-\alpha)^2 2^{2(\delta-1)} - r(1-\alpha))^2}{(2-\alpha)2^{2(\delta-1)}} \right) \left( \frac{(1-\beta^2)(1-r^2)}{(1+\beta r)^2} \right) dr \leq A$$



$$\leq 2\pi \int_0^1 r \left( \frac{((2-\alpha)^2 2^{2(\delta-1)} + r(1-\alpha))^2}{(2-\alpha)^2 2^{2(\delta-1)}} \right) \left( \frac{(1-\beta^2)(1-r^2)}{(1-\beta r)^2} \right) dr.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ , with the Jacobian  $J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2(1 - |w(z)|^2)$ , where  $w(z)$  is the dilatation of  $f$ , for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

By making use of estimates (9) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \int \int_{\mathbb{D}} J_f(z) \, dx dy = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 J_f(re^{i\theta}) r \, dr d\theta \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^1 r J_f(re^{i\theta}) \, dr = 2\pi \int_0^1 r |h'(re^{i\theta})|^2 (1 - |w(re^{i\theta})|^2) \, dr \\ &\geq 2\pi \int_0^1 r \left( 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\beta+r}{1+\beta r} \right)^2 \right) \, dr \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^1 r \left( \frac{((2-\alpha)^2 2^{2(\delta-1)} - r(1-\alpha))^2}{(2-\alpha)^2 2^{2(\delta-1)}} \right) \left( \frac{(1-r^2)(1-\beta^2)}{(1+\beta r)^2} \right) \, dr, \end{aligned}$$

which is the left hand inequality of the estimates in (20). Next to find the right hand estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= 2\pi \int_0^1 r |h'(re^{i\theta})|^2 (1 - |w(re^{i\theta})|^2) \, dr \\ &\leq 2\pi \int_0^1 r \left( 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)r}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\beta-r}{1-\beta r} \right)^2 \right) \, dr \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^1 r \left( \frac{((2-\alpha)^2 2^{2(\delta-1)} + r(1-\alpha))^2}{(2-\alpha)^2 2^{2(\delta-1)}} \right) \left( \frac{(1-r^2)(1-\beta^2)}{(1-\beta r)^2} \right) \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5. □

### 2.4. Covering results

In order to establish the covering result for the class  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ , we first focused on the growth inequalities for the function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  in the theorem stated below.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ . The growth of  $f$  is estimated as:*

$$(21) \quad |f(z)| \geq \int_0^r \frac{((2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1} + (1-\alpha)\xi)(1-\beta)(1-\xi)}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}(1+\beta\xi)} d\xi$$

and

$$(22) \quad |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{r^2(1-\alpha)}{2^\delta(2-\alpha)} + \int_0^r \left( \frac{\beta+\xi}{1+\beta\xi} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)\xi}{(2-\alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \right) d\xi$$

for all  $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{D}_r$  represents the disk of radius  $r$ ,  $r < 1$ , with center at origin. Denote  $d := \min \{|f(\mathbb{D}_r)| : z \in \mathbb{D}_r\}$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{D}_d \subseteq f(\mathbb{D}_r) \subseteq f(\mathbb{D})$ . It is due to minimum modulus principle that, there exists  $t_r \in \partial\mathbb{D}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r, r < 1\}$ , such that  $d = |f(t_r)|$ .

Consider a Jordan arc as  $l(t) := f^{-1}(L(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $L(t) := tf(t_r)$ . Since  $f \equiv f(z, \bar{z}) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ , then we have

$$(23) \quad d = |f(t_r)| = \int_L |dw| = \int_l |df| = \int_l |f_\eta(\eta) d\eta + \overline{g_{\bar{\eta}}(\eta)} d\bar{\eta}| \geq \int_l (|h'(\eta)| - |g'(\eta)|) |d\eta|.$$

