

ALMOST COHEN-MACAULAYNESS OF KOSZUL HOMOLOGY

AMIR MAFI AND SAMANEH TABEJAMAAT

ABSTRACT. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R and M a non-zero finitely generated R -module. We show that if M and $H_0(I, M)$ are aCM R -modules and $I = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ such that x_1, \dots, x_n is an M -regular sequence, then $H_i(I, M)$ is an aCM R -module for all i . Moreover, we prove that if R and $H_i(I, R)$ are aCM for all i , then $R/(0 : I)$ is aCM. In addition, we prove that if R is aCM and x_1, \dots, x_n is an aCM d -sequence, then $\text{depth } H_i(x_1, \dots, x_n; R) \geq i - 1$ for all i .

Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that R is a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity, I an ideal of R and M a non-zero finitely generated R -module. Let $H_i(I, M)$ denote the i th Koszul homology of the ideal I with respect to some fixed system of generators for I .

The R -module M is called almost Cohen-Macaulay (i.e., aCM) if for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$ $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})$, and R is called an aCM ring if it is an aCM R -module. It is clear that all CM R -modules are aCM. Several fundamental properties and some characterizations of aCM modules have been proved in [9]. In particular, Kang in [9] proved that if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring, then M is an aCM R -module if and only if $\dim M \leq 1 + \text{depth } M$. Moreover, several interesting examples have been given in [10]. After that, several authors studied aCM modules (see for example [2], [8], [12], [13] and [14]).

Huneke in [6] and [7] studied the Cohen-Macaulayness of Koszul homology of $H_i(I, R)$. The main aim of this paper is to prove the following:

Theorem 0.1. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and I be an ideal of R .*

- (i) *If $I = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ such that x_1, \dots, x_n is an M -regular sequence and $H_0(I, M)$ is an aCM R -module, then $H_i(I, M)$ is an aCM R -module for all $i \geq 0$.*
- (ii) *If (R, \mathfrak{m}) is an aCM local ring and $H_i(I, R)$ is aCM for all i , then $R/(0 : I)$ is aCM.*

Received April 6, 2018; Revised October 12, 2018; Accepted February 7, 2019.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C14, 13D07.

Key words and phrases. almost Cohen-Macaulay modules, Koszul homology.

- (iii) If (R, \mathfrak{m}) is an aCM local ring and x_1, \dots, x_n is an aCM d -sequence, then $\text{depth } H_i(x_1, \dots, x_n; R) \geq i - 1$ for all $i \geq 0$ whenever $H_i(x_1, \dots, x_n; R) \neq 0$.

For basic definitions and unexplained terminologies, we refer the reader to [1] or [15].

1. The results

We begin this section by the following lemma which is a generalization of [7, Remark 1.5].

Lemma 1.1. *Let M be a CM R -module and let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an ideal of R with $H_i(I, M) \neq 0$. Then $\dim H_i(I, M) = \dim M/IM$.*

Proof. It is known that $I + \text{Ann}(M) \subseteq \text{Ann}(H_i(I, M))$. Hence $\dim H_i(I, M) \leq \dim M/IM$. For converse, let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime ideal of $\text{Ass}(M/IM)$ and set $\text{grade}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}) = k$. Then $H_{n-k}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong (\underline{y}M :_M I/\underline{y}M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the last non-vanishing homology module, where $\underline{y} = y_1, \dots, y_k$ is an $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -regular sequence in $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This module is a submodule of $(M/\underline{y}M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is equidimensional and so is all of its submodules. Then by rigidity of the Koszul homology we cannot have any intermediate $H_i(I, M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ equal to 0 (see [15, Theorem 5.10]). Thus $\text{Ann}(H_i(I, M)) \subseteq \sqrt{I + \text{Ann}(M)}$ and so $\dim M/IM \leq \dim H_i(I, M)$. This completes the proof. \square

By using the proof of Lemma 1.1, we conclude that $\text{Ann}(H_i(I, M)) \subseteq \sqrt{I + \text{Ann}(M)}$. Vasconcelos, in [15, page 286], wrote that in general we have $\text{Ann}(H_i(I, R)) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. But the following example says that $\text{Ann}(H_i(I, M))$ is not contained in $\sqrt{\text{Ann}(M/IM)}$ in general.

