

## APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF PAIRS OF SUBSPACES

KEUN YOUNG LEE

ABSTRACT. This study is concerned with the approximation properties of pairs. For  $\lambda \geq 1$ , we prove that given a Banach space  $X$  and a closed subspace  $Z_0$ , if the pair  $(X, Z_0)$  has the  $\lambda$ -bounded approximation property ( $\lambda$ -BAP), then for every ideal  $Z$  containing  $Z_0$ , the pair  $(Z, Z_0)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP; further, if  $Z$  is a closed subspace of  $X$  and the pair  $(X, Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP, then for every separable subspace  $Y_0$  of  $X$ , there exists a separable closed subspace  $Y$  containing  $Y_0$  such that the pair  $(Y, Y \cap Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP. We also prove that if  $Z$  is a separable closed subspace of  $X$ , then the pair  $(X, Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP if and only if for every separable subspace  $Y_0$  of  $X$ , there exists a separable closed subspace  $Y$  containing  $Y_0 \cup Z$  such that the pair  $(Y, Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP.

### 1. Introduction

A Banach space  $X$  is said to have the *approximation property* (AP) if for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite rank operator  $S$  on  $X$  such that  $\sup_{x \in K} \|Sx - x\| \leq \varepsilon$ ; briefly,  $id_X \in \overline{\mathcal{F}(X)}^{\tau_c}$ , where  $id_X$  is the identity operator on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is the space of all finite rank operators on  $X$ , and  $\tau_c$  is the topology of uniformly compact convergence on the space  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  of all operators on  $X$ . For  $\lambda \geq 1$ , if  $id_X \in \overline{\{S \in \mathcal{F}(X) : \|S\| \leq \lambda\}}^{\tau_c}$ , then it can be said that  $X$  has the  *$\lambda$ -bounded approximation property* ( $\lambda$ -BAP). Lissitsin and Oja considered the AP of pairs [9]. For a closed subspace  $Y$  of a Banach space  $X$ , the pair  $(X, Y)$  is said to have the AP if for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $\|Sx - x\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $S(Y) \subseteq Y$ . Then, Figiel, Johnson and Pełczyński [2] introduced and studied the BAP of pairs. For  $\lambda \geq 1$  and a closed subspace  $Y$  of a Banach space  $X$ , the pair  $(X, Y)$  is said to have the  $\lambda$ -BAP if for every finite dimensional subspace  $E$  of  $X$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $\|S\| \leq \lambda + \varepsilon$  such that  $Sx = x$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $S(Y) \subseteq Y$ .

---

Received June 22, 2017; Revised March 5, 2019; Accepted April 1, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B28; Secondary 47L20.

*Key words and phrases*. bounded approximation property of pairs, approximation property of pairs, ideals.

The author was supported by NRF-2017R1C1B5017026 funded by the Korean Government.

For  $\mu \geq 1$ , a closed subspace  $Z$  of a Banach space  $X$  is called *locally  $\mu$ -complemented* in  $X$  if for every finite-dimensional subspace  $E$  of  $X$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an operator  $T : E \rightarrow Z$  with  $\|T\| \leq \mu + \varepsilon$  such that  $Tx = x$  for all  $x \in E \cap Z$ . It is well known that the AP and the BAP are inherited by locally complemented subspaces (cf. [3, Theorem 2.4]). For a closed subspace  $Z$  of a Banach space  $X$ , an operator  $\Phi : Z^* \rightarrow X^*$  is called an *extension operator* if  $(\Phi z^*)(z) = z^*(z)$  for every  $z^* \in Z^*$  and  $z \in Z$ . A closed subspace  $Z$  of  $X$  is called an *ideal* if there exists an extension operator  $\Phi$  from  $Z^*$  to  $X^*$  with  $\|\Phi\| = 1$ . The operator  $\Phi$  is called a *Hahn-Banach extension operator*. It is well known that  $Z$  is an ideal in  $X$  if and only if  $Z$  is locally 1-complemented in  $X$ , and  $X$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP (resp. AP) if and only if every separable ideal in  $X$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP (resp. AP) (cf. [7, Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 2.2] and [3, Theorem 2.4]). In this work, we have:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $Z_0$  be a closed subspace of a Banach space  $X$  and  $\lambda, \mu \geq 1$ . If the pair  $(X, Z_0)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP (resp. AP) and  $Z$  is a locally  $\mu$ -complemented subspace of  $X$  containing  $Z_0$ , then the pair  $(Z, Z_0)$  has the  $\mu\lambda$ -BAP (resp. AP). Hence, if the pair  $(X, Z_0)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP (resp. AP), then for every ideal  $Z$  containing  $Z_0$ , the pair  $(Z, Z_0)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP (resp. AP).*

