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#### Abstract

Suppose that $G=(V, E)$ is a connected locally finite graph with the vertex set $V$ and the edge set $E$. Let $\Omega \subset V$ be a bounded domain. Consider the following quasilinear elliptic equation on graph $G$


$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda K(x)|u|^{p-2} u+f(x, u), x \in \Omega^{\circ} \\
u=0, x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega^{\circ}$ and $\partial \Omega$ denote the interior and the boundary of $\Omega$, respectively, $\Delta_{p}$ is the discrete $p$-Laplacian, $K(x)$ is a given function which may change sign, $\lambda$ is the eigenvalue parameter and $f(x, u)$ has exponential growth. We prove the existence and monotonicity of the principal eigenvalue of the corresponding eigenvalue problem. Furthermore, we also obtain the existence of a positive solution by using variational methods.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following quasilinear elliptic equation with indefinite weights on graph $G$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda K(x)|u|^{p-2} u+f(x, u), x \in \Omega^{\circ},  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0, x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $G=(V, E)$ is a locally finite graph, $\Omega \subset V$ is a bounded domain, $\Omega^{\circ}$ and $\partial \Omega$ denote the interior and the boundary of $\Omega$, respectively, $\Delta_{p}$ denotes the discrete $p$-Laplacian, $\lambda$ is the eigenvalue parameter and $K(x)$ is a given function which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{+}(x) \not \equiv 0, K \in L^{1}(\Omega), K^{ \pm}(x)=\max \{ \pm K(x), 0\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quasilinear elliptic equations have been studied extensively on Euclidean domain and Riemannian manifold. Zhang and Liu [14] investigated the following
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critical elliptic equations with indefinite weights

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u-\mu \frac{u}{\left(|x| \ln \frac{R}{|x|}\right)^{2}}=\lambda K(x) u+f(x, u), x \in \Omega,  \tag{1.3}\\
u=0, x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $K(x)$ satisfies (1.2), and proved the existence of a nontrivial solution by using the Mountain Pass Lemma. As for the $p$-Laplacian, in particular, Fan and Li [3] discussed

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\triangle_{p} u=\lambda|u|^{p-2} u+f(x, u), x \in \Omega,  \tag{1.4}\\
u=0, x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0<\lambda<\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ is the second eigenvalue of the $p$-Laplacian with indefinite weights, and obtained the existence of a nontrivial solution for the problem (1.4). In [11], B. Xuan studied the following elliptic equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\triangle_{p} u=\lambda V|u|^{p-2} u+f(x, u), x \in \Omega,  \tag{1.5}\\
u=0, x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p>1, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain, and $V(x)$ is a given function satisfying

$$
V^{+} \not \equiv 0 \text { and } V \in L^{s}(\Omega) .
$$

B. Xuan obtained the existence of a nontrivial weak solution for the problem (1.5) in the case of $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$ by the Mountain Pass Lemma and in the case of $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda<\lambda_{2}$ by the Linking Argument Theorem, respectively. In [2], M. Degiovanni and S. Lancelotti studied the problem (1.5) when $V \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f(x, u)$ is subcritical and superlinear at 0 and at infinity respectively, and they proved that there exists a nontrivial solution for the problem (1.5) for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Most recently, the investigation of discrete weighted Laplacians and various equations on graphs have attracted much attention $[4-9,13,15,16]$. A. Grigor'yan, Y. Lin and Y. Y. Yang [7] studied the following Yamabe type equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u+h(x)|u|^{p-2} u=f(x, u), x \in \Omega^{\circ}  \tag{1.6}\\
u \geq 0, x \in \Omega^{\circ}, u=0, x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

and obtained the existence of a positive solution if $h(x)>0$. Ge [4] studied the following $p$-th Yamabe equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u+h(x) u^{p-1}=\lambda g u^{\alpha-1}, \quad x \in \Omega^{\circ}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \geq p>1$, and proved the existence of a positive solution. Zhang and Lin [16] proved that the problem (1.7) has at least a positive solution as $2<\alpha \leq p$.

In this paper, we study the quasilinear elliptic equation (1.1) on graph $G$, which can be viewed as a discrete version of the equation (1.5) studied by B.

Xuan, etc. Firstly, we consider the following eigenvalue problem with indefinite weights on graph $G$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda K(x)|u|^{p-2} u, x \in \Omega^{\circ}  \tag{1.8}\\
u=0, x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $K(x)$ satisfies the assumption (1.2), and obtain the existence and monotonicity of the principal eigenvalue. Secondly, using the Mountain Pass Lemma and the Sobolev embedding theorem on graph $G$, we obtain the existence of a positive solution of the problem (1.1) as the nonlinear term $f(x, s)$ has exponential growth as $s \rightarrow+\infty$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations and lemmas on graph $G$, and state our main results. In Section 3, we prove our main theorems.

