Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **34** (2019), No. 3, pp. 811–818 https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c180264 pISSN: 1225-1763 / eISSN: 2234-3024

THE PROPERTIES OF JORDAN DERIVATIONS OF SEMIPRIME RINGS AND BANACH ALGEBRAS, II

Byung-Do Kim

ABSTRACT. Let A be a Banach algebra with rad(A). We show that if there exists a continuous linear Jordan derivation D on A, then

 $[D(x), x]D(x)^2 \in \operatorname{rad}(A)$

if and only if $D(x)[D(x), x]D(x) \in rad(A)$ for all $x \in A$.

1. Introduction

Throughout, R represents an associative ring and A will be a complex Banach algebra. We write [x, y] for the commutator xy - yx for x, y in a ring. Let rad(R) denote the (*Jacobson*) radical of a ring R. And a ring R is said to be (*Jacobson*) semisimple if its Jacobson radical rad(R) is zero.

A ring R is called *n*-torsion free if nx = 0 implies x = 0. Recall that R is prime if aRb = (0) implies that either a = 0 or b = 0, and is semiprime if aRa = (0) implies a = 0. On the other hand, let X be an element of a normed algebra. Then for every $a \in X$ the spectral radius of a, denoted by r(a), is defined by $r(a) = \inf\{||a^n||^{\frac{1}{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is well-known that the following theorem holds: if a is an element of a normed algebra, then $r(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||a^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$ (see Bonsall and Duncan [1]).

An additive mapping D from R to R is called a *derivation* if D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) holds for all $x, y \in R$. And an additive mapping D from R to R is called a *Jordan derivation* if $D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$.

Johnson and Sinclair [5] have proved that any linear derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is continuous. A result of Singer and Wermer [14] states that every continuous linear derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps the algebra into its radical. From these two results, we can conclude that there are no nonzero linear derivations on a commutative semisimple Banach algebra. Thomas [15] has proved that any linear derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps the algebra into its radical.

©2019 Korean Mathematical Society

Received June 13, 2018; Accepted November 13, 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 16N60, 16W25, 17B40.

Key words and phrases. Jordan derivation, derivation, semiprime ring, Banach algebra, the (Jacobson) radical.

Vukman [16] has proved the following: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring. If $D: R \longrightarrow R$ is a derivation such that [D(x), x]D(x) = 0 for all $x \in R$, then D = 0.

Moreover, using the above result, he has proved that the following holds: Let A be a noncommutative semisimple Banach algebra. Suppose that

$$[D(x), x]D(x) = 0$$

holds for all $x \in A$. In this case, D = 0.

In this paper, our first aim is to prove the following result in the ring theory in order to apply it to the Banach algebra theory:

Let R be a 3!-torsion free semiprime ring, and let $D: R \to R$ be a Jordan derivation on a semiprime ring R. In this case, we show that [D(x), x]D(x) = 0 if and only if D(x)[D(x), x] = 0 for every $x \in R$. In particular, let A be a noncommutative Banach algebra with rad(A) and D is a continuous linear Jordan derivation on A, then we see that $[D(x), x]D(x) \in rad(A)$ if and only if $D(x)[D(x), x] \in rad(A)$ for all $x \in A$.

2. Preliminaries

The following lemma is due to Chung and Luh [4].

Lemma 2.1. Let R be an n!-torsion free ring. Suppose there exist elements $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_n$ in R such that $\sum_{k=1}^n t^k y_k = 0$ for all $t = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then we have $y_k = 0$ for every positive integer k with $1 \le k \le n$.

The following theorem is due to Brešar [2].

Theorem 2.2. Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let $D : R \longrightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation. In this case, D is a derivation.

We denote by Q(A) the set of all quasinilpotent elements in a Banach algebra.

Bresar [3] has also proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let D be a bounded derivation of a Banach algebra A. Suppose that $[D(x), x] \in Q(A)$ for every $x \in A$. Then D maps A into rad(A).

The following theorems and lemma are due to Kim [11].

Theorem 2.4. Let R be a 3!-torsionfree semiprime ring. Suppose there exists a Jordan derivation $D: R \longrightarrow R$ such that

$$[[D(x), x], x]D(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. Then we have $3f(x)D(x)^2 - D(x)f(x)D(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$.

Lemma 2.5. Let R be a 5!-torsionfree semiprime ring. Let $D: R \longrightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation on R. And assume that

 $g(x)D(x)yD^{2}(x)D(x) = [f(x), x]D(x)yD^{2}(x)D(x) = 0$

for all $x, y \in R$. Then we have g(x)D(x) = [f(x), x]D(x) = 0 for all $x \in R$.

