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#### Abstract

In this paper we compute the $U$-projective resolution of $k Q$ modules where $Q$ is quiver of type $A_{n}$ and $\tilde{A}_{n}$. The behavior of the sequence can be seen through its geometric representation.


## 1. Introduction

Projective resolution of modules plays an important role in homological algebra. In 2002, Davvaz and Shabani-Solt introduced the notions of $U$-complex, $U$-homology, etc. (see [5]) to generalize certain concepts in homological algebra. Inspired by this, the second and the third authors introduced the notions of $U$-projective resolutions and $U$-extension modules in 2016 (see [7]).

We also found that every short exact sequence of modules and module homomorphisms over hereditary algebra can always be extended into a long exact sequence of $U$-homologies (the modified homologies) consisting of $U$-extension modules. This encouraged us to further research on $U$-extension modules over hereditary algebra. The algebra of our interest is a path algebra generated by a finite acyclic quiver. In this paper we will discuss $U$-projective resolutions of modules over such an algebra.

A sequence $\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{k+2}} M_{k+1} \xrightarrow{d_{k+1}} M_{k} \xrightarrow{d_{k}} M_{k-1} \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} \cdots$ of modules and module homomorphisms is said to be exact if $\operatorname{Im} d_{k+1}=\operatorname{ker} d_{k}$ for every $k$. In [4] Davvaz and Parnian-Garamaleky introduced the notion of $U$-exact sequence, which is a generalization of an exact sequence. The idea is to replace ker $d_{k}$ with $d_{k}^{-1}\left(U_{k-1}\right)$, for every $k$, where $U_{k}$ is a submodule of $M_{k}$ for each $k$. Davvaz and Shabani-Solt then redefined this concept in [5] using the so-called $U$-complex approach which further assumes that $\operatorname{Im} d_{k+1}$ must contain $U_{k}$ for every $k$. Thus, a $U$-exact sequence is a sequence $\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{k+2}} M_{k+1} \xrightarrow{d_{k+1}}$ $M_{k} \xrightarrow{d_{k}} M_{k-1} \xrightarrow{d_{k-1}} \cdots$ of modules and module homomorphisms such that $U_{k} \subseteq \operatorname{Im} d_{k+1}=d_{k}^{-1}\left(U_{k-1}\right)$ where $U_{k}$ is a submodule of $M_{k}$, for each integer $k$.
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Mahatma and Muchtadi-Alamsyah extended these result by proposing in [7] a way to define $U$-projective resolutions and $U$-extension modules. The aim of this paper is to state a formula for $U$-projective resolutions of $k Q$-modules where $Q$ is quiver of type $A_{n}$ and $\tilde{A}_{n}$. For an introduction to quivers and path algebras see [1, Chapter II].

## 2. The $\boldsymbol{U}$-projective resolution of a module

Given any module $M$, there always exists a projective resolution of $M$, i.e., an exact sequence $\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{3}} P_{2} \xrightarrow{d_{2}} P_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} P_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} M \rightarrow 0$ where $P_{i}$ 's are projective for all $i=1,2,3, \ldots$. If we replace exactness with $U$-exactness, then we have what we call a $U$-projective resolution. The complete algorithm to construct a $U$-projective resolution of an $A$-module $M$ for any nonzero submodule $U$ of $M$ was given in [7]. Here, we recall the construction:

Given any module $M$ there always exists a projective module $P_{0}$ that can map onto $M$. Hence we have a sequence $P_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} M \rightarrow 0$. By the same reason, we can extend the sequence into $P_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} P_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} M \rightarrow 0$ for a projective module $P_{1}$ so that the new sequence is $U$-exact at $P_{0}$, i.e., $d_{1}\left(P_{1}\right)=d_{0}^{-1}(U)$. Now, set $U_{0}:=d_{0}^{-1}(U)$ and extend the last sequence into $P_{2} \xrightarrow{d_{2}} P_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} P_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} M \rightarrow 0$ for a suitable projective module $P_{2}$ so that the new sequence is $U_{0}$-exact at $P_{1}$, i.e., $d_{2}\left(P_{2}\right)=d_{1}^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)$. Now set $U_{1}:=d_{1}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ker} d_{0}\right)$ and continue the process. By doing this, we obtain a sequence $\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{3}} P_{2} \xrightarrow{d_{2}} P_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} P_{0} \xrightarrow{d_{0}} M \rightarrow 0$ where all $P_{i}$ 's are projective and which is $U_{i-1}$-exact at $P_{i}$, i.e., $d_{i+1}\left(P_{i+1}\right)=$ $d_{i}^{-1}\left(U_{i-1}\right)$ for $i=1,2,3, \ldots$. We denote this resolution by $\mathbf{P}: P_{\bullet}\left(U_{\bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}}$ $M(U)$. It has been shown in [7] that if both $\mathbf{P}: P_{\bullet}\left(U_{\bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{d_{\bullet}} M(U)$ and $\mathbf{Q}: Q_{\bullet}\left(V_{\bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{e_{\bullet}} M(U)$ are $U$-projective resolutions of $M$, then there exist two $U$-complex morphisms $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{g}: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ such that $\mathbf{g f} \simeq 1_{P}$ and $\mathbf{f g} \simeq 1_{Q}$. This implies that the $U$-extension modules generated by $U$-projective resolutions are unique up to isomorphism. Hence the projective modules $P_{i}$ may be chosen arbitrarily.

