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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a Korteweg-de Vries equation in noncylindrical domain. This work is devoted to prove existence and uniqueness of global solutions employing Faedo-Galerkin's approximation and transformation of the noncylindrical domain with moving boundary into cylindrical one. Moreover, we estimate the exponential decay of solutions in the asymptotically cylindrical domain.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with global existence and stability of a Korteweg-de Vries equation given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+u_{x}+u_{x x x}+u u_{x}+a(x) u=0 \text { in } \hat{Q}  \tag{1.1}\\
u(\alpha(t), t)=u(\beta(t), t)=u_{x}(\beta(t), t)=0 \text { for } t \geq 0 \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \text { for } x \in\left[\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in C^{2}([0, \infty)), \alpha(0)=\alpha_{0}<\beta_{0}=\beta(0)$ and $\hat{Q}=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \alpha(t)<\right.$ $x<\beta(t), 0<t<T\}$.

The Korteweg-de Vries equation was initially derived by Korteweg and de Vries [5] as a model for one-directional water waves of small amplitude in shallow water. At present it is known that the Korteweg-de Vries equation is not only a good model for water waves but also a very useful approximation model in nonlinear studies whenever one wishes to include and balance weak nonlinear and dispersive effect.

In the case of Linares and Pazoto [7], they studied the problem (1.1) in the cylindrical domain. On the other hand, the case of noncylindrical domain problems has been studied less than cylindrical domain problems (cf. [1-4]). For

[^0]example, Benabidallah and Ferreira [1] studied the existence of solutions for the hyperbolic-parabolic equation in noncylindrical domain. Also Clark et al. [2] proved the global solvability, uniqueness of solutions and the exponential decay of solution for the Boussinesq equation in the noncylindrical domain. Recently, Ha [3] proved the existence of solutions and decay of the energy of solutions for the Kirchhoff type wave equation in the noncylindrical domain. However, there is few research for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in noncylindrical domain.

In this paper, we study existence and uniqueness of global solutions for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in noncylindrical domain as well as the exponential decay of small solutions in asymptotically close to cylindrical domain.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall notations and hypotheses and introduce our main results. In Section 3, we prove the existence and uniqueness of solution employing Faedo-Galerkin's method. In Section 4, we prove the exponential decay rate for the solution.

## 2. Hypotheses and main results

We begin this section introducing some hypotheses and our main results. Throughout this paper we use standard functional spaces. And ' denotes the derivative with respect to time $t$.

The idea that we use to prove the result of existence and uniqueness is based on the transformation of our problem into another initial boundary value problem defined over a cylindrical domain whose sections are not time dependent. This is done using a suitable change of variable. Our existence result on noncylindrical domain will follow using the inverse transformation. That is, using the diffeomorphism $\tau: \hat{Q} \rightarrow Q=(0,1) \times[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(x, t)=(y, t)=\left(\frac{x-\alpha(t)}{\gamma(t)}, t\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tau^{-1}: Q \rightarrow \hat{Q}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{-1}(y, t)=(x, t)=(\alpha(t)+\gamma(t) y, t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by $v$ function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(y, t)=u \circ \tau^{-1}(y, t)=u(\alpha(t)+\gamma(t) y, t) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the initial boundary value problem (1.1) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{t}-\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)+\gamma^{\prime}(t) y-1\right) v_{y}+\frac{1}{\gamma^{3}(t)} v_{y y y}+\frac{1}{\gamma(t)} v v_{y}  \tag{2.4}\\
+a(\alpha(t)+\gamma(t) y) v=0 \text { in } Q \\
v(0, t)=v(1, t)=v_{y}(1, t)=0 \text { for } t \geq 0 \\
v(y, 0)=v_{0}(y) \text { for } y \in[0,1]
\end{array}\right.
$$

We now give hypotheses for the main result.

