

# GENERIC LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN INDEFINITE TRANS-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD WITH AN $(\ell, m)$ -TYPE METRIC CONNECTION

DAE HO JIN

**ABSTRACT.** We study generic lightlike submanifolds  $M$  of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  or an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form  $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  endowed with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection subject such that the structure vector field  $\zeta$  of  $\bar{M}$  is tangent to  $M$ .

## 1. Introduction

A linear connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  on a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  is said to be a *symmetric connection of type  $(\ell, m)$*  if its torsion tensor  $\bar{T}$  satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{T}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \ell\{\theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})\bar{Y}\} + m\{\theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})J\bar{Y}\},$$

where  $\ell$  and  $m$  are smooth functions,  $J$  is a tensor field of type  $(1, 1)$  and  $\theta$  is a 1-form associated with a smooth vector field  $\zeta$  by  $\theta(\bar{X}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \zeta)$ . Moreover, if  $\bar{\nabla}$  is a metric connection, *i.e.*, it satisfies  $\bar{\nabla}\bar{g} = 0$ , then  $\bar{\nabla}$  is called a *symmetric metric connection of type  $(\ell, m)$*  or an  *$(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection*.

The notion of  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  on indefinite almost contact manifolds  $\bar{M}$  was introduced by Jin [9]. In case  $(\ell, m) = (1, 0)$ :  $\bar{\nabla}$  becomes a semi-symmetric metric connection, introduced by Hayden [6] and Yano [15]. In case  $(\ell, m) = (0, 1)$ :  $\bar{\nabla}$  becomes a quarter-symmetric metric connection, introduced by Yano-Imai [16]. We shall assume that  $(\ell, m) \neq (0, 0)$  and the vector field  $\zeta$  is a unit spacelike one, without loss of generality.

A lightlike submanifold  $M$  of an indefinite almost contact manifold  $\bar{M}$ , with an indefinite almost contact structure  $J$ , is called an *generic submanifold* [10] if there exists a screen distribution  $S(TM)$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad J(S(TM)^\perp) \subset S(TM),$$

---

Received March 27, 2018; Accepted July 30, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25, 53C40, 53C50.

*Key words and phrases.*  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection, generic lightlike submanifold, indefinite trans-Sasakian structure.

where  $S(TM)^\perp$  denotes the orthogonal complement of  $S(TM)$  in the tangent bundle  $T\bar{M}$  of  $\bar{M}$  such that  $T\bar{M} = S(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM)^\perp$ . The notion of generic lightlike submanifolds was studied by several authors [5, 7, 8, 12].

*Remark 1.1.* Denote by  $\tilde{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connection of a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  with respect to  $\bar{g}$ . It is known [9] that a linear connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  on  $\bar{M}$  is an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection if and only if it satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y} + \ell \{ \theta(\bar{Y}) \bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \zeta \} - m \theta(\bar{X}) J \bar{Y}.$$

In this paper, we study generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M} = (\bar{M}, \zeta, \theta, J, \bar{g})$  endowed with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection subject to the following two conditions: (1) the tensor field  $J$  and the 1-form  $\theta$ , defined by (1.1), are identical with the indefinite trans-Sasakian structure tensor  $J$  and the structure 1-form  $\theta$  of  $\bar{M}$ , respectively, and (2) the structure vector field  $\zeta$  of  $\bar{M}$  is tangent to  $M$ .

## 2. $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connections

An odd-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  is called an *indefinite almost contact metric manifold* if there exists a set  $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$ , where  $J$  is a  $(1, 1)$ -type tensor field,  $\zeta$  is a vector field and  $\theta$  is a 1-form such that

$$(2.1) \quad J^2 \bar{X} = -\bar{X} + \theta(\bar{X}) \zeta, \quad \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \epsilon \theta(\bar{X}) \theta(\bar{Y}), \quad \theta(\zeta) = 1,$$

where  $\epsilon = 1$  or  $-1$  according as  $\zeta$  is spacelike or timelike respectively. The set  $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$  is called an *indefinite almost contact metric structure* of  $\bar{M}$ .

From (2.1), we show that

$$J\zeta = 0, \quad \theta \circ J = 0, \quad \theta(\bar{X}) = \epsilon \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \zeta), \quad \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = -\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}).$$

In the entire discussion of this article, we shall assume that the structure vector field  $\zeta$  is a spacelike one, *i.e.*,  $\epsilon = 1$ , without loss of generality.

**Definition.** An indefinite almost contact metric manifold  $\bar{M}$  is said to be an *indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold* [14] if, for the Levi-Civita connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$ , there exist two smooth functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} J) \bar{Y} = \alpha \{ \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \zeta - \theta(\bar{Y}) \bar{X} \} + \beta \{ \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \zeta - \theta(\bar{Y}) J\bar{X} \}.$$

We say that  $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$  is an *indefinite trans-Sasakian structure of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$* .

Note that the notion of a (Riemannian) trans-Sasakian manifold of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  was introduced by Oubina [14]. Sasakian, Kenmotsu and cosymplectic manifolds are important kinds of the trans-Sasakian manifold such that

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \beta = 0; \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = 1; \quad \alpha = \beta = 0, \quad \text{respectively.}$$

Replacing the Levi-Civita connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  by the  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ , the equation in the above Definition is reduce to

$$(2.2) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} J) \bar{Y} = \alpha \{ \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \zeta - \theta(\bar{Y}) \bar{X} \} + (\beta + \ell) \{ \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \zeta - \theta(\bar{Y}) J\bar{X} \}.$$

Replacing  $\bar{Y}$  by  $\zeta$  to (2.2) and using  $J\zeta = 0$  and  $\theta(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\zeta) = 0$ , we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\zeta = -\alpha J\bar{X} + (\beta + \ell)\{\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})\zeta\}.$$

Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $m$ -dimensional lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ , of dimension  $(m + n)$ . Then the radical distribution  $Rad(TM) = TM \cap TM^\perp$  on  $M$  is a subbundle of the tangent bundle  $TM$  and the normal bundle  $TM^\perp$ , of rank  $r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq \min\{m, n\}$ ). In general, there exist two complementary non-degenerate distributions  $S(TM)$  and  $S(TM^\perp)$  of  $Rad(TM)$  in  $TM$  and  $TM^\perp$ , respectively, which are called the *screen distribution* and *co-screen distribution* of  $M$  such that

$$TM = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM), \quad TM^\perp = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^\perp),$$

where  $\oplus_{orth}$  denotes the orthogonal direct sum. Denote by  $F(M)$  the algebra of smooth functions on  $M$  and by  $\Gamma(E)$  the  $F(M)$  module of smooth sections of a vector bundle  $E$  over  $M$ . Also denote by  $(2.1)_i$  the  $i$ -th equation of (2.1). We use the same notations for any others. Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be the vector fields on  $M$ , unless otherwise specified. We use the following range of indices:

$$i, j, k, \dots \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \quad a, b, c, \dots \in \{r + 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let  $tr(TM)$  and  $ltr(TM)$  be complementary vector bundles to  $TM$  in  $T\bar{M}|_M$  and  $TM^\perp$  in  $S(TM)^\perp$  respectively and let  $\{N_1, \dots, N_r\}$  be a lightlike basis of  $ltr(TM)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a coordinate neighborhood of  $M$ , such that

$$\bar{g}(N_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \bar{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0,$$

where  $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}$  is a lightlike basis of  $Rad(TM)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ . In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} T\bar{M} &= TM \oplus tr(TM) = \{Rad(TM) \oplus tr(TM)\} \oplus_{orth} S(TM) \\ &= \{Rad(TM) \oplus ltr(TM)\} \oplus_{orth} S(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