Johnson proved that a Banach space  $X$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP if and only if every separable subspace of  $X$  is contained in a separable subspace with the  $\lambda$ -BAP [4, Lemma 3]. In this work, we have:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $Z$  be a closed subspace of a Banach space  $X$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . If the pair  $(X, Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP, then for every separable subspace  $Y_0$  of  $X$ , there exists a separable closed subspace  $Y$  containing  $Y_0$  such that the pair  $(Y, Y \cap Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP.*

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $Z$  be a separable closed subspace of a Banach space  $X$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . The pair  $(X, Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP if and only if for every separable subspace  $Y_0$  of  $X$ , there exists a separable closed subspace  $Y$  containing  $Y_0$  and  $Z$  such that the pair  $(Y, Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP.*

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

**Lemma 2.1** ([5, Lemma 3.2]). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\mu \geq 1$  and  $Z$  be a locally  $\mu$ -complemented subspace of  $X$ . Then for every closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  containing  $Z$  with  $\dim Y/Z < \infty$ , there is a projection  $P : Y \rightarrow Z$  with  $\|P\| \leq 2\mu + 4$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Suppose that the pair  $(X, Z_0)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP. Let  $F$  be a finite dimensional subspace of  $Z$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the assumption, there exists  $S_0 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $\|S_0\| \leq \lambda + \varepsilon$  such that  $S_0x = x$  for all  $x \in F$  and  $S_0(Z_0) \subseteq Z_0$ . Let  $E = S_0(X)$ . Because  $E$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$  and  $Z$  is an ideal in  $X$ , there exists an operator  $T_E : E \rightarrow Z$  with  $\|T_E\| \leq \mu + \varepsilon$  such that

$T_E x = x$  for all  $x \in E \cap Z$ . Let  $S := T_E S_0$ . Then we have

$$\|S\| \leq \|T_E\| \|S_0\| \leq (\mu + \varepsilon)(\lambda + \varepsilon).$$

Since  $S_0(x) = x$  for all  $x \in F$ , we have  $F \subseteq E \cap Z$ ; hence, we obtain that

$$S(x) = T_E S_0(x) = T_E(x) = x$$

for all  $x \in F$ . Finally, since  $S_0(Z_0) \subseteq E$  and  $S_0(Z_0) \subseteq Z_0 \subseteq Z$ , we have  $S_0(Z_0) \subseteq E \cap Z$ , it follows that

$$S(Z_0) = T_E S_0(Z_0) \subseteq Z_0.$$

Suppose that the pair  $(X, Z_0)$  has the AP. Let  $K$  be a compact set of  $Z$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $S_0 \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that

$$\sup_{z \in K} \|S_0 z - z\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\mu + 4}$$

and  $S_0(Z_0) \subseteq Z_0$ . Let  $Z_1 = Z + S_0(X)$ . Clearly, we have  $\dim Z_1/Z < \infty$ . Since  $Z$  is locally  $\mu$ -complemented in  $X$ , by Lemma 2.1, there is a projection  $P_{Z_1} : Z_1 \rightarrow Z$  with  $\|P_{Z_1}\| \leq 2\mu + 4$ . Define  $S = P_{Z_1} S_0|_Z$ . Clearly, we have  $S \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ . Then, for every  $z \in K$

$$\|S z - z\| = \|P_{Z_1} S_0 z - P_{Z_1} z\| = \|P_{Z_1}\| \|S_0 z - z\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Clearly, we have  $S(Z_0) \subseteq Z_0$ . □