## $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ Hypotheses on $\alpha$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

(2.5) $\alpha, \beta \in C^{2}([0, \infty))$,
(2.6) There exist $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{1}$ such that $0<\delta_{0} \leq \gamma:=\gamma(t)=\beta(t)-\alpha(t) \leq \delta_{1}$ for all $t \geq 0$,
(2.7) $\alpha^{\prime}(t)>0, \beta^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq 0$.
$\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$ Hypotheses on $a:=a(x)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \in L^{\infty}(\alpha(t), \beta(t)) \text { for all } t \geq 0,  \tag{2.8}\\
& a \geq a_{0}>0 \text { for all } x \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the assumption (2.7) means that $\hat{Q}$ is decreasing in the sense that if $t_{1}>t_{2}$, then the projection of [ $\left.\alpha\left(t_{2}\right), \beta\left(t_{2}\right)\right]$ on the subspace $t=0$ contains the projection of $\left[\alpha\left(t_{1}\right), \beta\left(t_{1}\right)\right]$ on the same subspace.

Now we are in a position to state our main results.
Theorem 2.1. Let $v_{0} \in L^{2}(0,1)$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Then for all finite $T>0$ there exists a unique weak solution $v$ of the problem (2.4) satisfying

$$
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(0,1)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(0,1)\right)
$$

As a consequence of the above theorem and using the change variable given in (2.1), we obtain the next result.

Theorem 2.2. Let $I_{t}$ and $I_{0}$ the intervals $(\alpha(t), \beta(t))(0<t<T)$ and $(\alpha(0), \beta(0))$ respectively. Let $u_{0} \in L^{2}\left(I_{0}\right)$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Then for all finite $T>0$ there exists a unique weak solution $u$ of the problem (1.1) satisfying

$$
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(I_{t}\right)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(I_{t}\right)\right)
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $u$ be the solution of problem (1.1) given by Theorem 2.2. Suppose $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime} \in L^{1}(0, \infty) \cup L^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ and $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{0}\right)} \leq \frac{3}{8 K \gamma^{2}}$, where $K=$ $\exp \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(t)}{\gamma(t)}\right) d t\right\}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then there exist positive constants $c$ and $\omega$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{t}\right)}^{2} \leq c\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{0}\right)}^{2} e^{-\omega t}
$$

holds for all $t>0$.

## 3. Existence of solutions

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this subsection we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to problem (2.4). First of all we note that by [6], for every fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique strong solution to problem (2.4) in the class
(3.1) $v^{m} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; H^{3}(0,1)\right), \quad v_{t}^{m} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(0,1) \cap L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; H^{1}(0,1)\right)\right.$.

We now suppose $v_{0}(x) \in L^{2}(0,1)$ and let $\left(w_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis in $L^{2}(0,1)$, and let $V_{m}$ be the finite dimensional subspace of $L^{2}(0,1)$ spanned by the first $m$
vectors $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\}$. We construct approximations

$$
v^{m}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{j}^{m}(t) w_{j}(x)
$$

where $g_{j}^{m}(t)$ are solutions for the nonlinear system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(v_{t}^{m}, w_{j}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)+\gamma^{\prime}(t) y-1\right) v_{y}^{m}, w_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{\gamma^{3}(t)}\left(v_{y y y}^{m}, w_{j}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
+\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\left(v^{m} v_{y}^{m}, w_{j}\right)+\left(a(\alpha(t)+\gamma(t) y) v^{m}, w_{j}\right)=0, \quad(j=1, \ldots, m) \\
v^{m}(y, 0)=v_{0}^{m}(y) \rightarrow v_{0}(y) \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(0,1) \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $(u, v)$ is the inner product in $L^{2}(0,1)$. From the ODE theory we have the local solution $v^{m}=v^{m}(y, t)$ well defined on the interval $\left[0, t_{m}\right)$. The estimates that follow permit us to extend the solution $v^{m}$ to the whole interval $[0, T)$ and take the limit in $v^{m}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Henceforth the symbol $C_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ indicates positive constants, which may be different.
3.1.1. The first estimate. Setting $w_{j}=v^{m}$ in (3.2) and integrating over $(0, t)$ with $t \in\left(0, t_{m}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|v^{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \delta_{1}^{3}} \int_{0}^{t}\left|v_{y}^{m}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s \\
\leq & C_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v^{m}(y, s)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{0}^{m}(y)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Gronwall's lemma we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left|v_{y}^{m}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s \leq C_{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}$ is a positive constant which is independent $m$ and $t$.
3.1.2. The second estimate. Setting $w_{j}=y v^{m}$ in (3.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} y\left|v^{m}\right|^{2} d y+\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2 \gamma} \int_{0}^{1}\left|v^{m}\right|^{2} d y+\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{\gamma} \int_{0}^{1} y\left|v^{m}\right|^{2} d y  \tag{3.5}\\
& -\frac{1}{2 \gamma} \int_{0}^{1}\left|v^{m}\right|^{2} d y+\frac{3}{2 \gamma^{3}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|v_{y}^{m}\right|^{2} d y-\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{1}\left(v^{m}\right)^{3} d y \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} a(\alpha(t)+\gamma(t) y)\left(v^{m}\right)^{2} y d y=0
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3.5) over $(0, t)$ with $t \in\left(0, t_{m}\right)$ and using $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1}\left|v_{y}^{m}(y, s)\right|^{2} d y d s \leq \frac{2 \delta_{1}^{3}}{9} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1}\left|v^{m}(y, s)\right|^{3} d y d s+C_{3} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, Hölder's inequality, Sobloev imbedding theorem and Young's inequality imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1}\left|v^{m}(y, s)\right|^{3} d y d s & \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v^{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,1)}\left\|v^{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} d s \\
& \leq \epsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v^{m}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(0,1)}^{2}+C(\epsilon) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v^{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{4} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing above inequality in (3.6) and choosing $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1}\left|v_{y}^{m}(y, s)\right|^{2} d y d s \leq C_{4} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) and (3.7), we have

