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#### Abstract

The methods for constructing quantum codes is not always sufficient by itself. Also, the constructed quantum codes as in the classical coding theory have to enjoy a quality of its parameters that play a very important role in recovering data efficiently. In a very recent study quantum construction and examples of quantum codes over a finite field of order $q$ are presented by La Garcia in [14]. Being inspired by La Garcia's the paper, here we extend the results over a finite field with $q^{2}$ elements by studying necessary and sufficient conditions for constructions quantum codes over this field. We determine a criteria for the existence of $q^{2}$-cyclotomic cosets containing at least three elements and present a construction method for quantum maximum-distance separable (MDS) codes. Moreover, we derive a way to construct quantum codes and show that this construction method leads to quantum codes with better parameters than the ones in [14].


## 1. Introduction

Since Shor discovered the first quantum code that encodes one qubit to highly entangled state of nine qubits [20], quantum error correcting codes have been intensively studied by researchers. A $q$-ary quantum code of length $n$ is a subspace of $q^{n}$-dimensional Hilbert space $H=\underbrace{C^{q} \otimes C^{q} \otimes \cdots \otimes C^{q}}_{n \text { times }}$ where $C^{q}$ is the $q$-dimensional complex vector space and the bar $\otimes$ denotes the tensor product. The notation $\llbracket n, k, d \rrbracket_{q}$ denotes a quantum code having the parameters, length $n$, dimension $q^{k}$ and minimum distance $d$, where the parameter $d$ indicates the error detecting and correcting capability, i.e., a quantum code with minimum distance $d$ can detect up to $d-1$ errors and correct up to $\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ errors.

One of the main and most difficult problems in quantum error correction is to construct quantum codes having better parameters, i.e., having large

[^0]minimum distance and large dimension for a fixed length. Nevertheless, there is a restriction on the dimension and the minimum distance for a fixed length.

Proposition 1.1 (Singleton bound for quantum codes, $[2,12]$ ). For an $\llbracket n, k, d \rrbracket_{q}$ quantum code, $k \leq n-2 d+2$.

An $\llbracket n, k, d \rrbracket_{q}$ quantum code is called maximum-distance separable (MDS) code if its parameters satisfy $k=n-2 d+2$. Lately, there have been many studies on the construction of quantum MDS codes [6-11, 14, 17, 19, 22]. On the other hand, the construction of quantum codes that do not have to be MDS and have better parameters than previously constructed ones also have had much attention $[4,5,15,16,18,21]$. These studies motivate us to derive quantum codes with better parameters. Via Hermitian construction, we derive good quantum codes from cyclic codes over $F_{q^{2}}$ and show that these quantum codes are better than ones derived in [15].

We organize this paper as follows: In Section 2, we give fundamental concepts. In Section 3, by seeking the condition for $q^{2}$-cyclotomic cosets to contain $m$-consecutive terms and using Hermitian construction, we construct a family of quantum MDS codes. In Section 4, we explore a way to construct quantum codes that have better parameters than quantum codes derived in [15]. In Section 5 , we compare our results with the parameters in [15]. We conclude the paper in Section 6.

## 2. Preliminaries

An $[n, k, d]_{q}$ linear code is a $k$-dimensional subspace of $F_{q}^{n}$, where $n$ is the length, $k$ is the dimension and $d$ is the minimum distance. Let $F_{q}^{\times}$be the multiplicative group of the finite field $F_{q}$ and $\alpha \in F_{q}^{\times}$. A linear code $C$ of length $n$ over $F_{q}$ is an $\alpha$-constacyclic code if $\left(\alpha c_{n-1}, c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right) \in C$ whenever $\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in C$. In particular, if $\alpha=1$, then this constacyclic code is called cyclic code. Let $(n, q)=1$. Since an $\alpha$-constacyclic code $C$ of length $n$ over $F_{q}$ can be viewed as an ideal in the quotient ring $\frac{F_{q}[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-\alpha\right\rangle}, C=\langle g(x)\rangle$ where $g(x) \mid x^{n}-\alpha$. Let $r$ denote the multiplicative order of $\alpha$ in $F_{q}^{\times}$. Since $(n, q)=1$, there exists an $r n^{t h}$ primitive root $\beta$ of unity in an extension of $F_{q}$ such that $\beta^{n}=\alpha$ and all roots of $x^{n}-\alpha$ over $F_{q}$ are $\beta, \beta^{1+r}, \ldots, \beta^{1+(n-1) r}$. The $q$-cyclotomic coset containing $i$ modulo $r n$ is $C_{q, r n}(i)=\left\{i q^{j} \bmod r n: j \in N\right\}$ and the defining set of an $\alpha$-constacyclic code $C=\langle g(x)\rangle$ of length $n$ is $Z=\left\{i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}: g\left(\beta^{1+r i}\right)=0\right\}$. Note that the dimension of an $\alpha$-constacyclic code of length $n$ and defining set $Z$ is $n-|Z|$. The following gives a lower bound for the minimum distance of a constacyclic code.

