Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 34 (2019), No. 2, pp. 477–486

 $\begin{array}{l} \rm https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c180110 \\ \rm pISSN:~1225\text{-}1763~/~eISSN:~2234\text{-}3024 \end{array}$

SEMI-CUBICALLY HYPONORMAL WEIGHTED SHIFTS WITH STAMPFLI'S SUBNORMAL COMPLETION

SEUNGHWAN BAEK AND MI RYEONG LEE

ABSTRACT. Let $\alpha:1,(1,\sqrt{x},\sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$ be a weight sequence with Stampfli's subnormal completion and let W_{α} be its associated weighted shift. In this paper we discuss some properties of the region $\mathcal{U}:=\{(x,y):W_{\alpha}\text{ is semi-cubically hyponormal}\}$ and describe the shape of the boundary of \mathcal{U} . In particular, we improve the results of [19, Theorem 4.2].

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . A bounded operator T is said to be *subnormal* if it is the restriction of a normal operator to an invariant subspace ([15]). An operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called hyponormal if $T^*T \geq TT^*$. In [5], Curto defined some classes of weak subnormality between hyponormality and subnormality in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, for examples, k-hyponormality and weak k-hyponormality. The weakly k-hyponormal weighted shift (whose definition will be defined below) is the main model in this paper. For a positive integer k, an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be weakly k-hyponormal if for every polynomial p of degree k or less, p(T) is hyponormal ([5,8,11,12]). An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called semi-weakly k-hyponormal if $T + sT^k$ is hyponormal for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ ([13]). It is obvious that a weakly k-hyponormal operator is semi-weakly k-hyponormal. In particular, weak 2-hyponormality is equivalent to semi-weak 2-hyponormality. The weak 2-hyponormality (weak 3-hyponormality, semiweak 3-hyponormality, resp.) is referred to as the quadratic hyponormality (cubic hyponormality, semi-cubic hyponormality, resp.). In particular, the quadratic hyponormality makes an important role in the study of gap on operator properties such as flatness, completion, and backward extension theory since 1990 (see, for instance, [1, 4, 6, 9, 10, 14, 16, 20]). In [6], Curto proved that a

Received March 19, 2018; Accepted July 19, 2018.

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 47B37,\ 47B20.$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ weighted shifts, hyponormality, semi-cubic hyponormality.

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2017R1D1A1A02017817).

2-hyponormal weighted shift with two equal weights $\alpha_n = \alpha_{n+1}$ for some nonnegative integer n has the flatness property, i.e., $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots$. Moreover, he obtained a quadratically hyponormal weighted shift with first two equal weights which does not satisfy flatness ([6]). Also in [17], they showed that the weighted shift W_{α} with $\alpha : \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+2}}$ $(n \geq 2)$ is not cubically hyponormal. Hence the following question arises naturally ([7]):

Problem 1.1. Describe all quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts with first two equal weights.

Recently Li-Cho-Lee in [18] proved that if a weighted shift W_{α} is cubically hyponormal with first two equal weights, then W_{α} has the flatness property. The structure of semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts has been studied by several authors (cf. [2, 3, 19]). To detect the structure of semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts, the following problem arises naturally:

Problem 1.2. Describe all semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts with first two equal weights.

As a study of Problem 1.2 it is worthwhile to describe the region $\mathcal{U} = \{(x,y) : W_{\alpha} \text{ is semi-cubically hyponormal} \}$ for weighted shifts W_{α} with weight sequence $\alpha : 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$, where $(1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$ is Stampfli's subnormal completion. Recall that Curto-Jung studied the shape of the region $\{(x,y) : W_{\alpha} \text{ is quadratically hyponormal} \}$ in [9]. In this paper we describe the region \mathcal{U} in detail as a parallel study.

This note consists of four sections. In Section 2 we recall characterizations for semi-cubic hyponormality of a weighted shift W_{α} with weight sequence $\alpha:1,(1,\sqrt{x},\sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$. In Section 3, we describe the geometric shapes of the region \mathcal{U} above. In Section 4, we discuss some remarks concerning the extremality of the region \mathcal{U} .