In this paper we will consider the $U$-projective resolution only for case $U \neq 0$. One might suggest that the $U$-projective resolution of $M$ can be substituted by the projective resolution of $M / U$. In fact, they are not identical as the extension modules generated from the resolutions can be not isomorphic. For example, if both $M$ and $U$ are projective, then $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(M[U], U)=0$ (see [7]) while the module $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(M / U, U)$ is not necessarily zero. (See Chapter 7 of [8] for the fundamental concept of extension modules.)

Since all algebras discussed in this paper are hereditary, the last nonzero module in any $U$-projective resolution will be either $P_{2}$ or $P_{3}$. We will say that the length of the resolution is 2 or 3 , respectively, as we now explain.

Clearly, if $M$ is projective, then we may set $P_{0}:=M$ and $d_{0}:=1_{m}$. As a consequence, $U_{0}=d_{0}^{-1}(U)=U$. Now since the algebra is hereditary and
$M$ is projective, then $d_{0}^{-1}(U)=U \subseteq M$ must be projective and hence we may set $P_{1}:=U$ and $d_{1}$ as an inclusion from $U$ to $M$. This implies that $U_{1}=d_{1}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ker} d_{0}\right)=d_{1}^{-1}(0)=0$ and hence we may set $P_{3}:=0$. Since we have reached the zero module then we can set all the next modules and maps as zero. Hence the resolution will be as follows:

$$
0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow U \rightarrow \begin{gather*}
M  \tag{2.1}\\
\uparrow \\
\\
\\
\\
U
\end{gather*}
$$

where the objects written in the bottom row are the $U_{i}$ 's. Note that when $U_{i}$ is not written, we mean that $U_{i}=0$.

Now, for the non projective case over hereditary algebra, we start with a map $P \xrightarrow{d} M \rightarrow 0$ with $P$ projective. Set $P_{0}:=P$ and $d_{0}:=d$. Since the algebra is hereditary and $P$ is projective then $d^{-1}(U) \subseteq P$ must be projective. Hence we may set $P_{1}:=d^{-1}(U)$ and $d_{1}$ as the inclusion from $d^{-1}(U)$ to $P$. As a consequence, we have $U_{1}=d_{1}^{-1}(\operatorname{ker} d)=\operatorname{ker} d$. Now, since $d_{1}^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)=d_{1}^{-1}\left(d^{-1}(U)\right)=d^{-1}(U)$ is projective then we may set $P_{2}:=d^{-1}(U)$ and $d_{2}:=1_{d^{-1}(U)}$. This implies that $U_{2}=d_{2}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ker} d_{1}\right)=d_{2}^{-1}(0)=0$. Next, since $d_{2}^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)=d_{2}^{-1}(\operatorname{ker} d)=\operatorname{ker} d \subseteq d^{-1}(U)$ is projective then we may set $P_{3}:=\operatorname{ker} d$ and $d_{3}$ as the inclusion from ker $d$ to $d^{-1}(U)$. This implies that $U_{3}=d_{3}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ker} d_{2}\right)=d_{2}^{-1}(0)=0$. Now, $d_{3}^{-1}\left(U_{2}\right)=d_{3}^{-1}(0)=0$ and hence we may set $P_{4}:=0$ and the process can be stopped. Thus, the resolution will be as follows:

Theorem 1. An algebra $A$ is hereditary if and only if every $U$-projective resolution of an A-module has length 2 or 3.
Proof. We only need to prove the sufficiency. Suppose that $A$ is an algebra with every $U$-projective resolution of an $A$-module has length 2 or 3 . Suppose that there is an ideal $I$ of $A$ which is not projective as an $A$-module. Consider the surjection $d: P \rightarrow I$ with $P$ projective. The $A / I$-projective resolution of $A / I$ will be as follows:

Since $I$ is non projective then $d$ is not an inclusion and hence $X \neq 0$ contrary to the assumption that the length must not exceed 3 . Thus, every ideal of $A$ must be projective as an $A$-module which means $A$ is hereditary.

