# ON COEFFICIENT PROBLEMS FOR STARLIKE FUNCTIONS RELATED TO VERTICAL STRIP DOMAINS 

Oh Sang Kwon and Young Jae Sim

Abstract. In the present paper, we find the sharp bound for the fourth coefficient of starlike functions $f$ which are normalized by $f(0)=0=$ $f^{\prime}(0)-1$ and satisfy the following two-sided inequality:

$$
1+\frac{\gamma-\pi}{2 \sin \gamma}<\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}<1+\frac{\gamma}{2 \sin \gamma}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ is the unit disk and $\gamma$ is a real number such that $\pi / 2 \leq \gamma<\pi$. Moreover, the sharp bound for the fifth coefficient of $f$ defined above with $\gamma$ in a subset of $[\pi / 2, \pi)$ also will be found.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be its subclass of $f$ normalized by $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. That is, $f$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be real numbers such that $0 \leq \alpha<1<\beta$. A function $p \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ if $p$ satisfies $p(0)=1$ and the inequality $\alpha<\mathfrak{R}\{p(z)\}<\beta$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In [4], the authors introduced the class $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which satisfies $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. They obtained for functions $f \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ which have the form given by (1), the following inequality holds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \prod_{k=2}^{n} \frac{k-2+\frac{2(\beta-\alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}}{(n-1)!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Moreover, they obtained the sharp results for the second and third coefficients for functions in $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ as follows (See $[4,5])$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2(\beta-\alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{(\beta-\alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}\left[\cos \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}+\frac{2(\beta-\alpha)}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\gamma \in[\pi / 2, \pi)$, we consider a class denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
1+\frac{\gamma-\pi}{2 \sin \gamma}<\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}<1+\frac{\gamma}{2 \sin \gamma}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

The class $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ was introduced and investigated by Kargar et al. [3]. And this class can be reduced by the class $\mathcal{S}(\alpha, \beta)$ by putting

$$
\alpha=1+\frac{\gamma-\pi}{2 \sin \gamma} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=1+\frac{\gamma}{2 \sin \gamma}, \quad \gamma \in[\pi / 2, \pi) .
$$

Therefore, for functions $f \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ with the form given by (1), we obtain the inequalities $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}$ by (2). Furthermore, from (3) and (4), we get the sharp inequalities $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|a_{3}\right| \leq(1-\cos \gamma) / 2$.

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the sharp bound for the fourth and fifth coefficients of function in $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$. For this, the following notions and results on them are required.

For analytic functions $f$ and $g$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$, denoted by $f \prec g$, if there is an analytic function $w: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$. Further, if $g$ is univalent, then the definition of subordination $f \prec g$ simplifies to the conditions $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D})$.

By using the notion of subordination, we can obtain the following equivalent condition for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec B_{\gamma}(z):=1+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i} \sin \gamma} \log \left(\frac{1+z \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \gamma}}{1+z \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \gamma}}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi / 2 \leq \gamma<\pi$. We note that the function $B_{\gamma}$ defined by (5) can be represented by

$$
B_{\gamma}(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where

$$
A_{n}=\frac{(-1)^{n-1} \sin n \gamma}{n \sin \gamma}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Throughout this paper, for given $\gamma \in[\pi / 2, \pi)$, we will denote

$$
\tau=\tau(\gamma)=\cos \gamma \in(-1,0]
$$

for the sake of our convenient notation. We note that, using the notation $\tau$, several initial coefficients of $B_{\gamma}$ can be represented by

$$
A_{1}=1, \quad A_{2}=-\tau, \quad A_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left(4 \tau^{2}-1\right) \quad \text { and } \quad A_{4}=\tau-2 \tau^{3}
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the class of Carathéodory functions $p \in \mathcal{H}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

having a positive real part in $\mathbb{D}$. For given $p \in \mathcal{P}$ define a function $w: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $w(z)=(p(z)-1) /(p(z)+1)$. Then the property $w(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ holds and therefore $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Now, let us recall several results for the class $\mathcal{P}$ will be used in further considerations.