For the computation of all examples we use Macaulay 2 [3].

Example 1.2. Let $R = k[x, y, z, u]$ be a polynomial ring with k be a field. Let $I = (x, yz, yu)$ be an ideal of R . Then $\text{Ann}(H_1(I, M)) = (x, y)$, where $M = R/I$.

The following example says that the assumption of Cohen-Maculayness of M in Lemma 1.1 is essential.

Example 1.3. Let $R = k[x, y, z]$ be a polynomial ring with k is a field. Let $I = (x, y)$ and $M = R/(x) \oplus R/(x, y, z)$. Then $H_2(I, M) \neq 0$, $\dim H_2(I, M) = 0$ but we have $\dim M/IM = 1$.

Corollary 1.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let N be a CM R -modules with $\text{grade}_N \text{Ann}(M) = g$. Then $\dim \text{Ext}^g(M, N) = \dim N/\text{Ann}(M)N$. In particular, $\dim \text{Ext}^g(M, N) = \dim N - g$.*

Proof. Set $\text{Ann}(M) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. By [11, Corollary 2.2]

$$\dim \text{Ext}^g(R/\text{Ann}(M), N) = \dim \text{Ext}^g(M, N)$$

and also by [1, Theorem 1.6.16]

$$(1) \quad \dim \text{Ext}^g(R/\text{Ann}(M), N) = \dim H_{n-g}(\text{Ann}(M), N).$$

Thus by Lemma 1.1 and [1, Theorem 2.1.2] the result follows. \square

The following result easily follows by the proof of Lemma 1.1 and [13, Definition 2.1].

Corollary 1.5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and I be an ideal of R . If $\dim M \leq 1$, then M and $H_i(I, M)$ are aCM for all i .*

Proposition 1.6. *Let M be an aCM R -module and let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an ideal of R such that $x = x_1$ is an M -regular element. Then $H_i(I, M)$ is aCM for all i if and only if $H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M})$ is aCM for all i , where $\bar{I} = I/(x)$ and $\bar{M} = M/xM$.*

Proof. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$, we have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_i(I, M) \xrightarrow{x} H_i(I, M) \rightarrow H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M}) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(I, M) \xrightarrow{x} H_{i-1}(I, M) \dots$$

Since $xH_i(I, M) = 0 = xH_{i-1}(I, M)$, we have the exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_i(I, M) \rightarrow H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M}) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(I, M) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$. Set $\dim M/IM = d$. If $H_i(I, M)$ are aCM, then $\dim H_i(I, M) - 1 \leq d - 1 \leq \text{depth } H_i(I, M)$ for all i and so by the exact sequence (*), $\dim H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M}) - 1 \leq \text{depth } H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M})$ for all $i \geq 1$. For $i = 0$, $H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M}) = \bar{M}/\bar{I}\bar{M} \cong M/IM = H_i(I, M)$ is aCM.

Conversely, suppose $\dim H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M}) \leq \dim \bar{M}/\bar{I}\bar{M} = d$. Induct on i to show that $\dim H_i(I, M) - 1 \leq \text{depth } H_i(I, M)$. For $i = 0$, $H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M}) \cong M/IM = H_i(I, M)$, and by assumption this is aCM. Suppose we have shown $H_{i-1}(I, M)$ is aCM. It follows from (*) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } H_i(I, M) &\geq \min\{\text{depth } H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M}), \text{depth } H_{i-1}(I, M) + 1\} \\ &\geq \min\{\text{depth } H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M}), d\} = \text{depth } H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{M}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\text{depth } H_i(I, M) \geq \dim H_i(I, M) - 1$ and so $H_i(I, M)$ is aCM, as required. \square