Throughout this note we denote \mathbb{R}_+ for the set of nonnegative real numbers. For a region \mathcal{V} in $\mathbb{R}^2 (:= \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, we denote the boundary of \mathcal{V} by $\partial \mathcal{V}$.

Some of the calculations in this paper were aided by using the software tool Mathematica ([22]).

2. Preliminaries

We recall Stampfli's subnormal completion of three values ([21]). Let α_0 , α_1 , α_2 be the first three weights in \mathbb{R}_+ such that $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ (to avoid the flatness)¹. Define

$$\widehat{\alpha}_n = \left(\Psi_1 + \frac{\Psi_0}{\alpha_{n-1}^2}\right)^{1/2}, \qquad n \ge 3,$$

¹If W_{α} is a subnormal weighted shift such that $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$ or $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots$.

where

$$\Psi_0 = -\frac{\alpha_0^2 \alpha_1^2 (\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2)}{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}, \quad \ \Psi_1 = \frac{\alpha_1^2 (\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_0^2)}{\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2}.$$

This produces a bounded sequence $\widehat{\alpha} := \{\widehat{\alpha}_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, where $\widehat{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i \ (0 \le i \le 2)$ such that its associated weighted shift $W_{\widehat{\alpha}}$ is subnormal. As usual, we write $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)^{\wedge}$ for this weight sequence $\widehat{\alpha}$ induced by (2.1).

We now recall a characterization of the semi-cubic hyponormality of weighted shifts W_{α} with weight sequence $\alpha: 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$.

Lemma 2.1 ([19, Th. 4.1]). Let $\alpha: 1, (1, \sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$ with 1 < x < y be a weight sequence and let W_{α} be its associated weighted shift. Then W_{α} is semi-cubically hyponormal if and only if $f(x,y) := \sum_{i=0}^{9} \zeta_i y^i \geq 0$, where

$$\begin{split} &\zeta_0 = x^8, \ \zeta_1 = -x^5 + 8x^6 - 18x^7 + 2x^8, \\ &\zeta_2 = x^2 - 8x^3 + 39x^4 - 108x^5 + 131x^6 - 20x^7 + x^8, \\ &\zeta_3 = -3x + 32x^2 - 151x^3 + 338x^4 - 298x^5 - 12x^6 + 10x^7, \\ &\zeta_4 = 4 - 42x + 169x^2 - 274x^3 + 40x^4 + 276x^5 - 43x^6 - 4x^7, \\ &\zeta_5 = 16x - 130x^2 + 359x^3 - 330x^4 - 75x^5 + 34x^6, \\ &\zeta_6 = -2x + 38x^2 - 172x^3 + 260x^4 - 34x^5 - 6x^6, \\ &\zeta_7 = -x + 4x^2 + 17x^3 - 74x^4 + 18x^5, \\ &\zeta_8 = -2x^2 + 6x^3 + 7x^4 - 2x^5, \ \zeta_9 = -x^3. \end{split}$$

Let $\alpha(x,y): 1, (1,\sqrt{x},\sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$ with 1 < x < y be a weight sequence with Stampfli's subnormal completion tail and let $W_{\alpha(x,y)}$ be the associated weighted shift. For our convenience, we denote x = 1 + h and y = 1 + h + k $(h, k \in \mathbb{R}_+)$. Then we can rewrite the polynomials in Lemma 2.1 as following