A lightlike submanifold  $M = (M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$  of  $\bar{M}$  is called an  $r$ -lightlike submanifold [4] if  $1 \leq r < \min\{m, n\}$ . For an  $r$ -lightlike  $M$ , we see that  $S(TM) \neq \{0\}$  and  $S(TM^\perp) \neq \{0\}$ . In the sequel, by saying that  $M$  is a lightlike submanifold we shall mean that it is an  $r$ -lightlike submanifold with following local quasi-orthonormal field of frames of  $\bar{M}$ :

$$\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, N_1, \dots, N_r, F_{r+1}, \dots, F_m, E_{r+1}, \dots, E_n\},$$

where  $\{F_{r+1}, \dots, F_m\}$  and  $\{E_{r+1}, \dots, E_n\}$  are orthonormal bases of  $S(TM)$  and  $S(TM^\perp)$ , respectively. Denote  $\epsilon_a = \bar{g}(E_a, E_a)$ . Then  $\epsilon_a \delta_{ab} = \bar{g}(E_a, E_b)$ .

Let  $P$  be the projection morphism of  $TM$  on  $S(TM)$ . Then the local Gauss-Weingarten formulae of  $M$  and  $S(TM)$  are given respectively by

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, Y) N_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, Y) E_a,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N_i = -A_{N_i} X + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X) N_j + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ia}(X) E_a,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X E_a = -A_{E_a} X + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{ai}(X) N_i + \sum_{b=r+1}^n \mu_{ab}(X) E_b;$$

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^*(X, PY) \xi_i,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla_X \xi_i = -A_{\xi_i}^* X - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ji}(X) \xi_j,$$

where  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  are induced linear connections on  $M$  and  $S(TM)$  respectively,  $h_i^\ell$  and  $h_a^s$  are called the *local second fundamental forms* on  $M$ ,  $h_i^*$  are called the *local second fundamental forms* on  $S(TM)$ .  $A_{N_i}$ ,  $A_{E_a}$  and  $A_{\xi_i}^*$  are called the *shape operators*, and  $\tau_{ij}$ ,  $\rho_{ia}$ ,  $\lambda_{ai}$  and  $\mu_{ab}$  are 1-forms.

Let  $M$  be a generic lightlike submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ . From (1.2) we show that  $J(Rad(TM))$ ,  $J(ltr(TM))$  and  $J(S(TM^\perp))$  are vector subbundles of  $S(TM)$ . Thus there exist two non-degenerate almost complex distributions  $H_o$  and  $H$  with respect to  $J$ , i.e.,  $J(H_o) = H_o$  and  $J(H) = H$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} S(TM) &= \{J(Rad(TM)) \oplus J(ltr(TM))\} \\ &\quad \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^\perp)) \oplus_{orth} H_o, \\ H &= Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} J(Rad(TM)) \oplus_{orth} H_o. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the tangent bundle  $TM$  on  $M$  is decomposed as follows:

$$(2.9) \quad TM = H \oplus J(ltr(TM)) \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^\perp)).$$

Consider local null vector fields  $U_i$  and  $V_i$  for each  $i$ , local non-null unit vector fields  $W_a$  for each  $a$ , and their 1-forms  $u_i$ ,  $v_i$  and  $w_a$  defined by

$$(2.10) \quad U_i = -JN_i, \quad V_i = -J\xi_i, \quad W_a = -JE_a,$$

$$(2.11) \quad u_i(X) = g(X, V_i), \quad v_i(X) = g(X, U_i), \quad w_a(X) = \epsilon_a g(X, W_a).$$

Denote by  $S$  the projection morphism of  $TM$  on  $H$  and by  $F$  the tensor field of type  $(1, 1)$  globally defined on  $M$  by  $F = J \circ S$ . Then  $JX$  is expressed as

$$(2.12) \quad JX = FX + \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(X) N_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(X) E_a.$$

### 3. Structure equations

Let  $\bar{M}$  be an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ . We shall assume that  $\zeta$  is tangent to  $M$ . Călin [2] proved that if  $\zeta$  is tangent to  $M$ , then it belongs to  $S(TM)$  which we assumed in this paper. Using (1.2), (1.3), (2.3) and (2.11), we see that

$$(3.1) \quad (\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^\ell(X, Y) \eta_i(Z) + h_i^\ell(X, Z) \eta_i(Y)\},$$

$$(3.2) \quad T(X, Y) = \ell\{\theta(Y)X - \theta(X)Y\} + m\{\theta(Y)FX - \theta(X)FY\},$$

$$(3.3) \quad h_i^\ell(X, Y) - h_i^\ell(Y, X) = m\{\theta(Y)u_i(X) - \theta(X)u_i(Y)\},$$

$$(3.4) \quad h_a^s(X, Y) - h_a^s(Y, X) = m\{\theta(Y)w_a(X) - \theta(X)w_a(Y)\},$$

where  $\eta_i$ 's are 1-forms such that  $\eta_i(X) = \bar{g}(X, N_i)$ . From the facts that  $h_i^\ell(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \xi_i)$  and  $\epsilon_a h_a^s(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, E_a)$ , we know that  $h_i^\ell$  and  $h_a^s$  are independent of the choice of  $S(TM)$ . The above local second fundamental forms are related to their shape operators by

$$(3.5) \quad h_i^\ell(X, Y) = g(A_{\xi_i}^* X, Y) - \sum_{k=1}^r h_k^\ell(X, \xi_i) \eta_k(Y),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \epsilon_a h_a^s(X, Y) = g(A_{E_a} X, Y) - \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_{ak}(X) \eta_k(Y),$$

$$(3.7) \quad h_i^*(X, PY) = g(A_{N_i} X, PY).$$

Applying  $\bar{\nabla}_X$  to  $\bar{g}(E_a, E_b) = \epsilon \delta_{ab}$ ,  $g(\xi_i, \xi_j) = 0$ ,  $\bar{g}(\xi_i, E_a) = 0$ ,  $\bar{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0$  and  $\bar{g}(N_i, E_a) = 0$  by turns, we obtain  $\epsilon_b \mu_{ab} + \epsilon_a \mu_{ba} = 0$  and