Theorem 2.6. Let R be a 5!-torsionfree semiprime ring. Let $D : R \longrightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation on R. In this case, it follows that

$$[D(x), x]D(x)^2 = 0 \iff D(x)^2[D(x), x] = 0$$

for every $x \in R$.

And see [6-10, 12, 13] for the further results.

3. Main results

We need the following notations. After this, by S_m we denote the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ where *m* is a positive integer. When *R* is a ring, we shall denote the maps $B: R \times R \longrightarrow R$, $f, g: R \longrightarrow R$ by $B(x, y) \equiv [D(x), y] + [D(y), x]$, $f(x) \equiv [D(x), x], g(x) \equiv [f(x), x]$ for all $x, y \in R$ respectively. And we have the basic properties:

$$\begin{split} B(x,y) &= B(y,x), \ B(x,yz) = B(x,y)z + yB(x,z) + D(y)[z,x] + [y,x]D(z), \\ B(x,x) &= 2f(x), \ B(x,x^2) = 2(f(x)x + xf(x)), \ x,y,z \in R. \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.1. Let R be a 5!-torsionfree semiprime ring. Let $D : R \longrightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation on R. In this case, we show that if $f(x)D(x)^2 = 0$, then D(x)f(x)D(x) = 0 for all $x \in R$.

Proof. When R is commutative, it is easy to check that the statements holds. Thus it suffices to prove the case that R is noncommutative.

Assume that

(1)
$$[D(x), x]D(x)^{2} = f(x)D(x)^{2} = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then by (211) in the proof of Theorem 2.6, we have

(2)
$$g(x)D(x)yD^{2}(x)D(x) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

And by Lemma 2.5, it follows from (2) that

(3)
$$g(x)D(x) = 0, x \in R.$$

And again, by Theorem 2.4, the relation (3) yields

(4)
$$3f(x)D(x)^2 - D(x)f(x)D(x) = 0, x \in R.$$

Then by the assumption that $f(x)D(x)^2 = 0$ for all $x \in R$, we obtain from (4)

(5)
$$D(x)f(x)D(x) = 0, \ x \in R.$$

Theorem 3.2. Let R be a 5!-torsionfree semiprime ring. Let $D : R \longrightarrow R$ be a Jordan derivation on R. In this case, we show that if D(x)f(x)D(x) = 0, then $f(x)^9 = 0$ for all $x \in R$. *Proof.* When R is commutative, it is easy to check that the statement holds. Thus it suffices to prove the case that R is noncommutative. \mathbf{S}

(6)
$$D(x)[D(x), x]D(x) = D(x)f(x)D(x) = 0, x \in R.$$

Replacing x + ty for x in (6), we have

$$D(x + ty)[D(x + ty), x + ty]D(x + ty)$$

$$\equiv D(x)f(x)D(x) + t\{D(y)f(x)D(x) + D(x)B(x,y)D(x) + D(x)f(x)D(y)\}$$

(7) $+ t^{2}H_{1}(x,y) + t^{3}H_{2}(x,y) + t^{4}D(y)f(y)D(y) = 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in S_{3},$

where H_1 and H_2 denote the terms satisfying the identity (7). From (6) and (7), we obtain

(8)
$$t\{D(y)f(x)D(x) + D(x)B(x,y)D(x) + D(x)f(x)D(y)\} + t^{2}H_{1}(x,y) + t^{3}H_{2}(x,y) = 0, x, y \in R, t \in S_{3}.$$

Since R is 3!-torsionfree, by Lemma 2.1 the relation (8) yields

(9)
$$D(y)f(x)D(x) + D(x)B(x,y)D(x) + D(x)f(x)D(y) = 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

Let y = x in (9). Then we get

$$0 = \{D(x)x + xD(x)\}f(x)D(x) + 2D(x)\{f(x)x + xf(x)\}D(x) + D(x)f(x)\{D(x)x + xD(x)\} = 3D(x)xf(x)D(x) + xD(x)f(x)D(x) + 3D(x)f(x)xD(x) + D(x)f(x)D(x)x, x \in R.$$
(10)

From (6) and (10), we obtain

(11)
$$0 = 3D(x)xf(x)D(x) + 3D(x)f(x)xD(x), \ x \in R.$$

From (6) and (11), we arrive at

$$0 = 3D(x)xf(x)D(x) + 3D(x)f(x)xD(x)$$

= $3D(x)xf(x)D(x) - 3xD(x)f(x)D(x) + 3D(x)f(x)xD(x)$
 $- 3xD(x)f(x)D(x)$
= $3[D(x), x]f(x)D(x) + 3[D(x)f(x), x]D(x)$
(12) = $6f(x)^2D(x) + 3D(x)g(x)D(x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$