(2.2)
$$p(h,k) := f(1+h, 1+h+k) = -\sum_{i=0}^{9} \xi_i(h)k^i \ge 0,$$

where

$$\xi_{0}(h) = 2h^{9} (h+1)^{4}, \ \xi_{1}(h) = h^{8} (16h+7) (h+1)^{3},$$

$$\xi_{2}(h) = 4h^{6} (3h+14h^{2}+14h^{3}-1) (h+1)^{2},$$

$$\xi_{3}(h) = h^{5} (h+1) (3h+98h^{2}+190h^{3}+112h^{4}-4),$$

$$\xi_{4}(h) = h^{4} (2h+109h^{2}+322h^{3}+356h^{4}+140h^{5}-5),$$

$$\xi_{5}(h) = 2h^{3} (h+1) (5h+46h^{2}+88h^{3}+56h^{4}-1),$$

$$\xi_{6}(h) = h^{2} (h+1) (13h+64h^{2}+104h^{3}+56h^{4}-1),$$

$$\xi_{7}(h) = h^{2} (h+1) (34h+42h^{2}+16h^{3}+9),$$

$$\xi_{8}(h) = 2h (4h+h^{2}+2) (h+1)^{2}, \ \xi_{9}(h) = (h+1)^{3}.$$

For $\alpha(x,y): 1, (1,\sqrt{x},\sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$ with x=1+h and y=1+h+k $(h,k\in\mathbb{R}_+)$, we denote

$$\mathcal{R} := \{(h, k) : W_{\alpha(x,y)} \text{ is semi-cubically hyponormal}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{q} := \{(h, k) : W_{\alpha(x, y)} \text{ is quadratically hyponormal}\}.$$

Then it follows from [19, Theorem 4.2] that both $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_q$ and $\mathcal{R}_q \setminus \mathcal{R}$ are nonempty sets, *indeed*, a line segment $\{(\frac{1}{100}, k) : \beta_1 \leq k < \alpha_1\}$ (or $\{(\frac{1}{100}, k) : \beta_2 < k \leq \alpha_2\}$) contains in $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_q$ (or $\mathcal{R}_q \setminus \mathcal{R}$, respectively), where $\alpha_1 \approx 0.000787776068...$, $\alpha_2 \approx 0.0422764016...$, $\beta_1 \approx 0.000786885627...$, and $\beta_2 \approx 0.0402782805...$; see the proof of [19, Theorem 4.2]. In the next section, the polynomial in (2.2) can be used to describe the shape of \mathcal{R} as a crucial parts.

3. The shape of the region with semi-cubic hyponormality

Let $\alpha(x,y): 1, (1,\sqrt{x},\sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$ with 1 < x < y be a weight sequence as usual and let $W_{\alpha(x,y)}$ be the associated weighted shift with x=1+h and y=1+h+k $(h,\ k\in\mathbb{R}_+)$. We may replace k by th, where t is a positive real number. Then p(h,k) in (2.2) can be represented by

$$p(h,k) = p(h,th)$$

= $h^{8} (\phi_{0}(t) + \phi_{1}(t)h + \phi_{2}(t)h^{2} + \phi_{3}(t)h^{3} + \phi_{4}(t)h^{4} + \phi_{5}(t)h^{5}),$

where

$$\begin{split} \phi_0(t) &= 4t^2 + 4t^3 + 5t^4 + 2t^5 + t^6, \\ \phi_1(t) &= -2 - 7t - 4t^2 + t^3 - 2t^4 - 8t^5 - 12t^6 - 9t^7 - 4t^8 - t^9, \\ \phi_2(t) &= -8 - 37t - 76t^2 - 101t^3 - 109t^4 - 102t^5 - 77t^6 - 43t^7 - 16t^8 - 3t^9, \\ \phi_3(t) &= -12 - 69t - 180t^2 - 288t^3 - 322t^4 - 268t^5 - 168t^6 - 76t^7 - 22t^8 - 3t^9, \\ \phi_4(t) &= -8 - 55t - 168t^2 - 302t^3 - 356t^4 - 288t^5 - 160t^6 - 58t^7 - 12t^8 - t^9, \\ \phi_5(t) &= -2 - 16t - 56t^2 - 112t^3 - 140t^4 - 112t^5 - 56t^6 - 16t^7 - 2t^8. \end{split}$$

For brevity, we set

(3.1)
$$\rho(h,t) = \phi_0(t) + \phi_1(t)h + \phi_2(t)h^2 + \phi_3(t)h^3 + \phi_4(t)h^4 + \phi_5(t)h^5.$$

Then $W_{\alpha(x,y)}$ is semi-cubically hyponormal if and only if $\rho(h,t) \geq 0$ for all positive numbers h and t. We will detect the set

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(h, th) | \rho(h, t) = 0 \text{ and } h > 0, t > 0\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}$$

to consider the region of semi-cubic hyponormality of $W_{\alpha(x,y)}$ below. In fact, the set \mathcal{C} will be a curve (see Lemma 3.1).