Lemma 1.1 ([2, p. 41]). If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (6), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (7) is sharp and the equality holds for the function $L$ defined by

$$
L(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}=1+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Lemma 1.2 ([8, 9, Libera and Zlotkiewicz]). If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (6) with $c_{1} \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c_{2}=c_{1}^{2}+\zeta\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 c_{3}=c_{1}^{3}+2 c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) \zeta-c_{1}\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right) \zeta^{2}+2\left(4-c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right) \eta \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\zeta$ and $\eta$ such that $|\zeta| \leq 1$ and $|\eta| \leq 1$.
Lemma 1.3 ([6, Kwon, Lecko and Sim]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be of the form given by (6) and the formula (8) with $c_{1} \in[0,2)$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ holds. Then $p$ must be of the form

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+\mu(1+\zeta) z+\zeta z^{2}}{1-\mu(1-\zeta) z-\zeta z^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $\mu \in[0,1)$. Here, $\mathbb{T}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$.
We remark here that a special case Lemma 1.3 with $\zeta=-1 \in \mathbb{T}$ implies the result in [7, Lemma 2.3].

The next lemma is a special case of more general results due to Choi, Kim and Sugawa [1] (see also [10]). Define

$$
Y(a, b, c)=\max _{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}}\left(\left|a+b z+c z^{2}\right|+1-|z|^{2}\right), \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{D}}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq 1\}$.

Lemma 1.4 ([1, Choi, Kim and Sugawa]). If $a c \geq 0$, then

$$
Y(a, b, c)= \begin{cases}|a|+|b|+|c|, & |b| \geq 2(1-|c|) \\ 1+|a|+\frac{b^{2}}{4(1-|c|)}, & |b|<2(1-|c|)\end{cases}
$$

If $a c<0$, then

$$
Y(a, b, c)= \begin{cases}1-|a|+\frac{b^{2}}{4(1-|c|)}, & -4 a c\left(c^{-2}-1\right) \leq b^{2} \wedge|b|<2(1-|c|) \\ 1+|a|+\frac{b^{2}}{4(1+|c|)}, & b^{2}<\min \left\{4(1+|c|)^{2},-4 a c\left(c^{-2}-1\right)\right\} \\ R(a, b, c), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
R(a, b, c)= \begin{cases}|a|+|b|-|c|, & |c|(|b|+4|a|) \leq|a b| \\ -|a|+|b|+|c|, & |a b| \leq|c|(|b|-4|a|) \\ (|c|+|a|) \sqrt{1-\frac{b^{2}}{4 a c}}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## 2. On the fourth coefficients of functions in $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$

Let $x_{1} \approx-0.468, x_{2} \approx-0.454, x_{3} \approx-0.141$ and $x_{4} \approx-0.072$ be the unique zeros in $[-1,0]$ of the polynomials $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(x)=-11+83 x+72 x^{2}-272 x^{3}+128 x^{4} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$q_{2}(x)=1001-9658 x+10533 x^{2}+29820 x^{3}-75792 x^{4}+66624 x^{5}-26624 x^{6}+4096 x^{7}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{3}(x)=71+420 x-560 x^{2}+192 x^{3} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
q_{4}(x)=11+140 x-176 x^{2}+64 x^{3}
$$

respectively. And define the functions $g, h, k:[-1,0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
g(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{32\left(479-1092 x+784 x^{2}-192 x^{3}\right)}{3(7-4 x)^{3}}, & x \in\left[-1, x_{3}\right] ;  \tag{13}\\ 16, & x \in\left[x_{3}, 0\right]\end{cases}
$$

$$
h(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{8 \sqrt{6}(7-4 x)^{2}\left(1-9 x+8 x^{2}\right)}{3-4 x}\left(\frac{3-4 x}{29-127 x+124 x^{2}-32 x^{3}}\right)^{3 / 2}, & x \in\left[-1, x_{2}\right]  \tag{14}\\ \frac{16(5-4 x)^{3 / 2}}{3 \sqrt{16-21 x+8 x^{2}}}, & x \in\left[x_{2}, x_{4}\right] \\ \frac{32\left(479-1092 x+784 x^{2}-192 x^{3}\right)}{3(7-4 x)^{3}}, & x \in\left[x_{4}, 0\right]\end{cases}
$$

