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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we derive two optimal inequalities for totally real submanifolds and $C$-totally real submanifolds of LCS-manifolds with respect to Levi-Civita connection and quarter symmetric metric connection by using T. Oprea's optimization method.


## 1. Introduction

The concept of Lorentzian concircular structure manifold (LCS-manifolds) is studied by A. A. Shaikh as a generalization of LP-Sasakian manifolds in [18]. These manifolds are of great interest in the general theory of relativity and cosmology [19,20]. Many researchers have studied LCS-manifolds (for example [1, 8-11, 21]).

The notion of semi-symmetric linear connection on smooth manifolds is initiated by Friedmann and Schouten in [4]. Later on, Golab has introduced the idea of quarter symmetric linear connection on such smooth manifolds as a generalization of semi-symmetric connection in [6].

In 1890, F. Casorati [2] has defined Casorati curvature and used it at the place of traditional Gauss curvature. The geometrical importance of the Casorati curvatures has been discussed by many researchers [3, 7, 12, 25]. Due to its vast geometric significance it drew attention of researchers to construct optimal inequalities for Casorati curvatures for different set ups [5,13, 14, 22-24, 26, 27].

The outline of the present paper is as follows: Section 2 is preliminary in nature. Section 3 deals with the study of Casorati curvatures. Section 4 derives the optimal inequalities for totally real submanifolds and $C$-totally real submanifolds of LCS-manifolds with respect to Levi-Civita connection. Section 5 gives the proof of the geometric inequalities for totally real submanifolds and $C$ totally real submanifolds of LCS-manifolds with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection.

[^0]
## 2. LCS-manifolds and their submanifolds

Definition ([16, 18]). A Lorentzian manifold $\bar{M}$ together with the unit timelike concircular vector field $\xi$, its associated 1-form $\eta$ and an $(1,1)$ tensor field $\varphi$ is said to be a Lorentzian concircular structure manifold (or LCS-manifold).

In an $n$-dimensional (LCS) $n_{n}$-manifold $\bar{M}, n>2$, the following relations hold [18]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta(\xi)=-1, \quad \varphi(\xi)=0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi=0  \tag{1}\\
& g(\varphi X, \varphi Y)=g(X, Y)+\eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad \varphi^{2} X=X+\eta(X) \xi  \tag{2}\\
& \bar{R}(X, Y) Z=\varphi \bar{R}(X, Y) Z+\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)[g(Y, Z) \eta(X)-g(X, Z) \eta(Y)] \xi
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T \bar{M})$.
We consider $\bar{\nabla}$ is the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric $g$ and $\alpha$ is a non-zero scalar function satisfying the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \alpha=(X \alpha)=d \alpha(X)=\rho \eta(X) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(T \bar{M})$, where $\rho$ is a certain scalar function given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=-(\xi \alpha) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)= & \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, \varphi W)+\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)[g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \\
& -g(X, Z) \eta(Y)] \eta(W) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T \bar{M})$.
Remark 2.1. If we assume that $\alpha=1$, then Lorentzian concircular structure becomes LP-Sasakian structure [15].

Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional submanifold of an $n$-dimensional manifold $\bar{M}$ with induced metric $g$. The Gauss equation is given by [29]

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)= & R(X, Y, Z, W)+g(\zeta(X, Z), \zeta(Y, W)) \\
& -g(\zeta(X, W), \zeta(Y, Z)) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T M)$. Here $\zeta$ is the second fundamental form of $M$ in $\bar{M}$.

Definition ([6]). A linear connection $\hat{\bar{\nabla}}$ in an $n$-dimensional smooth manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be a quarter symmetric connection if its torsion tensor $T$ is of the form

$$
T(X, Y)=\hat{\bar{\nabla}}_{X} Y-\hat{\bar{\nabla}}_{Y} X-[X, Y]=\eta(Y) \varphi X-\eta(X) \varphi Y
$$

where $\eta$ is an 1 -form and $\varphi$ is a tensor of type $(1,1)$.