Lemma 3.1. The set C is a loop with polar form of $r = f(\theta)$, $0 \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore R is a starlike region with nonempty interior and $C = \partial R$.

Proof. First we fix $t = t_0 > 0$. Since $\phi_i(t_0)$ (i = 1, ..., 5) is negative obviously,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\rho(h,t_0) = \phi_1(t_0) + 2\phi_2(t_0)h + 3\phi_3(t_0)h^2 + 4\phi_4(t_0)h^3 + 5\phi_5(t_0)h^4$$

is negative for h > 0. Then it follows that $\rho(h, t_0)$ is decreasing in h. Since $\phi_0(t_0)$ is positive, the equation $\rho(h, t_0) = 0$ of h has a unique solution.

The following corollary improves the results of [19, Theorem 4.2], and its proof follows from the fact that the boundaries of \mathcal{R}_{q} and \mathcal{R} are loops with polar forms of $r = f(\theta)$, $0 \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Corollary 3.2. Under the above notation, we have the following assertions:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}_q \cap \mathcal{R}$ is a starlike region with nonempty interior,
- (ii) $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_q$ and $\mathcal{R}_q \setminus \mathcal{R}$ are regions with nonempty interiors.

We now consider the tangent line to the closed curve \mathcal{C} near the origin.

Lemma 3.3. The tangent line to C converges to the x-axis as $(h,t) \to (0^+,0^+)$ and it converges to the y-axis as $(k,t) \to (0^+,\infty)$.

Proof. We mimic the proof of [9, Lemma 4.7]. From k = th, we have

(3.2)
$$\frac{dk}{dh} = \frac{dt}{dh}h + t.$$

Since $\rho(h,t) = 0$ on \mathcal{C} , we get

$$\frac{dt}{dh} = -\frac{\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial h}}{\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}} = -\frac{\phi_1(t) + 2\phi_2(t)h + 3\phi_3(t)h^2 + 4\phi_4(t)h^3 + 5\phi_5(t)h^4}{\phi_0'(t) + \phi_1'(t)h + \phi_2'(t)h^2 + \phi_3'(t)h^3 + \phi_4'(t)h^4 + \phi_5'(t)h^5}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{(h,t)\to(0^+,0^+)}\frac{dk}{dh} = \lim_{(h,t)\to(0^+,0^+)}\frac{dt}{dh}h.$$

Since $\lim_{(h,t)\to(0^+,0^+)}\frac{dt}{dh}=\infty$, by using the L'Hospital's rule and some elementary computations, we can obtain

$$\lim_{(h,t)\to(0^{+},0^{+})} \frac{dt}{dh}h = \lim_{(h,t)\to(0^{+},0^{+})} \frac{h}{\frac{dh}{dt}} = \lim_{(h,t)\to(0^{+},0^{+})} \frac{1}{\frac{d}{dh}\left(\frac{dh}{dt}\right)}$$

$$= \lim_{(h,t)\to(0^{+},0^{+})} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{dh}{dt}\right)\frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial}{\partial h}\left(\frac{dh}{dt}\right)}$$

$$= \lim_{(h,t)\to(0^{+},0^{+})} \frac{F_{1}(h,t)}{F_{2}(h,t)} = 0$$

for some polynomials F_1 and F_2 of h and t (see http://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.03349 .pdf for expressions of F_1 and F_2) such that

$$\lim_{(h,t)\to(0^+,0^+)} F_1(h,t) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{(h,t)\to(0^+,0^+)} F_2(h,t) = 32.$$

Similarly, we have $\lim_{(k,t)\to(0^+,\infty)}\frac{dk}{dh}=\infty$. Hence the proof is complete. \Box

We now set

$$(3.3) \ h_M = \max\{h : (h,k) \in \mathcal{R}, k \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \ k_M = \max\{k : (h,k) \in \mathcal{R}, h \in \mathbb{R}_+\}.$$

Obviously two maximum values h_M and k_M are well defined. Recall that the problem [9, Problem 5.1] which is finding the values or expressions of $\max\{h: (h,k) \in \mathcal{R}_q, k \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ and $\max\{k: (h,k) \in \mathcal{R}_q, h \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ are not solved yet. Hence it is worthwhile finding extremal values h_M and k_M . We discuss the values of h_M and k_M below.