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} h_i^\ell(X, \xi_j) + h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) &= 0, & h_a^s(X, \xi_i) &= -\epsilon_a \lambda_{ai}(X), \\ \eta_j(A_{N_i} X) + \eta_i(A_{N_j} X) &= 0, & \bar{g}(A_{E_a} X, N_i) &= \epsilon_a \rho_{ia}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using (3.3) and (3.8)<sub>1</sub> we see that

$$(3.9) \quad h_i^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0, \quad h_i^\ell(\xi_j, \xi_k) = 0, \quad A_{\xi_i}^* \xi_i = 0.$$

Replacing  $Y$  by  $\zeta$  to (2.4) and using (2.3) and (2.12), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \nabla_X \zeta = -\alpha F X + (\beta + \ell)\{X - \theta(X)\zeta\},$$

$$(3.11) \quad h_i^\ell(X, \zeta) = -\alpha u_i(X), \quad h_a^s(X, \zeta) = -\alpha w_a(X).$$

Applying  $\bar{\nabla}_X$  to  $\bar{g}(\zeta, N_i) = 0$  and using (2.3), (2.5) and (3.7), we have

$$(3.12) \quad h_i^*(X, \zeta) = -\alpha v_i(X) + (\beta + \ell)\eta_i(X).$$

Applying  $\bar{\nabla}_X$  to (2.10)<sub>1, 2, 3</sub> and (2.12) by turns and using (2.2), (2.4)  $\sim$  (2.8), (2.10)  $\sim$  (2.12) and (3.5)  $\sim$  (3.7), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} h_j^\ell(X, U_i) &= h_i^*(X, V_j), & \epsilon_a h_i^*(X, W_a) &= h_a^s(X, U_i), \\ h_j^\ell(X, V_i) &= h_i^\ell(X, V_j), & \epsilon_a h_i^\ell(X, W_a) &= h_a^s(X, V_i), \\ \epsilon_b h_b^s(X, W_a) &= \epsilon_a h_a^s(X, W_b), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_X U_i &= F(A_{N_i} X) + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X) U_j + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ia}(X) W_a \\ &\quad - \{\alpha \eta_i(X) + (\beta + \ell)v_i(X)\}\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.15) \quad \nabla_X V_i = F(A_{\xi_i}^* X) - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ji}(X) V_j + \sum_{j=1}^r h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) U_j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a \lambda_{ai}(X) W_a - (\beta + \ell) u_i(X) \zeta, \\
(3.16) \quad \nabla_X W_a &= F(A_{E_a} X) + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{ai}(X) U_i + \sum_{b=r+1}^n \mu_{ab}(X) W_b \\
& - \epsilon_a (\beta + \ell) w_a(X) \zeta,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad (\nabla_X F)(Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y) A_{N_i} X + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y) A_{E_a} X \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, Y) U_i - \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, Y) W_a \\
& + \alpha \{g(X, Y) \zeta - \theta(Y) X\} \\
& + (\beta + \ell) \{\bar{g}(JX, Y) \zeta - \theta(Y) FX\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad (\nabla_X u_i)(Y) &= - \sum_{j=1}^r u_j(Y) \tau_{ji}(X) - \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y) \lambda_{ai}(X) \\
& - h_i^\ell(X, FY) - (\beta + \ell) \theta(Y) u_i(X),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.19) \quad (\nabla_X v_i)(Y) &= \sum_{j=1}^r v_j(Y) \tau_{ij}(X) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a w_a(Y) \rho_{ia}(X) \\
& + \sum_{j=r+1}^r u_j(Y) \eta_i(A_{N_j} X) - g(A_{N_i} X, FY) \\
& - \theta(Y) \{\alpha \eta_i(X) + (\beta + \ell) v_i(X)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Definition.** We say that a lightlike submanifold  $M$  is

- (1) *irrotational* [13] if  $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi_i \in \Gamma(TM)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,
- (2) *solenoidal* [11] if  $A_{E_a}$  and  $A_{N_i}$  are  $S(TM)$ -valued,
- (3) *statical* [11] if  $M$  is both irrotational and solenoidal.

From (2.3) and (3.8)<sub>2</sub>, the item (1) is equivalent to

$$(3.20) \quad h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0, \quad h_a^s(X, \xi_i) = \lambda_{ai}(X) = 0.$$

By using (3.8)<sub>4</sub>, the item (2) is equivalent to

$$(3.21) \quad \eta_j(A_{N_i} X) = 0, \quad \rho_{ia}(X) = \eta_i(A_{E_a} X) = 0.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $M$  be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection subject such that  $\zeta$  is tangent to  $M$ . If  $F$  is parallel with respect to the connection  $\nabla$ , then

- (1)  $\bar{M}$  is an indefinite  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = -\ell$ ,
- (2)  $M$  is statical,
- (3)  $H$ ,  $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$  and  $J(S(TM^\perp))$  are parallel distributions on  $M$ , and

- (4)  $M$  is locally a product manifold  $M_r \times M_{n-r} \times M^\sharp$ , where  $M_r, M_{n-r}$  and  $M^\sharp$  are leaves of  $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$ ,  $J(S(TM^\perp))$  and  $H$ , respectively.

*Proof.* (1) Taking  $X = \xi_k$  and  $Y = \zeta$  to (3.17) and using (3.11), we get

$$\alpha \xi_k = (\beta + \ell) V_k.$$

Taking the scalar product with  $N_k$  and  $U_k$  to this equation by turns, we have  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = -\ell$ . Thus  $\bar{M}$  is an indefinite  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold.

- (2) Taking  $Y = \xi_j$  to (3.17) with  $\nabla_X F = 0$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, \xi_j) U_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, \xi_j) W_a = 0.$$

Taking the scalar product with  $V_i$  and  $W_a$  to this by turns, we obtain (3.20). Thus  $M$  is irrotational. Taking the scalar product with  $N_j$  to (3.17), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y) \eta_j(A_{N_i} X) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y) \eta_j(A_{E_a} X) = 0.$$

Taking  $Y = U_i$  and  $Y = W_a$  to this, we have (3.21). Thus  $M$  is solenoidal. As  $M$  is both irrotational and solenoidal,  $M$  is statical.

- (3) Taking the scalar product with  $V_i$  and  $W_a$  to (3.17) by turns, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^\ell(X, Y) &= \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(Y) u_i(A_{N_k} X) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y) u_i(A_{E_a} X), \\ h_a^s(X, Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y) w_a(A_{N_i} X) + \sum_{b=r+1}^n w_b(Y) w_a(A_{E_b} X). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $Y = V_j$  and  $Y = FZ$ ,  $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$  to the last two equations by turns and using the facts that  $u_i(FZ) = w_a(FZ) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^\ell(X, V_j) &= 0, & h_i^\ell(X, FZ) &= 0, \\ h_a^s(X, V_j) &= 0, & h_a^s(X, FZ) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using these, (2.1), (2.8), (2.12), (3.1), (3.13), (3.15) and (3.20), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X \xi_i, V_j) &= -h_i^\ell(X, V_j) = 0, & g(\nabla_X \xi_i, W_a) &= -\epsilon_a h_a^s(X, V_i) = 0, \\ g(\nabla_X V_i, V_j) &= h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0, & g(\nabla_X V_i, W_a) &= h_a^s(X, \xi_i) = 0, \\ g(\nabla_X Z_o, V_j) &= h_i^\ell(X, FZ_o) = 0, & g(\nabla_X Z_o, W_a) &= h_a^s(X, FZ_o) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z_o \in \Gamma(H_o)$ , that is,

$$\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(H), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad \forall Y \in \Gamma(H).$$

It follows that  $H$  is a parallel distribution on  $M$ .