We restrict the discussion in this paper only for resolutions of indecomposable modules. For decomposable case, the problem can be reduced by the
following fact: given any finite collection of modules $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n}$, if we have constructed the $U_{\alpha}$-projective resolution of $M_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha$, then we can obtain the $U_{1} \oplus U_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{n}$-projective resolution of $M_{1} \oplus M_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n}$ by just taking the direct sum of the corresponding components of the sequences. However, this has not covered all the situations since it is possible for a decomposable module $M$ to have submodule which is not direct sum of submodules of direct summands of $M$.

## 3. Diagonal representation of a $k Q$-module of type $A_{n}$

In this section we restrict the discussion to path algebras generated by the quiver $Q$ of the form $n \rightarrow(n-1) \rightarrow(n-2) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1$ which is a special case of quiver of type $A_{n}$. All the indecomposable modules over such an algebra will be represented through a geometric model: every indecomposable module can be viewed as a diagonal in an $(n+3)$-gon. The algebra $k Q$ itself is given by the following triangulation of an $(n+3)$-gon.


Figure 1. Triangulation for quiver $Q: n \rightarrow(n-1) \rightarrow(n-$ 2) $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1$.

Each vertex of $Q$ corresponds to one of the diagonals in Figure 1. The arrows correspond to clockwise rotations to the nearest neighbor (so-called minimal rotations). See Subsection 3.1.3 of [9] for details. The indecomposable $k Q$ modules can be described as diagonals in this polygon intersecting the diagonals of the chosen triangulations. The simple modules intersect exactly one diagonal and inductively we get all the indecomposable modules from this: consider the module $0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow K^{(b)} \rightarrow K^{(b-1)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^{(a)} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$ for some $n \geq b \geq a \geq 1$, i.e., the representation of $Q$ supported at vertices $b, b-1, \ldots$, and $a$. The geometric representation of such a module is given by the diagonal which intersects the diagonals $b, b-1, \ldots$, and $a$, i.e., the diagonal whose endpoints are $a$ and $b+2$. Thus, as notation for this module we choose $(a, b+2)$. Further, a module $(i, j)$ is: projective if and only if $i=1$; injective if
and only if $j=n+2$; and simple if and only if $j=i+2$ ([1, Section III.2]). Also, a module $(a, b)$ is a submodule of $(i, j)$ if and only if $a=i$ and $i+2 \leq b \leq j$.

We shall denote the projective module $(1, k+2)$ by $P(k)$, the injective module $(k, n+2)$ by $I(k)$, and the simple module $(k, k+2)$ by $S(k)$. Clearly, $P(1)=$ $S(1), P(n)=I(1)$, and $I(n)=S(n)$.

The AR-quiver of this type of an algebra is given as follows ([1, Section IV.4], see also [6]).


Figure 2. Auslander-Reiten quiver for path algebra of quiver $n \rightarrow(n-1) \rightarrow(n-2) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1$.

## 4. Geometric representation of a $k Q$-module of type $\tilde{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$

For algebras of type $\tilde{A}_{n}$, we will use the geometric model given by Warkentin in his diploma thesis ([10, Chapter 4]). Further details can also be found if [2] and [3]. In this paper we restrict the discussion to quiver of type $\tilde{A}_{n}$ of the form


Figure 3. Quiver of type $\tilde{A}_{n}$.
Clearly, there are $g$ clockwise arrows in the quiver described in Figure 3. Now if we denote the number of anti-clockwise arrows by $h$, then we have $n=g+h-1$. We shall denote this quiver by $Q_{g, h}$. The geometric representation of the algebra will be based on following triangulation in an annulus.


Figure 4. Triangulation for $Q_{g, h}$.

Indecomposable modules correspond to oriented arcs crossing these diagonals in a similar way as for type $A_{n}$. First we state the convention that for post-projective modules we will orient the arcs from the base to the top, and for pre-injective modules in the opposite direction. If both endpoints are in the same boundary, then we orient the arc from left to right. As an example, we consider the geometric representation for the module $P(i), 0<i \leq g$, whose representation is as follows:


Figure 5. Representation of module $P(i), 0<i \leq g$.
We must represent this module with an arc intersecting the edges $i, i+$ $1, \ldots, g$ in the fixed triangulation of the annulus. Hence we represent the module by the following oriented arc:


Figure 6. Geometric representation of module $P_{i}, 0<i \leq g$.
We will use $\partial$ to denote the lower boundary and $\partial^{\prime}$ for the upper boundary. So we can denote the module as $\left((g-i+1) \partial,(h-1) \partial^{\prime}\right)$ or we will just write it as $(g-i+1, \overline{h-1})$ to drop $\partial$ and $\partial^{\prime}$. Note that, in the figure, the module $P(1)$ has starting point the right hand copy of $0 \partial$. Hence the appropriate notation for $P(1)$ is $(\underline{0}, \overline{h-1})$ instead of $(g, \overline{h-1})$. To make the unambiguous, we use $(\underline{(g-1+1) \bmod g}, \overline{h-1})$ as notation for $P(i), 0<i \leq g$.