Remark 2.2. If we assume that $\varphi X=X$, then the quarter symmetric connection reduces to semi-symmetric connection.
Definition ([6]). The quarter symmetric connection $\hat{\bar{\nabla}}$ is said to be a quarter symmetric metric connection if $\hat{\nabla}$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\left(\hat{\bar{\nabla}}_{X} g\right)(Y, Z)=0
$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T \bar{M})$.
The relation between quarter symmetric metric connection $\hat{\bar{\nabla}}$ and Riemannian connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on a (LCS) $)_{n}$-manifold $\bar{M}$ is given by [11]

$$
\hat{\bar{\nabla}}_{X} Y=\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y+\eta(Y) \varphi X-g(\varphi X, Y) \xi
$$

If $\bar{R}$ and $\bar{R}$ are the curvature tensors of a (LCS) $)_{n}$-manifold $\bar{M}$ with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection $\hat{\nabla}$ and Riemannian connection $\bar{\nabla}$, then [10]

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\bar{R}}(X, Y, Z, W)= & \bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)+(2 \alpha-1)[g(\varphi X, Z) g(\varphi Y, W) \\
& -g(\varphi Y, Z) g(\varphi X, W)]+\alpha[\eta(Y) g(X, W) \\
& -\eta(X) g(Y, W)] \eta(Z)+\alpha[g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \\
& -g(X, Z) \eta(Y)] \eta(W) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T M)$.
Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional submanifold of an $n$-dimensional (LCS) $n_{n}$-manifold $\bar{M}$ with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection $\hat{\nabla}$ and $\hat{\nabla}$ be the induced connection of $M$ associated to the quarter symmetric metric connection. Also let $\hat{\zeta}$ be the second fundamental form of $M$ with respect to $\hat{\nabla}$. Then the relation (7) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\bar{R}}(X, Y, Z, W)= & \hat{R}(X, Y, Z, W)+g(\hat{\zeta}(X, Z), \hat{\zeta}(Y, W)) \\
& -g(\hat{\zeta}(X, W), \hat{\zeta}(Y, Z)) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T M)$. Here $\hat{R}$ is the curvature tensor of $M$ with respect to the induced connection associated to the quarter symmetric metric connection.

Definition ([28,29]). (i) A submanifold $M$ of a contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be anti-invariant if for any $X$ tangent to $M, \varphi X$ is normal to $M$, i.e., $\varphi(T M) \subset T^{\perp} M$ at every point of $M$, where $T^{\perp} M$ denotes the normal bundle of $M$.
(ii) A submanifold $M$ in a contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is called a $C$-totally real submanifold in $\bar{M}$ if every tangent vector of $M$ belongs to the contact distribution.

Remark 2.3. We note that if a submanifold $M$ of a contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is normal to the structure vector field $\xi$, then it is anti-invariant. Also, a submanifold $M$ in a contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is a $C$-totally real submanifold if the structure vector field $\xi$ is normal to $M$. Therefore it is clear that $C$-totally real submanifolds in a contact metric manifold are anti-invariant, as they are normal to $\xi$.

For a totally real submanifold and a $C$-totally real submanifold of a (LCS) $n_{n^{-}}$ manifold $\bar{M}, \hat{\zeta}$ is given by [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\zeta}(X, Y)=\zeta(X, Y)+\eta(Y) \varphi X \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\zeta}(X, Y)=\zeta(X, Y) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$.

## 3. Casorati curvatures

Let $\bar{M}$ be an $n$-dimensional (LCS) $n_{n}$-manifold and $M$ be an $m$-dimensional submanifold in $\bar{M}$. Let $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{m}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{\wp} M$ and $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M$ at any $\wp \in M$. Then the scalar curvature $\sigma(\wp)$ at $\wp$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\wp)=\sum_{1 \leq \imath<\jmath \leq m} K\left(\mathcal{E}_{\imath} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{\jmath}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the normalized scalar curvature $\varrho$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho=\frac{2 \sigma}{m(m-1)}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(\Lambda)$ denotes the sectional curvature of the plane section $\Lambda \subset T_{\wp} M$.
The mean curvature vector $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\imath, j=1}^{m} \zeta\left(\mathcal{E}_{\imath}, \mathcal{E}_{\imath}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the squared norm of mean curvature is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathcal{H}\|^{2}=\frac{1}{m^{2}} \sum_{a=m+1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\imath=1}^{m} \zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a}\right)^{2} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also put

$$
\zeta_{\imath \jmath}^{a}=g\left(\zeta\left(\mathcal{E}_{\imath}, \mathcal{E}_{\jmath}\right), \mathcal{E}_{a}\right), \imath, \jmath \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}, a \in\{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n\} .
$$

The Casorati curvature $\mathcal{C}$ of $M$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{a=m+1}^{n} \sum_{\imath, j=1}^{m}\left(\zeta_{\imath \jmath}^{a}\right)^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume an $r$-dimensional subspace $\Psi$ of $T M, r \geq 2$, whose orthonormal basis is $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{r}\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\Psi)=\sum_{1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq r} K\left(\mathcal{E}_{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{\beta}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}(\Psi)=\frac{1}{r} \sum_{a=m+1}^{n} \sum_{\imath, \jmath=1}^{m}\left(\zeta_{\imath \jmath}^{a}\right)^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(\Psi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Psi)$ are the scalar curvature and Casorati curvature of $\Psi$, respectively.

