

## Some Generating Relations of Extended Mittag-Leffler Functions

NABIULLAH KHAN

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India*  
e-mail : nukhanmath@gmail.com

MOHD GHAYASUDDIN

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Integral University, Lucknow-226026, India*  
e-mail : ghayas.maths@gmail.com

MOHD SHADAB\*

*Department of Applied Sciences and Humanities, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Jamia Millia Islamia(A Central University), New Delhi-110025, India*  
e-mail : shadabmohd786@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the results on generating functions investigated by H. Exton and many other authors, we derive certain (presumably) new generating functions for generalized Mittag-Leffler-type functions. Specifically, we introduce a new class of generating relations (which are partly bilateral and partly unilateral) involving the generalized Mittag-Leffler function. Also we present some special cases of our main result.

### 1. Introduction

In 1903, the Swedish mathematician Gosta Mittag-Leffler [7] introduced the function

$$(1.1) \quad E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)},$$

where  $z$  is a complex variable,  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function and  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

---

\* Corresponding Author.

Received March 16, 2017; revised March 12, 2019; accepted March 18, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33E99, 05A15, 33C05.

Key words and phrases: generalized Mittag-Leffler's function; hypergeometric function; generating function.

The Mittag-Leffler function is a direct generalization of the exponential function to which it reduces when  $\alpha = 1$ . For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , it interpolates between the pure exponential and the hypergeometric function  $1 - z^{-1}$ . Its importance has been realized during the last two decades due to its involvement in problems of physics, chemistry, biology, engineering and applied sciences. The Mittag-Leffler function naturally occurs as the solution of fractional-order differential and integral equations.

Wiman [17] introduced a new generalization of  $E_\alpha(z)$  as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}$$

$$(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\beta) > 0),$$

which is known as the Wiman function. Properties of the Wiman function  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$  and the Mittag-leffler function  $E_\alpha(z)$  are very similar (see, [17], [1]). These Mittag-Leffler functions (which are given in (1.1) and (1.2), respectively) are entire functions of order  $p = 1/\alpha$ . These functions play a very important role in the solution of differential equations of fractional order.

Prabhakar [9] introduced a further generalization of  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$  as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\beta) > 0, \Re(\gamma) > 0$ , and  $(\gamma)_n$  is the widely used Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(1.4) \quad (\gamma)_n := \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + n)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \\ \gamma(\gamma + 1) \dots (\gamma + n - 1) & (n \in \mathbb{N}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$

For  $\gamma = 1$ , (1.3) is easily seen to reduce to (1.2).

The function  $E_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(z)$  is the most natural generalization of the exponential function  $\exp(z)$ , the Mittag-Leffler function  $E_\alpha(z)$  and Wiman's function  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ . Kilbas and Saigo [12] and Raina [10] have investigated several properties and applications of these functions.

In a sequel to the above-mentioned works, Shukla and Prajapati [15] defined the following generalization of the Mittag-Leffler function:

$$(1.5) \quad E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{\delta n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\beta) > 0, \Re(\gamma) > 0, \text{ and } \delta \in (0, 1) \cup \mathbb{N}),$$

where  $(\gamma)_{\delta n} = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + \delta n)}{\Gamma(\gamma)}$ , is the generalized Pochhammer symbol.

In 2009 and 2012, Salim [13] and Salim and Faraaj [14] introduced further generalizations of the preceding functions which are given as follows:

$$(1.6) \quad E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_n z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)(\delta)_n}$$

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\beta) > 0, \Re(\gamma) > 0, \text{ and } \Re(\delta) > 0)$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad E_{\alpha, \beta, p}^{\gamma, \delta, q}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{qn} z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)(\delta)_{pn}}$$

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\gamma), \Re(\delta)\} > 0, \text{ and } q \leq \Re(\alpha) + p).$$

Subsequently, Khan and Ahmad [6] defined the following two interesting generalizations of these functions and investigated their associated properties:

$$(1.8) \quad E_{\alpha, \beta, \delta}^{\gamma, q}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{qn} z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)(\delta)_n}$$