Theorem 1.7. *Let M be an aCM R -module and $I = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ be an ideal of R such that x_1, \dots, x_n is an M -regular sequence. If $H_0(I, M)$ is an aCM R -module, then $H_i(I, M)$ is an aCM R -module for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\text{grade}(I, M) = n$, by [1, Theorem 1.6.17] we have $H_i(I, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. By assumption $H_0(I, M) = M/IM$ is aCM. Thus it remains to show that $H_1(I, M)$ is aCM. By [1, Theorem 1.6.16] we have $H_1(I, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M)$. Consider the following exact sequences

$$(\dagger) \quad 0 \rightarrow M/((x_1, \dots, x_n)M :_M x_{n+1}) \rightarrow M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(\ddagger) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_1(I, M) \rightarrow M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M \rightarrow M/((x_1, \dots, x_n)M :_M x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since M/IM is aCM, we have $\text{depth } M/IM \geq \dim M/IM - 1 \geq \dim M - \text{grade}_M I - 2$, the second inequality follows by [12, Theorem 2.3]. Since M is aCM, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M &= \text{depth } M - n = \text{depth } M - \text{grade}_M I \\ &\geq \dim M - \text{grade}_M I - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the exact sequence (\ddagger) yields that

$$\text{depth } M/((x_1, \dots, x_n)M :_M x_{n+1}) \geq \dim M - \text{grade}_M I - 1.$$

Therefore the exact sequence (\ddagger) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } H_1(I, M) &\geq \dim M - \text{grade}_M I - 1 \\ &\geq \dim M/IM - 1 \geq \dim H_1(I, M) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $H_1(I, M)$ is aCM. □

Theorem 1.8. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be an aCM local ring and I be an ideal of R . If $H_i(I, R)$ is aCM for all i , then $R/(0 : I)$ is aCM.*

Proof. We can assume that $(0 : I) \neq 0$. If $\dim R/I \leq 1$, then by the proof of Lemma 1.1 $\dim H_i(I, R) \leq 1$ and so $\dim R/(0 : I) \leq 1$. Thus $R/(0 : I)$ is aCM.

Now, we can assume that $\dim R/I \geq 2$ and so there exists a nonzero divisor z on $H_i(I, R)$ and R for all i . The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{z} R \longrightarrow R/zR \longrightarrow 0$$

gives a long exact sequence

$$H_i(I, R) \xrightarrow{z} H_i(I, R) \longrightarrow H_i(I, R/zR) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(I, R) \xrightarrow{z} H_{i-1}(I, R).$$

Since z is a nonzero divisor on $H_{i-1}(I, R)$ and $H_i(I, R)$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_i(I, R) \xrightarrow{z} H_i(I, R) \longrightarrow H_i(I, R/zR) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and so $H_i(I, R)/zH_i(I, R) \cong H_i(I/zR, R/zR)$. Thus it follows that $H_i(I/zR, R/zR)$ are aCM. Set $\bar{I} = I/zI$ and $\bar{R} = R/zR$. We induct on $\dim R/I$ to prove $R/(0 : I)$ is aCM. Since $\dim R/I \geq 2$ we choose z as above, $\bar{R}/(0 : \bar{I}) \cong R/(z : I)$ is aCM. Let n be the number of generated of I . Since z is not a zero divisor on $H_n(I, R)$ we have $(z : I)/(z) = H_n(\bar{I}, \bar{R}) = H_n(I, R)/zH_n(I, R) = (0 : I)/z(0 : I)$. It follows that $(z : I) = ((0 : I), z)$. Since z is not a zero divisor on R , z is not a zero divisor on $R/(0 : I)$. As $R/(z : I) = R/((0 : I), z)$ is aCM, we conclude that $R/(0 : I)$ is aCM, as required. □

Huneke in [4] and [5] defined that a sequence x_1, \dots, x_n in R is a d -sequence which satisfies in the following two conditions:

- (i) $x_i \notin (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and

- (ii) for all $k \geq i + 1$ and all $i \geq 0$, $((x_1, \dots, x_i) : x_{i+1}x_k) = ((x_1, \dots, x_i) : x_k)$.

In the following definition we generalize [7, Definition; page 297].

Definition 1.9. A d -sequence x_1, \dots, x_n is called aCM if the rings $R/((x_1, \dots, x_i) :_R I)$ and $R/(((x_1, \dots, x_i) :_R I) + I)$ are aCM for all $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, where $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

In the sequel we recall the following example from [7, Example 2.1].