Since R is 5!-torsion free, (12) yields

(13)
$$2f(x)^2 D(x) + D(x)g(x)D(x) = 0, \ x \in R.$$

On the other hand, we have

(14)
$$0 = [D(x)f(x)D(x), x]$$
$$= f(x)^2 D(x) + D(x)g(x)D(x) + D(x)f(x)^2, \ x \in R.$$

From (13) and (14), we obtain

(15) $f(x)^2 D(x) - D(x)f(x)^2 = 0, \ x \in R.$

Writing xy for y in (9), we have

(16)

$$xD(y)f(x)D(x) + D(x)yf(x)D(x) + D(x)xB(x,y)D(x)$$

$$+ D(x)f(x)yD(x) + D(x)^{2}[y,x]D(x) + D(x)f(x)xD(y)$$

$$+ D(x)f(x)D(x)y = 0, \ x, y \in R.$$

Left multiplication of (9) by x leads to

(17) $xD(y)f(x)D(x) + xD(x)B(x,y)D(x) + xD(x)f(x)D(y) = 0, x, y \in R.$ From (16) and (17), we obtain

D(x)yf(x)D(x) + f(x)B(x,y)D(x)+ $D(x)f(x)uD(x) + D(x)^{2}[u, x]D(x)$

$$+ D(x)J(x)yD(x) + D(x) \quad [y,x]D(x)$$

(18)
$$+ \{f(x)^2 + D(x)g(x)\}D(y) + D(x)f(x)D(x)y = 0, \ x, y \in R.$$

From (6) and (18), we get

(19)
$$D(x)yf(x)D(x) + f(x)B(x,y)D(x) + D(x)f(x)yD(x) + D(x)^{2}[y,x]D(x) + \{f(x)^{2} + D(x)g(x)\}D(y) = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Right multiplication of (19) by x leads to

$$D(x)yf(x)D(x)x + f(x)B(x,y)D(x)x + D(x)f(x)yD(x)x + D(x)^{2}[y,x]D(x)x + \{f(x)^{2} + D(x)g(x)\}D(y)x = 0, x, y \in R.$$

Writing yx for y in (19), we have

$$\begin{split} D(x)yxf(x)D(x) + f(x)B(x,y)xD(x) + 2f(x)yf(x)D(x) \\ &+ f(x)[y,x]D(x)^2 + D(x)f(x)yxD(x) + D(x)^2[y,x]xD(x) \\ (21) &+ \{f(x)^2 + D(x)g(x)\}D(y)x + \{f(x)^2 + D(x)g(x)\}yD(x) = 0, \ x,y \in R. \end{split}$$
 From (20) and (21), we obtain

$$D(x)y\{g(x)D(x) + f(x)^{2}\} + f(x)B(x,y)f(x) + D(x)f(x)yf(x) - 2f(x)yf(x)D(x) - f(x)[y,x]D(x)^{2} + D(x)^{2}[y,x]f(x) (f(x)^{2} - D(x)-f(x)]y(x) = 0$$

(22)
$$-\{f(x)^2 + D(x)g(x)\}yD(x) = 0, \ x, y \in R.$$

Let y = x in (22). Then we get

$$0 = D(x)x\{g(x)D(x) + f(x)^{2}\} + 2f(x)^{3} + D(x)f(x)xf(x) - 2f(x)xf(x)D(x) - \{f(x)^{2} + D(x)g(x)\}xD(x) = D(x)x\{g(x)D(x) + f(x)^{2}\} + 2f(x)^{3} + D(x)f(x)xf(x) - 2f(x)xf(x)D(x) - \{f(x)^{2} + D(x)g(x)\}xD(x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(23)

B.-D. KIM

Left multiplication of (23) by D(x)f(x) leads to

$$0 = D(x)f(x)D(x)x\{g(x)D(x) + f(x)^2\} + 2D(x)f(x)^4 + D(x)f(x)D(x)f(x)xf(x) - 2D(x)f(x)f(x)xf(x)D(x) - D(x)f(x)\{f(x)^2 + D(x)g(x)\}xD(x), x \in R.$$