and
(15)
$k(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{8}{3}\left(1-9 x+8 x^{2}\right), & x \in\left[-1, x_{1}\right] ; \\ \frac{\left(-7+39 x+176 x^{2}-36 x^{3}+128 x^{4}\right)}{57-48 x^{2}} \sqrt{k_{1}(x)} \sqrt{k_{2}(x),} & x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] ; \\ \frac{8 \sqrt{6}(7-4 x)\left(-767 x-92 x^{2}+32 x^{3}\right)}{-29+127 x-124 x^{2}+32 x^{3}}\left(\frac{3-4 x}{29-127 x+124 x^{2}-32 x^{3}}\right)^{1 / 2}, & x \in\left[x_{2}, 0\right]\end{cases}$
with

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}(x):=\frac{64-39 x-224 x^{2}+336 x^{3}-128 x^{4}}{95+171 x-232 x^{2}-144 x^{3}+128 x^{4}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}(x):=\frac{266+912 x-832 x^{2}-768 x^{3}+512 x^{4}}{64-39 x-224 x^{2}+336 x^{3}-128 x^{4}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. By comparing the functions $g, h$ and $k$ for fixed $x \in[-1,0]$, we can obtain the following relation which will be used for the proof of our result (see figures below):

$$
\max \{g(x), h(x), k(x)\}= \begin{cases}k(x), & \text { when } x \in\left[-1, x_{2}\right] ;  \tag{18}\\ h(x), & \text { when } x \in\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right] ; \\ g(x), & \text { when } x \in\left[x_{3}, 0\right]\end{cases}
$$

Now, we suggest the sharp bound for the fourth coefficient of $f \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in[\pi / 2, \pi)$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\gamma \in[\pi / 2, \pi)$. If $f \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ has the form given by (1), then (19)

$$
\left|a_{4}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{3}, & \text { when } \pi / 2 \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_{3} \\ \frac{(5-4 \tau)^{3 / 2}}{9 \sqrt{16-21 \tau+8 \tau^{2}}}, & \text { when } \gamma_{3} \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_{2} \\ \frac{-7+39 \tau+176 \tau^{2}-336 \tau^{3}+128 \tau^{4}}{12\left(57-48 \tau^{2}\right)} \sqrt{k_{1}(\tau)} \sqrt{k_{2}(\tau)}, & \text { when } \gamma_{2} \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_{1} \\ \frac{1}{18}(1-\tau)(1-8 \tau), & \text { when } \gamma_{1} \leq \gamma<\pi\end{cases}
$$

with $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ given by (16) and (17), respectively. Here, $\gamma_{1} \approx 2.058, \gamma_{2} \approx$ 2.042 and $\gamma_{3} \approx 1.712$ is the unique root in $[\pi / 2, \pi]$ of the equation $q_{1}(\cos \gamma)=0$, $q_{2}(\cos \gamma)=0$ and $q_{3}(\cos \gamma)=0$, where $q_{1}, q_{2}$ and $q_{3}$ is defined by (10), (11) and (12), respectively. This result is sharp.
Proof. For given $\gamma \in[\pi / 2, \pi)$, let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ be of the form (1). Then there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with the form given by (6) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=B_{\gamma}\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting the series (1) and (6) into (20) by equating the coefficient we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
48 a_{4}=9 c_{3}-2(4 \tau+1) c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(8 \tau^{2}+3 \tau-2\right) c_{1}^{3} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the class $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ is invariant under the rotations, by Lemma 1.1, we may assume that $c_{1}:=t \in[0,2]$. By using (8) and (9) in Lemma 1.2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
48\left|a_{4}\right|=\mid & \frac{1}{3}\left(8 \tau^{2}-9 \tau+1\right) t^{3}+(3-4 \tau) t\left(4-t^{2}\right) \zeta-2 t\left(4-t^{2}\right) \zeta^{2}  \tag{22}\\
& +4\left(4-t^{2}\right)\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right) \eta \mid
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
Assume first that $t=2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{4}\right|=\frac{1}{18}(1-\tau)(1-8 \tau) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $t=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{4}\right|=\frac{1}{3}\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)|\eta| \leq \frac{1}{3}, \quad(\zeta, \eta) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $t \in(0,2)$. Applying the triangle inequality to (22) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
48\left|a_{4}\right| \leq 4\left(4-t^{2}\right) \Gamma(A, B, C) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is defined by