Next, consider the module $P(0)$ whose representation is as follows:


Figure 7. Representation of module $P(0)$.
Clearly, the geometric representation of $P(0)$ is


Figure 8. Geometric representation of $P_{0}$.
which can be shortened as


Figure 9. Geometric representation of $P_{0}$ (shortened).
Hence our notation for $P(0)$ is $(\underline{1}, \overline{h-1})$.
Now, we point out that there are many other modules whose arcs start at $1 \partial$ and end at $(h-1) \partial^{\prime}$. For example, consider the following arc:


Figure 10. Geometric representation of $P(g)=S(g)$.

Which correspond to the module $P(g)=S(g)$, and next consider the following arc:


Figure 11. Another geometric representative whose endpoints are 1 and $\overline{h-1}$.

We see from these examples that we need more information to distinguish between these three modules. Let us add an integer in the notation that shows how many times the arc crosses the edge 0 from right to left. If the arc crosses in the other direction, then we put negative sign on the number. As a consequence, the notation for $P(0), P(g)=S(g)$, and the module in Figure 11 are $(\underline{1}, \overline{h-1}, 1),(\underline{1}, \overline{h-1}, 0)$, and $(\underline{1}, \overline{h-1}, 2)$ respectively. Note also that the notation for the module $P(i), 0<i \leq g$, is $(\underline{(g-i+1) \bmod g}, \overline{h-1}, 0)$.

Given a notation of a module whose arc contains an endpoint 0 , we should not be confused by which copy of 0 should be the endpoint of the arc in the geometric representation since we require that the arc must cross at least one edge. As an example, consider the module denoted by ( $\underline{0}, \overline{h-1}, 0$ ). In this case, the arc must be started from the right hand copy of $0 \partial$ for if it was started from the left hand copy of $0 \partial$, then the whole arc will coincide with the edge $g+1$ (see Figure 4 for reference) and this is not allowed. Hence the module corresponds with this notation is unique.

Here are the descriptions of the projective, simple, and injective $k Q_{g, h^{-}}$ modules. Not first that $S(0)=I(0)$.

TABLE 1. Geometric notation of projective, simple, and injective $k Q_{g, h}$-modules.

| Module | Notation |
| :---: | :---: |
| $P(i), 0<i \leq g$ | $(\underline{(g-i+1) \bmod g}, \overline{h-1}, 0)$ |
| $P(i), g<i \leq n$ | $(\underline{1}, \overline{n-i}, 0)$ |
| $S(i), 0<i<g$ | $(\underline{g-i-1}, \underline{(g-i+1) \bmod g}, 0)$ |
| $S(i), g<i \leq n$ | $(\overline{n-i}, \overline{(n-i+2) \bmod h}, 0)$ |
| $I(i), 0 \leq i<g$ | ( $1, \underline{g-i-1,1) ~}$ |
| $I(i), g<i \leq n$ | $(\overline{(n-i+2) \bmod h}, \underline{g-1}, 1)$ |
| $I(g)$ | $(\overline{1}, \underline{g-1}, 2)$ |

## 5. General results

In this section we describe $U$-projective resolutions for indecomposable modules over the algebras from Section 3 and Section 4.

### 5.1. Type $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$

We begin this section with the results for an algebra of type $A_{n}$ with linear orientation. We will compute resolutions for non projective indecomposable modules.

Clearly, for every module $(i, j), 1 \leq i \leq j-2$, we have a surjective map $(1, j) \xrightarrow{d}(i, j) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we can take $(1, j)$ to be the $P_{0}$.

Now consider the submodule $(i, m)$ of $(i, j)$ which is given by the module $0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow K^{(m-2)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^{(i)} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$. To find $d^{-1}((i, m))$, note that $d=\left(d_{t}: K^{(t)} \rightarrow K^{(t)}\right)$ is described as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow \cdots & \rightarrow & \rightarrow & K^{(j-2)} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & K^{(i)} & \rightarrow & K^{(i-1)} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & K^{(1)}  \tag{5.1}\\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \cdots & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \cdots & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & K^{(j-2)} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & K^{(i)} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

Clearly, we have $d_{t}=1_{k}$ if $i \leq t \leq j-2$ and 0 otherwise. Hence, $d^{-1}((i, m))$ is given by the module $0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow K^{(m-2)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^{(1)}$ whose diagonal notation is $(1, m)$. From this we have $P_{1}=P_{2}=(1, m)=P(m-2)$.