On the other hand, taking  $Y = U_i$  and  $Y = W_a$  to (3.17) by turns, we have

$$(3.22) \quad A_{N_i} X = \sum_{k=1}^r h_k^\ell(X, U_i) U_k + \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, U_i) W_a,$$

$$A_{E_a}X = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, W_a)U_i + \sum_{b=r+1}^n h_b^s(X, W_a)W_b.$$

Applying  $F$  to these equations and using  $FU_i = FW_a = 0$ , we have

$$F(A_{N_i}X) = 0, \quad F(A_{E_a}X) = 0.$$

Using these results, (3.20)<sub>2</sub> and (3.21)<sub>2</sub>, Eqs. (3.14) and (3.16) reduce

$$(3.23) \quad \nabla_X U_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X)U_j, \quad \nabla_X W_a = \sum_{b=r+1}^n \mu_{ab}(X)W_b.$$

Thus  $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$  and  $J(S(TM^\perp))$  are also parallel distributions on  $M$ , i.e.,

$$\nabla_X U_i \in \Gamma(J(\text{ltr}(TM))), \quad \nabla_X W_a \in \Gamma(J(S(TM^\perp))), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

(4) As  $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$ ,  $J(S(TM^\perp))$  and  $H$  are parallel distributions and satisfy (2.9), by the decomposition theorem of de Rham [3],  $M$  is locally a product manifold  $M_r \times M_{n-r} \times M^\sharp$ , where  $M_r$ ,  $M_{n-r}$  and  $M^\sharp$  are leaves of  $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$ ,  $J(S(TM^\perp))$  and  $H$ , respectively.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection such that  $\zeta$  is tangent to  $M$ . If  $U_i$ s are parallel with respect to  $\nabla$ , then  $\tau = 0$ ,  $M$  is solenoidal and  $\bar{M}$  is an indefinite  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold, i.e.,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = -\ell$ .*

*Proof.* Taking the scalar product with  $\zeta$ ,  $V_j$ ,  $U_j$ ,  $W_a$  and  $N_j$  to (3.14) with  $\nabla_X U_i = 0$  by turns and using the fact that  $g(FX, \zeta) = 0$ , we obtain

$$(3.24) \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -\ell; \quad \tau_{ij} = 0, \quad \eta_j(A_{N_i}X) = 0, \quad \rho_{ia} = 0, \quad h_i^*(X, U_j) = 0,$$

respectively. As  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = -\ell$ ,  $\bar{M}$  is an indefinite  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold. As  $\eta_j(A_{N_i}X) = 0$  and  $\rho_{ia}(X) = \eta_i(A_{E_a}X) = 0$ ,  $M$  is solenoidal.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a generic lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection such that  $\zeta$  is tangent to  $M$ . If  $V_i$ s are parallel with respect to the connection  $\nabla$ , then  $\tau_{ij} = 0$ ,  $\alpha = -m$ ,  $\beta = -\ell$  and  $M$  is irrotational.*

*Proof.* Taking the scalar product with  $\zeta$ ,  $U_j$ ,  $V_j$ ,  $W_a$  and  $N_j$  to (3.15) with  $\nabla_X V_i = 0$  by turns and using the fact that  $g(FX, \zeta) = 0$ , we obtain

$$(3.25) \quad \beta = -\ell, \quad \tau_{ij} = 0, \quad h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0, \quad \lambda_{ai} = 0, \quad h_i^\ell(X, U_j) = 0.$$

As  $h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0$  and  $\lambda_{ai}(X) = h_a^s(X, \xi_i) = 0$ ,  $M$  is irrotational. On the other hand, replacing  $Y$  by  $U_i$  to (3.3) and using (3.25)<sub>5</sub>, we have

$$h_i^\ell(U_i, X) = m\theta(X).$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $\zeta$  to this equation and using (3.11)<sub>1</sub>, we have  $\alpha = -m$ .  $\square$



#### 4. Indefinite generalized Sasakian space forms

**Definition.** An indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  is said to be a *indefinite generalized Sasakian space form* [1] and denote it by  $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  if there exist three smooth functions  $f_1, f_2$  and  $f_3$  on  $\bar{M}$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = & f_1\{\bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y}\} \\ & + f_2\{\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{Y} - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{X} + 2\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y})J\bar{Z}\} \\ & + f_3\{\theta(\bar{X})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{Y} - \theta(\bar{Y})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{X} \\ & + \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{Y})\zeta - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{X})\zeta\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{R}$  is the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  of  $\bar{M}$ .