$$
\Gamma(A, B, C)=\left|A+B \zeta+C \zeta^{2}\right|+1-|\zeta|^{2}, \quad \zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\left(8 \tau^{2}-9 \tau+1\right) t^{3}}{12\left(4-t^{2}\right)}, \quad B=\frac{1}{4}(3-4 \tau) t, \quad \text { and } \quad C=-\frac{1}{2} t . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will find $\max _{\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} \Gamma(A, B, C)$ by using Lemma 1.4. For this, we note that $A C<0$ since $\tau \in(-1,0]$ and $t \in(0,2)$. We also note that

$$
-4 A C\left(C^{-2}-1\right) \leq B^{2}, \quad t \in(0,2)
$$

since

$$
4 A C\left(C^{-2}-1\right)+B^{2}=\frac{1}{48} c^{2}\left(19-16 \tau^{2}\right) \geq 0, \quad t \in(0,2)
$$

Moreover, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|C|(|B|+4|A|) \geq|A B| \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0,2)$ and $\tau \in(-1,0]$. Indeed the inequality (27) is equivalent to $t^{2} \leq \lambda_{\tau}$, where

$$
\lambda_{\tau}=\frac{24(3-4 \tau)}{-32 \tau^{3}-4 \tau^{2}+17 \tau+13}
$$

and we can easily check that $\lambda_{\tau}>4$ for given $\tau \in(-1,0]$.
Now, let

$$
\tau^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{24(3-4 \tau)}{29-127 \tau+124 \tau^{2}-32 \tau^{3}}}
$$

We note that $0<8 /(7-4 \tau)<\tau^{*}<2$ for given $\tau \in(-1,0]$. And we consider subintervals $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ of $(0,2)$ defined by $I_{1}=(0,8 /(7-4 \tau)], I_{2}=[8 /(7-$ $\left.4 \tau), \tau^{*}\right]$ and $I_{3}=\left[\tau^{*}, 2\right)$, respectively.

Let us consider the case $t \in I_{1}$. Then we have $|B|<2(1-|C|)$, and by Lemma 1.4 we get

$$
4\left(4-t^{2}\right) \Gamma(A, B, C) \leq 4\left(4-t^{2}\right)\left[1+|A|+\frac{B^{2}}{4(1-|C|)}\right]=\Psi(t)
$$

where $\Psi: I_{1} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\Psi(x)=\frac{1}{24}\left(19-16 \tau^{2}\right) x^{3}-\frac{1}{4}(7-4 \tau)(1+4 \tau) x^{2}+16 .
$$

When $\tau \in(-1,-1 / 4]$, since

$$
\Psi^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{4}\left(19-16 \tau^{2}\right) x-\frac{1}{2}(7-4 \tau)(1+4 \tau) \geq-\frac{1}{2}(1+4 \tau)(7-4 \tau) \geq 0
$$

for $x \in(0,2), \Psi$ is convex on the interval $I_{1}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x) \leq \max \left\{\Psi(0), \Psi\left(\frac{8}{7-4 \tau}\right)\right\}, \quad x \in I_{1} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\tau \in(-1 / 4,0]$, we have $\Psi^{\prime}(x)=0$ occurs at $x=0$ or $x=\dot{\tau}$, where

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\tau}:=\frac{4(7-4 \tau)(1+4 \tau)}{19-16 \tau^{2}}>0
$$

Since the leading coefficient of $\Psi$ is positive for given $\tau \in(-1 / 4,0], \Psi(\stackrel{\circ}{\tau})$ is a local minimum on $I_{1}$ and therefore we have the inequality (28) again for the case $\tau \in(-1 / 4,0]$. Furthermore, we can obtain $\Psi(8 /(7-4 \tau)) \geq 16$ when $\tau \in\left[-1, x_{3}\right]$ and $\Psi(8 /(7-4 \tau)) \leq 16$ when $\tau \in\left[x_{3}, 0\right]$. Therefore, we can obtain

$$
\Psi(x) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\Psi\left(\frac{8}{7-4 \tau}\right), & \text { when } \tau \in\left(-1, x_{3}\right],  \tag{29}\\
16, & \text { when } \tau \in\left[x_{3}, 0\right],
\end{array} \quad x \in I_{1} .\right.
$$