Lemma 3.4. Under the same notation in (3.3), we have $0 < h_M < \frac{14}{100}$.

Proof. We can obtain that

$$\rho\left(\frac{14}{100},t\right) = \frac{1}{156250000} \sum_{k=0}^{9} c_k t^k,$$

where

$$c_0 = -73892007, \ c_1 = -299457081, \ c_2 = 217020204, \ c_3 = 195013758, \\ c_4 = 243084610, \ c_5 = -308008392, \ c_6 = -424167096, \ c_7 = -364763406, \\ c_8 = -146669607, \ c_9 = -32408775.$$

This can be represented by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{9} c_k t^k < 10^7 (-29t + 30t^2 + 20t^3 + 25t^4 - 30t^5 - 40t^6 - 35t^7 - 10t^8 - 3t^9)$$

$$= 10^7 t (-29 + 30t + 20t^2 + 25t^3 - 30t^4 - 40t^5 - 35t^6 - 10t^7 - 3t^8)$$

$$= 10^7 t ((-4 + 20t^2 - 30t^4) + (-5 + 25t^3 - 35t^6) - 10t^7 - 3t^8 - \eta(t))$$

$$= 10^7 t \left(-A^2 - 5B^2 - \frac{101}{84} - 10t^7 - 3t^8 - \eta(t)\right),$$

where $A=\sqrt{30}t^2-\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}},\ B=\sqrt{7}t^3-\frac{5}{\sqrt{28}}$ and $\eta(t)=20-30t+40t^5$. Here, since $\eta(t)$ has exactly one critical number $\sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{20}}$ on \mathbb{R}_+ and $\eta''(t)>0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , $\eta(t)$ has a positive minimum at $\sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{20}}$. So, $\rho\left(\frac{14}{100},t\right)$ is negative and since $\mathcal C$ is a loop in the first quadrant, $\mathcal C$ lies on the left side of a line $h=\frac{14}{100}$.

Recall Descartes' rule of signs that if p(x) is a polynomial with real coefficients, then the number of positive roots either is equal to the number of variations in sign of p(x) or is less than that number by an even number; and the number of negative roots either is equal to the number of variations in sign of p(-x) or is less than that number by an even number.

Lemma 3.5. Given h > 0, there exist at most two roots (possibly a double root) $k_0 > 0$ such that $p(h, k_0) = 0$.

Proof. According to Lemma 3.4, it is sufficient to consider $h < \frac{14}{100}$. Recall that

$$p(h,k) = -\sum_{i=0}^{9} \xi_i(h)k^i,$$

where $\xi_i(h)$ are shown in (2.2). Here, all of the coefficients of $\xi_i(h)$, i=0,1,7,8,9 are positive and $\xi_i(h)$, i=2,3,4,5,6 has one variation in sign, so it has exactly one positive root ϵ_i for i=2,3,4,5,6, respectively. Especially, $\epsilon_6 \approx 0.0584537$ and $\epsilon_2,\epsilon_3,\epsilon_4,\epsilon_5 > \frac{14}{100}$, so $\xi_2(h),\xi_3(h),\xi_4(h),\xi_5(h)$ are negative for $h<\frac{14}{100}$. Hence the signs of the coefficients of p(h,k) change twice as a polynomial in k for $h<\frac{14}{100}$. By Descartes' rule of signs, it follows that for fixed h>0, the equation p(h,k)=0 of k has no or two roots.

We may obtain the following lemma similarly.

Lemma 3.6. Given k > 0, there exist at most two roots (possibly a double root) $h_0 > 0$ such that $p(h_0, k) = 0$.