## 2. Preliminaries and main results

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. The degree of vertex $x$, denoted by $\mu(x)$, is the number of edges connected to $x$. If $\mu(x)$ is finite for every vertex $x$ of $V$, we say that $G$ is a locally finite graph. We denote $x \sim y$ if vertex $x$ is adjacent to vertex $y$, and $\omega_{x y}=\omega_{y x}>0$ is the edge weight. The finite measure $\mu(x)=\sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{x y}$. The boundary of $\Omega$ is defined as $\partial \Omega=\{y \bar{\in} \Omega: \exists x \in \Omega$ such that $x y \in E\}$ and the interior of $\Omega$ is denoted by $\Omega^{\circ}=\Omega \backslash \partial \Omega$. A graph $G$ is called connected if for any vertices $x, y \in V$, there exists $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$ that satisfies $x=x_{0} \sim x_{1} \sim x_{2} \sim \cdots \sim x_{n}=y$. In this paper, we suppose that all graphs are connected.

From [7], for any function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the $\mu$-Laplacian of $u$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u(x)=\frac{1}{\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{x y}[u(y)-u(x)] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated gradient form reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(u, v)(x) & =\frac{1}{2}\{\Delta(u(x) v(x))-u(x) \Delta v(x)-v(x) \Delta u(x)\}  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{x y}(u(y)-u(x))(v(y)-v(x)) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The length of the gradient for $u$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|(x)=\sqrt{\Gamma(u, u)(x)}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{x y}(u(y)-u(x))^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u d \mu=\sum_{x \in \Omega} \mu(x) u(x), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}(G)=\int_{\Omega} d \mu \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $p$-Laplacian of $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, namely $\Delta_{p} u$, is defined in the distributional sense as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta_{p} u\right) \phi d \mu=-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \Gamma(u, \phi) d \mu, \forall \phi \in C_{c}(\Omega) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{c}(\Omega)$ is the set of all functions with compact support. So, $\Delta_{p} u$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p} u(x)=\frac{1}{2 \mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{x y}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}(y)+|\nabla u|^{p-2}(x)\right)(u(y)-u(x)) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $p>1, W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is defined as a space of all functions $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}<\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ as a set of all functions $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, and $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ as the completion of $C_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ under the norm (2.9).

Lemma 2.1 ([7, Theorem 7]). Let $G=(V, E)$ be a locally finite graph and $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of $V$ such that $\Omega^{0} \neq \emptyset$. For any $p>1$, $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is embedded in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq+\infty$. In particular, there exists a constant $C$ depending only on $p$ and $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leq q \leq+\infty$ and $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is pre-compact, namely, if $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, then up to a subsequence, there exists some $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

By Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.
Lemma 2.2 ([1, Mountain Pass Lemma]). Let ( $X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $J \in$ $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}), e \in X$ and $r>0$ such that $\|e\|>r$ and $b=\inf _{\|u\|=r} J(u)>J(0)>$ $J(e)$. If $J$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition with $c=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} J(\gamma(t))$, where $\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], X): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=e\}$, then $c$ is a critical value of $J$.

For the well-know Trudinger-Moser inequality on Euclidean domain and complete Riemannian manifold $[10,12]$, by Lemma 2.1, we have:

Lemma 2.3 (Trudinger-Moser inequality on locally finite graphs). Suppose that $G=(V, E)$ is a locally finite graph. Let $\Omega \subset V$ be a bounded domain.

Then there exists a constant $C$ which depends only on $p$ and $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\alpha|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) d \mu \leq C|\Omega| \quad \text { for any } \quad \alpha>1 \text { and } p>2 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\Omega|=\int_{\Omega} d \mu(x)=\operatorname{Vol} \Omega$, and Vol $\Omega$ denotes the volume of the subgraph $\Omega$.