From (6) and (24), we obtain

$$0 = 2D(x)f(x)^{4} - 2D(x)f(x)^{2}xf(x)D(x) - D(x)f(x)^{3}xD(x)$$

$$= 2D(x)f(x)^{4} - 2D(x)f(x)^{2}xf(x)D(x) + 2D(x)f(x)^{3}D(x)x$$

$$- D(x)f(x)^{3}xD(x) + D(x)f(x)^{3}D(x)x$$

$$= 2D(x)f(x)^{4} + 2D(x)f(x)^{2}\{g(x)D(x) + f(x)^{2}\} + D(x)f(x)^{4}$$

(25)
$$= 5D(x)f(x)^{4} + 5D(x)f(x)^{2}g(x)D(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From (15) and (25), we have

(26)
$$5D(x)f(x)^4 + 5f(x)^2D(x)g(x)D(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From (13), (15) and (26), we obtain

$$0 = 5D(x)f(x)^{4} + 5f(x)^{2} \{-2f(x)^{2}D(x)\}$$

= 5D(x)f(x)^{4} - 10f(x)^{2} \{f(x)^{2}D(x)\}
= 5D(x)f(x)^{4} - 10f(x)^{2} \{D(x)f(x)^{2}\}
= 5D(x)f(x)^{4} - 10D(x)f(x)^{4}
= -5D(x)f(x)^{4}, x \in R.

Since R is 5!-torsion free, it follows from (27) that

(28)
$$D(x)f(x)^4 = 0, x \in R.$$

From (15) and (28), we get

(29)
$$f(x)^4 D(x) = f(x)^2 D(x) f(x)^2 = D(x) f(x)^4 = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From (28), we obtain

$$0 = [D(x)f(x)^{4}, x]$$

= $f(x)^{5} + D(x)g(x)f(x)^{3} + D(x)f(x)g(x)f(x)^{2} + D(x)f(x)^{2}g(x)f(x)$
(30) $+ D(x)f(x)^{3}g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$

Left multiplication of (30) by $f(x)^4$ leads to

$$0 = f(x)^9 + f(x)^4 D(x)g(x)f(x)^3 + f(x)^4 D(x)f(x)g(x)f(x)^2 + f(x)^4 D(x)f(x)^2 g(x)f(x) + f(x)^4 D(x)f(x)^3 g(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From (29) and (31), we arrive at

(32)
$$f(x)^9 = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have the following statement by applying Theorems 3.1 and 2.3 to it, and its proof is partly proved by the same arguments as in the proof of J. Vukman's theorem [17].

Theorem 3.3. Let A be a Banach algebra with rad(A). Let $D : A \longrightarrow A$ be a continuous linear Jordan derivation. Then we obtain that

$$[D(x), x]D(x)^2 \in rad(A) \iff D(x)[D(x), x]D(x) \in rad(A)$$

for every $x \in A$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the case that A is noncommutative. By the result of B. E. Johnson and A. M. Sinclair [5] any linear derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is continuous. Sinclair [13] has proved that every continuous linear Jordan derivation on a Banach algebra leaves the primitive ideals of A invariant. Hence for any primitive ideal $P \subseteq A$ one can introduce a derivation $D_P : A/P \longrightarrow A/P$, where A/P is a prime and factor Banach algebra, by $D_P(\hat{x}) = D(x) + P$, $\hat{x} = x + P$. By the assumption that $[D(x), x]D(x)^2 \in \operatorname{rad}(A), x \in A$ we obtain $[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}](D_P(\hat{x}))^2 = \hat{0}$ for all $\hat{x} = x + P$ and primitive ideals P of A. By (211) in the proof of Theorem 2.6, $[[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}], \hat{x}]D_P(\hat{x})\hat{y}((D_P)^2(\hat{x})D_P(\hat{x}) = \hat{0} \text{ for all } \hat{y} \in A/P \text{ and } \hat{x} = x + P.$ Then by Lemma 2.5, we get $[[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}], \hat{x}]D_P(\hat{x}) = \hat{0}$ for all $\hat{x} = x + P$ and primitive ideals P of A. Hence from Theorem 2.6, we get $3[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}](D_P(\hat{x}))^2 D_P(\hat{x})[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}]D_P(\hat{x}) = \hat{0}$ for all $\hat{x} = x + P$ and primitive ideals P of A. And by the assumption that $[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}](D_P(\hat{x}))^2 = \hat{0}$ for all $\hat{x} = x + P$ and primitive ideals P of A, we arrive at $D_P(\hat{x})[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}]D_P(\hat{x}) = \hat{0}$ for all $\hat{x} = x + P$ and primitive ideals P of A. Thus we have $D(x)[D(x), x]D(x) \in P$ for all $x \in A$ and primitive ideals P of A. That is, it follows that $D(x)[D(x), x]D(x) \in \cap P =$ $\operatorname{rad}(A)$ for all $x \in A$ and primitive ideals P of A. Hence the necessity is proved.