Theorem 2.1 (BCH bound for constacyclic codes, $[3,13])$. Let $(n, q)=1$. Let $\beta$ be an $r n^{\text {th }}$ primitive root of unity with $\beta^{n}=\alpha$ where $\alpha \in F_{q^{2}}^{\times}$and $r$ is the multiplicative order of $\alpha$ in $F_{q^{2}}^{\times}$. Then, the minimum distance of an
$\alpha$-constacyclic code of length $n$ over $F_{q^{2}}$ with the defining set including the set $\{1+r j, l \leq j \leq l+d-2\}$ is at least $d$.

The Euclidean dual $C^{\perp_{E}}$ of a linear code $C$ is the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\perp_{E}}=\left\{y \in F_{q}^{n}: \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i} y_{i}=0, \forall x \in C\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Hermitian dual $C^{\perp_{H}}$ of a linear code $C$ over $F_{q^{2}}$ is the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\perp_{H}}=\left\{y \in F_{q^{2}}^{n}: \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i} y_{i}^{q}=0, \forall x \in C\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following is crucial in constructing quantum codes from constacyclic codes.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha$ be a nonzero element in $F_{q^{2}}$ whose multiplicative order divides $q+1$. Suppose that $C_{1}$ is a cyclic code over $F_{q}$ with length $n$ and defining set $Z_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ is an $\alpha$-constacyclic code over $F_{q^{2}}$ with length $n$ and defining set $Z_{2}$. Let $(n, q)=1$. Then,
(1) $[1] C_{1}^{\perp_{E}} \leq C_{1} \Leftrightarrow-Z_{1} \cap Z_{1}=\emptyset$.
(2) $[11] C_{2}^{\perp_{H}} \leq C_{2} \Leftrightarrow-q Z_{2} \cap Z_{2}=\emptyset$.

We say that $C$ is a dual-containing code if $C^{\perp_{E}} \leq C$ and a Hermitian dualcontaining code if $C^{\perp_{H}} \leq C$.

One of the famous quantum code constructions is Calderbank-Shor-Steane (CSS) construction. For a dual-containing linear code, CSS construction turns into:

Theorem 2.3 ([2]). If there exists a dual-containing $[n, k, d]_{q}$ linear code, then there exists an $\llbracket n, 2 k-n, \geq d \rrbracket_{q}$ stabilizer quantum code which is pure to $d$.

Called as Hermitian construction, another famous quantum code construction in the literature is as follows:

Theorem 2.4 ([2,12]). If there exists a Hermitian dual-containing $[n, k, d]_{q^{2}}$ linear code, then there exists an $\llbracket n, 2 k-n, \geq d \rrbracket_{q}$ quantum code that is pure to $d$.

## 3. Quantum codes derived from constacyclic codes

In [15], La Guardia gives a condition for the existence of $q$-cyclotomic cosets containing $m$-consecutive terms and presents a new method for obtaining some new quantum codes from cyclic codes over $F_{q}$ by using CSS construction. In [5], Jian Gao et al. consider the results derived in [15] for negacyclic codes over $F_{q}$ and obtain new quantum codes. In this section, we extend this notion to constacyclic codes over $F_{q^{2}}$. We give a criteria for a $q^{2}$-cyclotomic coset over $F_{q^{2}}$ to contain $m$-consecutive terms and by using Hermitian construction we obtain a class of quantum MDS codes from Hermitian-dual containing constacyclic codes whose defining sets are these $q^{2}$-cyclotomic cosets. We also tabulate
the parameters of some quantum codes that we derive by this way. We note that throughout this section, $\alpha$ is an element of the finite field $F_{q^{2}}$ with the multiplicative order $r$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $q$ be a prime power and $n$ be an integer such that $(q, n)=$ 1. If there exist some integers $1 \leq a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m-1} \leq o_{r n}\left(q^{2}\right), m \geq 3$ such that $n \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-2}\right)$, where $\lambda_{j}=\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{j+1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-j r$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-2$, then there exists an $\alpha$-constacyclic code over $F_{q^{2}}$ with parameters $[n, n-\delta, d \geq m+1]_{q^{2}}$, where $\delta$ is the size of $q^{2}$-cyclotomic coset modulo rn containing $m$-consecutive terms.

