

BLOW-UP AND GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR SOME PARABOLIC SYSTEMS UNDER NONLINEAR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

LIMIN GUO, LISHAN LIU, YONGHONG WU, AND YUMEI ZOU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, blows-up and global solutions for a class of nonlinear divergence form parabolic equations with the abstract form of $(\varrho(u))_t$ and time dependent coefficients are considered. The conditions are established for the existence of a solution globally and also the conditions are established for the blow up of the solution at some finite time. Moreover, the lower bound and upper bound of the blow-up time are derived if blow-up occurs.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the following parabolic system subject to initial and boundary value conditions:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\varrho(u))_t = \sum_{i,j=1}^N (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} + \mu(t)h(u), & \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}n_j = p(u), & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$) is a bounded star-shaped region with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\mu(t)$ is a non-negative function, ϱ is a $C^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ($\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$) function with $\varrho'(s) > 0$ for all $s > 0$, $h \in C(\mathbb{R})$, p is a nonnegative $C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ function, μ is a $C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ function, u_0 is a nonnegative $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ function, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is the normal derivative directed outward on $\partial\Omega$, t^* is the blow-up time if blow-up occurs, or else $t^* = +\infty$, and $(a^{ij}(x))_{N \times N}$ is a differentiable positive definite matrix.

Mathematical investigations of the phenomenon of blow-up of solutions in initial-boundary-value problems of partial differential equations have received

Received August 7, 2018; Revised February 6, 2019; Accepted March 4, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K55, 35K61.

Key words and phrases. blows-up and global solutions, parabolic equations, nonlinear boundary conditions, time dependent coefficients, abstract form of $(\varrho(u))_t$.

much attention in the literature. Many results have been achieved on the bounds for blow-up time in nonlinear parabolic problems. Payne and many other mathematical researchers have done a lot of work on the blow-up solutions of parabolic problems and the author obtained a large number of outstanding achievements, and for more details we refer the reader to [1, 3, 6, 7, 9, 11–21] and the references therein.

In [8], Payne and Philippin studied the blow-up solution of the following equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + k(t)f(u), & \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial n} = au, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, t) = v(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator, Ω is a bounded star-shaped region of \mathbb{R}^3 with boundary $\partial\Omega$, $k(t)$ is a non-negative function, a is an arbitrary constant, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is the normal derivative directed outward on $\partial\Omega$.

In [5], Li and Li dealt with the initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \sum_{i,j=1}^N (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} + f(u), & \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}n_j = g(u), & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded star-shaped region of $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, n is the unit outward normal on $\partial\Omega$, t^* is the blow-up time if blow-up occurs, or else $t^* = +\infty$, and $(a^{ij}(x))_{N \times N}$ is a differentiable definite matrix.

In [2], Ding and Hu studied the following reaction diffusion equations under Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} (g(u))_t = \nabla \cdot (\rho(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u) + k(t)f(u), & \Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, t^*), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. By construction of some appropriate auxiliary functions and using a first-order differential inequality technique, the authors established the upper and lower bounds for the blow-up time when blow-up occurs. Moreover, the authors also established the condition to ensure that the solution exists globally.

Remark 1.1. Our system of equations is the generalization of the systems of equations in [4, 8]. If

$$a^{ij}(x) = \delta^{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and $p(u) = au$, $\varrho(u) = u$, then system (1.1) reduces to the corresponding system in [8]; if $\varrho(u) = u$, then system (1.1) reduces to the corresponding system in [4]. Compared with [5], the nonlinear term in our equation has time-dependent coefficients and the abstract form of $(\varrho(u))_t$ is contained in the parabolic systems. The conditions are established respectively on the nonlinearities to get the lower and upper bound of the blow-up solution or the global solution for the nonlinear divergence form parabolic equation with time dependent coefficients in the nonlinear boundary conditions.

2. The global existence of solutions

In this section, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$) is a bounded star-shaped region with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and then the conditions are established on the nonlinearities to guarantee that $u(x, t)$ exists globally.