Now, from (5.1) again we can easily verify that ker $d$ is given by the module $0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow K^{(i-1)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^{(1)}$ whose diagonal notation is $(1, i+1)$. From this we have $P_{3}=(1, i+1)=P(i-1)$. We state the result in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. The $(i, m)$-projective resolution of $(i, j)$ is given by:

In the AR-quiver, the $(i, m)$-projective resolution of $(i, j)$ has the following form:


Figure 12. The $U$-projective resolution of $M=(i, j)$ viewed in the AR-quiver.

Note again that every module $(i, j)$ has exactly $j-i-1$ nonzero submodules. Hence for a chosen $i$ we can consider $1+2+\cdots+(n-i+1)$ different $U$-projective resolutions with $U \neq 0$. Since we consider only the resolution of indecomposable non projective modules, where $i$ runs from 2 to $n$, then there are in total of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2}^{n}(1+2+\cdots+(n-i+1)) & =\sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{(n-i+1)(n-i+2)}{2} \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{l(l+1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} l^{2}+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} l\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(n-1) n(2 n-1)}{6}+\frac{(n-1) n}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{n^{3}-n}{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

possible $U$-projective resolutions we have to consider for linear oriented type $A_{n}$.

For example, there are 20 different $U$-projective resolutions with $U \neq 0$ for indecomposable non projective modules over path algebra $A_{5}$. We give here the geometric representation of 4 of them, which are the resolutions of $I(2)$. Recall that $I(2)$ has exactly 4 nonzero submodules: $I(2)=(2,7),(2,6),(2,5)$, and $(2,4)=S(2)$. The dotted lines in Figure 13 represent the $U_{i}$ 's.

### 5.2. Type $\tilde{A}_{n}$

We restrict the computation of the $U$-projective resolutions only for the simples and for the injectives. We distinguish 2 cases: $U=M$ and $U \neq M$ (always assuming $U \neq 0$ ). For the latter case, we will restrict to the situation $M=I(i)$ while $U=S(i)$. Recall that the sequence will have the form (2.2). Hence from now we will not write down the $U_{i}$ 's on the sequence since $U_{0}$ appears as $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, and $U_{1}$ appears as $P_{3}$. Further, on the case $U=M$ we have $P_{0}=P_{1}=P_{2}$.

Lemma 2. For $U \neq 0$, the $U$-projective resolution of $S(i)$ is given by:
(1) $0 \rightarrow P(i+1) \rightarrow P(i) \rightarrow P(i) \rightarrow P(i) \rightarrow S(i) \rightarrow 0$ if $0<i<g$, and
(2) $0 \rightarrow P(i-1) \rightarrow P(i) \rightarrow P(i) \rightarrow P(i) \rightarrow S(i) \rightarrow 0$ if $g<i \leq n$.

Proof. Since $S(i)$ is simple, the only nonzero submodule of $S(i)$ is $S(i)$. Now consider the map $d: P(i) \rightarrow S(i)$ defined by

$$
d=\left(d^{\prime}\right):= \begin{cases}1_{K} & \text { if } t=i \\ 0 & \text { if } t \neq i\end{cases}
$$



Figure 13. Geometric representation for $U$-projective resolution of $I(2)$ in type $A_{5}$. From top to bottom: $U=I(2)$, $U=(2,6), U=(2,5), U=S(2)$.

Clearly, $d$ is a surjection whose kernel is $P(i+1)$ if $0<i<g$ and $P(i-1)$ if $g<i \leq n$. The result then follows.
Lemma 3. The $I(i)$-projective resolution of $I(i)$ is given by:
(1a) $0 \rightarrow P(i+1) \oplus P(n) \rightarrow P(0) \rightarrow P(0) \rightarrow P(0) \rightarrow I(i) \rightarrow 0$ if $0 \leq i<g$, and
(1b) $0 \rightarrow P(1) \oplus P(i-1) \rightarrow P(0) \rightarrow P(0) \rightarrow P(0) \rightarrow I(i) \rightarrow 0$ if $g<i \leq n$.
The $S(i)$-projective resolution of $I(i)$ is given by:
(1a) $0 \rightarrow P(i+1) \oplus P(n) \rightarrow P(i) \oplus P(n) \rightarrow P(i) \oplus P(n) \rightarrow P(0) \rightarrow I(i) \rightarrow 0$ if $0<i<g$, and
(1b) $0 \rightarrow P(1) \oplus P(i-1) \rightarrow P(1) \oplus P(i) \rightarrow P(1) \oplus P(i) \rightarrow P(0) \rightarrow I(i) \rightarrow 0$ if $g<i \leq n$.