Proof. Consider the following system of congruences

$$
\begin{array}{r}
k q^{2 a_{1}} \equiv k+r \bmod r n \\
(k+r) q^{2 a_{2}} \equiv k+2 r \bmod r n \\
(k+2 r) q^{2 a_{3}} \equiv k+3 r \bmod r n \\
\vdots \\
(k+(m-2) r) q^{2 a_{m-1}} \equiv k+(m-1) r \bmod r n,
\end{array}
$$

where $m \geq 2$. The above system of congruences implies $(k+j r)\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{j+1}}-1}{r}\right) \equiv$ $1 \bmod n$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m-2$ and so we get the following system which is equivalent to above:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
k \equiv\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1} \bmod n \\
k \equiv\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{2}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-r \bmod n \\
k \equiv\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{3}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-2 r \bmod n \\
\vdots \\
k \equiv\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{m-1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-(m-2) r \bmod n,
\end{array}
$$

where $\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{i}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}$ indicates the multiplicative inverse of $\frac{q^{2 a_{i}}-1}{r}$ modulo $n$. The last system has a solution if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{j+1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-j r \equiv\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{i+1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-i r \bmod n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i, j=1, \ldots, m-2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1} \equiv\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{j+1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-j r \bmod n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE 1. Some parameters of quantum codes obtained by Theorem 3.2

| $n$ | $r$ | $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m-1}$ | $\llbracket n, k, d \rrbracket_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 3 | 2,7 | $\llbracket 17,1, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{5}$ |
| 29 | 6 | $1,2,8$ | $\llbracket 29,1, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{11}$ |

for all $j=1, \ldots, m-2$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \quad \text { divides }\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{j+1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-j r \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $j=1, \ldots, m-2$. The last assertion means that $n \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-2}\right)$, where $\lambda_{j}=\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{j+1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-\left(\frac{q^{2 a_{1}}-1}{r}\right)^{-1}-j r$ for every $j=1, \ldots, m-2$. Take $C$ as an $\alpha$-constacyclic code over $F_{q^{2}}$ whose defining set is $C_{q^{2}, r n}(k)$. From the above construction, $C_{q^{2}, r n}(k)$ contains $m$-consecutive integers $k, k+r, \ldots, k+$ $(m-1) r$. Since $\left|C_{q^{2}, r n}(k)\right|=\delta$, and by the BCH bound for constacyclic codes the minimum distance $d$ of $C$ is at least $m+1$, one gets an $[n, n-\delta, d \geq m+1]_{q^{2}}$ constacyclic code.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that all the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1 hold. Let $C_{q^{2}, r n}(k)$ be a $q^{2}$-cyclotomic coset containing m-consecutive terms. If

$$
-q C_{q^{2}, r n}(k) \neq C_{q^{2}, r n}(k),
$$

then there exists a quantum code with parameters $\llbracket n, n-2 \delta, d \geq m+1 \rrbracket$, where $\delta=\left|C_{q^{2}, n}(k)\right|$.
Proof. Let $C$ be an $\alpha$-constacyclic code of length $n$ over $F_{q^{2}}$ having the defining set $C_{q^{2}, r n}(k)$. It follows from $-q C_{q^{2}, r n}(k) \neq C_{q^{2}, r n}(k)$ and Lemma 2.2 that $C^{\perp_{h}} \leq C$. Therefore, by Hermitian construction, one gets a quantum code with desired parameters.

Now, we present some parameters that are tabulated in Table 1 to illustrate Theorem 3.2. The integers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m-1}$ appeared in Table 1 are ones satisfying the condition given in Proposition 3.1.
Proposition 3.3. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Then,
(1) $\left(2^{k}+1,2^{2 k}+1\right)=1$.
(2) $\left(2^{k}-1,2^{2 k}+1\right)=1$.