Proof. For any function $u$ which satisfies $\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq 1$, by Lemma 2.1 and $\frac{p}{p-1}>1$, we obtain that there exists a constant $C_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d \mu\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq C_{0}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=C_{0}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{0} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\mu_{\text {min }}=\min _{x \in \Omega} \mu(x)$. Then (2.12) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_{0}}{\mu_{\min }} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for any $\alpha>1$ and $p>2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\alpha|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) d \mu\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \leq \exp \left(\frac{\alpha C_{0}}{\mu_{\min }}\right)|\Omega|^{\frac{p-1}{p}} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\alpha|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) d \mu \leq C|\Omega| \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\left(\exp \left(\frac{\alpha C_{0}}{\mu_{\min }}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$.
In order to find the principal eigenvalue of the problem (1.8), we solve the following minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P) \text { minimize } \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu, \quad u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \text { and } \int_{\Omega} K(x)|u|^{p} d \mu=1 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we state our main theorems.
Theorem 2.4. Under the assumption (1.2), Problem $(P)$ has a solution $e_{1} \geq 0$. Moreover, $e_{1}$ is an eigenvalue of the problem (1.8) corresponding to the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla e_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $K_{1}(x)$ and $K_{2}(x)$ be two weights which satisfy the assumption (1.2). Assume $K_{1}(x)<K_{2}(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\left\{x \in \Omega: K_{1}<K_{2}\right\} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{2}\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$.
Theorem 2.6. Let $\Omega_{1}$ be a proper bounded open subset of a bounded domain $\Omega_{2} \subset K$. Then $\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$.
Theorem 2.7. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a locally finite graph and $\Omega \subset V$ be a bounded domain with $\Omega^{0} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\lambda_{1}$ be defined as in Theorem 2.4. Set $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$ and $p>2$. Suppose that $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following hypotheses:
(H1) For any $x \in \Omega, f(x, t)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(H2) For all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times[0,+\infty), f(x, t) \geq 0$, and $f(x, 0)=0$ for all $x \in \Omega$;
(H3) $f(x, t)$ has exponential growth at $+\infty$, that is, for all $\alpha>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(x, t)}{\exp \left(\alpha|t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)}=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H4) For any $x \in \Omega$, there holds $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(x, t)}{t^{p-1}}=0$;
(H5) There exist $q>p>2$ and $s_{0}>0$ such that if $s \geq s_{0}$, then there holds $0<q F(x, s)<f(x, s) s$ for any $x \in \Omega$, where $F(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(x, t) d t$.
Then there exists a positive solution for the problem (1.1).

## 3. The proof of main Theorems

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Now, we introduce the functional $\phi, \psi: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(u)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu, \quad \text { and } \quad \psi(u)=\int_{\Omega} K(x)|u|^{p} d \mu . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us also introduce the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega): \psi(u)=1\right\} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumption (1.2), we have $M \neq \emptyset$ and $M$ is a manifold of $C^{1}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Obviously the functional $\phi(u)$ is bounded from below. Hence, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a minimizing sequence for the problem $(P)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu & \leq \lambda_{1}+o_{k}(1)\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}  \tag{3.3}\\
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu & =o_{k}(1)\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Calculate (3.3) $-\frac{1}{\theta} \times(3.4)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p} \leq M \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta>p$ is a constant. This implies that $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Thus by Lemma 2.1, there exists some $e_{1} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow e_{1}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla e_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} d \mu=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(u_{n}\right)=\inf (P) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\int_{\Omega} K(x)\left|e_{1}\right|{ }^{p} d \mu=1$. It is clear that $e_{1}$ is a solution of the problem $(P)$. Moreover, since $\left|e_{1}\right|$ is also a solution of the problem $(P)$, we may assume $e_{1} \geq 0$.

Since for every $v \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \frac{\phi\left(e_{1}+\varepsilon v\right)}{\psi\left(e_{1}+\varepsilon v\right)}=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $e_{1}$ is an eigenfunction of the problem $(P)$ corresponding to the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla e_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{u \neq 0,\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega=0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} K(x)|u|^{p} d \mu}=\inf _{u \in M} \phi(u) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{1}$ be an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} K(x)\left|u_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K_{1}<K_{2}$ and $\mu(x)>0$ for all $x \in \Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} K_{1}(x)\left|u_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu & =\sum_{x \in \Omega} K_{1}(x)\left|u_{1}(x)\right|^{p} \mu(x) \\
& <\sum_{x \in \Omega} K_{2}(x)\left|u_{1}(x)\right|^{p} \mu(x)  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\int_{\Omega} K_{2}(x)\left|u_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