### 3. Proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3

We need the following lemma to show Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 3.1** ([10, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $Z$  be a closed subspace of a Banach space  $X$ . Then the pair  $(X, Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP if and only if there exists a net  $(S_\alpha)$  in  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $S_\alpha \rightarrow id_X$  strongly,  $\sup_\alpha \|S_\alpha\| \leq \lambda$ , and  $S_\alpha(Z) \subseteq Z$  for all  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $Z$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ . Suppose that the pair  $(X, Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a net  $(S_\alpha)$  in  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $S_\alpha \rightarrow id_X$  strongly,  $\sup_\alpha \|S_\alpha\| \leq \lambda$ , and  $S_\alpha(Z) \subseteq Z$  for all  $\alpha$ . Assume that  $Y_0 = \overline{\{y_1, y_2, \dots\}}$ . Since we now have the extra assumption that  $S_\alpha(Z) \subseteq Z$  for all  $\alpha$ , by a verbatim proof to [11, Theorem 3.1] (we only change that the projections  $P$ 's chosen by [11, Theorem 3.1] satisfy  $P(Z) \subseteq Z$ ), there exists a sequence  $(T_n) \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $T_n y_m = y_m$  for all  $n \geq m$ ,
- (b)  $T_n T_m = T_m$  for all  $n > m$ ,
- (c)  $\limsup_n \|T_n\| \leq \lambda$ ,
- (d)  $T_n(Z) \subseteq Z$ .

Denote

$$Y = \{y \in X : y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n y\}.$$

Then, for all  $m$ , we have  $y_m \in Y$ , so  $Y$  is a non-empty set. Now, take any  $y', y'' \in Y$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(y' + y'') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(y') + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(y'') = y' + y'',$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\alpha y') = \alpha \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(y') = \alpha y',$$

hence,  $Y$  is a subspace of  $X$ . By (a) and (b), we have  $Y_0 \subset Y$  and

$$Y = \overline{\text{span}}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n(X)\right);$$

therefore,  $Y$  is a separable subspace of  $X$ . By (d), we have

$$T_n(Z \cap Y) \subseteq T_n(Z) \subseteq Z \cap Y.$$

Additionally, by definition, we obtain  $T_n|_Y \rightarrow id_Y$ , strongly. By Lemma 3.1, the pair  $(Y, Z \cap Y)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Sufficiency. Let  $Y_0$  be a separable subspace of  $X$ . By [12, Theorem], there exists a separable ideal  $Y$  of  $X$  containing  $Y_0$  and  $Z_0$ . By Theorem 1.1, the pair  $(Y, Z_0)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP.

Necessity. Let  $F$  be a finite-dimensional subspace of  $X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By [10, Theorem 4.1], it is sufficient to show that there exists a finite rank operator  $T \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $\|T\| \leq \lambda$  and

$$\|Tx - x\| < \varepsilon\|x\|$$

for all  $x \in F$  and  $T(Z) \subseteq Z$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a dense sequence of  $Z$ . By the argument of [12, Theorem], there exist a separable subspace  $Y_0$  of  $X$  containing  $F \cup Z$  and a directed set  $I$  consisting of finite subsets of  $X$  such that for each  $G \in I$  with  $F \cup \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq G$ , there exists a finite rank operator  $T_G : G \rightarrow Y_0$  with  $T_G|_{F \cup \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}} = id_{F \cup \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}}$ . Here, the net  $(T_G)$  satisfies  $\limsup_G \|T_G\| \leq 1$ .

Now, by the assumption, there exists a separable subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  with  $Y_0 \subset Y$  such that  $(Y, Z)$  has the  $\lambda$ -BAP. Then there exists  $S \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$  such that  $\|S\| \leq \lambda$  and  $\|Sx - x\| < \varepsilon\|x\|$  for all  $x \in F$  and  $S(Z) \subseteq Z$ . Consider  $S_G : X \rightarrow S(Y)$  defined by

$$S_G x = ST_G x \text{ if } x \in G, \quad S_G = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Consider the net  $(S_G)_{G \in I}$ . Then, by a compactness argument, there exists a subnet  $(S_H)$  of  $(S_G)$  such that  $\tilde{S}x := \lim_H S_H x$  exists for each  $x \in X$ . We can see that  $\tilde{S}$  is linear and of finite rank with  $\|\tilde{S}\| \leq \lambda$ . Further, for every  $x \in F$ , we have

$$\|\tilde{S}x - x\| = \lim_H \|S_H x - x\| = \lim_H \|ST_H x - x\| = \|Sx - x\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|.$$

Moreover, we can easily see that  $\{\tilde{S}(x_n) : n \in \mathbf{N}\} \subseteq Z$ . Indeed, take any  $x_n$  and  $G \in I$  with  $x_n \in G$ . Then, we have

$$S_G(x_n) = ST_G(x_n) = S(x_n) \in S(Z) \subseteq Z;$$

therefore,  $\tilde{S}(x_n) = \lim_H S_H(x_n) \in Z$ . Since  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is dense in  $Z$  and  $Z$  is closed, we have  $\tilde{S}(Z) \subseteq Z$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Open problems