And, it follows from (24), (25) and (29) that

$$
48\left|a_{4}\right| \leq g(\tau), \quad \text { when } t \in I_{1} \cup\{0\}=[0,8 /(7-4 \tau)]
$$

where $g$ is defined by (13).
Next, we consider the case $t \in I_{2}$. In this case we have $|A B| \leq|C|(|B|-4|A|)$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left(4-t^{2}\right) \Gamma(A, B, C) \leq 4\left(4-t^{2}\right)(-|A|+|B|+|C|)=\Lambda(t) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda: I_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\Lambda(x)=-\frac{1}{3}\left(8 \tau^{2}-21 \tau+16\right) x^{3}+(20-16 \tau) x
$$

Moreover, $\Lambda^{\prime}(x)=0$ at $x=\tilde{\tau}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\tau}:=\sqrt{\frac{20-16 \tau}{16-21 \tau+8 \tau^{2}}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the values $8 /(7-4 \tau), \tau^{*}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$, we have

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{8}{7-4 \tau} \leq \tau^{*} \leq \tilde{\tau}, & \text { when } \tau \in\left(-1, x_{2}\right] \\ \frac{8}{7-4 \tau} \leq \tilde{\tau} \leq \tau^{*}, & \text { when } \tau \in\left[x_{2}, x_{4}\right] \\ \tilde{\tau} \leq \frac{8}{7-4 \tau} \leq \tau^{*}, & \text { when } \tau \in\left[x_{4}, 0\right]\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, $\Lambda$ is increasing on $I_{2}$ when $\tau \in\left(-1, x_{2}\right]$, and $\Lambda$ is decreasing on $I_{2}$ when $\tau \in\left[x_{4}, 0\right]$. On the other hand, when $\tau \in\left[x_{2}, x_{4}\right]$, $\Lambda$ has its local maximum at $\tilde{\tau}$. Using these facts, we can obtain the maximum for $\Lambda$ on $I_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\Lambda(x) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda\left(\tau^{*}\right), & \text { when } \tau \in\left(-1, x_{2}\right] ;  \tag{32}\\
\Lambda(\tilde{\tau}), & \text { when } \tau \in\left[x_{2}, x_{4}\right] ; \\
\Lambda\left(\frac{8}{7-4 \tau}\right), & \text { when } \tau \in\left[x_{4}, 0\right]
\end{array} \quad x\right.
$$

Combining (25), (30) and (32), we have

$$
48\left|a_{4}\right| \leq h(\tau), \quad \text { when } t \in I_{2}
$$

where $h$ is defined by (14).
Next, let us consider the case $t \in I_{3}$. In this case, from Lemma 1.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left(4-t^{2}\right) \Gamma(A, B, C) \leq 4\left(4-t^{2}\right)(|C|+|A|) \sqrt{1-\frac{B^{2}}{4 A C}}=\Phi(t) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi: I_{3} \cup\{2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\Phi(x)=\frac{1}{3}\left(24 x+\left(8 \tau^{2}-9 \tau-5\right) x^{3}\right) \sqrt{\phi(x)}
$$

with

$$
\phi(x)=1+\frac{3(3-4 \tau)^{2}\left(4-x^{2}\right)}{8\left(8 \tau^{2}-9 \tau+1\right) x^{2}}
$$

Differentiating $\Phi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2} x^{2}}{2\left(1-9 \tau+8 \tau^{2}\right) \sqrt{\phi(x)}}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\kappa_{1}=8\left(-64+39 \tau+224 \tau^{2}-336 \tau^{3}+128 \tau^{4}\right)
$$

and

$$
\kappa_{2}=\left(5+9 \tau-8 \tau^{2}\right)\left(19-16 \tau^{2}\right)
$$

We note that $\kappa_{2} \geq 0$ for $\tau \in\left[\frac{9-\sqrt{241}}{16}, 0\right]$ and $\kappa_{2} \leq 0$ for $\tau \in\left(-1, \frac{9-\sqrt{241}}{16}\right]$.
When $\tau \in\left[\frac{9-\sqrt{241}}{16}, 0\right]$, we have
$\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2} x^{2} \leq \kappa_{1}+4 \kappa_{2}=12\left(-11+83 \tau+72 \tau^{2}-272 \tau^{2}+128 \tau^{4}\right)<0, \quad x \in I_{3}$.