Proof. We will show this only for the case $0 \leq i<g$. Consider the map $d: P(0) \rightarrow I(i)$ defined by

$$
d=\left(d^{\prime}\right):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1_{k}, \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq i, \\
0, \quad \text { if } i<t \leq n, t \neq g, \\
0_{2 \times 1}, \text { if } t=g .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly, $d$ is a surjection whose kernel is $P(i+1) \oplus P(n)$. Hence we have (1a). Now, for $i \neq 0$ we have $d^{-1}(S(i))=P(i) \oplus P(n)$. Hence (1b) holds. The other parts can be handled similarly.

### 5.3. Geometric notation for type $\tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$

Now we give the geometric notation of the results obtained in previous subsections.

CASE 1: $U=M$
TABLE 2. Geometric notation for the simple case, $U=M$

|  | $S(i)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0<i<g$ | $g<i \leq n$ |
| $P_{0}=P_{1}=P_{2}=U_{0}$ | $(\underline{g-i-1}, \underline{(g-i+1) \bmod g}, 0)$ | $(\overline{n-i}, \overline{(n-i+2) \bmod h}, 0)$ |
| $P_{3}=U_{1}$ | $\left.\frac{(g-i+1) \bmod g}{}, \overline{h-1}, 0\right)$ | $(\underline{1}, \overline{n-i}, 0)$ |
| $(\underline{g-i}, \overline{h-1}, 0)$ | $\underline{1},(\overline{n-i+1}, 0)$ |  |

TABLE 3. Geometric notation for the injective case, $U=M$

|  | $S(i)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0<i<g$ | $g<i \leq n$ |  |
|  | $(\overline{1}, \underline{g-i-1}, 1)$ | $(\overline{(n-i+2) \bmod h}, \underline{g-1}, 1)$ |  |
| $P_{0}=P_{1}=P_{2}=U_{0}$ | $(\underline{1}, \overline{h-1}, 1)$ |  |  |
| $P_{3}=U_{1}$ | $(\underline{g-i}, \overline{h-1}, 0) \cup(\underline{1}, \overline{0}, 0)$ | $(\underline{0}, \overline{h-1}, 0) \cup(\underline{g-i+2}, \overline{h-1}, 0)$ |  |

CASE 2: $U \neq M$
Table 4. Geometric notation for the injective case taking the simple submodule as the submodule $U$ in each case.

|  | $I(i)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0<i<g$ | $g<i \leq n$ |
| $\begin{gathered} M \\ U=S(i) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\overline{1}, \underline{g-i-1}, 1) \\ (\underline{g-i-1}, \underline{(g-i+1) \bmod g}, 0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\overline{(n-i+2) \bmod h}, \underline{g-1}, 1) \\ & (\overline{n-i}, \overline{(n-i+2) \bmod h}, 0) \end{aligned}$ |
| $P_{0}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} P_{1}=P_{2}=U_{0} \\ P_{3}=U_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\underline{g-i+1, \overline{h-1}, 0) \cup(\underline{1}, \overline{0}, 0)} \\ (\underline{g-i}, \overline{h-1}, 0) \cup(\underline{1}, \overline{0}, 0) \end{gathered}$ | $(\underline{0}, \overline{h-1}, 0) \cup(\underline{g-i+1}, \overline{h-1}, 0)$ <br> $(\underline{0}, \overline{h-1}, 0) \cup(\underline{g-i+2}, \overline{h-1}, 0)$ |

### 5.4. Resolution for $I(g)$

The construction of $U$-projective resolutions for the module $I(g)$ is done in different way. Let us first describe the module $I(g)$ with Figure 3 as a reference. This will help us to understand the maps. The module $I(g)$ is given by $\left(K^{(t)}, \alpha, \beta\right)$, described as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{(t)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K^{2}, \text { if } t=0, \\
K, \text { if } t \neq 0,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \alpha_{t}= \begin{cases}(1) & 0), \text { if } t=n, \\
1_{K}, & \text { if } t \neq n,\end{cases} \\
& \beta_{t}= \begin{cases}(0 & 1), \text { if } t=0, \\
1_{K}, & \text { if } t \neq 0 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider the module $P(0)^{2}=\left(K^{(t)}, \alpha, \beta\right)$ where $K^{(t)}, \alpha_{t}$, and $\beta_{t}$ are described as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{(t)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K^{4}, \text { if } t=g, \\
K^{2}, \text { if } t \neq g,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \alpha_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{I_{2}}{0_{2} \times 2}\right), \text { if } t=g, \\
I_{2}, \text { if } t \neq g,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \beta_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{0_{2 \times 2}}{I_{2}}\right), \text { if } t=g-1, \\
I_{2}, \text { if } t \neq g-1 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We can easily verify that the map $P(0)^{2} \xrightarrow{d} I(g) \rightarrow 0$ is defined by