Just like the same way the authors introduced functions Φ and G in reference [2], for any fixed u , we introduce functions ϕ_1 and \mathfrak{R} as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \phi_1(t) = \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{R}(u(x, t)) dx, t \in (0, t^*), \quad \mathfrak{R}(s) = 2 \int_0^s y \varrho'(y) dy.$$

In order to get the main results, we first give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([5]). *Let Ω be a bounded star-shaped region in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$. Then for any nonnegative C^1 function w in $\overline{\Omega}$ and real number $r > 0$, we have*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} w^r dS \leq \frac{N}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} w^r dx + \frac{rd}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} w^{r-1} |\nabla w| dx,$$

where

$$\rho_0 = \min_{x \in \partial\Omega} (x \cdot n), \quad d = \max_{x \in \Omega} |x|.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that functions $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, (-\infty, 0])$ and $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfy*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} h(x) &\leq -k_1 x^{\bar{q}}, \quad p(x) \leq k_2 x^q, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \varrho''(s) &\geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$, $\bar{q} > q > 1$, $2q < \bar{q} + 1$. Then the nonnegative solution $u(x, t)$ of problem (1.1) does not blow up, that is $u(x, t)$ exists for all time $t > 0$.

Proof. Since $(a^{ij}(x))_{N \times N}$ is a positive definite matrix, there exists a real number $\theta_1 > 0$ such that for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in \Omega$,

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij}(x) \eta_i \eta_j \geq \theta_1 |\eta|^2.$$

Differentiating (2.1) and using the divergence theorem, combining (1.1), for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \phi_1'(t) = 2 \int_{\Omega} u \varrho'(u) u_t dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2 \int_{\Omega} u \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} + \mu(t)h(u) \right) dx \\
 &= 2 \int_{\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^N (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} dx + 2\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} uh(u)dx. \\
 &= 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}n_j dS - 2 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j} dx \\
 &\quad + 2\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} uh(u)dx. \\
 &\leq 2k_2 \int_{\partial\Omega} u^{q+1}dS - 2\theta_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 2\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} k_1 u^{\bar{q}+1} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} u^{q+1}dS \leq \frac{N}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1}dx + \frac{(q+1)d}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^q |\nabla u| dx.$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.4), for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad \phi'_1(t) &\leq \frac{2k_2N}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1}dx + \frac{2k_2(q+1)d}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^q |\nabla u| dx \\
 &\quad - 2\theta_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 2k_1\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy inequality, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^q |\nabla u| dx \leq \frac{a_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2q} dx + \frac{1}{2a_1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Choosing $a_1 = \frac{k_2(q+1)d}{2\theta_1\rho_0}$ and substituting (2.7) into (2.6), for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \phi'_1(t) \leq \frac{2k_2N}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1}dx + 2\theta_1 a_1^2 \int_{\Omega} u^{2q} dx - 2k_1\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx.$$

By $2q < \bar{q} + 1$, for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^{2q} dx \leq (1 - \gamma_0)\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx + \gamma_0\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma_0-1}{\gamma_0}} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} dx,$$

where $\gamma_0 = \frac{\bar{q}+1-2q}{\bar{q}-q} < 1$. Substituting (2.9) into (2.8), we have

$$(2.10) \quad \phi'_1(t) \leq M_1 \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} - M_2(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1}, t \in (0, t^*),$$

where

$$M_1 = \frac{2k_2N}{\rho_0} + 2\theta_1 a_1^2 \gamma_0 \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma_0-1}{\gamma_0}} > 0, \quad M_2(t) = 2k_1\mu(t) - 2\theta_1 a_1^2 (1 - \gamma_0)\epsilon > 0$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx \right)^{\frac{q+1}{\bar{q}+1}} |\Omega|^{\frac{\bar{q}-q}{\bar{q}+1}}.$$

Substituting (2.11) into (2.10), for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we get