The following $\delta$-Casorati curvatures $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$ and $\widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)\right]_{\wp}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\wp}+\frac{m+1}{2 m} \inf \left\{\mathcal{C}(\Psi) \mid \Psi: \text { a hyperplane of } T_{\wp} M\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)\right]_{\wp}=2 \mathcal{C}_{\wp}+\frac{2 m-1}{2 m} \sup \left\{\mathcal{C}(\Psi) \mid \Psi: \text { a hyperplane of } T_{\wp} M\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

are known as the normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures.
Definition ([14]). A point $p \in M$ is said to be an invariantly quasi-umbilical point if there exist $n-m$ orthogonal unit normal vectors $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{n}\right\}$ such that the shape operator with respect to all directions $\mathcal{E}_{r}$ have an eigenvalue of multiplicity $m-1$ and that for each $\mathcal{E}_{r}$ the distinguished eigendirection is the same. The submanifold $M$ is said to be an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold if each of its point is an invariantly quasi-umbilical point.

For the main results, we need following lemma:
Lemma 3.1 ([17]). Let $M$ be a Riemannian submanifold of Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, where $g$ is the induced metric on $M$ from $\bar{g}$ and $\iota: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function. If $y \in M$ is the solution of the constrained extremum problem $\min _{x \in M} \iota(x)$, then
(i) $(\operatorname{grad} \iota)(y) \in T_{y}^{\perp} M$;
(ii) the bilinear form $E: T_{y} M \times T_{y} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;

$$
E(X, Y)=\bar{g}(\varsigma(X, Y),(\operatorname{grad} \iota)(y))+\mathcal{H e s s}_{\iota}(X, Y)
$$

is positive semi-definite, where $\varsigma$ is the second fundamental form of $M$ in $\bar{M}$.

## 4. Main result 1

Theorem 4.1. Let $M$ be an m-dimensional totally real submanifold in an $n$-dimensional $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $\bar{M}$. Then
(i) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$ satisfies

$$
\varrho \leq \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)-\frac{\alpha^{2}-\rho}{m}
$$

Furthermore, the equality sign holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\bar{M}(c)$, such that with respect to orthonormal frames of $T_{\wp} M$ and $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M$, respectively, the shape operators $\mathcal{S}_{a} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}_{a}}, a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$, are of the following form

$$
\mathcal{S}_{m+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\beta & \ldots & 0 & 0  \tag{21}\\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & \beta & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 2 \beta
\end{array}\right), \mathcal{S}_{m+2}=\cdots=\mathcal{S}_{n}=0 .
$$

(ii) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$ satisfies

$$
\varrho \leq \widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)-\frac{\alpha^{2}-\rho}{m} .
$$

Furthermore, the equality sign holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\bar{M}(c)$, such that with respect to orthonormal frames of $T_{\wp} M$ and $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M$, respectively, the shape operators $\mathcal{S}_{a} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}_{a}}, a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$, are of the following form

$$
\mathcal{S}_{m+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 \beta & \ldots & 0 & 0  \tag{22}\\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & 2 \beta & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & \beta
\end{array}\right), \mathcal{S}_{m+2}=\cdots=\mathcal{S}_{n}=0
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{m}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $T_{\wp} M$ and $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M, \wp \in M$. From [10], we get

$$
2 \sigma=-(m-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)+m^{2}\|\mathcal{H}\|^{2}-m \mathcal{C} .
$$

Let us take a quadratic polynomial $\mathbb{K}$ in the components of the second fundamental form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{K}=\frac{m(m-1)}{2} \mathcal{C}+\frac{m^{2}-1}{2} \mathcal{C}(\Psi)+2 \sigma-(m-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\Psi$ is spanned by $\mathcal{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{m-1}$ and together (23), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{K}=\frac{m+1}{2} \sum_{a=m+1}^{n}\left[\sum_{\imath, j=1}^{m}\left(\zeta_{\imath \jmath}^{a}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\imath, j=1}^{m-1}\left(\zeta_{\imath \jmath}^{a}\right)^{2}\right]-\sum_{a=m+1}^{n}\left[\sum_{\imath=1}^{m} \zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a}\right]^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{K}= & \sum_{a=m+1}^{n} \sum_{\imath=1}^{m-1}\left[m\left(\zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a}\right)^{2}+(m+1)\left(\zeta_{\imath m}^{a}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{25}\\
& +\sum_{a=m+1}^{n}\left[2(m+1) \sum_{1 \leq \imath<\jmath \leq m-1}\left(\zeta_{\imath \jmath}^{a}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{1 \leq \imath<\jmath \leq m} \zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a} \zeta_{\jmath \jmath}^{a}+\frac{m-1}{2}\left(\zeta_{m m}^{a}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\geq & \sum_{a=m+1}^{n} \sum_{\imath=1}^{m-1} m\left(\zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a}\right)^{2}+\sum_{a=m+1}^{n}\left[-2 \sum_{1 \leq \imath<\jmath \leq m} \zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a} \zeta_{\jmath \jmath}^{a}+\frac{m-1}{2}\left(\zeta_{m m}^{a}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