Proof. (1) Since $\left(2^{k}+1\right)\left(2^{2 k}-2^{2 k-1}-2^{k-1}+1\right)=1+\left(2^{k}-2^{k-1}\right)\left(2^{2 k}+1\right)$, we get $\left(2^{k}+1\right)\left(2^{2 k}-2^{2 k-1}-2^{k-1}+1\right) \equiv 1 \bmod \left(2^{2 k}+1\right)$. This implies that $\left(2^{k}+1,2^{2 k}+1\right)=1$.
(2) Since $\left(2^{k}-1\right)\left(2^{k}-1\right) 2^{k-1}=1+\left(2^{k-1}-1\right)\left(2^{2 k}+1\right)$, it follows that $\left(2^{k}-1\right)\left(2^{k}-1\right) 2^{k-1} \equiv 1 \bmod \left(2^{2 k}+1\right)$. This means $\left(2^{k}-1,2^{2 k}+1\right)=1$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $q=2^{k}, k \geq 1$ and $r=q+1$. Suppose that $n=\frac{q^{2}+1}{\lambda} \geq 5$.
(1) For each $0 \leq j \leq q-1, C_{q^{2}, r n}(1+r j)=\{1+r j, 1+r(q-1-j)\}$.
(2) $-q C_{q^{2}, r n}\left(1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2}\right) \neq C_{q^{2}, r n}\left(1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2}\right)$.

Proof. (1) It follows from $q^{2} r \equiv-r \bmod r n$ that

$$
q^{2}(1+r j) \equiv 1+r(q-1-j) \bmod r n
$$

Since $o_{r n}\left(q^{2}\right)=2$, for each $0 \leq j \leq q-1$, we get

$$
C_{q^{2}, r n}(1+r j)=\{1+r j, 1+r(q-1-j)\}
$$

(2) Suppose that $-q C_{q^{2}, r n}\left(1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2}\right)=C_{q^{2}, r n}\left(1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2}\right)$. Then, we have two cases: $-q\left(1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2}\right) \equiv 1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2} \bmod r n$ or $-q\left(1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2}\right) \equiv$ $1+\frac{(q+1)(q-2)}{2} \bmod r n$.

Case 1: Assume that $-q\left(1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2}\right) \equiv 1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2} \bmod r n$. This implies that $1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2} \equiv 0 \bmod n$. Since $(2, n)=1$, we get $q^{2}+q+2 \equiv 0 \bmod n$ and so $q+1 \equiv 0 \bmod n$. The last assertion is a contradiction because $(q+1, n)=1$ by Proposition 3.3(1).

Case 2: Assume that $-q\left(1+\frac{(q+1) q}{2}\right) \equiv 1+\frac{(q+1)(q-2)}{2} \bmod r n$. Then, we get $\frac{(q+1) q}{2} \equiv 0 \bmod n$. Since $(2, n)=1, q^{2}+q \equiv 0 \bmod n$. This is a contradiction because $(q-1, n)=1$ by Proposition 3.3(2).

As a corollary of Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, we give a class of quantum MDS codes which was also derived by Lingfei Jin et al. in [8].
Theorem 3.5. Let $q=2^{k}, k \geq 1$. Then, for each positive integer $\lambda$ dividing $q^{2}+1$ such that $\frac{q^{2}+1}{\lambda} \geq 5$, there exists a quantum MDS code with parameters $\llbracket \frac{q^{2}+1}{\lambda}, \frac{q^{2}+1}{\lambda}-4,3 \rrbracket_{q}^{\lambda}$.

Proof. Let $n=\frac{q^{2}+1}{\lambda} \geq 5$ and $r=q+1$. Let $C$ be an $\alpha$-constacyclic code of length $n$ over $F_{q^{2}}$ having the defining set $C_{q^{2}, r n}\left(1+r \frac{q}{2}\right)$. By Lemma 3.4(2), $-q C_{q^{2}, r n}\left(1+r \frac{q}{2}\right) \neq C_{q^{2}, r n}\left(1+r \frac{q}{2}\right)$ and by Lemma $2.2(2), C_{2}^{\perp_{H}} \leq C_{2}$. By Lemma 3.4(1), $C_{q^{2}, r n}\left(1+r \frac{q}{2}\right)$ has exactly two elements which are consecutive. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2, we get an $\llbracket n, n-4, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{q}$ quantum code. By Proposition 1.1, this quantum code is an MDS code of the parameters $\llbracket n, n-4,3 \rrbracket_{q}$.