Therefore, by (34), $\Phi$ is decreasing on $I_{3}$. Also, $\Phi$ is decreasing on $I_{3}$ for the case $\tau \in\left[x_{2}, \frac{9-\sqrt{241}}{16}\right]$, since

$$
\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2} x^{2} \leq \kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\left(\tau^{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{-8 q_{2}(\tau)}{29-127 \tau+124 \tau^{2}-32 \tau^{3}} \leq 0, \quad x \in I_{3}
$$

For the case $\tau \in\left(-1, x_{2}\right]$, we note that $\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}<0$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(x)=0$ occurs at $x=\hat{\tau}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\tau}:=\sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{1}}{-\kappa_{2}}}=\sqrt{\frac{8\left(-64+39 \tau+224 \tau^{2}-336 \tau^{3}+128 \tau^{4}\right)}{-\left(5+9 \tau-8 \tau^{2}\right)\left(19-16 \tau^{2}\right)}} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the values $2, \tau^{*}$ and $\hat{\tau}$, we have

$$
\begin{cases}\tau^{*} \leq 2 \leq \hat{\tau}, & \text { when } \tau \in\left(-1, x_{1}\right] \\ \tau^{*} \leq \hat{\tau} \leq 2, & \text { when } \tau \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, $\Phi$ is increasing on $\left[\tau^{*}, 2\right]$ when $\tau \in\left(-1, x_{1}\right]$, and $\Phi$ has the local maximum at $x=\hat{\tau}$ when $\tau \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. Using these facts, we can obtain the following maximum for $\Phi$ on $I_{3}$ for each cases:

$$
\Phi(x) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi(2), & \text { when } \tau \in\left(-1, x_{1}\right] ;  \tag{36}\\
\Phi(\hat{\tau}), & \text { when } \tau \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] ; \\
\Phi\left(\tau^{*}\right), & \text { when } \tau \in\left[x_{2}, 0\right]
\end{array} \quad x \in I_{3}\right.
$$

Combining (23), (25), (33) and (36), we have

$$
48\left|a_{4}\right| \leq k(\tau), \quad \text { when } t \in I_{3} \cup\{2\}=\left[\tau^{*}, 2\right]
$$

where $k$ is defined by (15).
Consequently, we obtain

$$
48\left|a_{4}\right| \leq \begin{cases}g(\tau), & \text { when } t \in[0,8 /(7-4 \tau)]  \tag{37}\\ h(\tau), & \text { when } t \in\left[8 /(7-4 \tau), \tau^{*}\right] ; \\ k(\tau), & \text { when } t \in\left[\tau^{*}, 2\right]\end{cases}
$$

We note that each conditions $\gamma \in\left[\pi / 2, \gamma_{3}\right], \gamma \in\left[\gamma_{3}, \gamma_{2}\right], \gamma \in\left[\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}\right]$ and $\gamma \in$ $\left[\gamma_{1}, \pi\right)$ are equivalent to $\tau \in\left[x_{3}, 0\right], \tau \in\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right], \tau \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ and $\tau \in\left(-1, x_{1}\right]$, respectively. Thus, it follows from (37) and (18) that the inequality (19) holds.

From now, we will show that this result is sharp. The equality for the first case (i.e., $\gamma \in\left[\pi / 2, \gamma_{3}\right]$ ) in (19) holds for the function $f_{1} \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ defined by

$$
f_{1}(z)=z \exp \left[\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\xi}\left(B_{\gamma}\left(\xi^{3}\right)-1\right) \mathrm{d} \xi\right]=z+\frac{1}{3} z^{4}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