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_1'(t) &\leq M_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx \right)^{\frac{q+1}{\bar{q}+1}} |\Omega|^{\frac{\bar{q}-q}{\bar{q}+1}} - M_2(t) \int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx \\ &\leq M_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx \right)^{\frac{q+1}{\bar{q}+1}} \left(|\Omega|^{\frac{\bar{q}-q}{\bar{q}+1}} - \frac{M_2(t)}{M_1} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx \right)^{\frac{\bar{q}-q}{\bar{q}+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts, by (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}(u) &= 2 \int_0^u y \varrho'(y) dy = \int_0^u \varrho'(y) dy^2 \\ &= \varrho'(u) u^2 - \int_0^u \varrho''(y) y^2 dy \\ &\leq \varrho'(u) u^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality, for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_1(t) &= \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{R}(u(x, t)) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \varrho'(u) u^2 dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{\bar{q}+1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\varrho'(u))^{\frac{\bar{q}+1}{\bar{q}-1}} dx \right)^{1 - \frac{2}{\bar{q}+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$(2.14) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx \right)^{\frac{\bar{q}-q}{\bar{q}+1}} \geq (\phi_1(t))^{\frac{\bar{q}-q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\varrho'(u))^{\frac{\bar{q}+1}{\bar{q}-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{(\bar{q}-q)(1-\bar{q})}{2(\bar{q}+1)}}.$$

Substituting (2.14) into (2.13), for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we get

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_1'(t) &\leq M_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}+1} dx \right)^{\frac{q+1}{\bar{q}+1}} \\ &\left(|\Omega|^{\frac{\bar{q}-q}{\bar{q}+1}} - \frac{M_2(t)}{M_1} (\phi_1(t))^{\frac{\bar{q}-q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\varrho'(u))^{\frac{\bar{q}+1}{\bar{q}-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{(\bar{q}-q)(1-\bar{q})}{2(\bar{q}+1)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the inequality (2.15), we declare that $\phi_1(t)$ remains bounded for all time under the conditions in Theorem 2.1 since $M_2(t)$ is a positive $C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ function. In fact, if $u(x, t)$ blows up at finite time t^* , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t^*-} M_2(t) = M(t^*), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow t^*-} \phi_1(t) = +\infty,$$

and thus,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t^*-} \frac{M_2(t)}{M_1} (\phi_1(t))^{\frac{\bar{q}-q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\varrho'(u))^{\frac{\bar{q}+1}{\bar{q}-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{(\bar{q}-q)(1-\bar{q})}{2(\bar{q}+1)}} = +\infty.$$

Therefore $\phi_1(t)$ is unbounded near t^* which forces $\phi_1'(t) \leq 0$ in some interval $[t_0, t^*)$. So we have $\phi_1(t) \leq \phi_1(t_0)$ in $[t_0, t^*)$, which implies that $\phi_1(t)$ is bounded in $[t_0, t^*)$, which is a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed. \square

3. Upper bound estimation of t^* for blow-up time

In this section, we do not need the assumption that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a star-shaped domain, and we will establish the conditions on the nonlinearities to get the upper bound of the blow-up solution.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u(x, t)$ be the nonnegative solution of problem (1.1), and assume that $\mu \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $p \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and the nonnegative and integrable function $\varrho \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy conditions*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} xh(x) &\geq 2(1 + \alpha)H(x) > 0, \quad xp(x) \geq 2(1 + \beta)P(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \mu'(t) &\geq 0, \quad t \in (0, t^*), \quad \mu(0) > 0, \\ \varrho''(s) &\leq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$, and

$$H(x) = \int_0^x h(s)ds, \quad P(x) = \int_0^x p(s)ds.$$

Moreover, assume that $\Lambda(0) > 0$ with

$$(3.2) \quad \Lambda(t) = 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} P(u)dS - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j}dx + 2\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} F(u)dx.$$

Then $u(x, t)$ blows up at time $t^* < T$ with

$$T = \frac{1}{2\alpha(\alpha + 1)A} (\phi_1(0))^{-\alpha},$$

where $\phi_1(t)$ is defined by (2.1) and $A = \Lambda(0)(\phi_1(0))^{-(1+\alpha)}$ is a constant.