Note that C consists of two functions $k = f_1(h)$ and $k = f_2(h)$ on the interval $(0, h_M]$. Similarly, C consists of two functions $h = g_1(k)$ and $h = g_2(k)$ on the interval $(0, k_M]$.

Combining above lemmas, we obtain the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.7. The region R is a simply connected with boundary ∂R such that

- (i) $\partial \mathcal{R}$ is a loop with polar form $r = f(\theta), \ 0 \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$,
- (ii) the tangent lines of $\partial \mathcal{R}$ near origin (0,0) converge to the x- and y-axes,
- (iii) $\operatorname{card}(\partial \mathcal{R} \cap \{(a,k) : k \in \mathbb{R}\}) = 2$, where $0 < a < h_M$,
- (iv) card($\partial \mathcal{R} \cap \{(h, b) : h \in \mathbb{R}\}\) = 2$, where $0 < b < k_M$.

4. Further remarks

Let $\alpha(x,y): 1, (1,\sqrt{x},\sqrt{y})^{\wedge}$ be a weight sequence with x=1+h and y=1+h+k $(h,\ k\in\mathbb{R}_{+})$. Recall that h_{M} and k_{M} are well defined (see Section 3). The problems of expressions about the extremal values h_{M} and k_{M} are a parallel ones which were suggested as a question in [9, Prob. 5.1]. So it is worth describing the extremal values h_{M} and k_{M} . For this purpose, we denote

(4.1)
$$Q := Q(h,t) = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \sum_{i=0}^{5} \phi'_i(t)h^i.$$

From (3.2), we obtain

$$\frac{dk}{dh} = \frac{dt}{dh}h + t = \frac{S}{Q},$$

 $^{^2\}mathrm{card}(\cdot)$ denotes for the cardinality of $\cdot.$

for a polynomial

(4.2)
$$S := S(h,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{4} \nu_j(t)h^j,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \nu_0(t) &= 8t^2 + 12t^3 + 20t^4 + 10t^5 + 6t^6, \\ \nu_1(t) &= 2 - 4t^2 + 2t^3 - 6t^4 - 32t^5 - 60t^6 - 54t^7 - 28t^8 - 8t^9, \\ \nu_2(t) &= 16 + 37t - 101t^3 - 218t^4 - 306t^5 - 308t^6 - 215t^7 - 96t^8 - 21t^9, \\ \nu_3(t) &= 36 + 138t + 180t^2 - 322t^4 - 536t^5 - 504t^6 - 304t^7 - 110t^8 - 18t^9, \\ \nu_4(t) &= 32 + 165t + 336t^2 + 302t^3 - 288t^5 - 320t^6 - 174t^7 - 48t^8 - 5t^9, \\ \nu_5(t) &= 10 + 64t + 168t^2 + 224t^3 + 140t^4 - 56t^6 - 32t^7 - 6t^8. \end{split}$$

Hence we arrive at the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. Under the notation as in (3.3), we have that

- (i) $h_M = \max\{h : \rho(h, t) = 0 \text{ and } Q(h, t) = 0\},$
- (ii) $k_M = \max\{th : \rho(h,t) = 0 \text{ and } S(h,t) = 0\},$ where $\rho(h,t)$, Q(h,t) and S(h,t) are as in (3.1), (4.1) and (4.2), respectively.

Before closing this note, we describe the curvature of $\partial \mathcal{R}$ for the further information above the shape of \mathcal{R} . Since k = th,

$$\frac{d^2k}{dh^2} = \frac{d^2t}{dh^2}h + 2\frac{dt}{dh},$$

and since $\rho(h,t) = 0$ on \mathcal{C} ,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial h} = 0.$$

By differentiation with respect to h, we obtain that

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}\right)\right] \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \frac{d^2t}{dh^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial h}\right) \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial h^2} = 0.$$

Then

$$(4.3) \frac{d^2t}{dh^2} = -\frac{\left(\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial h \partial t}\right) \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t \partial h} \frac{dt}{dh} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial h^2}}{\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}}.$$