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(\alpha) > 0, \Re(\beta) > 0, \Re(\gamma) > 0, \text{ and } \Re(\delta) > 0, \text{ and } q \in (0, 1) \cup \mathbb{N})$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad E_{\alpha, \beta, \nu, \sigma, \delta, p}^{\mu, \rho, \gamma, q}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{pn} (\gamma)_{qn} z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)(\nu)_{\sigma n} (\delta)_{pn}}$$

where  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}; p, q > 0; q \leq \Re(\alpha) + p, \text{ and } \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\gamma), \Re(\delta), \Re(\mu), \Re(\nu), \Re(\rho), \Re(\sigma)\} > 0)$ .

## 2. Generating Relation

An interesting result on generating functions was given by H. Exton [3, p.147(3)]. The modified form of his result due to Pathan and Yasmeen [8] is

$$(2.1) \quad \exp\left(s + t - \frac{xt}{s}\right) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^+}^{\infty} s^m t^n F_n^m(x),$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad F_n^m(x) = {}_1F_1(-n; m+1; x)/m!n! = L_n^m(x)/(m+n)!,$$

$L_n^m(x)$  denotes the classical Laguerre polynomials (see [2], [12]) and

$$(2.3) \quad m^* = \max(0, -m), (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

**Main result.** *Motivated by Exton's result, we derive the following generating relation for the generalized Mittag-Leffler function (1.9) given as:*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & E_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \nu_1, \sigma_1, \delta_1, p_1}^{\mu_1, \rho_1, \gamma_1, q_1}(s) E_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \nu_2, \sigma_2, \delta_2, p_2}^{\mu_2, \rho_2, \gamma_2, q_2}(t) E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3, \nu_3, \sigma_3, \delta_3, p_3}^{\mu_3, \rho_3, \gamma_3, q_3} \left( \frac{-xt}{s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{s^m t^n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3)} \{ \mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, q_i \\ & \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i, \nu_i, \sigma_i, \delta_i, p_i F_n^m(x) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{ \mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, q_i \\ & \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i, \nu_i, \sigma_i, \delta_i, p_i F_n^m(x) \} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_1)_{\rho_1(m+k)} (\gamma_1)_{q_1(m+k)} (\mu_2)_{\rho_2(n-k)} (\gamma_2)_{q_2(n-k)} (\mu_3)_{\rho_3 k} (\gamma_3)_{q_3 k}}{(\beta_1)_{\alpha_1(m+k)} (\nu_1)_{\sigma_1(m+k)} (\delta_1)_{p_1(m+k)} (\beta_2)_{\alpha_2(n-k)} (\nu_2)_{\sigma_2(n-k)} (\delta_2)_{p_2(n-k)}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(-x)^k}{(\beta_3)_{\alpha_3 k} (\nu_3)_{\sigma_3 k} (\delta_3)_{p_3 k}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* On expanding the function

$$M(x, s, t) = E_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \nu_1, \sigma_1, \delta_1, p_1}^{\mu_1, \rho_1, \gamma_1, q_1}(s) E_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \nu_2, \sigma_2, \delta_2, p_2}^{\mu_2, \rho_2, \gamma_2, q_2}(t) E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3, \nu_3, \sigma_3, \delta_3, p_3}^{\mu_3, \rho_3, \gamma_3, q_3} \left( \frac{-xt}{s} \right),$$

in series form, we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} M(x, s, t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_3)_{\rho_3 k} (\gamma_3)_{q_3 k} (-x)^k}{\Gamma(\alpha_3 k + \beta_3) (\nu_3)_{\sigma_3 k} (\delta_3)_{p_3 k}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_1)_{\rho_1 i} (\gamma_1)_{q_1 i} s^{(i-k)}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 i + \beta_1) (\nu_1)_{\sigma_1 i} (\delta_1)_{p_1 i}} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_2)_{\rho_2 j} (\gamma_2)_{q_2 j} t^{(j+k)}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 j + \beta_2) (\nu_2)_{\sigma_2 j} (\delta_2)_{p_2 j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacement of  $i - k$  and  $j + k$  by  $m$  and  $n$  respectively, followed by rearrangement justified by the absolute convergence of the above series, then leads to