Using $u_{2}=\frac{u_{1}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} K_{2}(x)\left|u_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}}$ as an admissible function in (3.8) for $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1}\left(K_{2}\right) & \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} K_{2}(x)\left|u_{2}\right|^{p} d \mu}=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} K_{2}(x)\left|u_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu} \\
& <\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega} K_{1}(x)\left|u_{1}\right|^{p} d \mu}=\lambda_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have $\lambda_{1}\left(K_{2}\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 2.6. Let $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ be an eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)=\frac{\int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega_{1}} K(x)|u|^{p} d \mu} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{u} \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\tilde{u}= \begin{cases}u, & x \in \Omega_{1}  \tag{3.13}\\ 0, & x \in \Omega_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\end{cases}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}} K|\tilde{u}|^{p} d \mu & =\sum_{x \in \Omega_{1}} K(x)|u(x)|^{p} \mu(x)=\int_{\Omega_{1}} K|u|^{p} d \mu  \tag{3.14}\\
\int_{\Omega_{2}}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p} d \mu & =\int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p} d \mu \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.15), and taking $\tilde{u} /\left(\int_{\Omega_{2}} K \tilde{u}^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}$ as an admissible function for $\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega_{2}}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega_{2}} K(x)|\tilde{u}|^{p} d \mu}=\frac{\int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p} d \mu}{\int_{\Omega_{1}} K(x)|\tilde{u}|^{p} d \mu}=\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 2.7. Now, we define the functional $J: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu-\frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} K(x)|u|^{p} d \mu-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u^{+}\right) d \mu \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{+}(x)=\max \{u(x), 0\}$. By the definition of $\lambda_{1}$, for $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega} K(x)|u|^{p} d \mu \leq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u) \geq \frac{1}{p}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u^{+}\right) d \mu \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, from (H4), there exist $\tau, \delta>0$ such that if $|u| \leq \delta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x, u^{+}\right) \leq \tau\left(u^{+}\right)^{p-1} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (H3), there exist $c, \beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x, u^{+}\right) \leq c \exp \left(\beta|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right), \forall|u| \geq \delta \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain that, for $q>p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x, u^{+}\right) \leq c \exp \left(\beta|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)|u|^{q}, \quad \forall|u| \geq \delta \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.20) and (3.22), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x, u^{+}\right) \leq \tau \frac{|u|^{p}}{p}+c \exp \left(\beta|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)|u|^{q} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u) \geq & \frac{1}{p}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \|\left. u\right|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} ^{p}-\frac{\tau}{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu \\
& -c\left(\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\beta p|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q p^{\prime}} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. By Lemma 2.3, when $\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq 1$ for any $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\beta p|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) d \mu<C|\Omega| \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exists some constant $C$ that depends only on $p$ and $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}=C\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)},  \tag{3.26}\\
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q p^{\prime}} d \mu\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \leq C\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d \mu\right)^{q / p}=C\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{q} . \tag{3.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.24), (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27), we can find some sufficiently small $r>0$ such that if $\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}=r$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u) \geq \frac{1}{p}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}-C \tau\right)\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p}-C\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{q} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (H4), we set $\tau<\frac{1}{C}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right)$. Since $q>p>2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}=r} J(u)>0 . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (H5), there exist two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x, u^{+}\right) \geq c_{1}\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}-c_{2} . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{0} \geq 0$ and $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$. For any $t>0$, we have
(3.31) $J\left(t u_{0}\right) \leq \frac{t^{p}}{p}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p}-\frac{t^{p}}{p} \lambda \int_{\Omega} K(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{p} d \mu-c_{1} t^{q} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{q} d \mu+c_{2}|\Omega|$.

Since $q>p>2$, we have $J\left(t u_{0}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence there exists some $u_{1} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(u_{1}\right)<0,\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}>r \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we prove that $J(u)$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$ condition for any $c \in R$. To see this, we assume $J\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $J^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, that is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu-\frac{1}{p} \lambda \int_{\Omega} K(x)\left|u_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{k}^{+}\right) d \mu=c+o_{k}(1), \\
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu-\lambda \int_{\Omega} K(x)\left|u_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu-\int_{\Omega} u_{k} f\left(x, u_{k}^{+}\right) d \mu=\left.o_{k}(1)| | u_{k}\right|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \tag{3.34}
\end{array}
$$

By the definition of $\lambda_{1},(3.33)$ and (H5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{p}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu & \leq \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{k}^{+}\right) d \mu+c+o_{k}(1) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} u_{k} f\left(x, u_{k}^{+}\right) d \mu+c+o_{k}(1) \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.34) and (3.35), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p} d \mu \leq C-\frac{1}{q} o_{k}(1)\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q>p$, we have $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq M$. Thus $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Then the $(P S)_{c}$ condition follows by Lemma 2.1.

Combining (3.29), (3.32) and the obvious fact that $J(0)=0$, we conclude by Lemma 2.2 that there exists a function $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} J(\gamma(t))>0 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $J^{\prime}(u)=0$, where $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=u_{1}\right\}$. Hence there exists a nontrivial solution $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ to the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda K(x)|u|^{p-2} u+f(x, u), x \in \Omega^{\circ} \\
u=0, x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Testing the above equation by $u^{-}=\min \{u, 0\}$ and noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(u^{-}, u\right)=\Gamma\left(u^{-}, u^{-}\right)+\Gamma\left(u^{-}, u^{+}\right) \geq\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d \mu-\lambda \int_{\Omega} K(x)\left|u^{-}\right|^{p} d \mu & \leq-\int_{\Omega} u^{-} \Delta_{p} u d \mu-\lambda \int_{\Omega} K(x) u^{-}\left|u^{-}\right|^{p-2} u d \mu \\
& =\int_{\Omega} u^{-} f\left(x, u^{+}\right) d \mu=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $u^{-} \equiv 0$ and thus $u \geq 0$.
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