It follows from (4.3) that

$$\frac{d^2k}{dh^2} = \frac{2(t+1)P}{Q^3},$$

where a polynomial P := P(h, t) as follows:

(4.4)
$$P(h,t) = \sum_{j=0}^{14} \mu_j(t)h^j$$

(see https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.03349.pdf for detail expression). Hence the curvature κ of $\mathcal C$ can be represented by

$$\kappa = \frac{\left|\frac{d^2k}{dh^2}\right|}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{dk}{dh}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{2(t+1)|P|}{(Q^2 + S^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

References

- J. Y. Bae, I. B. Jung, and G. R. Exner, Criteria for positively quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), no. 11, 3287–3294.
- [2] S. Baek, G. Exner, I. B. Jung, and C. Li, On semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts with first two equal weights, Kyungpook Math. J. 56 (2016), no. 3, 899–910.
- [3] ______, Semi-cubic hyponormality of weighted shifts with Stampfli recursive tail, Integral Equations Operator Theory 88 (2017), no. 2, 229–248.
- [4] Y. B. Choi, A propagation of quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 37 (2000), no. 2, 347–352.
- [5] R. E. Curto, Joint hyponormality: a bridge between hyponormality and subnormality, in Operator theory: operator algebras and applications, Part 2 (Durham, NH, 1988), 69–91, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 51, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990.
- [6] _____, Quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts, Integral Equations Operator Theory 13 (1990), no. 1, 49–66.
- [7] _____, Polynomially hyponormal operators on Hilbert space, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina 37 (1991), no. 1-2, 29-56 (1992).
- [8] R. E. Curto and L. A. Fialkow, Recursively generated weighted shifts and the subnormal completion problem, Integral Equations Operator Theory 17 (1993), no. 2, 202–246.
- [9] R. E. Curto and I. B. Jung, Quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts with two equal weights, Integral Equations Operator Theory 37 (2000), no. 2, 208–231.
- [10] R. E. Curto and W. Y. Lee, Solution of the quadratically hyponormal completion problem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), no. 8, 2479–2489.
- [11] R. E. Curto and M. Putinar, Existence of nonsubnormal polynomially hyponormal operators, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 25 (1991), no. 2, 373–378.
- [12] ______, Nearly subnormal operators and moment problems, J. Funct. Anal. 115 (1993), no. 2, 480–497.
- [13] Y. Do, G. Exner, I. B. Jung, and C. Li, On semi-weakly n-hyponormal weighted shifts, Integral Equations Operator Theory 73 (2012), no. 1, 93–106.
- [14] G. Exner, I. B. Jung, and D. Park, Some quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts, Integral Equations Operator Theory 60 (2008), no. 1, 13–36.
- [15] P. R. Halmos, Normal dilations and extensions of operators, Summa Brasil. Math. 2 (1950), 125–134.
- [16] I. B. Jung and S. S. Park, Quadratically hyponormal weighted shifts and their examples, Integral Equations Operator Theory 36 (2000), no. 4, 480–498.
- [17] _____, Cubically hyponormal weighted shifts and their examples, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 247 (2000), no. 2, 557–569.
- [18] C. Li, M. Cho, and M. R. Lee, A note on cubically hyponormal weighted shifts, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 51 (2014), no. 4, 1031–1040.
- [19] C. Li, M. R. Lee, and S. Baek, Semi-cubically hyponormal weighted shifts with recursive type, Filomat 27 (2013), no. 6, 1043–1056.
- [20] Y. T. Poon and J. Yoon, Quadratically hyponormal recursively generated weighted shifts need not be positively quadratically hyponormal, Integral Equations Operator Theory 58 (2007), no. 4, 551–562.

- [21] J. G. Stampfli, Which weighted shifts are subnormal?, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 367–379.
- [22] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 8.0, Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign, IL, 2010.

SEUNGHWAN BAEK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
DAEGU 41566, KOREA
Email address: seunghwan@knu.ac.kr

MI RYEONG LEE INSTITUTE OF LIBERAL EDUCATION DAEGU CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY GYEONGSAN 38430, KOREA Email address: leemr@cu.ac.kr