$$M(x, s, t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{s^m t^n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3)}$$

$$(2.7) \quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_1)_{\rho_1(m+k)}(\gamma_1)_{q_1(m+k)}(\mu_2)_{\rho_2(n-k)}(\gamma_2)_{q_2(n-k)}(\mu_3)_{\rho_3k}(\gamma_3)_{q_3k}}{(\beta_1)_{\alpha_1(m+k)}(\nu_1)_{\sigma_1(m+k)}(\delta_1)_{p_1(m+k)}(\beta_2)_{\alpha_2(n-k)}(\nu_2)_{\sigma_2(n-k)}(\delta_2)_{p_2(n-k)}} \times \frac{(-x)^k}{(\beta_3)_{\alpha_3k}(\nu_3)_{\sigma_3k}(\delta_3)_{p_3k}},$$

which is our required result. □

### 3. Special Cases

(1) On setting  $\mu_i = \nu_i$  and  $\rho_i = \sigma_i$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in (2.4), we get the following result for the Mittag-Leffler function defined by Salim and Faraj [14]:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & E_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \mu_1, \rho_1, \delta_1, p_1}^{\mu_1, \rho_1, \gamma_1, q_1}(s) E_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \mu_2, \rho_2, \delta_2, p_2}^{\mu_2, \rho_2, \gamma_2, q_2}(t) E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3, \mu_3, \rho_3, \delta_3, p_3}^{\mu_3, \rho_3, \gamma_3, q_3}\left(\frac{-xt}{s}\right) \\ &= E_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, p_1}^{\gamma_1, \delta_1, q_1}(s) E_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, p_2}^{\gamma_2, \delta_2, q_2}(t) E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3, p_3}^{\gamma_3, \delta_3, q_3}\left(\frac{-xt}{s}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{s^m t^n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3)} \{_{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, \rho_i, \delta_i, p_i}^{\mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, q_i} F_n^m(x)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \{_{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, \rho_i, \delta_i, p_i}^{\mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, q_i} F_n^m(x)\} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_1)_{q_1(m+k)}(\gamma_2)_{q_2(n-k)}(\gamma_3)_{q_3k}(-x)^k}{(\beta_1)_{\alpha_1(m+k)}(\delta_1)_{p_1(m+k)}(\beta_2)_{\alpha_2(n-k)}(\delta_2)_{p_2(n-k)}(\beta_3)_{\alpha_3k}(\delta_3)_{p_3k}}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) On setting  $\mu_i = \nu_i$ ,  $\rho_i = \sigma_i$  and  $p_i = 1$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in (2.4), we get the following interesting result:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & E_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \mu_1, \rho_1, \delta_1, 1}^{\mu_1, \rho_1, \gamma_1, q_1}(s) E_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \mu_2, \rho_2, \delta_2, 1}^{\mu_2, \rho_2, \gamma_2, q_2}(t) E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3, \mu_3, \rho_3, \delta_3, 1}^{\mu_3, \rho_3, \gamma_3, q_3}\left(\frac{-xt}{s}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{s^m t^n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3)} \{_{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, \rho_i, \delta_i, 1}^{\mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, q_i} F_n^m(x)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\{_{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, \rho_i, \delta_i, 1}^{\mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, q_i} F_n^m(x)\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_1)_{q_1(m+k)}(\gamma_2)_{q_2(n-k)}(\gamma_3)_{q_3k}(-x)^k}{(\beta_1)_{\alpha_1(m+k)}(\delta_1)_{(m+k)}(\beta_2)_{\alpha_2(n-k)}(\delta_2)_{(n-k)}(\beta_3)_{\alpha_3k}(\delta_3)_k}.$$