Denote by  $\bar{R}$  the curvature tensors of the  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  on  $\bar{M}$ , By directed calculations from (1.1), (1.3) and (2.2), we see that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = & \tilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} \\ & + (X\ell)\{\theta(Z)Y - g(Y, Z)\zeta\} - (Xm)\theta(Y)JZ \\ & - (Y\ell)\{\theta(Z)X - g(X, Z)\zeta\} + (Ym)\theta(X)JZ \\ & + \ell\{(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(Z)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(Z)X \\ & + \alpha[g(Y, Z)JX - g(X, Z)JY] \\ & - \beta[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ & + (\beta + \ell)[g(Y, Z)\theta(X) - g(X, Z)\theta(Y)]\zeta \\ & + m[\theta(Y)JX - \theta(X)JY]\theta(Z)\} \\ & - m\{[(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(Y) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(X)]JZ \\ & + \alpha[\theta(Y)g(X, Z) - \theta(X)g(Y, Z)]\zeta \\ & - \alpha[\theta(Y)X - \theta(X)Y]\theta(Z) \\ & + (\beta + \ell)[\theta(Y)g(JX, Z) - \theta(X)g(JY, Z)]\zeta \\ & - \beta[\theta(Y)JX - \theta(X)JY]\theta(Z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $R$  and  $R^*$  the curvature tensors of  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  respectively. Then we obtain Gauss equations for  $M$  and  $S(TM)$ , respectively:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z = & R(X, Y)Z \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^\ell(X, Z)A_{N_i}Y - h_i^\ell(Y, Z)A_{N_i}X\} \\ & + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{h_a^s(X, Z)A_{E_a}Y - h_a^s(Y, Z)A_{E_a}X\} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^r \{(\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_i^\ell)(X, Z)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^r [\tau_{ji}(X)h_j^\ell(Y, Z) - \tau_{ji}(Y)h_j^\ell(X, Z)] \\
& + \sum_{a=r+1}^n [\lambda_{ai}(X)h_a^s(Y, Z) - \lambda_{ai}(Y)h_a^s(X, Z)] \\
& - \ell[\theta(X)h_i^\ell(Y, Z) - \theta(Y)h_i^\ell(X, Z)] \\
& - m[\theta(X)h_i^\ell(FY, Z) - \theta(Y)h_i^\ell(FX, Z)]\}N_i \\
& + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{(\nabla_X h_a^s)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_a^s)(X, Z) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^r [\rho_{ia}(X)h_i^\ell(Y, Z) - \rho_{ia}(Y)h_i^\ell(X, Z)] \\
& + \sum_{b=r+1}^n [\mu_{ba}(X)h_b^s(Y, Z) - \mu_{ba}(Y)h_b^s(X, Z)] \\
& - \ell[\theta(X)h_a^s(Y, Z) - \theta(Y)h_a^s(X, Z)] \\
& - m[\theta(X)h_a^s(FY, Z) - \theta(Y)h_a^s(FX, Z)]\}E_a,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad R(X, Y)PZ &= R^*(X, Y)PZ \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^*(X, PZ)A_{\xi_i}^*Y - h_i^*(Y, PZ)A_{\xi_i}^*X\} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^r \{(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y h_i^*)(X, PZ) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^r [\tau_{ik}(Y)h_k^*(X, PZ) - \tau_{ik}(X)h_k^*(Y, PZ)] \\
& - \ell[\theta(X)h_i^*(Y, PZ) - \theta(Y)h_i^*(FX, PZ)] \\
& - m[\theta(X)h_i^*(FY, PZ) - \theta(Y)h_i^*(FX, PZ)]\}\xi_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying  $\bar{\nabla}_X$  to  $\theta(\xi_i) = 0$ ,  $\theta(V_i) = 0$ ,  $\theta(U_i) = 0$ ,  $\theta(W_a) = 0$  and  $\theta(\zeta) = 1$  by turns and using (2.4), (2.8), (3.5), (3.11)<sub>1</sub>, (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and the facts that  $g(FX, \zeta) = 0$ ,  $\bar{g}(\zeta, \zeta) = 1$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  is metric, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(\xi_i) &= -\alpha u_i(X), \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(V_i) = (\beta + \ell)u_i(X), \\
(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(U_i) &= \alpha \eta_i(X) + (\beta + \ell)v_i(X), \\
(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(W_a) &= \epsilon_a(\beta + \ell)w_a(X), \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(\zeta) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with  $\xi_i$ ,  $E_a$  and  $N_i$  to (4.2) by turns and using (4.1), (4.3), (4.4) and the facts that  $\zeta \in \Gamma(S(TM))$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  is metric, we get

$$(4.6) \quad (\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_i^\ell)(X, Z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{j=1}^r \{ \tau_{ji}(X) h_j^\ell(Y, Z) - \tau_{ji}(Y) h_j^\ell(X, Z) \} \\
 & + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{ \lambda_{ai}(X) h_a^s(Y, Z) - \lambda_{ai}(Y) h_a^s(X, Z) \} \\
 & - \ell \{ \theta(X) h_i^\ell(Y, Z) - \theta(Y) h_i^\ell(X, Z) \} \\
 & - m \{ \theta(X) h_i^\ell(FY, Z) - \theta(Y) h_i^\ell(FX, Z) \} \\
 & + \{ (Xm)\theta(Y) + m(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Y) \\
 & \quad - (Ym)\theta(X) - m(\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(X) \} u_i(Z) \\
 & - \ell \alpha \{ g(Y, Z) u_i(X) - g(X, Z) u_i(Y) \} \\
 & - m(\beta + \ell) \{ \theta(Y) u_i(X) - \theta(X) u_i(Y) \} \theta(Z) \\
 & = f_2 \{ u_i(Y) \bar{g}(X, JZ) - u_i(X) \bar{g}(Y, JZ) + 2u_i(Z) \bar{g}(X, JY) \},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad & (\nabla_X h_a^s)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_a^s)(X, Z) \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^r \{ \rho_{ia}(X) h_i^\ell(Y, Z) - \rho_{ia}(Y) h_i^\ell(X, Z) \} \\
 & + \sum_{b=r+1}^n \{ \mu_{ba}(X) h_a^s(Y, Z) - \mu_{ba}(Y) h_a^s(X, Z) \} \\
 & - \ell \{ \theta(X) h_a^s(Y, Z) - \theta(Y) h_a^s(X, Z) \} \\
 & - m \{ \theta(X) h_a^s(FY, Z) - \theta(Y) h_a^s(FX, Z) \} \\
 & + \{ (Xm)\theta(Y) + m(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Y) \\
 & \quad - (Ym)\theta(X) - m(\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(X) \} w_a(Z) \\
 & - \ell \alpha \{ g(Y, Z) w_a(X) - g(X, Z) w_a(Y) \} \\
 & - m(\beta + \ell) \{ \theta(Y) w_a(X) - \theta(X) w_a(Y) \} \theta(Z) \\
 & = f_2 \{ w_a(Y) \bar{g}(X, JZ) - w_a(X) \bar{g}(Y, JZ) + 2w_a(Z) \bar{g}(X, JY) \},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad & (\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y h_i^*)(X, PZ) \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^r \{ \tau_{ij}(X) h_j^*(Y, PZ) - \tau_{ij}(Y) h_j^*(X, PZ) \} \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^r \{ h_j^\ell(X, PZ) \eta_i(A_{N_j} Y) - h_j^\ell(Y, PZ) \eta_i(A_{N_j} X) \} \\
 & - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a \{ \rho_{ia}(X) h_a^s(Y, PZ) - \rho_{ia}(Y) h_a^s(X, PZ) \} \\
 & - \ell \{ \theta(X) h_i^*(Y, PZ) - \theta(Y) h_i^*(X, PZ) \} \\
 & - m \{ \theta(X) h_i^*(FY, PZ) - \theta(Y) h_i^*(FX, PZ) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \{ (X\ell)\theta(PZ) + \ell(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(PZ) \} \eta_i(Y) \\
& \quad + \{ (Y\ell)\theta(PZ) + \ell(\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(PZ) \} \eta_i(X) \\
& + \{ (Xm)\theta(Y) + m(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(Y) \\
& \quad - (Ym)\theta(X) - \ell(\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(X) \} v_i(PZ) \\
& - \ell\alpha \{ g(Y, PZ)v_i(X) - g(X, PZ)v_i(Y) \} \\
& + \ell\beta \{ g(Y, PZ)\eta_i(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta_i(Y) \} \\
& - m\alpha \{ \theta(Y)\eta_i(X) - \theta(X)\eta_i(Y) \} \theta(PZ) \\
& - m(\beta + \ell) \{ \theta(Y)v_i(X) - \theta(X)v_i(Y) \} \theta(PZ) \\
= & f_1 \{ g(Y, PZ)\eta_i(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta_i(Y) \} \\
& + f_2 \{ v_i(Y)\bar{g}(X, JPZ) - v_i(X)\bar{g}(Y, JPZ) + 2v_i(PZ)\bar{g}(X, JY) \} \\
& + f_3 \{ \theta(X)\eta_i(Y) - \theta(Y)\eta_i(X) \} \theta(PZ).
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form  $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection such that  $\zeta$  is tangent to  $M$ . Then the functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  satisfy*

- (1)  $\alpha$  is a constant on  $M$ ,
- (2)  $\alpha\beta = 0$ , and
- (3)  $f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2$  and  $f_1 - f_3 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \zeta\beta$ .