Proof. Differentiating (2.1), and by the Green formula, for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, following the computations in (2.4), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_1'(t) &\geq 4(1 + \beta) \int_{\partial\Omega} P(u)dS - 2 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j}dx \\ &\quad + 4\mu(t)(1 + \alpha) \int_{\Omega} H(u)dx \\ &\geq 2 \left[2(1 + \beta) \int_{\partial\Omega} P(u)dS - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j}dx \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +2\mu(t)(1 + \alpha) \int_{\Omega} H(u)dx \Big] \\
 & \geq 2(1 + \alpha)\Lambda(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda(t)$ is as (3.2). Differentiating $\Lambda(t)$, for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda'(t) &= 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} p(u)u_t dS - \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j} \right)_t dx + 2\mu'(t) \int_{\Omega} H(u)dx \\
 & \quad + 2\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} h(u)u_t dx \\
 (3.4) \quad &= 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} p(u)u_t dS + 2 \int_{\Omega} u_t \sum_{i,j=1}^N (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} dx - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} p(u)u_t dS \\
 & \quad + 2\mu'(t) \int_{\Omega} H(u)dx + 2\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} h(u)u_t dx \\
 & \geq 2 \int_{\Omega} u_t \sum_{i,j=1}^N (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} dx + 2\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} h(u)u_t dx \\
 & = 2 \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 \varrho'(u) dx > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which with $\Lambda(0) > 0$ implies $\Lambda(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, t^*)$. Using (3.3), (3.4) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \int_{\Omega} \varrho'(u)u_t^2 dx \int_{\Omega} \varrho'(u)u^2 dx & \geq 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} u\varrho'(u)u_t dx \right)^2 \\
 (3.5) \quad & = \frac{1}{2} (\phi_1(t))^2 \geq (1 + \alpha)\Lambda(t)\phi_1'(t), \quad t \in (0, t^*).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{R}(u) &= 2 \int_0^u y\varrho'(y)dy = \int_0^u \varrho'(y)dy^2 \\
 (3.6) \quad &= \varrho'(u)u^2 - \int_0^u \varrho''(y)y^2 dy \\
 & \geq \varrho'(u)u^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.4)-(3.6), for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad (1 + \alpha)\Lambda(t)\phi_1'(t) \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \varrho'(u)u_t^2 dx \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{R}(u)dx \leq \Lambda'(t)\phi_1(t),$$

i.e.,

$$(3.8) \quad \left(\Lambda(t)\phi_1^{-(1+\alpha)} \right)' \geq 0.$$

Integrating (3.8) and making use of (3.3), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)} \phi_1'(t) (\phi_1(t))^{-(1+\alpha)} &\geq \Lambda(t) (\phi_1(t))^{-(1+\alpha)} \\ &\geq \Lambda(0) (\phi_1(0))^{-(1+\alpha)} = A. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.9) from 0 to t , we have

$$(\phi_1(t))^{-\alpha} \leq (\phi_1(0))^{-\alpha} - 2\alpha(\alpha+1)At.$$

Clearly, this inequality cannot hold for all time, which implies

$$\phi_1(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow T = \frac{1}{2\alpha(\alpha+1)A} (\phi_1(0))^{-\alpha},$$

so we conclude that

$$t^* \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha(\alpha+1)A} (\phi_1(0))^{-\alpha}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. \square

Remark 3.1. The nonnegative solution $u(x, t)$ of problem (1.1) will blow-up when $\alpha > 0$, but the solution will exist globally when $\alpha = 0$.