## 4. Construction of good quantum codes

In [15], La Guardia derived some new quantum codes from dual-containing cyclic codes over $F_{q}$ by using CSS construction. In this section, by considering cyclic codes over higher alphabet $F_{q^{2}}$ and using Hermitian construction, we construct some quantum codes whose parameters are better than ones in [15].

When compared to quantum codes obtained from dual-containing cyclic codes over $F_{q}$ with CSS construction, we deduce that quantum codes obtained from cyclic codes over $F_{q^{2}}$ with Hermitian construction are of better parameters.

Let $(n, q)=1$ and $o_{n}(q)=2 m, m \geq 1$. Then, clearly $o_{n}\left(q^{2}\right)=m$. This means that $\left|C_{q^{2}, n}(i)\right|=t$ if $\left|C_{q, n}(i)\right|=2 t$, where $t \mid m$. Suppose that $C_{q, n}(i)$ is a $q$-cyclotomic coset that contains $d$ consecutive terms and provides $-C_{q, n}(i) \neq$ $C_{q, n}(i)$. Take $C$ as a cyclic code of length $n$ over $F_{q}$ with defining set $C_{q, n}(i)$. In this case, since $C^{\perp_{E}} \leq C$ and $d(C) \geq d+1$, by CSS construction one gets an $\llbracket n, n-4 t, \geq d+1 \rrbracket_{q}$ quantum code. Since $C_{q^{2}, n}(i)=\left\{i, i q^{2}, \ldots, i q^{2 t-2}\right\}$ and $C_{q^{2}, n}(i q)=\left\{i q, i q^{3}, \ldots, i q^{2 t-1}\right\}$, we get $C_{q, n}(i)=C_{q^{2}, n}(i) \cup C_{q^{2}, n}(i q)$. Hence, it is enough to prove that $-q C_{q, n}(i) \cap C_{q, n}(k)=\emptyset$ whenever $-C_{q, n}(i) \cap$ $C_{q, n}(k)=\emptyset$ to construct a quantum code with the same parameters from Hermitian dual-containing cyclic code over $F_{q^{2}}$ having defining set $C_{q^{2}, n}(i) \cup$ $C_{q^{2}, n}(i q)$ via Hermitian construction.

Proposition 4.1. $-q C_{q, n}(i) \cap C_{q, n}(k)=\emptyset$ if and only if $-C_{q, n}(i) \cap C_{q, n}(k)=$ $\emptyset$.

Proof. Since $(n, q)=1$ and two cyclotomic cosets are the same or distinct, we get $-i \equiv k q^{j}(\bmod n) \Leftrightarrow-q i \equiv k q^{j+1}(\bmod n)$ for some $j$, which completes the proof.

Proposition 4.1 guarantees that all parameters obtained in [15] can be also derived from cyclic codes over $F_{q^{2}}$ with Hermitian construction. Let us give an example to illustrate this. We use the notation $C_{q, n}(i, k)$ instead of $C_{q, n}(i) \cup$ $C_{q, n}(k)$.

Example 1. Let $C$ be a cyclic code over $F_{13}$ of length 35 with the defining set $C_{13,35}(3)=\{3,4,17,11\}$. See that $-C_{13,35}(3) \cap C_{13,35}(3)=\emptyset$. By CSS construction, one gets a quantum code with the parameters $\llbracket 35,27, \geq 3 \rrbracket_{13}$ from the cyclic code $C$, which was constructed in [15]. See that $C_{13^{2}, 35}(3)=\{3,17\}$ and $C_{13^{2}, 35}(4)=\{4,11\}$. Take $C^{\prime}$ as a cyclic code over $F_{13^{2}}$ of length 35 with the defining set $Z=C_{13^{2}, 35}(3,4)$. Proposition 4.1 ensures that $Z \cap-13 Z=\emptyset$ and by Lemma 2.2, $C^{\perp_{H}} \leq C^{\prime}$. By Hermitian construction, we get a quantum code with same parameters $\llbracket 35,27, \geq 3 \rrbracket_{13}$.