*Proof.* Applying  $\nabla_X$  to  $(3.13)_1$ :  $h_j^\ell(Y, U_i) = h_i^*(Y, V_j)$  and using (2.1), (2.12), (3.5), (3.7), (3.11)<sub>1</sub>, (3.12), (3.13)<sub>1,2,3</sub>, (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X h_j^\ell)(Y, U_i) &= (\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, V_j) \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^r \{ \tau_{kj}(X) h_k^\ell(Y, U_i) + \tau_{ik}(X) h_k^*(Y, V_j) \} \\
&- \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{ \lambda_{aj}(X) h_a^s(Y, U_i) + \epsilon_a \rho_{ia}(X) h_a^s(Y, V_j) \} \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^r \{ h_i^*(Y, U_k) h_k^\ell(X, \xi_j) + h_i^*(X, U_k) h_k^\ell(Y, \xi_j) \} \\
&- g(A_{\xi_j}^* X, F(A_{N_i} Y)) - g(A_{\xi_j}^* Y, F(A_{N_i} X)) \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^r h_j^\ell(X, V_k) \eta_k(A_{N_i} Y) \\
&- \alpha(\beta + \ell) \{ u_j(Y) v_i(X) - u_j(X) v_i(Y) \} \\
&- \alpha^2 u_j(Y) \eta_i(X) - (\beta + \ell)^2 u_j(X) \eta_i(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this equation and  $(3.13)_1$  into (4.6) [which is changed  $i$  by  $j$ ] such that  $Z = U_i$  and using  $(3.8)_3$ ,  $(3.13)_3$  and  $(4.5)_3$ , we have

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, V_j) - (\nabla_Y h_i^*)(X, V_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{k=1}^r \{ \tau_{ik}(X) h_k^*(Y, V_j) - \tau_{ik}(Y) h_k^*(X, V_j) \} \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^r \{ h_k^\ell(X, V_j) \eta_i(A_{N_k} Y) - h_k^\ell(Y, V_j) \eta_i(A_{N_k} X) \} \\
 & - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a \{ \rho_{ia}(X) h_a^s(Y, V_j) - \rho_{ia}(Y) h_a^s(X, V_j) \} \\
 & - \ell \{ \theta(X) h_i^*(Y, V_j) - \theta(Y) h_i^*(X, V_j) \} \\
 & - m \{ \theta(X) h_i^*(FY, V_j) - \theta(Y) h_i^*(FX, V_j) \} \\
 & + \{ (Xm)\theta(Y) + m(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Y) \\
 & \quad - (Ym)\theta(X) - m(\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(X) \} \delta_{ij} \\
 & - \alpha(2\beta + \ell) \{ u_j(Y) v_i(X) - u_j(X) v_i(Y) \} \\
 & - \{ \alpha^2 - (\beta + \ell)^2 \} \{ u_j(Y) \eta_i(X) - u_j(X) \eta_i(Y) \} \\
 & = f_2 \{ u_j(Y) \eta_i(X) - u_j(X) \eta_i(Y) + 2\delta_{ij} \bar{g}(X, JY) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (4.8) such that  $PZ = V_j$  and using (4.5)<sub>2</sub>, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{ f_1 - f_2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2 \} \{ u_j(Y) \eta_i(X) - u_j(X) \eta_i(Y) \} \\
 & = 2\alpha\beta \{ u_j(Y) v_i(X) - u_j(X) v_i(Y) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $Y = U_j$ ,  $X = \xi_i$  and  $Y = U_j$ ,  $X = V_i$  to this by turns, we have

$$f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2, \quad \alpha\beta = 0.$$

Applying  $\bar{\nabla}_X$  to  $\eta_i(Y) = \bar{g}(Y, N_i)$  and using (2.5), we obtain

$$(4.9) \quad (\nabla_X \eta_i)(Y) = -g(A_{N_i} X, Y) + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X) \eta_j(Y).$$

Applying  $\nabla_Y$  to (3.12) and using (3.7), (3.10), (3.12), (3.19) and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, \zeta) & = -(X\alpha) v_i(Y) + X(\beta + \ell) \eta_i(Y) \\
 & + \alpha \{ g(A_{N_i} X, FY) + g(A_{N_i} Y, FX) - \sum_{j=1}^r v_j(Y) \tau_{ij}(X) \\
 & - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a w_a(Y) \rho_{ia}(X) - \sum_{j=1}^r u_j(Y) \eta_i(A_{N_j} X) \} \\
 & - (\beta + \ell) \{ g(A_{N_i} X, Y) + g(A_{N_i} Y, X) - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X) \eta_j(Y) \} \\
 & + \alpha^2 \theta(Y) \eta_i(X) + (\beta + \ell)^2 \theta(X) \eta_i(Y) \\
 & + \alpha \ell \{ \theta(Y) v_i(X) - \theta(X) v_i(Y) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this and  $(3.12)_2$  into (4.8) with  $PZ = \zeta$  and using  $(4.5)_5$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X\beta + (f_1 - f_3 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2)\theta(X)\}\eta_i(Y) \\ & - \{Y\beta + (f_1 - f_3 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2)\theta(Y)\}\eta_i(X) \\ & = (X\alpha)v_i(Y) - (Y\alpha)v_i(X). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $X = \zeta$ ,  $Y = \xi_i$  and  $X = U_j$ ,  $Y = V_i$  to this by turns, we have

$$f_1 - f_3 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \zeta\beta, \quad U_j\alpha = 0.$$

Applying  $\nabla_Y$  to  $(3.11)_1$  and using  $(3.10)$ ,  $(3.11)_1$  and  $(3.18)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, \zeta) &= -(X\alpha)u_i(Y) - (\beta + \ell)h_i^\ell(Y, X) \\ &+ \alpha\left\{\sum_{j=1}^r u_j(Y)\tau_{ji}(X) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a w_a(Y)\lambda_{ai}(X)\right. \\ &+ h_i^\ell(X, FY) + h_i^\ell(Y, FX) \\ &\left.+ \ell[\theta(Y)u_i(X) - \theta(X)u_i(Y)]\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (4.6) with  $Z = \zeta$  and using (3.3) and (3.11), we have

$$(X\alpha)u_i(Y) = (Y\alpha)u_i(X).$$

Taking  $Y = U_i$  to this result and using the fact that  $U_i\alpha = 0$ , we have  $X\alpha = 0$ . Therefore  $\alpha$  is a constant. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Definition.** (1) A screen distribution  $S(TM)$  is said to be *totally umbilical* [5] in  $M$  if there exist smooth functions  $\gamma_i$  on a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$h_i^*(X, PY) = \gamma_i g(X, PY).$$

In case  $\gamma_i = 0$ , we say that  $S(TM)$  is *totally geodesic* in  $M$ .