$$
v^{m} \rightarrow v \quad \text { weakly star in } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(0,1)\right)
$$

and

$$
v^{m} \rightarrow v \quad \text { weakly in } \quad L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(0,1)\right) .
$$

Moreover, convergences (3.4) and (3.7), and the regularity (3.1) permit us to pass to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, consequently we obtain, for all $w \in H^{2}(0,1) \cap$ $H^{1}(0,1)$
(3.8) $\left(v_{t}, w\right)-\left(A(y, t) v_{y}, w\right)+\frac{1}{\gamma^{3}(t)}\left(v_{y}, w_{y y}\right)+\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\left(v v_{y}, w\right)+(B(y, t) v, w)=0$,
where $A(y, t)=\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)+\gamma^{\prime}(t) y-1\right)$ and $B(y, t)=a(\alpha(t)+\gamma(t) y)$. This implies that the existence part of Theorem 2.1 is proved.
3.1.3. Uniqueness. Let $v$ and $\bar{v}$ be two solutions of (2.4). Define $z=v-\bar{v}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{t}-\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)+\gamma^{\prime}(t) y-1\right) z_{y}+\frac{1}{\gamma^{3}(t)} z_{y y y}+\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\left(v z_{y}+\bar{v}_{y} z\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& +a(\alpha(t)+\gamma(t) y) z=0
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (3.9) by $z$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z_{t}, z\right)-\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)+\gamma^{\prime}(t) y-1\right) z_{y}, z\right)+\frac{1}{\gamma^{3}(t)}\left(z_{y y y}, z\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& +\frac{1}{\gamma(t)}\left(v z_{y}+\bar{v}_{y} z, z\right)+(a(\alpha(t)+\gamma(t) y) z, z)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Due to regularity of solutions $v$ and $\bar{v}$,

$$
\sup _{\bar{Q}}\left\{|v(y, t)|,|\bar{v}(y, t)|,\left|v_{y}(y, t)\right|,\left|\bar{v}_{y}(y, t)\right|\right\} \leq \nu<\infty .
$$

Then (3.10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|z\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} z_{y}^{2}(0, t) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{\nu}{\delta_{0}} \int_{0}^{1}\left|z_{y}\right||z| d y+\frac{\nu}{\delta_{0}}\|z\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{2 \gamma}\|z\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}
$$

Integrating (3.11) over $(0, t)$ and then using the fact $\gamma>0, \gamma^{\prime}<0$ and Gronwall's lemma, we have $\|z\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}=0$. This completes the proof of uniqueness.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2

To show the existence in noncylindrical domain, we return to our original problem in the noncylindrical domain by using the change variable given in (2.1) by $(y, t)=\tau(x, t),(x, t) \in \hat{Q}$. Let $v$ be the solution obtained from Theorem 2.1 and $u$ defined by (2.3), then $u$ belongs to the class

$$
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(I_{t}\right)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(I_{t}\right)\right)
$$

Denoting by $u(x, t)=v(y, t)=(v \circ \tau)(x, t)$ then from (2.2) it is easy to see that $u$ satisfies equations (1.1) in the sense of $L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(I_{t}\right)\right)$. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be two solutions to (1.1) and $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be the functions obtained through the diffeomorphism $\tau$ given by (2.1). Then $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are solutions to (2.4). By the uniqueness result of Theorem 2.1, we have $v_{1}=v_{2}$, so $u_{1}=u_{2}$. Thus the proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed.