From (9) and (13), the integrand of the above inequality becomes

$$|h'(\eta)| - |g'(\eta)| = |h'(\eta)|(1 - |w(\eta)|) \geq \left(1 + \frac{(1 - \alpha)|\eta|}{(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\beta + |\eta|}{1 + \beta|\eta|}\right).$$

Therefore, (23) yields

$$(24) \quad d \geq \int_l \frac{((2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1} + (1 - \alpha)|\eta|)(1 - \beta)(1 - |\eta|)}{(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1}(1 + \beta|\eta|)} |d\eta| = \int_0^1 \frac{((2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1} + (1 - \alpha)|l(t)|)(1 - \beta)(1 - |l(t)|)}{(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1}(1 + \beta|l(t)|)} dt \geq \int_0^r \frac{((2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1} + (1 - \alpha)\xi)(1 - \beta)(1 - \xi)}{(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1}(1 + \beta\xi)} d\xi, \quad z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D},$$

which proofs the inequality (21).

Next, we proceed to proof the inequality (22). Note that

$$(25) \quad |f(z)| = |h(z) + \overline{g(z)}| \leq |h(z)| + |g(z)|.$$

For  $h \in \mathcal{S}^\delta[\alpha]$ , it is an easy exercise to check that

$$(26) \quad |h(z)| \leq r + \frac{r^2(1 - \alpha)}{2^\delta(2 - \alpha)}, \quad z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Thus, the inequality (22) follows from the applications of (19), (25) and (26). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.**  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta], \delta \geq 0; \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$  is a normal family of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Due to Montel’s criterion for the normality of family of harmonic functions, it is enough to prove that the class  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathbb{D}$ . That is for each  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ , there exist a constant  $M > 0$  and a neighborhood  $N$  of  $z_0$  such that  $|f(z)| \leq M$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  and  $z_0 \in N$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta], \delta \geq 0; \alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ . Then from the growth inequality (22), it is clear that each function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  is uniformly bounded and the corresponding constant  $M$  is obtained by letting  $r \rightarrow 1^-$  in (22), i.e.,

$$(27) \quad M = 1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2^\delta(2 - \alpha)} + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\beta + \xi}{1 + \beta\xi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{(1 - \alpha)\xi}{(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1}}\right) d\xi.$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 2.7. □

The Covering theorem for  $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$  is established from the growth inequality (21), by the limiting approach of  $r$  to  $1^-$ , and is stated as follows.

**Theorem 2.8.** *The disk  $\mathbb{D}$  is mapped by any function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ;  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ , onto a domain that contains the disk*

$$\left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{C} : |\omega| < k := \int_0^1 \frac{((2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1} + (1 - \alpha)\xi)(1 - \beta)(1 - \xi)}{(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1}(1 + \beta\xi)} d\xi \right\}.$$

**2.5. Estimate of Bloch’s constant**

In this section, we shall find bounds on the Bloch’s constant for the functions  $f = h + \bar{g}$  in the class  $\mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ ;  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are given by (1). Then the Bloch’s constant  $\mathcal{B}_f$  is bounded by*

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{B}_f \leq \frac{(1 + \beta)}{(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \frac{(1 + r_0 - r_0^2 - r_0^3) ((2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1} + (1 - \alpha)r_0)}{(1 + \beta r_0)},$$

where  $r_0$  is the only root of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{\delta-1}(2 - \alpha)(1 - \beta) + (1 - \alpha) - 2(2^{\delta-1}(2 - \alpha) - (1 - \alpha))r \\ & - (2^{\delta-1}(2 - \alpha)(3 + \beta) + (1 - \alpha)(3 - \beta))r^2 \\ & - (2^\delta(2 - \alpha)\beta + (1 - \alpha)(4 + 2\beta))r^3 - 3(1 - \alpha)\beta r^4, \end{aligned}$$

in the interval  $(0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* If  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha, \beta]$ , then  $h \in \mathcal{S}_H^\delta[\alpha]$ . Using the distortion result from Theorem 2.3 along with (3) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_f &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |h'(z)| (1 + |w(z)|) \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} (1 - r^2) \left( 1 + \frac{(1 - \alpha)r}{(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{r + \beta}{1 + \beta r} \right) \\ &= \frac{(1 + \beta)}{(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1}} \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} G(r), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(r) := \frac{(1 + r - r^2 - r^3)(2 - \alpha)2^{\delta-1} + (1 - \alpha)(r + r^2 - r^3 - r^4)}{(1 + \beta r)}.$$