(2) A lightlike submanifold  $M$  is said to be *screen conformal* [7] if there exist non-vanishing smooth functions  $\varphi_i$  on a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$(4.10) \quad h_i^*(X, PY) = \varphi_i h_i^\ell(X, PY).$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $M$  be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form  $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection such that  $\zeta$  is tangent to  $M$ . If one of the following three conditions satisfies;

- (1)  $F$  is parallel with respect to the connection  $\nabla$ ,
- (2)  $U_i$ s are parallel with respect to the connection  $\nabla$ ,
- (3)  $S(TM)$  is totally umbilical, or
- (4)  $M$  is screen conformal,

then  $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  is an indefinite  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold such that

$$\alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -\ell, \quad f_1 = -\beta^2, \quad f_2 = 0, \quad f_3 = \zeta\beta.$$

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $F$  is parallel with respect to  $\nabla$ . As  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = -\ell$ ,  $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  is an indefinite  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold and  $f_1 - f_2 = -\beta^2$ . Taking the scalar product with  $U_j$  to (3.22)<sub>1</sub> and using (3.23)<sub>1</sub>, we get

$$h_i^*(Y, U_j) = 0.$$

Applying  $\nabla_X$  to this equation and using (3.20), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, U_j) = 0.$$

Substituting these equations into (4.6) with  $PZ = U$  and using (3.21), we have

$$f_2\{[v_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - v_j(X)\eta_i(Y)] + [v_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - v_i(X)\eta_j(Y)]\} = 0,$$

due to  $f_1 = -\beta^2$ . Taking  $X = V_j$  and  $Y = \xi_i$  to this equation, we obtain  $f_2 = 0$ . Therefore,  $f_1 = -\beta^2$ ,  $f_2 = 0$  and  $f_3 = \zeta\beta$  by Theorem 4.1.

(2) If  $U_i$ s are parallel with respect to  $\nabla$ , then we have (3.24):

$$\alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -\ell; \quad \tau_{ij} = 0, \quad \eta_j(A_{N_i}X) = 0, \quad \rho_{ia} = 0, \quad h_i^*(X, U_j) = 0.$$

As  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = -\ell$ , we get  $f_1 + \beta^2 = f_2$  and  $f_1 - f_3 = -\beta^2 - \zeta\beta$  by Theorem 4.1. Applying  $\nabla_Y$  to (3.24)<sub>6</sub> and using the fact that  $\nabla_Y U_j = 0$ , we obtain

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, U_j) = 0.$$

Substituting this into (4.8) with  $PZ = U_j$  and using (3.24), we have

$$f_2\{[v_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - v_j(X)\eta_i(Y)] + [v_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - v_i(X)\eta_j(Y)]\} = 0,$$

due to the facts:  $f_1 + \beta^2 = f_2$  and  $(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(U_i) = 0$  by (4.5)<sub>3</sub>. Taking  $X = \xi_i$  and  $Y = V_j$  to the last equation, we get  $f_2 = 0$ . Thus  $f_1 = -\beta^2$  and  $f_3 = \zeta\beta$ .

(3) If  $S(TM)$  is totally umbilical, then (3.12) is reduced to

$$\gamma_i \theta(X) = -\alpha v_i(X) + (\beta + \ell)\eta_i(X).$$

Taking  $X = \zeta$ ,  $X = V_i$  and  $X = \xi_i$  to this equation by turns, we have

$$(4.11) \quad \gamma_i = 0, \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -\ell.$$

As  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = -\ell \neq 0$ ,  $\bar{M}$  is an indefinite  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold and  $f_1 + \beta^2 = f_2$  by Theorem 4.1. As  $\gamma_i = 0$ ,  $S(TM)$  is totally geodesic.

As  $h_i^* = 0$ , from (3.13)<sub>1,2</sub>, we get

$$(4.12) \quad h_j^\ell(X, U_i) = 0, \quad h_a^s(X, U_i) = 0.$$

Taking  $PZ = U_j$  to (4.8) and using (4.5)<sub>3</sub>, (4.11) and (4.12), we have

$$f_2\{[v_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - v_j(X)\eta_i(Y)] + [v_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - v_i(X)\eta_j(Y)]\} = 0,$$

due to  $f_1 + \beta^2 = f_2$ . Taking  $X = \xi_i$  and  $Y = U_j$ , we obtain  $f_2 = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -\ell \neq 0, \quad f_1 = -\beta^2, \quad f_2 = 0, \quad f_3 = \zeta\beta.$$

(4) If  $M$  is screen conformal, then, from (3.11)<sub>1</sub>, (3.12) and (4.10), we have

$$\alpha v_i(X) - (\beta + \ell)\eta_i(X) = \alpha \varphi_i u_i(X).$$

Taking  $X = V_i$  and  $X = \xi_i$  to this by turns, we see that

$$(4.13) \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -\ell.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{U}_i^*$  the  $r$ -th vector fields on  $S(TM)$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_i^* = U_i - \varphi_i V_i$ . Using (3.13)<sub>1,3</sub>, (3.13)<sub>2,4</sub> and (4.10), we see that

$$(4.14) \quad h_j^\ell(X, \mathcal{U}_i^*) = 0, \quad h_a^s(X, \mathcal{U}_i^*) = 0, \quad J\mathcal{U}_i^* = N_i - \varphi_i \xi_i.$$

Applying  $\nabla_X$  to  $\mathcal{U}_i^* = U_i - \varphi_i V_i$  and using (3.14) and (3.15), and then, taking the scalar product with  $\zeta$  to the resulting equation, we obtain  $g(\nabla_X \mathcal{U}_i^*, \zeta) = 0$ . Applying  $\bar{\nabla}_X$  to  $\theta(\mathcal{U}_i^*) = 0$  and using (2.4) and the last equation, we get

$$(4.15) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(\mathcal{U}_i^*) = 0.$$

Applying  $\nabla_Y$  to (4.10), we have

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, PZ) = (X\varphi_i)h_i^\ell(Y, PZ) + \varphi_i(\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, PZ).$$