For $a=m+1, \ldots, n$, we suppose the following quadratic form $f_{a}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a}\left(\zeta_{11}^{a}, \ldots, \zeta_{m m}^{a}\right)=\sum_{\imath=1}^{m-1} m\left(\zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{1 \leq \imath<\jmath \leq m} \zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a} \zeta_{\jmath \jmath}^{a}+\frac{m-1}{2}\left(\zeta_{m m}^{a}\right)^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constrained extremum problem $\min f_{a}$ subject to the component of trace $\mathcal{H}$,

$$
\varphi: \zeta_{11}^{a}+\cdots+\zeta_{m m}^{a}=\gamma^{a}
$$

where $\gamma^{a}$ is a real constant.
The function $f_{a}$ has the following partial derivatives:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial \zeta_{11}^{a}}=2 m \zeta_{11}^{a}-2 \sum_{\imath=2}^{m} \zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a} \\
\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial \zeta_{22}^{a}}=2 m \zeta_{22}^{a}-2 \zeta_{11}^{a}-2 \sum_{\imath=3}^{m} \zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a}, \\
\vdots  \tag{27}\\
\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial \zeta_{m-1 m-1}^{a}}=2 m \zeta_{m-1 m-1}^{a}-2 \sum_{\imath=1}^{m-2} \zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a}-2 \zeta_{m m}^{a}, \\
\frac{\partial f_{a}}{\partial \zeta_{m m}^{a}}=-2 \sum_{\imath=1}^{m-1} \zeta_{\imath \imath}^{a}+(m-1) \zeta_{m m}^{a} .
\end{gather*}
$$

For an optimal solution $\left(\zeta_{11}^{a}, \ldots, \zeta_{m m}^{a}\right)$ of the problem in question, the vector $\operatorname{grad} f_{a}$ is normal at $\varphi$. From (27), we have a following critical point of the considered problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{11}^{a}=\zeta_{22}^{a}=\cdots=\zeta_{m-1 m-1}^{a}=\frac{\gamma^{a}}{m+1}, \zeta_{m m}^{a}=\frac{2 \gamma^{a}}{m+1} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we use Lemma 3.1 and for this, we fix an arbitrary point $y \in \varphi$. The bilinear form

$$
\mathrm{£}: T_{y} \varphi \times T_{y} \varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

is defined by

$$
\mathrm{£}(X, Y)=\left\langle\hbar(X, Y),\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{a}\right)(y)\right\rangle+\mathcal{H e s s}_{f_{a}}(X, Y),
$$

where $\hbar$ denotes the second fundamental form of $\varphi$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the$ standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. So, we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(Z, Z) & =-2\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{m-1}, Z_{m}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-m & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -m & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -m & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & -m & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & \frac{1-m}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
Z_{1} \\
Z_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
Z_{m-1} \\
Z_{m}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =2(m+1) \sum_{\imath=1}^{m-1} Z_{\imath}^{2}+(m+1) Z_{m}^{2}-2\left(Z_{1}+\cdots+Z_{m}\right)^{2} \\
& =2(m+1) \sum_{\imath=1}^{m-1} Z_{\imath}^{2}+(m+1) Z_{m}^{2} \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the relation $\sum_{\imath=1}^{m} Z_{\imath}^{2}=0$ (because a vector $Z$ is tangent to $\varphi$ at $y \in \varphi$ and $\varphi$ is totally geodesic in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Thus, the point $\left(\zeta_{11}^{a}, \ldots, \zeta_{m m}^{a}\right)$ (see (28)) is a global minimum point. From relations (25) and (28), we get $\mathbb{K} \geq 0$ and hence we have

$$
2 \sigma \leq m(m-1) \mathcal{C}+\frac{m^{2}-1}{2} \mathcal{C}(\Psi)-(m-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) .
$$

Further, we find that

$$
\varrho \leq \mathcal{C}+\frac{(m+1)}{2 m} \mathcal{C}(\Psi)-\frac{\alpha^{2}-\rho}{m}
$$

This is the required inequality in (i). The equality in (i) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\imath \jmath}^{a}=0, \forall \imath, \jmath \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, \imath \neq \jmath, a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{m m}^{a}=2 \zeta_{11}^{a}=\cdots=2 \zeta_{m-1 m-1}^{a} \forall a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of (29) and (30), we find that the submanifold is invariantly quasi-umbilical and the shape operators are given by (21).