We show that Hermitian dual-containing cyclic codes over $F_{q^{2}}$ are more fertile than dual-containing cyclic codes over $F_{q}$ to construct quantum codes.
Proposition 4.2. Suppose that $n \mid q^{2 m}+1$ for some $m \geq 1$. Then, $-C_{q, n}(i)=$ $C_{q, n}(i)$. Moreover, $-q C_{q^{2}, n}(i)=C_{q^{2}, n}(i q)$.

Proof. Since $q^{2 m} \equiv-1(\bmod n),-1 \in C_{q, n}(1)$ and $-C_{q, n}(1)=C_{q, n}(1)$. So, $-C_{q, n}(i)=C_{q, n}(i)$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and by Proposition 4.1, we get $-q C_{q, n}(i)=C_{q, n}(i)$. It follows from $q^{2 m} \equiv-1(\bmod n)$ that $-q i \equiv$ $q^{2 m+1} i(\bmod n)$. This implies that $-q i \in C_{q^{2}, n}(i q)$ and so $-q C_{q^{2}, n}(i)=$ $C_{q^{2}, n}(i q)$.

Proposition 4.2 says that for length $n$ dividing $q^{2 m}+1$, one can not construct a quantum code from dual-containing cyclic codes of length $n$ over $F_{q}$ using CSS construction since there doesn't exist a dual-containing cyclic code of length $n$ over $F_{q}$ as a result of $-C_{q, n}(i)=C_{q, n}(i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.
Example 2. Let $q=7$ and $n=65$. Then, $65 \mid 7^{6}+1$ and by Proposition $4.2,-C_{7,65}(i)=C_{7,65}(i)$ for all $i$. Hence, it is impossible to find a nontrivial cyclic code over $F_{7}$ of length 65 containing its Euclidean dual and so to construct quantum codes from these cyclic codes via CSS construction. However, consider cyclic codes over $F_{7^{2}}$ and $7^{2}$-cyclotomic cosets modulo 65. Note that $-7 C_{7^{2}, 65}(2)=C_{7^{2}, 65}(9)$ and $C_{7^{2}, 65}(2)=\{2,8,32,33,57,63\}$. If $C_{1}$ is a cyclic code with defining set $Z_{1}=C_{7^{2}, 65}(2)$, then $C_{1}^{\perp_{H}} \leq C_{1}$ and via Hermitian construction we get $\llbracket 65,53, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{7}$ quantum code. See that $-7 C_{7^{2}, 65}(6)=C_{7^{2}, 65}(22)$ and $C_{7^{2}, 65}(6)=\{6,24,31,34,41,59\}$. If $C_{2}$ is a cyclic code with defining set $Z_{2}=C_{7^{2}, 65}(2,6)$, then $C_{2}^{\perp_{H}} \leq C_{2}$ and via Hermitian construction we get $\llbracket 65,41, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{7}$ quantum code. See that $-7 C_{7^{2}, 65}(10)=C_{7^{2}, 65}(5)$ and $C_{7^{2}, 65}(10)=\{10,25,30,35,40,55\}$. If $C_{3}$ is a cyclic code with defining set $Z_{3}=C_{7^{2}, 65}(2,6,10)$, then $C_{3}^{\perp_{H}} \leq C_{3}$ and via Hermitian construction we get $\llbracket 65,29, d \geq 7 \rrbracket_{7}$ quantum code.

Note that $2\left|C_{q^{2}, n}(i)\right|=\left|C_{q, n}(i)\right|$ if $2\left|\left|C_{q, n}(i)\right|\right.$. This fact enables us to derive quantum codes with better parameters than ones in [15].

Example 3. Let $q=11$ and $n=63$. In [15], La Guardia obtained a $\llbracket 63,39, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{11}$ quantum code from dual-containing cyclic codes over $F_{11}$. However, via Hermitian construction we get a $\llbracket 63,39, d \geq 7 \rrbracket_{11}$ quantum code from the cyclic code with defining set $Z_{1}=C_{11^{2}, 63}(3,8,9,10)$, which is clearly better than $\llbracket 63,39, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{11}$. In fact, via dual-containing cyclic codes over $F_{11}$, the best parameters with $d \geq 4$ are $\llbracket 63,45, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{11}$. Via Hermitian construction we get $\llbracket 63,45, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{11}$ quantum code from the cyclic code with defining set $Z_{2}=C_{11^{2}, 63}(3,8,10)$ that is better than $\llbracket 63,45, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{11}$.