4. Blow-up and lower bound estimation of t^*

In this section, let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 and also be star-shaped and convex in two orthogonal directions, we will establish the conditions on the nonlinearities to get the lower bound of the blow-up solution.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that nonnegative functions $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $p \in (\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\mu \in C^1((0, t^*), \mathbb{R})$ and $\varrho \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} h(x) &\leq x^2, \quad p(x) \leq ax, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)} &\leq b, \quad t \in (0, t^*), \\ \varrho'(s) &\geq m, \quad s \in (0, +\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where $a > 0, 0 \leq b < \infty, m > 0$. And for fixed u , we define

$$(4.2) \quad \phi_2(t) = \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{R}(u(x, t)) dx,$$

where \mathfrak{R} is defined by (2.1). Then the solution $u(x, t)$ blows up at t^* , and

$$\int_{\varphi_2(0)}^{\varphi_2(t)} \frac{d\eta}{c_1\eta + c_2\eta^{\frac{3}{2}} + c_3\eta^3} \leq t^*,$$

where c_1, c_2 are as in (3.15), (3.16).

Proof. Since $(a^{ij}(x))_{3 \times 3}$ is a positive definite matrix, there exists a real number $\theta > 0$ such that for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \Omega$,

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a^{ij}(x)\eta_i\eta_j \geq \theta|\eta|^2.$$

Differentiating (4.2), and using (4.1), for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2'(t) &= 2\mu(t)\mu'(t) \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{R}(u)dx + \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} \varrho'(u)uu_t dx \\ &= 2\frac{\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)}\phi_2(t) + \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} u \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^3 (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} + \mu(t)h(u) \right) dx \\ (4.4) \quad &\leq 2b\phi_2(t) + \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} dx + \mu^3(t) \int_{\Omega} uh(u)dx \\ &\leq 2b\phi_2(t) + \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} dx + \mu^3(t) \int_{\Omega} u^3 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Green formula and (4.1), (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})n_j dS \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j} dx \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} ug(u)dS - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j} dx \\ (4.5) \quad &\leq a \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 dS - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i}u_{x_j} dx \\ &\leq a \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 dS - \theta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 dS \leq \frac{3}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{2d}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u|\nabla u| dx.$$

Substituting (4.6) into (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} dx &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (a^{ij}(x)u_{x_i})n_j dS - \theta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ (4.7) \quad &\leq a \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 dS - \theta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{3a}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{2da}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u|\nabla u| dx - \theta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Substituting (4.7) into (4.4) and using Cauchy inequality, for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2'(t) &\leq 2b\phi_2(t) + \frac{3a}{\rho_0} \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{2da}{\rho_0} \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} u|\nabla u| dx \\ &\quad - \mu^2(t)\theta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \mu^3(t) \int_{\Omega} u^3 dx. \\ (4.8) \quad &\leq 2b\phi_2(t) + \frac{3a}{\rho_0} \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \frac{a_1 da}{\rho_0} \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{da}{\rho_0 a_1} \mu^2(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \mu^2(t)\theta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \mu^3(t) \int_{\Omega} u^3 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where a_1 is to be determined later. By (2.16) of [10], we get an upper bound of $\int_{\Omega} u^3 dx$, that is

$$(4.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^3 dx \leq 3^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left\{ \frac{3}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \left(\frac{d}{\rho} + 1\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \right\}^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Making use of the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b)^{\frac{3}{2}} &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} (a^{\frac{3}{2}} + b^{\frac{3}{2}}), \quad a > 0, b > 0, \\ a^{r_1} b^{r_2} &\leq r_1 a + r_2 b, \quad r_1 + r_2 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(4.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} u^3 dx \leq 3^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\left(\frac{3}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \left(\frac{d}{\rho_0} + 1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \right].$$

By (4.2), we have

$$(4.11) \quad \mathfrak{R}(u) = \int_0^u \phi'(y) y dy \geq m \int_0^u y dy = \frac{m}{2} u^2.$$