And the equality for the fourth case (i.e., $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{1}, \pi\right)$ ) in (19) holds for the function $f_{2} \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{2}(z)= & z \exp \left[\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\xi}\left(B_{\gamma}(\xi)-1\right) \mathrm{d} \xi\right] \\
= & z+z^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1-\tau) z^{3}+\frac{1}{18}\left(1-9 \tau+8 \tau^{2}\right) z^{4}  \tag{38}\\
& +\frac{1}{72}\left(-5+41 \tau^{2}-36 \tau^{3}\right) z^{5}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
\end{align*}
$$

To consider the sharpness for the second case in (19), let us fix $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{3}, \gamma_{2}\right]$. We note that the equality in (19) holds for $\zeta$ which satisfies

$$
4\left(4-t^{2}\right)\left(\left|A+B \zeta+C \zeta^{2}\right|+1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)=4\left(4-t^{2}\right)(-|A|+|B|+|C|)=\Lambda(\tilde{\tau})
$$

where $\tilde{\tau}$ is given by (31) and $A, B, C$ are given by (26) with $t=\tilde{\tau}$. And we can easily check that this relation is satisfied for $\zeta=-1$ and $t=c_{1}=\tilde{\tau}$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$
c_{2}=\tilde{\tau}^{2}-2 \quad \text { and } \quad c_{3}=\tilde{\tau}^{3}-3 \tilde{\tau}
$$

We consider the function $f_{3}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}(z)=z \exp \left[\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\xi}\left(B_{\gamma}\left(\frac{p(\xi)-1}{p(\xi)+1}\right)-1\right) \mathrm{d} \xi\right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in \mathcal{P}$ has the form given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\tilde{\tau} z+\left(\tilde{\tau}^{2}-2\right) z^{2}+\left(\tilde{\tau}^{3}-3 \tilde{\tau}\right) z^{3}+\cdots \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21), we can easily check that equality in (19) holds for this function $f_{3}$. Therefore, it is enough to construct a function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with the form given by (40). Since $c_{2}=c_{1}^{2}-2$, it follows from Lemma 1.3 with $\zeta=-1$ that the function $p$ should be defined by

$$
p(z)=\frac{1-z^{2}}{1-\tilde{\tau} z+z^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and this guarantees the sharpness of the inequality (19) for the second case.
Finally, it is remained to show the sharpness of (19) for the third case. Fix $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}\right]$. We note that the equality in (19) holds for $\zeta$ which satisfies
$4\left(4-t^{2}\right)\left(\left|A+B \zeta+C \zeta^{2}\right|+1-|\zeta|^{2}\right)=4\left(4-t^{2}\right)(|C|+|A|) \sqrt{1-\frac{B^{2}}{4 A C}}=\Phi(\hat{\tau})$,
where $\hat{\tau}$ is given by (35) and $A, B, C$ are given by (26) with $t=\hat{\tau}$. Moreover, this relation holds for $\zeta=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$, where $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ is defined so that

$$
\cos \theta=-\frac{B(A+C)}{4 A C}=\frac{(3-4 \tau)\left(-163-105 \tau+368 \tau^{2}-336 \tau^{3}+128 \tau^{4}\right)}{8\left(-64+39 \tau+224 \tau^{2}-336 \tau^{3}+128 \tau^{4}\right)}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$
c_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{\tau}^{2}+\zeta\left(4-\hat{\tau}^{2}\right)\right] \quad \text { and } \quad c_{3}=\frac{1}{4} \hat{\tau}\left[\hat{\tau}^{2}+\zeta(2-\zeta)\left(4-\hat{\tau}^{2}\right)\right] .
$$

Since the equality in (19) holds for the function $f_{3}$ defined by (39) with $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where

$$
p(z)=1+\hat{\tau} z+\frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{\tau}^{2}+\zeta\left(4-\hat{\tau}^{2}\right)\right] z^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \hat{\tau}\left[\hat{\tau}^{2}+\zeta(2-\zeta)\left(4-\hat{\tau}^{2}\right)\right] z^{3}+\cdots,
$$

it is enough to construct a function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with this representation. Similar methods with the second case and Lemma 1.3 lead us to get the desired function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ defined by

$$
p(z)=\frac{2+\hat{\tau}(1+\zeta) z+2 \zeta z^{2}}{2-\hat{\tau}(1-\zeta) z-2 \zeta z^{2}}
$$

and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
We give here 5 figures which present the graphs of $g, h$ and $k$ defined by (13), (14) and (15), respectively, to justify the equality (18).