The derivative of  $G(r)$  is equal to zero, if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{\delta-1}(2 - \alpha)(1 - \beta) + (1 - \alpha) - 2(2^{\delta-1}(2 - \alpha) - (1 - \alpha))r \\ & - (2^{\delta-1}(2 - \alpha)(3 + \beta) + (1 - \alpha)(3 - \beta))r^2 \\ & - (2^\delta(2 - \alpha)\beta + (1 - \alpha)(4 + 2\beta))r^3 - 3(1 - \alpha)\beta r^4 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Denoting the last polynomial by  $H(r)$ , we observed that

$$H(0) = 2^{\delta-1}(2 - \alpha)(1 - \beta) + (1 - \alpha) > 0$$

and

$$H(1) = -4(1 + \beta)(2^{\delta-1}(2 - \alpha) + (1 - \alpha)) < 0.$$

Thus, there exists  $r_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $H(r_0) = 0$ . Now it suffices to prove that  $r_0$  is unique. To claim this it is enough to prove that the derivative  $H'(r) < 0$  for  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $r \in (0, 1)$  be fixed, and we denote by  $L(\beta)$  the derivative  $H'(r)$ , that is

$$L(\beta) = 2(1 - \alpha)(1 - 3r - 6r^2) - 2^\delta(2 - \alpha)(1 + 3r) + (-2^\delta(2 - \alpha)(1 + 3r)r + 2(1 - \alpha)(1 - 3r - 6r^2)r)\beta.$$

One can see that

$$L(0) = 2(1 - \alpha)(1 - 3r - 6r^2) - 2^\delta(2 - \alpha)(1 + 3r) < 0$$

and

$$L(1) = 2(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2r - 9r^2 - 6r^3) - 2^\delta(2 - \alpha)(1 + 4r + 3r^2) < 0$$

for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Denoting the coefficient of  $L(\beta)$  by  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  we have

$$a_0 = 2(1 - \alpha)(1 - 3r - 6r^2) - 2^\delta(2 - \alpha)(1 + 3r) < 0,$$

$$a_1 = -2^\delta(2 - \alpha)(1 + 3r)r + 2(1 - \alpha)(1 - 3r - 6r^2)r < 0.$$

The sequence of the sign of  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  is  $(-, -)$ . Thus, There is no sign variations on  $(0, 1)$  for every  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . Thus, by the classical rule of Descartes-Harriot, there is no zeros of polynomial  $L(\beta)$  in the interval  $(0, 1)$ . It means that  $L(\beta) < 0$  for every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$ , equivalently  $H'(r) < 0$  in  $r \in (0, 1)$ . This is what we wanted to proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are indebted to the anonymous referees for their careful reading and valuable suggestions which helped to improve the presentation of the manuscript.

## References

- [1] A. G. Akritas, A. W. Strzeboński, and P. S. Vigklas, *Improving the performance of the continued fractions method using new bounds of positive roots*, Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control **13** (2008), no. 3, 265–279.
- [2] A. Alesina and M. Galuzzi, *Vincent's theorem from a modern point of view*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 64 (2000), 179–191.
- [3] H. Chen, P. M. Gauthier, and W. Hengartner, *Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 11, 3231–3240. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-00-05590-8>
- [4] Sh. Chen, S. Ponnusamy, and X. Wang, *Bloch constant and Landau's theorem for planar  $p$ -harmonic mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **373** (2011), no. 1, 102–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.06.025>
- [5] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **9** (1984), 3–25. <https://doi.org/10.5186/aasfm.1984.0905>