## 5. Code comparison

As stated by La Guardia in [15], unfortunately it seems that an available source for quantum codes over large alphabets in the literature doesn't exist. Therefore, we take the parameters in Table 1 given by La Guardia in [15] as known parameters of quantum codes over large alphabets. In the Tables 2, 3 and 4, we compare our results with these parameters in [15]. In Table 2, we give the parameters of quantum codes which are better than ones listed in Table 1 in [15].

For some lengths and alphabets, we also obtain better quantum codes than the best ones that can be obtained via the construction derived in [15] and we list these parameters in Tables 3 and 4.

For instance, as the best quantum code with length 32 and least minimum distance 3 over $F_{9}$ according to the construction given in [15] is $\llbracket 32,22, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{9}$,

Table 2. A comparison between our parameters and ones in [15]

| Defining set | Our quantum code | Quantum code in $[15]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{11^{2}, 63}(3,8,9,10)$ | $\llbracket 63,39, d \geq 7 \rrbracket_{11}$ | $\llbracket 63,39, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{11}$ |
| $C_{11^{2}, 63}(3,8,10)$ | $\llbracket 63,45, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{11}$ | $\llbracket 63,39, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{11}$ |
| $C_{27^{2}, 35}(1,2,3,4)$ | $\llbracket 35,19, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{27}$ | $\llbracket 35,19, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{27}$ |

Table 3. A comparison of quantum codes of length 32 over $F_{9}$

| $d$ | Quantum code in $[15]$ | Our quantum code | Defining set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d \geq 3$ | $\llbracket 32,22, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{9}$ | $\llbracket 32,26, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{9}$ | $C_{9^{2}, 32}(1,2)$ |
| $d \geq 4$ | $\llbracket 32,18, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{9}$ | $\llbracket 32,24, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{9}$ | $C_{9^{2}, 32}(2,3,4)$ |
| $d \geq 5$ | $\llbracket 32,10, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{9}$ | $\llbracket 32,20, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{9}$ | $C_{9^{2}, 32}(1,2,3,4)$ |
| $d \geq 6$ | $\llbracket 32,8, d \geq 6 \rrbracket_{9}$ | $\llbracket 32,18, d \geq 6 \rrbracket_{9}$ | $C_{9^{2}, 32}(4,5,6,7,8)$ |

Table 4. A comparison of quantum codes of length 35 over $F_{13}$

| $d$ | Quantum code in $[15]$ | Our quantum code | Defining set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d \geq 4$ | $\llbracket 35,19, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{13}$ | $\llbracket 35,23, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{13}$ | $C_{13^{2}, 35}(1,2,3)$ |
| $d \geq 5$ | $\llbracket 35,11, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{13}$ | $\llbracket 35,19, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{13}$ | $C_{13^{2}, 35}(1,2,3,4)$ |

we obtain a $\llbracket 32,26, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{9}$ quantum code from cyclic codes over $F_{9^{2}}$ via Hermitian construction. We list more parameters of quantum codes with length 32 over $F_{9}$ in Table 3.

Furthermore, as the best quantum code with length 35 and least minimum distance 4 over $F_{13}$ according to the construction given in [15] is $\llbracket 35,19, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{13}$, we get a $\llbracket 35,23, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{13}$ quantum code from cyclic codes over $F_{13^{2}}$ via Hermitian construction. We list more parameters of quantum codes with length 35 over $F_{13}$ in Table 4.

Moreover, as an illustration of Proposition 4.2, we derive some quantum codes that can not be obtained via the construction given in [15] and we list the parameters of these quantum codes in Table 5.

## 6. Conclusion

We obtain a condition for a $q^{2}$-cyclotomic coset to contain at least three consecutive elements and give a construction for a class of quantum MDS codes. Furthermore, by making use of cyclic codes over higher alphabet $F_{q^{2}}$ instead of $F_{q}$ and Hermitian construction, we get better quantum codes than quantum codes derived in [15] and tabulate their parameters in Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5.