Figure 1. Graphs of $g, h, k$ on the interval $\left[-1, x_{1}\right]$


Figure 2. Graphs of $g, h, k$ on the interval $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$


Figure 3. Graphs of $g, h, k$ on the interval $\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$


Figure 4. Graphs of $g, h, k$ on the interval $\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]$


Figure 5. Graphs of $g, h, k$ on the interval $\left[x_{4}, 0\right]$
3. On the fifth coefficients of functions in $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$

In this section, we obtain the sharp bound for the fifth coefficient of $f \in$ $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma$ in a subset of $[\pi / 2, \pi)$. For this, let $x_{5} \approx-0.882$ be the zero in the
interval $(-1,-1 / 2)$ of the polynomial $r_{1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}(x)=10+12 x-31 x^{2}-36 x^{3} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $\gamma_{5} \approx 2.651$ be a root of the equation $r_{1}(\cos \gamma)=0$ and let $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{5}, \pi\right)$. If $f \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ has the form given by (1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{5}\right| \leq \frac{1}{72}\left(-5+41 \tau^{2}-36 \tau^{3}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is sharp.
Proof. By the same methods in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
64 a_{5}=\frac{1}{18} r_{1}(\tau) c_{1}^{4}+\frac{2}{3} r_{2}(\tau) c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+r_{3}(\tau) c_{2}^{2}+\frac{8}{3} r_{4}(\tau) c_{1} c_{3}+8 c_{4} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{1}$ is defined by (41),

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{2}(x)=-2+7 x+12 x^{2} \\
r_{3}(x)=-4 x-2
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
r_{4}(x)=-3 x-1
$$

And we can easily check that

$$
r_{i}(x) \geq 0, \quad i=1,2,3,4
$$

for $x \in\left[-1, x_{5}\right]$. Or, equivalently, $r_{i}(\tau) \geq 0(i=1,2,3,4)$ hold when $\gamma \in\left[\gamma_{5}, \pi\right)$. Therefore, by applying Lemma 1.1 to the inequality which obtained by applying the triangle inequality to (43), we obtain

$$
64\left|a_{5}\right| \leq \frac{8}{9} r_{1}(\tau)+\frac{16}{3} r_{2}(\tau)+4 r_{3}(\tau)+\frac{32}{3} r_{4}(\tau)+16=-\frac{8}{9}\left(5-41 \tau^{2}+36 \tau^{3}\right)
$$

which implies the inequality (42). The equality in (42) holds for $f_{2}$ defined by (38) and this completes the proof of our result.

## References

[1] J. H. Choi, Y. C. Kim, and T. Sugawa, A general approach to the Fekete-Szegö problem, J. Math. Soc. Japan 59 (2007), no. 3, 707-727.
[2] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
[3] R. Kargar, A. Ebadian, and J. J. Sokół, Radius problems for some subclasses of analytic functions, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 11 (2017), no. 7, 1639-1649.
[4] K. Kuroki and S. Owa, Notes on new class for certain analytic functions, RIMS Kokyuroku. Kyoto Univ. 1772 (2011), 21-25.
[5] _ Notes on new class for certain analytic functions, Adv. Math. Sci. J. 1 (2012), no. 2, 127-131.
[6] O. S. Kwon, A. Lecko, and Y. J. Sim, On the fourth coefficient of functions in the Carathéodory class, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 18 (2018), no. 2, 307-314.
[7] M. Li and T. Sugawa, A note on successive coefficients of convex functions, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 17 (2017), no. 2, 179-193.
[8] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1982), no. 2, 225-230.
[9] , Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in $\mathcal{P}$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), no. 2, 251-257.
[10] R. Ohno and T. Sugawa, Coefficient estimates of analytic endomorphisms of the unit disk fixing a point with applications to concave functions, Kyoto J. Math. 58 (2018), no. 2, 227-241.

Oh Sang Kwon
Department of Mathematics
Kyungsung University
Busan 48434, Korea
Email address: oskwon@ks.ac.kr
Young Jae Sim
Department of Mathematics
Kyungsung University
Busan 48434, Korea
Email address: yjsim@ks.ac.kr