(3) On setting  $\mu_i = \nu_i$ ,  $\rho_i = \sigma_i$  and  $q_i = p_i = 1$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in (2.4), we get the following known result for the Mittag-Leffler function defined by Salim in [13]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \mu_1, \rho_1, \delta_1, 1}^{\mu_1, \rho_1, \gamma_1, 1}(s) E_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \mu_2, \rho_2, \delta_2, 1}^{\mu_2, \rho_2, \gamma_2, 1}(t) E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3, \mu_3, \rho_3, \delta_3, 1}^{\mu_3, \rho_3, \gamma_3, 1}\left(\frac{-xt}{s}\right) \\
&= E_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1, \delta_1}(s) E_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2, \delta_2}(t) E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3}^{\gamma_3, \delta_3}\left(\frac{-xt}{s}\right) \\
(3.3) \quad &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{s^m t^n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3)} \{_{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, \rho_i, \delta_i, 1}^{\mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, 1} F_n^m(x)\},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\{_{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, \rho_i, \delta_i, 1}^{\mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, 1} F_n^m(x)\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_1)_{(m+k)}(\gamma_2)_{(n-k)}(\gamma_3)_k (-x)^k}{(\beta_1)_{\alpha_1(m+k)}(\delta_1)_{(m+k)}(\beta_2)_{\alpha_2(n-k)}(\delta_2)_{(n-k)}(\beta_3)_{\alpha_3 k}(\delta_3)_k}.$$

(4) For  $\mu_i = \nu_i$ ,  $\rho_i = \sigma_i$  and  $\delta_i = p_i = 1$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), (2.4) reduces to the following known result of Kamarujjama and Khan [5] for the Mittag-Leffler function defined by Shukla and Prajapati [15]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \mu_1, \rho_1, 1, 1}^{\mu_1, \rho_1, \gamma_1, q_1}(s) E_{\alpha_2, \beta_2, \mu_2, \rho_2, 1, 1}^{\mu_2, \rho_2, \gamma_2, q_2}(t) E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3, \mu_3, \rho_3, 1, 1}^{\mu_3, \rho_3, \gamma_3, q_3}\left(\frac{-xt}{s}\right) \\
&= E_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1, q_1}(s) E_{\alpha_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2, q_2}(t) E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3}^{\gamma_3, q_3}\left(\frac{-xt}{s}\right) \\
(3.4) \quad &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{s^m t^n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3)} \{_{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, \rho_i, 1, 1}^{\mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, q_i} F_n^m(x)\},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\{_{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, \rho_i, 1, 1}^{\mu_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i, q_i} F_n^m(x)\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_1)_{q_1(m+k)}(\gamma_2)_{q_2(n-k)}(\gamma_3)_{q_3 k} (-x)^k}{(1+m)_k (\beta_1)_{\alpha_1(m+k)}(\beta_2)_{\alpha_2(n-k)}(\beta_3)_{\alpha_3 k} k!}.$$

(5) On setting  $\rho_i = q_i = \sigma_i = \alpha_i = p_i = 1$ ,  $\mu_3 = \beta_3$  and  $\gamma_3 = \delta_3$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in (2.4), we get the following interesting result:

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{1, \beta_1, \nu_1, 1, \delta_1, 1}^{\mu_1, 1, \gamma_1, 1}(s) E_{1, \beta_2, \nu_2, 1, \delta_2, 1}^{\mu_2, 1, \gamma_2, 1}(t) E_{1, \mu_3, \nu_3, 1, \gamma_3, 1}^{\mu_3, 1, \gamma_3, 1}\left(\frac{-xt}{s}\right) \\
&= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{s^m t^n (\mu_1)_m (\gamma_1)_m (\mu_2)_n (\gamma_2)_n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3) (\beta_1)_m (\nu_1)_m (\delta_1)_m (\beta_2)_n (\nu_2)_n (\delta_2)_n} \\
(3.5) \quad &\times {}_6F_6 \left[ \begin{matrix} \mu_1 + m, \gamma_1 + m, 1 - \beta_2 - n, 1 - \nu_2 - n, 1 - \delta_2 - n, 1; \\ \beta_1 + m, \nu_1 + m, 1 - \mu_2 - n, 1 - \gamma_2 - n, \delta_1 + m, \nu_3; \end{matrix} x \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where  ${}_pF_q$  is the *generalized hypergeometric function* defined by (see, [11], [16])

$${}_pF_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p; \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_q; \end{matrix} z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\alpha_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\beta_j)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