Hence, by (4.2), (4.10), (4.11), for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.12) \quad &\mu^3(t) \int_{\Omega} u^3 dx \\ &\leq 3^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu^3(t) \left(\frac{3}{\rho_0} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad + 3^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{d}{\rho_0} + 1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \mu^3(t) \left(\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\leq 3^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{3}{\rho_0} \mu^2(t) \frac{2}{m} \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{R}(u) dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad + 3^{-\frac{3}{4}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{d}{\rho_0} + 1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \mu^2(t) \frac{2}{m} \mathfrak{R}(u) dx\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \mu^2(t) |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 3^{-\frac{3}{4}}2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{6}{\rho_0 m}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}(\phi_2(t))^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad + 3^{-\frac{3}{4}}2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{d}{\rho_0}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{m}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}(\varphi_2^3(t))^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\mu^2(t)|\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\leq 3^{-\frac{3}{4}}2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{6}{\rho_0 m}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}(\phi_2(t))^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad + 3^{-\frac{3}{4}}2^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{d}{\rho_0}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{m}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\frac{1}{4a_2^3}(\varphi_2(t))^3+\frac{3a_2}{4}\mu^2(t)\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^2 dx\right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.11), (4.12) into (4.8), for all $t \in (0, t^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.13) \quad \phi_2'(t) &\leq 2b\phi_2(t) + \left(\frac{3a}{\rho_0} + \frac{aa_1d}{\rho_0}\right)\mu^2(t)\int_{\Omega}\frac{2}{m}\Re(u)dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{ad}{\rho_0 a_1} - \theta + \frac{3^{\frac{1}{4}}2^{-\frac{3}{4}}\left(\frac{d}{\rho_0}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}a_2}{m^{\frac{3}{4}}}\right)\mu^2(t)\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}}{(\rho_0 m)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\phi_2^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) + \frac{3^{-\frac{3}{4}}2^{\frac{13}{4}}\left(\frac{d}{\rho_0}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a_2^3}\phi_2^3(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let $a_1 = \frac{2ad}{\rho_0\theta}$, $a_2 = \frac{2\theta m^{\frac{3}{4}}}{3^{\frac{1}{4}}2^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\frac{d}{\rho_0}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$, then

$$(4.14) \quad \phi_2'(t) \leq c_1\phi_2(t) + c_2(\phi_2(t))^{\frac{3}{2}} + c_3(\phi_2(t))^3,$$

where

$$(4.15) \quad c_1 = 2b + \frac{6a}{\rho_0 m} + \frac{2a_1ad}{m\rho_0}, c_2 = \frac{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}}{(\rho_0 m)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, c_3 = \frac{3^{-\frac{3}{4}}2^{\frac{13}{4}}\left(\frac{d}{\rho_0}+1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a_2^3}.$$

Integrating (4.14) from 0 to t , we have

$$\int_0^t \frac{d\phi_2(t)}{c_1\phi_2(t) + c_2\phi_2^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) + c_3\phi_2^3(t)} \leq t^*,$$

i.e.

$$(4.16) \quad \int_{\phi_2(0)}^{\phi_2(t)} \frac{d\eta}{c_1\eta + c_2\eta^{\frac{3}{2}} + c_3\eta^3} \leq t^*.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed. □

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her very important comments that improved the results and the quality of the paper. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11871302), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China (ZR2014AM034), Changzhou institute of technology research fund (YN1775) and Project of Shandong Province Higher Educational Science and

Technology Program (J18KA217). The support from the Australian Research council for the research is also acknowledged.