- [6] F. Colonna, *The Bloch constant of bounded harmonic mappings*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), no. 4, 829–840. <https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1989.38.38039>
- [7] P. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **259**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [8] ———, *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 156, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511546600>
- [9] M. O. González, *Complex Analysis: Selected Topics*, Marcel Dekker, INC, New York, 1992.
- [10] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions. Vol. I and Vol. II*, Mariner Publishing Co., Inc., Tampa, FL, 1983.
- [11] I. Graham and G. Kohr, *Geometric Function Theory in One and Higher Dimensions*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **255**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203911624>
- [12] I. Hotta and A. Michalski, *Locally one-to-one harmonic functions with starlike analytic part*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Łódź Sér. Rech. Déform. **64** (2014), no. 2, 19–27.
- [13] S. Kanas and D. Klimek-Smęć, *Harmonic mappings related to functions with bounded boundary rotation and norm of the pre-Schwarzian derivative*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **51** (2014), no. 3, 803–812. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2014.51.3.803>
- [14] ———, *Coefficient estimates and Bloch’s constant in some classes of harmonic mappings*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **39** (2016), no. 2, 741–750. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-015-0138-9>
- [15] D. Klimek-Smęć and A. Michalski, *Univalent anti-analytic perturbations of the identity in the unit disc*, Sci. Bull. Chem **1** (2006), 67–76.
- [16] ———, *Univalent anti-analytic perturbations of convex analytic mappings in the unit disc*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **61** (2007), 39–49.
- [17] V. Kumar, *Quasi-Hadamard product of certain univalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **126** (1987), no. 1, 70–77. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(87\)90074-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(87)90074-6)
- [18] H. Lewy, *On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1936), no. 10, 689–692. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1936-06397-4>
- [19] M. Liu, *Estimates on Bloch constants for planar harmonic mappings*, Sci. China Ser. A **52** (2009), no. 1, 87–93. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-008-0090-3>
- [20] A. K. Mishra, *Quasi-Hadamard product of analytic functions related to univalent functions*, Math. Student **64** (1995), no. 1-4, 221–225.
- [21] A. K. Mishra and M. Choudhury, *A class of multivalent functions with negative Taylor coefficients*, Demonstratio Math. **28** (1995), no. 1, 223–234.
- [22] A. K. Mishra and M. K. Das, *Fractional integral operators and distortion theorems for a class of multivalent functions with negative coefficients*, J. Anal. **4** (1996), 185–199.
- [23] A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, *Coefficients of inverse functions in a nested class of starlike functions of positive order*, J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. **7** (2006), no. 3, Art. 94, 1–15.
- [24] M. I. S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **37** (1936), no. 2, 374–408. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1968451>
- [25] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Functions*, second edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1939.
- [26] A. J. H. Vincent, *Sur la résolution des équations numériques*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **1** (1836), 341–372.
- [27] M. Zhu and X. Huang, *The distortion theorems for harmonic mappings with analytic parts convex or starlike functions of order  $\beta$* , J. Math. (2015), Art. ID 460191, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/460191>

BIKASH KUMAR CHINHARA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SAMBALPUR UNIVERSITY  
JYOTI VIHAR, BURLA-768019, SAMBALPUR, ODISHA, INDIA  
*Email address:* [bikashchinhara@ymail.com](mailto:bikashchinhara@ymail.com)

PRIYABRAT GOCHHAYAT  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SAMBALPUR UNIVERSITY  
JYOTI VIHAR, BURLA-768019, SAMBALPUR, ODISHA, INDIA  
*Email address:* [pgochhayat@gmail.com](mailto:pgochhayat@gmail.com)

SUDHANANDA MAHARANA  
DISCIPLINE OF MATHEMATICS  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY INDORE  
KHANDWA ROAD, SIMROL-453552, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA  
*Email address:* [snmmath@gmail.com](mailto:snmmath@gmail.com)