(6) On setting  $\rho_1 = q_1 = \rho_3 = q_3 = \alpha_1 = \sigma_1 = p_1 = \alpha_3 = \sigma_3 = p_3 = 2$ ,  $\rho_2 = q_2 = \alpha_2 = \sigma_2 = p_2 = 1$ ,  $\mu_3 = \beta_3$  and  $\gamma_3 = \delta_3$  in (2.4), we get the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{2,\beta_1,\nu_1,1,\delta_1,2}^{\mu_1,2,\gamma_1,2}(s) E_{1,\beta_2,\nu_2,1,\delta_2,1}^{\mu_2,1,\gamma_2,1}(t) E_{2,\mu_3,\nu_3,2,\gamma_3,2}^{\mu_3,2,\gamma_3,2} \left( \frac{-xt}{s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{(s/4)^m t^n \binom{\mu_1}{2}_m \binom{\mu_1+1}{2}_m \binom{\gamma_1}{2}_m \binom{\gamma_1+1}{2}_m (\mu_2)_n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3) \binom{\beta_1}{2}_m \binom{\beta_1+1}{2}_m \binom{\nu_1}{2}_m \binom{\nu_1+1}{2}_m \binom{\delta_1}{2}_m \binom{\delta_1+1}{2}_m (\beta_2)_n (\nu_2)_n (\delta_2)_n} \\ (3.6) \quad & \times {}_8F_9 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{\mu_1+m}{2}, \frac{\mu_1+1+m}{2}, \frac{\gamma_1+m}{2}, \frac{\gamma_1+1+m}{2}, 1-\beta_2-n, 1-\nu_2-n, 1-\gamma_2-n, 1; \\ \frac{\beta_1+m}{2}, \frac{\beta_1+1+m}{2}, \frac{\nu_1+m}{2}, \frac{\nu_1+1+m}{2}, \frac{\delta_1+m}{2}, \frac{\delta_1+1+m}{2}, 1-\mu_2-n, 1-\gamma_2-n, \nu_3; \end{matrix} x \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(7) On setting  $q_i = \alpha_i = p_i = 1$ ,  $\mu_i = \nu_i$ ,  $\rho_i = \sigma_i$  and  $\gamma_3 = \beta_3$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in (2.4), we get the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{1,\beta_1,\mu_1,\rho_1,\delta_1,1}^{\mu_1,\rho_1,\gamma_1,1}(s) E_{1,\beta_2,\mu_2,\rho_2,\delta_2,1}^{\mu_2,\rho_2,\gamma_2,1}(t) E_{1,\gamma_3,\mu_3,\rho_3,\delta_3,1}^{\mu_3,\rho_3,\gamma_3,1} \left( \frac{-xt}{s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{s^m t^n (\gamma_1)_m (\gamma_2)_n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3) (\beta_1)_m (\delta_1)_m (\beta_2)_n (\delta_2)_n} \\ (3.7) \quad & \times {}_4F_4 \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma_1+m, 1-\beta_2-n, 1-\delta_2-n, 1; \\ \beta_1+m, 1-\gamma_2-n; \delta_1+m, \delta_3; \end{matrix} x \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(8) On setting  $q_1 = q_3 = \alpha_1 = p_1 = \alpha_3 = 2$ ,  $p_3 = q_2 = \alpha_2 = p_2 = 1$ ,  $\gamma_3 = \beta_3$ ,  $\mu_i = \nu_i$  and  $\rho_i = \sigma_i$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in (2.4), we get the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{2,\beta_1,\mu_1,\rho_1,\delta_1,2}^{\mu_1,\rho_1,\gamma_1,2}(s) E_{1,\beta_2,\mu_2,\rho_2,\delta_2,1}^{\mu_2,\rho_2,\gamma_2,1}(t) E_{2,\gamma_3,\mu_3,\rho_3,\delta_3,1}^{\mu_3,\rho_3,\gamma_3,2} \left( \frac{-xt}{s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{(s/4)^m t^n \binom{\gamma_1}{2}_m \binom{\gamma_1+1}{2}_m (\gamma_2)_n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3) \binom{\beta_1}{2}_m \binom{\beta_1+1}{2}_m \binom{\delta_1}{2}_m \binom{\delta_1+1}{2}_m (\beta_2)_n (\delta_2)_n} \\ (3.8) \quad & \times {}_5F_6 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{\gamma_1+m}{2}, \frac{\gamma_1+1+m}{2}, 1-\beta_2-n, 1-\delta_2-n, 1; \\ \frac{\beta_1+m}{2}, \frac{\beta_1+1+m}{2}, \frac{\delta_1+m}{2}, \frac{\delta_1+1+m}{2}, 1-\gamma_2-n, \delta_3; \end{matrix} \frac{x}{4} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