TABLE 5. List of some quantum codes that can not be obtained via the construction given in [15]

| $n$ | $q$ | $m$ | Quantum code | Defining set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 7 | 2 | $\llbracket 17,1, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{7}$ | $C_{7^{2}, 17}(3)$ |
| 25 | 13 | 5 | $\llbracket 25,5, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{13}$ | $C_{13^{2}, 25}(2)$ |
| 25 | 13 | 5 | $\llbracket 25,1, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{13}$ | $C_{13^{2}, 25}(1,10)$ |
| 29 | 11 | 7 | $\llbracket 29,1, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{11}$ | $C_{11^{2}, 29}(1)$ |
| 37 | 19 | 9 | $\llbracket 37,1, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{19}$ | $C_{19^{2}, 37}(1)$ |
| 37 | 23 | 3 | $\llbracket 37,25, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{23}$ | $C_{23^{2}, 37}(1)$ |
| 37 | 23 | 3 | $\llbracket 37,13, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{23}$ | $C_{23^{2}, 37}(1,9)$ |
| 37 | 23 | 3 | $\llbracket 37,1, d \geq 6 \rrbracket_{23}$ | $C_{23^{2}, 37}(1,5,9)$ |
| 41 | 7 | 5 | $\llbracket 41,1, d \geq 6 \rrbracket_{7}$ | $C_{7^{2}, 41}(3)$ |
| 41 | 27 | 2 | $\llbracket 41,33, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{27}$ | $C_{27^{2}, 41}(4)$ |
| 41 | 27 | 2 | $\llbracket 41,25, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{27}$ | $C_{27^{2}, 41}(3,4)$ |
| 41 | 27 | 2 | $\llbracket 41,17, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{27}$ | $C_{27^{2}, 41}(2,3,4)$ |
| 41 | 32 | 1 | $\llbracket 41,37, d \geq 2 \rrbracket_{32}$ | $C_{32^{2}, 41}(1)$ |
| 41 | 32 | 1 | $\llbracket 41,33, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{32}$ | $C_{32^{2}, 41}(1,2)$ |
| 41 | 32 | 1 | $\llbracket 41,29, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{32}$ | $C_{32^{2}, 41}(1,2,3)$ |
| 53 | 23 | 1 | $\llbracket 53,49, d \geq 2 \rrbracket_{23}$ | $C_{23^{2}, 53}(1)$ |
| 53 | 23 | 1 | $\llbracket 53,45, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{23}$ | $C_{23^{2}, 53}(1,2)$ |
| 53 | 23 | 1 | $\llbracket 53,41, d \geq 4 \rrbracket_{23}$ | $C_{23^{2}, 53}(1,2,3)$ |
| 53 | 23 | 1 | $\llbracket 53,37, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{23}$ | $C_{23^{2}, 53}(1,2,3,4)$ |
| 53 | 23 | 1 | $\llbracket 53,33, d \geq 6 \rrbracket_{23}$ | $C_{23^{2}, 53}(1,2,3,4,5)$ |
| 53 | 23 | 1 | $\llbracket 53,29, d \geq 7 \rrbracket_{23}$ | $C_{23^{2}, 53}(1,2,3,4,5,6)$ |
| 61 | 32 | 3 | $\llbracket 61,49, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{32}$ | $C_{32^{2}, 61}(1)$ |
| 61 | 32 | 3 | $\llbracket 61,37, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{32}$ | $C_{32^{2}, 61}(1,12)$ |
| 61 | 32 | 3 | $\llbracket 61,25, d \geq 7 \rrbracket_{32}$ | $C_{32^{2}, 61}(2,7,11)$ |
| 65 | 7 | 3 | $\llbracket 65,53, d \geq 3 \rrbracket_{7}$ | $C_{7^{2}, 65}(2)$ |
| 65 | 7 | 3 | $\llbracket 65,41, d \geq 5 \rrbracket \rrbracket_{7}$ | $C_{7^{2}, 65}(2,6)$ |
| 65 | 7 | 3 | $\llbracket 65,29, d \geq 7 \rrbracket_{7}$ | $C_{7^{2}, 65}(2,6,10)$ |
| 73 | 17 | 6 | $\llbracket 73,49, d \geq 5 \rrbracket_{17}$ | $C_{17^{2}, 73}(4)$ |
| 73 | 17 | 6 | $\llbracket 73,25, d \geq 7 \rrbracket_{17}$ | $C_{17^{2}, 73}(4,13)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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