## 4. Stability

In this section we will prove Theorem 2.3. To prove the exponential decay of $L^{2}$-norm of solution, we are going to obtain time independent a priori estimate for this norm. Multiplying (2.4) by $v$, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|v\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{\gamma}\right)\|v\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} .
$$

By Gronwall's lemma this imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \leq\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(s)}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(s)}{\gamma(s)}\right) d s\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$.
Now we define

$$
\phi(y)=1+4 y-y^{3}, \quad y \in[0,1] .
$$

Then we can easily check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{y \in[0,1]} \phi(y)=1, \quad \max _{y \in[0,1]} \phi(y)=4, \quad \phi_{y}(y) \geq 1, \quad \max _{y \in[0,1]} \phi_{y}(y)=4 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.4) by $\phi(y) v$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\phi, v^{2}\right)-\left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\gamma}-\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)\left(v_{y}, \phi v\right)-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{\gamma}\left(v_{y}, y \phi v\right)+\frac{1}{\gamma^{3}}\left(v_{y y y}, \phi v\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& +\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(v_{y}, \phi v^{2}\right)+\left(a(\alpha(t)+\gamma(t) y) v^{2}, \phi\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{y}, \phi v\right) & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{y}, v^{2}\right) \\
\left(v_{y}, y \phi v\right) & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi, v^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(y \phi_{y}, v^{2}\right) \\
\left(v_{y y y}, \phi v\right) & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{y y y}, v^{2}\right)+\left(\phi_{y}, v_{y}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} v_{y}^{2}(0, t)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{y}, y_{y}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And using (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{y}, \phi v^{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{3}\left(\phi_{y}, v^{3}\right) & \geq-\frac{4}{3}\left(1, v_{y}^{2}\right)\|v\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} \\
& \geq-\frac{4}{3}\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(s)}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(s)}{\gamma(s)}\right) d s\right\}\left(\phi_{y}, v_{y}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting above calculations into (4.3) and using (2.6) and (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\phi, v^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{3}{\gamma^{3}}-\frac{8}{3 \gamma}\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(s)}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(s)}{\gamma(s)}\right) d s\right\}\right)\left(\phi_{y}, v_{y}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
& +\frac{3}{2 \delta_{1}}\left(\phi, v^{2}\right) \leq M(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $M(t)=\frac{4}{\delta_{0}}\left(\left|\beta^{\prime}\right|+\left|\gamma^{\prime}\right|+1\right)\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(s)}-\frac{\gamma^{\prime}(s)}{\gamma(s)}\right) d s\right\}$.
Taking into account the condition Theorem 2.3 and using the fact $\left(\phi_{y}, v_{y}^{2}\right) \geq$ $\left\|v_{y}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \geq\|v\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(\phi, v^{2}\right)$, we can rewrite (4.4) as follows

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\phi, v^{2}\right)+\frac{1+3 \delta_{1}^{2}}{2 \delta_{1}^{3}}\left(\phi, v^{2}\right) \leq M(t)
$$

We denote $\left\|\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} v\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}=z(t)$. Then above inequality becomes

$$
\frac{d}{d t} z(t)+\frac{1+3 \delta_{1}^{2}}{2 \delta_{1}^{3}} z(t) \leq M(t) .
$$

This implies

$$
z(t) \leq e^{-\frac{1+3 \delta_{1}^{2}}{2 \delta_{1}^{3}} t}\left(z(0)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{\frac{1+3 \delta_{1}^{2}}{2 \delta_{1}^{3}} \tau} M(\tau) d \tau\right)
$$

Returning to the original value $u(x, t)$, the proof of Theorem 2.3 is completed.
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