(9) On setting  $q_1 = q_3 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = 2$ ,  $p_i = q_2 = \alpha_2 = 1$ ,  $\gamma_3 = \beta_3$ ,  $\mu_i = \nu_i$  and  $\rho_i = \sigma_i$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in (2.4), we get the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E_{2,\beta_1,\mu_1,\rho_1,\delta_1,1}^{\mu_1,\rho_1,\gamma_1,2}(s) E_{1,\beta_2,\mu_2,\rho_2,\delta_2,1}^{\mu_2,\rho_2,\gamma_2,1}(t) E_{2,\gamma_3,\mu_3,\rho_3,\delta_3,1}^{\mu_3,\rho_3,\gamma_3,2} \left( \frac{-xt}{s} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{(s)^m t^n \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{2}\right)_m (\gamma_2)_n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3) \left(\frac{\beta_1}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{\beta_1+1}{2}\right)_m (\delta_1)_m (\beta_2)_n (\delta_2)_n} \\
 (3.9) \quad & \times {}_5F_5 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{\gamma_1+m}{2}, \frac{\gamma_1+1+m}{2}, 1-\beta_2-n, 1-\delta_2-n, 1; \\ \frac{\beta_1+m}{2}, \frac{\beta_1+1+m}{2}, 1-\delta_2-n, \delta_1+m, \delta_3; \end{matrix} x \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

(10) On setting  $\alpha_1 = 2$ ,  $p_i = q_i = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 1$ ,  $\gamma_3 = \beta_3$ ,  $\mu_i = \nu_i$  and  $\rho_i = \sigma_i$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in (2.4), we get the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E_{2,\beta_1,\mu_1,\rho_1,\delta_1,1}^{\mu_1,\rho_1,\gamma_1,1}(s) E_{1,\beta_2,\mu_2,\rho_2,\delta_2,1}^{\mu_2,\rho_2,\gamma_2,1}(t) E_{1,\gamma_3,\mu_3,\rho_3,\delta_3,1}^{\mu_3,\rho_3,\gamma_3,1} \left( \frac{-xt}{s} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{(s/4)^m t^n (\gamma_1)_m (\gamma_2)_n}{\Gamma(\beta_1)\Gamma(\beta_2)\Gamma(\beta_3) \left(\frac{\beta_1}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{\beta_1+1}{2}\right)_m (\delta_1)_m (\beta_2)_n (\delta_2)_n} \\
 (3.10) \quad & \times {}_4F_5 \left[ \begin{matrix} \gamma_1+m, 1-\beta_2-n, 1-\delta_2-n, 1; \\ \frac{\beta_1+m}{2}, \frac{\beta_1+1+m}{2}, 1-\gamma_2-n, \delta_1+m, \delta_3; \end{matrix} x; \frac{x}{4} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