References

- [1] J. M. Arrieta, A. N. Carvalho, and A. Rodríguez-Bernal, *Parabolic problems with non-linear boundary conditions and critical nonlinearities*, *J. Differential Equations* **156** (1999), no. 2, 376–406.
- [2] J. Ding and H. Hu, *Blow-up and global solutions for a class of nonlinear reaction diffusion equations under Dirichlet boundary conditions*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **433** (2016), no. 2, 1718–1735.
- [3] C. Enache, *Lower bounds for blow-up time in some non-linear parabolic problems under Neumann boundary conditions*, *Glasg. Math. J.* **53** (2011), no. 3, 569–575.
- [4] Z. B. Fang and Y. Wang, *Blow-up analysis for a semilinear parabolic equation with time-dependent coefficients under nonlinear boundary flux*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **66** (2015), no. 5, 2525–2541.
- [5] F. Li and J. Li, *Global existence and blow-up phenomena for nonlinear divergence form parabolic equations with inhomogeneous Neumann boundary conditions*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **385** (2012), no. 2, 1005–1014.
- [6] F. Li, X. Zhu and Z. Liang, *Multiple solutions to a class of generalized quasilinear Schrödinger equations with a Kirchhoff-type perturbation*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **443** (2016), no. 1, 11–38.
- [7] D. Liu, C. Mu, and Q. Xin, *Lower bounds estimate for the blow-up time of a nonlinear nonlocal porous medium equation*, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.)* **32** (2012), no. 3, 1206–1212.
- [8] L. E. Payne and G. A. Philippin, *Blow-up in a class of non-linear parabolic problems with time-dependent coefficients under Robin type boundary conditions*, *Appl. Anal.* **91** (2012), no. 12, 2245–2256.
- [9] L. E. Payne, G. A. Philippin, and P. W. Schaefer, *Bounds for blow-up time in nonlinear parabolic problems*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **338** (2008), no. 1, 438–447.
- [10] L. E. Payne and P. W. Schaefer, *Lower bounds for blow-up time in parabolic problems under Neumann conditions*, *Appl. Anal.* **85** (2006), no. 10, 1301–1311.
- [11] ———, *Bounds for blow-up time for the heat equation under nonlinear boundary conditions*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **139** (2009), no. 6, 1289–1296.
- [12] L. E. Payne and J. C. Song, *Blow-up and decay criteria for a model of chemotaxis*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **367** (2010), no. 1, 1–6.
- [13] ———, *Lower bounds for blow-up in a model of chemotaxis*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **385** (2012), no. 2, 672–676.
- [14] X. Song and X. Lv, *Bounds for the blowup time and blowup rate estimates for a type of parabolic equations with weighted source*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **236** (2014), 78–92.
- [15] P. Souplet, *Blow-up in nonlocal reaction-diffusion equations*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **29** (1998), no. 6, 1301–1334.
- [16] F. Sun, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, *Infinitely many sign-changing solutions for a class of biharmonic equation with p -Laplacian and Neumann boundary condition*, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **73** (2017), 128–135.
- [17] ———, *Blow-up of a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation with initial data at arbitrary high energy level*, *Appl. Anal.*; DOI: 10.1080/00036811.2018.1460812.
- [18] ———, *Finite time blow-up for a thin-film equation with initial data at arbitrary energy level*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **458** (2018), no. 1, 9–20.
- [19] ———, *Finite time blow-up for a class of parabolic or pseudo-parabolic equations*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **75** (2018), no. 10, 3685–3701.

- [20] ———, *Global existence and finite time blow-up of solutions for the semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation with a memory term*, *Appl. Anal.* **98** (2019), no. 4, 735–755.
- [21] X. Yang and Z. Zhou, *Blow-up problems for the heat equation with a local nonlinear Neumann boundary condition*, *J. Differential Equations* **261** (2016), no. 5, 2738–2783.

LIMIN GUO
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
QUFU 273165, SHANDONG, P. R. CHINA
AND
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND CHEMICAL ENGINEERING
CHANGZHOU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
CHANGZHOU 213002, JIANGSU, P. R. CHINA
Email address: guolimin811113@163.com

LISHAN LIU
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY
QUFU 273165, SHANDONG, P. R. CHINA
AND
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
CURTIN UNIVERSITY
PERTH, WA6845, AUSTRALIA
Email address: mathlls@163.com

YONGHONG WU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
CURTIN UNIVERSITY
PERTH, WA6845, AUSTRALIA
Email address: Y.Wu@curtin.edu.au

YUMEI ZOU
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND FINANCE
SHANDONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
QINGDAO 266590, P. R. CHINA
Email address: sdzouym@126.com