$$
d=\left(d_{t}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
I_{2}, \text { if } t=0 \\
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \text { if } 1 \leq t<g \\
(1
\end{array} 0 \quad 0 \quad 1\right), \text { if } t=g, ~\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0), \text { if } g<t \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the projective module $P_{0}$ of $I(g)$ is given by $P(0)^{2}$.
Now, we can easily verify that ker $d$ is the module $\left(K^{(t)}, \alpha_{t}, \beta_{t}\right)$ given by the following properties:

$$
K^{(t)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0^{2}, \text { if } t=0 \\
K \oplus 0, \text { if } 1 \leq t<g, \\
\langle(1,0,0,-1),(0,1,0,0),(0,0,1,0)\rangle, \text { if } t=g \\
0 \oplus K, \text { if } g<t \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{2 \times 2}, \text { if } t=n, \\
E_{22}^{2 \times 2}, \text { if } g<t<n, \\
\left(\frac{E_{22}^{2 \times 2}}{0_{2}}\right), \text { if } t=g,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \beta_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{2 \times 2}, \text { if } t=0, \\
E_{11}^{2 \times 2}, \text { if } 1 \leq t<g-1, \\
\left(\frac{0_{2}}{E_{22}^{2 \times 2}}\right), \text { if } t=g-1 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the module $P(1) \oplus P(g) \oplus P(n)=\left(K^{(t)}, \alpha_{t}, \beta_{t}\right)$ with the following properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{(t)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0^{3}, \text { if } t=0, \\
K \oplus 0^{2}, \text { if } 1 \leq t<g, \\
K^{3}, \text { if } t=g, \\
0^{2} \oplus K, \text { if } g<t \leq n,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \alpha_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{3 \times 3}, \text { if } t=n, \\
E_{33}^{(3 \times 3)}, \text { if } g \leq t<n,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \beta_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{3 \times 3}, \text { if } t=0, \\
E_{11}^{(3 \times 3)}, \text { if } 1 \leq t<g .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now define a map ker $d \xrightarrow{\varphi} P(1) \oplus P(g) \oplus P(n)$ as follows:

$$
\varphi=\left(\varphi_{t}: K^{(t)} \rightarrow K^{(t)}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{3 \times 2}, \text { if } t=0  \tag{5.2}\\
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{O_{2 \times 2}} \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right), \text { if } 1 \leq t<g \\
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \text { if } t=g \\
\left(\frac{0_{2 \times 2}}{0} \frac{1}{0}\right), \text { if } g<t \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism. Hence we may take $P(1) \oplus P(g) \oplus P(n)$ as $P_{3}$. Thus, we have the following result.
Lemma 4. The $I(g)$-projective resolution of $I(g)$ is given by $0 \rightarrow P(1) \oplus P(g) \oplus$ $P(n) \rightarrow P(0)^{2} \rightarrow P(0)^{2} \rightarrow P(0)^{2} \rightarrow I(g) \rightarrow 0$.

Next, it is clear that if $i \neq 0$, then $P(i)$ is a submodule of $I(g)$. For $0<i \leq g$, $d^{-1}(P(i))=\left(K^{(t)}, \alpha_{t}, \beta_{t}\right)$ is given by

$$
K^{(t)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0^{2}, \text { if } t=0 \\
K \oplus 0, \text { if } 1 \leq t<i \\
K^{2}, \text { if } i \leq t<g \\
K^{4}, \text { if } t=g \\
0 \oplus K, \text { if } g<t \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{2 \times 2}, \text { if } t=n, \\
E_{22}^{(2 \times 2)}, \text { if } g<t<n, \\
\left(\frac{E_{22}^{(22)}}{0_{2 \times 2)}}\right), \text { if } t=g,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \beta_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{2 \times 2}, \text { if } t=0, \\
E_{11}^{(2 \times 2)}, \text { if } 1 \leq t<i, \\
I_{2}, \text { if } i \leq t<g-1, \\
\left(\frac{0_{2 \times 2}}{I_{2}}\right), \text { if } t=g-1 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider the module $P(1) \oplus P(i) \oplus P(g) \oplus P(n)=\left(K^{(t)}, \alpha_{t}, \beta_{t}\right)$ with the following properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{(t)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0^{4}, \text { if } t=0, \\
K \oplus 0^{3}, \text { if } 1 \leq t<i, \\
K^{2} \oplus 0^{2}, \text { if } i \leq t<g, \\
K^{4}, \text { if } t=g, \\
0 \oplus K, \text { if } g<t \leq n,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \alpha_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{4 \times 4}, \text { if } t=n, \\
\left(\begin{array}{l|l}
0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\
\hline 0_{2 \times 2} & E_{22}^{(2 \times 2)}
\end{array}\right), \text { if } g \leq t<n,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \beta_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{4 \times 4}, \text { if } t=0, \\
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
E_{11}^{(2 \times 2)} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\
\hline 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2}
\end{array}\right), \text { if } 1 \leq t<i, \\
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
I_{2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\
\hline 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2}
\end{array}\right), \text { if } i \leq t<g .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now define a map $d^{-1}(P(i)) \xrightarrow{\theta} P(1) \oplus P(i) \oplus P(g) \oplus P(n)$ as follows

Then $\theta$ is an isomorphism. Hence we may take $P(1) \oplus P(i) \oplus P(g) \oplus P(n)$ as $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$.