On the other hand, assume that $D(x)[D(x), x]D(x) \in \operatorname{rad}(A)$ for all $x \in A$. Then we get $(D_P(\hat{x})[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}]D_P(\hat{x}) = \hat{0}$ for all $\hat{x} = x + P$ and primitive ideals P of A. By Theorem 3,3, we obtain $[D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}]^9 = \hat{0}$ for all $x \in A$. Then by Theorem 3.3, we get $(r_P([D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}])^9 = r_P([D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}]^9) = \hat{0}$ for all $x \in A$ and all primitive ideals P of A where r_P denotes the spectral radius in A/P. Hence we have $r_P([D_P(\hat{x}), \hat{x}]) = \hat{0}$ for all $x \in A$ and all primitive ideals P of A. Thus by Theorem 2.3 we obtain $D_P(\hat{x}) \in \operatorname{rad}(A/P) = \hat{0}$ for all $x \in A$ and all primitive ideals P of A. Hence we get $D(x) \in \cap P = \operatorname{rad}(A)$ for all $x \in A$ and all primitive ideals P of A. Thus since $\operatorname{rad}(A)$ is a closed two sided ideal of A, it follows that $[D(x), x]D(x)^2 \in [D(x), x]\operatorname{rad}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(A)$ for all $x \in A$.

As a special case of Theorem 3.3 we get the following result which characterizes commutative semisimple Banach algebras.

Corollary 3.4. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra. Suppose

$$[y,x][[y,x],x]][y,x] = 0 \iff [[y,x],x][y,x]^2 = 0$$

for every $x, y \in A$.

B.-D. KIM

References

- F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Complete Normed Algebras, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- M. Brešar, Jordan derivations on semiprime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), no. 4, 1003–1006. https://doi.org/10.2307/2047580
- [3] _____, Derivations of noncommutative Banach algebras II, Arch. Math. **63** (1994), 56–59.
- [4] L. O. Chung and J. Luh, Semiprime rings with nilpotent derivatives, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (1981), no. 4, 415–421. https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1981-064-9
- B. E. Johnson and A. M. Sinclair, Continuity of derivations and a problem of Kaplansky, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968), 1067–1073. https://doi.org/10.2307/2373290
- B.-D. Kim, On the derivations of semiprime rings and noncommutative Banach algebras, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 16 (2000), no. 1, 21-28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s101149900020
- [7] _____, Derivations of semiprime rings and noncommutative Banach algebras, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 17 (2002), no. 4, 607–618. https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS. 2002.17.4.607
- [8] _____, Jordan derivations of semiprime rings and noncommutative Banach algebras. I, J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B Pure Appl. Math. 15 (2008), no. 2, 179–201.
- [9] _____, Jordan derivations of semiprime rings and noncommutative Banach algebras. II, J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B Pure Appl. Math. 15 (2008), no. 3, 259–296.
- [10] _____, Jordan derivations on prime rings and their applications in Banach algebras. I, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 28 (2013), no. 3, 535–558. https://doi.org/10.4134/ CKMS.2013.28.3.535
- [11] _____, The properties of Jordan derivations of semiprime rings and Banach algebras. I, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 33 (2018), no. 1, 103–125.
- K.-H. Park and B.-D. Kim, On continuous linear Jordan derivations of Banach algebras, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B Pure Appl. Math. 16 (2009), no. 2, 227–241.
- [13] A. M. Sinclair, Jordan homomorphisms and derivations on semisimple Banach algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 209–214. https://doi.org/10.2307/2036730
- [14] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, Derivations on commutative normed algebras, Math. Ann. 129 (1955), 260-264. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01362370
- [15] M. P. Thomas, The image of a derivation is contained in the radical, Ann. of Math. (2) 128 (1988), no. 3, 435–460. https://doi.org/10.2307/1971432
- [16] J. Vukman, A result concerning derivations in noncommutative Banach algebras, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 26(46) (1991), no. 1-2, 83–88.
- [17] _____, On derivations in prime rings and Banach algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992), no. 4, 877–884. https://doi.org/10.2307/2159463

BYUNG-DO KIM DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GANGNEUNG-WONJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY GANGNEUNG 25457, KOREA Email address: bdkim@gwnu.ac.kr