Lima and Oja [8] introduced a weaker notion of the BAP. A Banach space  $X$  is said to have the *weak* BAP if there exists a  $\lambda \geq 1$  such that for every Banach space  $Y$  and every  $T \in \mathcal{W}(X, Y)$ ,  $id_X \in \overline{\{S \in \mathcal{F}(X, X) : \|TS\| \leq \lambda\|T\|\}}^{T^c}$  where  $\mathcal{W}$  is the space of all weakly compact operators between Banach spaces. Recently, Chen, Kim and Zheng [1] introduced a weaker property of the BAP of pairs. Let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of a Banach space  $X$ . Let  $\lambda \geq 1$ . The pair  $(X, Y)$  is said to have the weak  $\lambda$ -BAP if for every Banach space  $Z$  and every  $R \in \mathcal{W}(X, Z)$ , for every finite dimensional subspace  $E$  of  $X$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $\|RS\| \leq (\lambda + \varepsilon)\|R\|$  such that  $Sx = x$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $S(Y) \subseteq Y$ . Kim [6, Theorem 4.1] proved that  $X$  has the weak  $\lambda$ -BAP if and only if every ideal in  $X$  has the weak  $\lambda$ -BAP. One may naturally ask:

**Problem 1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ , and let  $\lambda \geq 1$ . If the pair  $(X, Y)$  has the weak  $\lambda$ -BAP, then for every ideal  $Z$  in  $X$  containing  $Y$ , does the pair  $(Z, Y)$  have the weak  $\lambda$ -BAP?

By [12, Theorem] and the proof of [4, Lemma 3], it follows that a Banach space  $X$  has the weak  $\lambda$ -BAP if and only if every separable subspace of  $X$  is contained in a separable subspace with the weak  $\lambda$ -BAP. Considering the weak BAP version of Theorem 1.3, we ask:

**Problem 2.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Z$  be a separable closed subspace of  $X$ , and let  $\lambda \geq 1$ . If the pair  $(X, Z)$  has the weak  $\lambda$ -BAP, then for every separable subspace  $Y_0$  of  $X$ , is there a separable closed subspace  $Y$  containing  $Y_0$  and  $Z$  for which the pair  $(Y, Z)$  has the weak  $\lambda$ -BAP?

The converse part of Problem 2 can be easily derived by the proof of Theorem 1.3 and [1, Proposition 2.2].

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks the referee for helpful suggestions.

#### References

- [1] D. Chen, J. M. Kim, and B. Zheng, *The weak bounded approximation property of pairs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), no. 4, 1665–1673.
- [2] T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson, and A. Pełczyński, *Some approximation properties of Banach spaces and Banach lattices*, Israel J. Math. **183** (2011), 199–231.
- [3] G. Godefroy and P. D. Saphar, *Three-space problems for the approximation properties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), no. 1, 70–75.
- [4] W. B. Johnson, *A complementary universal conjugate Banach space and its relation to the approximation problem*, Israel J. Math. **13** (1972), 301–310.

- [5] N. J. Kalton, *Locally complemented subspaces and  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -spaces for  $0 < p < 1$* , Math. Nachr. **115** (1984), 71–97.
- [6] J. M. Kim, *Three-space problem for some approximation properties*, Taiwanese J. Math. **14** (2010), no. 1, 251–262.
- [7] Á. Lima, V. Lima, and E. Oja, *Bounded approximation properties via integral and nuclear operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 1, 287–297.
- [8] Á. Lima and E. Oja, *The weak metric approximation property*, Math. Ann. **333** (2005), no. 3, 471–484.
- [9] A. Lissitsin and E. Oja, *The convex approximation property of Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **379** (2011), no. 2, 616–626.
- [10] E. Oja and S. Treialt, *Some duality results on bounded approximation properties of pairs*, Studia Math. **217** (2013), no. 1, 79–94.
- [11] E. Oja and I. Zolk, *The asymptotically commuting bounded approximation property of Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 2, 1068–1087.
- [12] B. Sims and D. Yost, *Linear Hahn-Banach extension operators*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **32** (1989), no. 1, 53–57.

KEUN YOUNG LEE  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS  
SEJONG UNIVERSITY  
SEOUL 05006, KOREA  
*Email address:* bst21@sejong.ac.kr