Similarly, one can easily prove the geometric inequality (ii).
Corollary 4.2. Let $M$ be an m-dimensional $C$-totally real submanifold in an $n$-dimensional $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $\bar{M}$. Then:
(i) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$ satisfies

$$
\varrho \leq \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)
$$

Furthermore, the equality sign holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\bar{M}(c)$, such that with respect to orthonormal frames of $T_{\wp} M$ and $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M$, respectively, the shape operators $\mathcal{S}_{a} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}_{a}}, a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$, is given by (21).
(ii) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$ satisfies

$$
\varrho \leq \widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)
$$

Furthermore, the equality sign holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\bar{M}(c)$, such that with respect to orthonormal frames of $T_{\wp} M$ and $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M$, respectively, the shape operators $\mathcal{S}_{a} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}_{a}}, a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$, is given by (22).

## 5. Main result 2

Let $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space $\bar{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be a unit tangent vector at $\wp \in \bar{M}^{n}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{1}=\mathcal{N}$ refracting to $M^{m}$, $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{m}\right\}$ is the orthonormal basis to the tangent space $T_{\wp} M$ with respect to induced quarter symmetric metric connection. Let us denote the scalar curvature and normalized scalar curvature of $M$ with respect to induced connection associated to the quarter symmetric metric connection by $\hat{\sigma}(\wp)$ at $\wp$ and $\hat{\varrho}$, respectively. Then we prove the following:

Theorem 5.1. Let $M$ be an m-dimensional totally real submanifold in an $n$-dimensional $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $\bar{M}$ with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection. Then
(i) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$ satisfies

$$
\hat{\varrho} \leq \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)-\frac{(2 m-1) \alpha}{m(m-1)}-\frac{\alpha \eta^{2}(\mathcal{N})}{m-1}
$$

Furthermore, the equality sign holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\bar{M}(c)$, such that with respect to orthonormal frames of $T_{\wp} M$ and $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M$, respectively, the shape operators $\mathcal{S}_{a} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}_{a}}, a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$, is given by (21).
(ii) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$ satisfies

$$
\hat{\varrho} \leq \widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)-\frac{(2 m-1) \alpha}{m(m-1)}-\frac{\alpha \eta^{2}(\mathcal{N})}{m-1}
$$

Furthermore, the equality sign holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\bar{M}(c)$,
such that with respect to orthonormal frames of $T_{\wp} M$ and $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M$, respectively, the shape operators $\mathcal{S}_{a} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}_{a}}, a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$, is given by (22).
Proof. Following [10], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \hat{\sigma}=-(2 m-1) \alpha-m \alpha \eta^{2}(\mathcal{N})+m^{2}\|\mathcal{H}\|^{2}-\|\zeta\|^{2} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by using T. Oprea's optimization technique, one can prove the theorem.

Note that the scalar curvature and hence the normalized scalar curvature of $C$-totally real submanifold of a $(\mathrm{LCS})_{n}$-manifold with respect to induced Levi-Civita connection and induced quarter symmetric metric connection are identical (see [10]). Thus, we have the following:

Corollary 5.2. Let $M$ be an m-dimensional $C$-totally real submanifold in an $n$-dimensional $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $\bar{M}$ with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection. Then
(i) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$ satisfies

$$
\hat{\varrho} \leq \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)
$$

Furthermore, the equality sign holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\bar{M}(c)$, such that with respect to orthonormal frames of $T_{\wp} M$ and $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M$, respectively, the shape operators $\mathcal{S}_{a} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}_{a}}, a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$, is given by (21).
(ii) The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1)$ satisfies

$$
\hat{\varrho} \leq \widehat{\delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(m-1) .
$$

Furthermore, the equality sign holds if and only if $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\bar{M}(c)$, such that with respect to orthonormal frames of $T_{\wp} M$ and $T_{\wp}^{\perp} M$, respectively, the shape operators $\mathcal{S}_{a} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}_{a}}, a \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$, is given by (22).
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