Substituting this equation and (4.10) into (4.8) and using (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^r \{ (X\varphi_i)\delta_{ij} - \varphi_i\tau_{ji}(X) - \varphi_j\tau_{ij}(X) - \eta_i(A_{N_j}X) \} h_j^\ell(Y, PZ) \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^r \{ (Y\varphi_i)\delta_{ij} - \varphi_i\tau_{ji}(Y) - \varphi_j\tau_{ij}(Y) - \eta_i(A_{N_j}Y) \} h_j^\ell(X, PZ) \\ & - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{ \epsilon_a \rho_{ia}(X) + \varphi_i \lambda_{ai}(X) \} h_a^s(Y, PZ) \\ & + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{ \epsilon_a \rho_{ia}(Y) + \varphi_i \lambda_{ai}(Y) \} h_a^s(X, PZ) \\ & - \{ (X\ell)\theta(PZ) + \ell(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(PZ) + \ell\beta g(X, PZ) - m\alpha\theta(X)\theta(PZ) \} \eta_i(Y) \\ & + \{ (Y\ell)\theta(PZ) + \ell(\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(PZ) + \ell\beta g(Y, PZ) - m\alpha\theta(Y)\theta(PZ) \} \eta_i(X) \\ & + \{ (Xm)\theta(Y) + m(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Y) \\ & \quad - (Ym)\theta(X) - \ell(\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(X) \} g(PZ, \mathcal{U}_i^*) \\ & - \ell\alpha \{ g(Y, PZ)g(X, \mathcal{U}_i^*) - g(X, PZ)g(Y, \mathcal{U}_i^*) \} \\ & - m(\beta + \ell) \{ \theta(Y)g(X, \mathcal{U}_i^*) - \theta(X)g(Y, \mathcal{U}_i^*) \} \theta(PZ) \\ = & f_1 \{ g(Y, PZ)\eta_i(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta_i(Y) \} \\ & + f_2 \{ g(\mathcal{U}_i^*, Y)\bar{g}(X, JPZ) - g(\mathcal{U}_i^*, X)\bar{g}(Y, JPZ) + 2g(\mathcal{U}_i^*, PZ)\bar{g}(X, JY) \} \\ & + f_3 \{ \theta(X)\eta_i(Y) - \theta(Y)\eta_i(X) \} \theta(PZ). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $X = \xi_i$ ,  $Y = V_j$  and  $PZ = \mathcal{U}_j^*$  to this equation and using (4.5)<sub>1,2</sub> and (4.13)  $\sim$  (4.15), we have  $f_1 + f_2 = -\beta^2$ . As  $f_1 - f_2 = -\beta^2$  by Theorem 4.1, we have  $f_2 = 0$  and  $f_1 = -\beta^2$ . Consequently, we obtain  $f_3 = \zeta\beta$ .  $\square$



**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form  $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection such that  $\zeta$  is tangent to  $M$ . If  $V_i$ s are parallel with respect to  $\nabla$ , then  $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$  is an indefinite space form such that*

$$\alpha = -m, \quad \beta = -\ell, \quad f_1 = -\beta^2, \quad f_2 = -\alpha^2, \quad f_3 = -\alpha^2 + \zeta\beta.$$

*Proof.* If  $V_i$ s are parallel with respect to  $\nabla$ , then we have (3.25):

$$\beta = -\ell, \quad \tau_{ij} = 0, \quad h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0, \quad \lambda_{ai} = 0, \quad h_i^\ell(X, U_j) = 0.$$

Taking  $Y = \xi_j$  and  $Y = U_j$  to (3.3) by turns and using (3.25)<sub>3,5</sub>, we have

$$h_i^\ell(\xi_j, X) = 0, \quad h_i^\ell(U_j, X) = m\theta(X)\delta_{ij}.$$

Using these two equations and (3.13)<sub>4</sub>, we see that

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} h_k^\ell(\xi_i, V_j) &= 0, & h_a^s(\xi_i, V_j) &= \epsilon_a h_j^\ell(\xi_i, W_a) = 0, \\ h_k^\ell(U_j, V_j) &= 0, & h_a^s(U_j, V_j) &= \epsilon_a h_j^\ell(U_j, W_a) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.13)<sub>1</sub> and (3.25)<sub>5</sub>, we have

$$h_i^*(Y, V_j) = 0.$$

Applying  $\nabla_X$  to this equation and using the fact that  $\nabla_X V_j = 0$ , we have

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, V_j) = 0.$$

Substituting the last two equations into (4.8) such that  $PZ = V_j$  and using (3.25), (4.5)<sub>2</sub>:  $(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(V_j) = 0$  and the fact that  $\alpha\ell = -\alpha\beta = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^r \{h_k^\ell(X, V_j)\eta_i(A_{N_k} Y) - h_k^\ell(Y, V_j)\eta_i(A_{N_k} X)\} \\ & + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a \{\rho_{ia}(Y)h_a^s(X, V_j) - \rho_{ia}(X)h_a^s(Y, V_j)\} \\ & + \{(Xm)\theta(Y) + m(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Y) - (Ym)\theta(X) - m(\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(X)\}\delta_{ij} \\ & - \beta^2\{u_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - u_j(X)\eta_i(Y)\} \\ & = f_1\{u_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - u_j(X)\eta_i(Y)\} + 2f_2\delta_{ij}\bar{g}(X, JY). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $X = \xi_i$  and  $Y = U_j$  to this equation and using (4.5)<sub>1,3</sub>, (4.16) and the fact that  $\alpha = -m$ , we obtain  $f_1 + 2f_2 = -2\alpha^2 - \beta^2$ . As  $f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2$ , we get  $f_2 = -\alpha^2$ . Thus  $f_1 = -\beta^2$  and  $f_3 = -\alpha^2 + \zeta\beta$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] P. Alegre, D. E. Blair, and A. Carriazo, *Generalized Sasakian-space-forms*, Israel J. Math. **141** (2004), 157–183.
- [2] C. Călin, *Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold*, Thesis, University of Iasi, Romania, 1998.
- [3] G. de Rham, *Sur la reductibilité d'un espace de Riemann*, Comment. Math. Helv. **26** (1952), 328–344.

- [4] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications*, Mathematics and its Applications, **364**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [5] K. L. Duggal and D. J. Jin, *Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Sasakian manifold*, Int. Electron. J. Geom. **5** (2012), no. 1, 108–119.
- [6] H. A. Hayden, *Sub-spaces of a space with torsion*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **34** (1932), no. 1, 27–50.
- [7] D. H. Jin, *Indefinite generalized Sasakian space form admitting a generic lightlike submanifold*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **51** (2014), no. 6, 1711–1726.
- [8] ———, *Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold of a quasi-constant curvature*, Appl. Math. Sci. **9** (2015), no. 60, 2985–2997.
- [9] ———, *Lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with an  $(\ell, m)$ -type metric connection*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **103** (2018), no. 8, 1323–1343.
- [10] D. H. Jin and J. W. Lee, *Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold*, Math. Probl. Eng. **2011** (2011), Art. ID 610986, 16 pp.
- [11] ———, *Half lightlike submanifolds of a semi-Riemannian manifold of quasi-constant curvature*, Filomat **30** (2016), no. 7, 1737–1745.
- [12] ———, *Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kaehler manifold*, Inter. J. Pure Appl. Math. **101** (2015), no. 4, 543–560.
- [13] D. N. Kupeli, *Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Mathematics and its Applications, **366**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [14] J. A. Oubina, *New classes of almost contact metric structures*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **32** (1985), no. 3-4, 187–193.
- [15] K. Yano, *On semi-symmetric metric connection*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **15** (1970), 1579–1586.
- [16] K. Yano and T. Imai, *Quarter-symmetric metric connections and their curvature tensors*, Tensor (N.S.) **38** (1982), 13–18.

DAE HO JIN  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
 DONGGUK UNIVERSITY  
 GYEONGJU 38066, KOREA  
 Email address: jindh@dongguk.ac.kr