Now, let $g<i \leq n$. Then $d^{-1}(P(i))=\left(K^{(t)}, \alpha_{t}, \beta_{t}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{(t)} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0^{2}, \text { if } t=0, \\
K \oplus 0, \text { if } 1 \leq t<g, \\
K^{4}, \text { if } t=g, \\
K^{2}, \text { if } g<t \leq i, \\
0 \oplus K, \text { if } i<t \leq n,
\end{array} \quad \alpha_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{2 \times 2}, \text { if } t=n, \\
E_{22}^{(2 \times 2)}, \text { if } i \leq t<n, \\
I_{2}, \text { if } g<t<i, \\
\left(\frac{c I_{2}}{0_{2 \times 2}}\right), \text { if } t=g,
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
\beta_{t} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{2 \times 2}, \text { if } t=0, \\
E_{11}^{(2 \times 2)}, \text { if } 1 \leq t<g-1, \\
\left(\frac{c 0_{2 \times 2}}{\left.E_{11}^{(2 \times 2)}\right), \text { if } t=g-1 m .}\right.
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider the module $P(1) \oplus P(g) \oplus P(i) \oplus P(n)=\left(K^{(t)}, \alpha_{t}, \beta_{t}\right)$ with the following properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{(t)} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0^{4}, \text { if } t=0, \\
K \oplus 0^{3}, \text { if } 1 \leq t<g, \\
K^{4}, \text { if } t=g, \\
0^{2} \oplus K^{2}, \text { if } g<t \leq i, \\
0^{3} \oplus K, \text { if } i<t \leq n,
\end{array} \quad \alpha_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{4 \times 4}, \text { if } t=n, \\
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\
\hline 0_{2 \times 2} & E_{22}^{(2 \times 2)}
\end{array}\right), \text { if } i \leq t<n, \\
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\
\hline 0_{2 \times 2} & I_{2}
\end{array}\right), \text { if } g \leq t<i,
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
\beta_{t} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{4 \times 4}, \text { if } t=0, \\
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
E_{11}^{(2 \times 2)} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\
\hline 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2}
\end{array}\right), \text { if } 1 \leq t<g .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now define a map $d^{-1}(P(i)) \xrightarrow{\theta^{\prime}} P(1) \oplus P(g) \oplus P(n)$ as follows

$$
\theta^{\prime}=\left(\theta_{t}^{\prime}: K^{(t)} \rightarrow K^{(t)}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0_{4 \times 2}, \text { if } t=0, \\
\left(\frac{E_{11}^{(2 \times 2)}}{0_{2 \times 2}}\right), \text { if } 1 \leq t<g, \\
\left(\frac{0_{2 \times 2}}{I_{2}} 0_{2 \times 2}\right. \\
0_{2 \times 2}
\end{array}, \text { if } t=g, ~\left(\frac{0_{2 \times 2}}{I_{2}}\right), \text { if } g<t \leq i, ~\left(\frac{0_{2 \times 2}}{\left.E_{22}^{(2 \times 2)}\right), \text { if } i<t \leq n .} .\right.\right.
$$

Then $\theta^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism. Hence we may take $P(1) \oplus P(g) \oplus P(i) \oplus P(n)$ as $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. We summarize the results for the case $M=I(g)$ while $U=P(i)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 5. For $0<i \leq n$, the $P(i)$-projective resolution of $I(g)$ is given by $0 \rightarrow \underset{t=1, g, n}{\oplus} P(t) \rightarrow \underset{t=1, i, g, n}{\oplus} P(t) \rightarrow \underset{t=1, i, g, n}{\oplus} P(t) \rightarrow P(0)^{2} \rightarrow I(g) \rightarrow 0$.

For the illustration, we choose the quiver $Q_{3,2}$ of type $\tilde{A}_{4}$ as the underliying quiver. We give here the geometric representation of the $I(i)$-projective resolution of $I(i)$. The dotted lines in Figure 14 represent the $U_{i}$ 's.


Figure 14. Geometric representation for $I(i)$-projective resolution of $k Q_{3,2}$-module $I(i)$. From top to bottom: $i=0, i=$ $1, i=2, i=3, i=4$
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