(11) On setting  $\rho_i = q_i = \sigma_i = \alpha_i = p_i = \mu_i = \nu_i = \beta_i = \gamma_i = \delta_i = 1$  (where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) in (2.4), we get the following known result due to Exton [3]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E_{1,1,1,1,1,1}^{1,1,1,1,1}(s) E_{1,1,1,1,1,1}^{1,1,1,1,1}(t) E_{1,1,1,1,1,1}^{1,1,1,1,1} \left( \frac{-xt}{s} \right) = \exp \left( s + t - \frac{xt}{s} \right) \\
 (3.11) \quad & = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m^*}^{\infty} \frac{s^m t^n}{n!m!} {}_1F_1(-n; m+1; x).
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. Concluding Remark

In our present investigation, we have studied a number of generating functions for the extended Mittag-Leffler-type functions given in [4, 6, 13, 14, 15]. The main generating function is the further generalization of the result given by Kamarujjama and Khan [5]. The results of this paper, especially (2.4), are of a general nature in the literature on generating functions.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank the constructive comments and suggestions by anonymous referees. They have contributed to improve the presentation of this manuscript. The authors wish to acknowledge R. B. Paris (Abertay University, Dundee, UK) for his assistance with the improvement of the text.



## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, *A propos d'une note de M. pierre Humbert*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **236**(1953), 2031–2032.
- [2] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions, Vol. I*, (Bateman Manuscript Project), McGraw-Hill, Book Co. Inc., New York, Toronto and London, 1953.
- [3] H. Exton, *A new generating function for the associated Laguerre polynomials and resulting expansions*, Jñāñabha, **13**(1983), 143–149.
- [4] M. Kamarujjama and M. K. Alam, *Some generating relations involving Mittag-Leffler's function*, Proc. International Conf. SSFA, **2**(2001), 15–20.
- [5] M. Kamarujjama and Waseem A. Khan, *On some generating relations involving generalized Mittag-Leffler's function*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **36**(2012), 363–368.
- [6] M. A. Khan and S. Ahmed, *On some properties of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function*, Springer Plus, **2**(2013), 1–9.
- [7] G. Mittag-Leffler, *Sur la representation analytique d'une branche uniforme d'une fonction monogene*, Acta Math., **29**(1905), 101–181.
- [8] M. A. Pathan and Yasmeen, *On partly bilateral and partly unilateral generating functions*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. B, **28**(1986), 240–245.
- [9] T. R. Prabhakar, *A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel*, Yokohama Math. J., **19**(1971), 7–15.
- [10] R. K. Raina, *On generalised Wright's hypergeometric functions and fractional calculus operators*, East Asian Math. J., **21**(2)(2005), 191–203.
- [11] E. D. Rainville, *Special functions*, The Macmillan Co. Inc., New York 1960. Reprinted by Chelsea Publ. Co., Bronx, New York, 1971.
- [12] M. Saigo and A. A. Kilbas, *On Mittag-Leffler type function and applications*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., **7**(1998), 97–112.
- [13] T. O. Salim, *Some properties relating to the generalized Mittag-Leffler function*, Adv. Appl. Math. Anal., **4**(2009), 21–30.
- [14] T. O. Salim and W. Faraj Ahmad, *A generalization of Mittag-Leffler function and integral operator associated with fractional calculus*, J. Fract. Cal. Appl., **3**(5)(2012), 1–13.
- [15] A. K. Shukla and J. C. Prajapati, *On a generalisation of Mittag-Leffler function and its properties*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **336**(2007), 797–811.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava and H. L. Manocha, *A treatise on generating functions*, Halsted Press, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984.
- [17] A. Wiman, *Über den fundamentalsatz in der theorie der funktionen  $E_\alpha(x)$* , Acta Math., **29**(1905), 191–201.