Example 1.10. Let $X = (x_{ij})$ be an r by s matrix ($r \leq s$) of indeterminates over a field k and let I be the ideal in $k[x_{ij}]_{(x_{ij})}$ generated by the t by t minors of X . Set $R = k[x_{ij}]_{(x_{ij})}/I$. Then the images of any row or column of X in R form a CM d -sequence.

Theorem 1.11. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be an aCM local ring and x_1, \dots, x_n be an aCM d -sequence. Then $\text{depth } H_i(x_1, \dots, x_n; R) \geq i - 1$ for all $i \geq 0$ whenever $H_i(x_1, \dots, x_n; R) \neq 0$.

Proof. Set $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We proceed by induction on n . Clearly, if $n = 1$, then by [1, Exercise 1.6.31], $H_i(I; R) = 0$ for all $i > 1$ and hence we have nothing to prove. Let $n > 1$ and the assertion holds for all d -sequence of length less than n . We consider two cases.

Case 1: Let $k := \text{grade } I > 0$. By [1, Exercise 1.6.31], $H_i(I; R) = 0$ for all $i > n - k$, and $H_i(I; R) \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n - k$. Clearly, if $n = k$ we have nothing to prove. Let $n > k$. Since by [7, Remark 2.6], x_1, \dots, x_k is an R -regular sequence then from [1, Theorem 1.6.16] it follows that $H_{n-k}(I; R) \cong \frac{((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I)}{(x_1, \dots, x_k)}$. Hence, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I)}{(x_1, \dots, x_k)} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{(x_1, \dots, x_k)} \longrightarrow \frac{R}{((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I)} \longrightarrow 0$$

yields that $\text{depth } H_{n-k}(I; R) \geq \dim R - k - 1$, because by this exact sequence, $\text{depth } \frac{R}{(x_1, \dots, x_k)} = \text{depth } R - k \geq \dim R - k - 1$ and by Definition 1.9 we have $\text{depth } \frac{R}{((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I)} \geq \dim \frac{R}{((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I)} - 1$. From [12, Theorem 2.3] it follows that $\text{depth } \frac{R}{((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I)} \geq \dim R - \text{grade}((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I) - 2$. Note that $\text{grade}((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I) = k$. Indeed, since $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \subseteq ((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I)$ then $\text{grade}((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I) \geq k$. Let $\text{grade}((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I) > k$. Thus, there exists $\alpha \in ((x_1, \dots, x_k) :_R I)$ such that $\alpha \notin Z_R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_k))$. Now since $\alpha I \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ then there exists $x_{k+1} \in I \setminus (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ such that $\alpha x_{k+1} \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_k)$. Since $\alpha \notin Z_R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_k))$ then $x_{k+1} \in (x_1, \dots, x_k)$. But this is a contradiction with definition of a d -sequence. Hence

$$\text{depth } H_{n-k}(I; R) \geq n - k - 1.$$

Now, it remains to show that $\text{depth } H_i(I; R) \geq i - 1$ for all $0 \leq i < n - k$. Consider the exact sequence

$$(\#) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_{n-k}(I; R) \longrightarrow H_{n-k}(\bar{I}, \bar{R}) \longrightarrow H_{n-k-1}(I; R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where “ $-$ ” denotes the canonical homomorphism from R to $R/(x_1)$ and $H_{n-k}(\bar{I}, \bar{R})$ is the Koszul homology of the elements $\bar{0}, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n$. Note that by induction hypothesis, for all i we have $\text{depth } H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{R}) \geq i - 1$ as $H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{R}) \cong H_i(\bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n; \bar{R}) \oplus H_{i-1}(\bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n; \bar{R})$ (see [7, Remark 1.4]). So, the exact sequence (‡) yields that $\text{depth } H_{n-k-1}(I; R) \geq n - k - 2$. Hence, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{n-k-1}(I; R) \longrightarrow H_{n-k-1}(\bar{I}, \bar{R}) \longrightarrow H_{n-k-2}(I; R) \longrightarrow 0$$

yields that $\text{depth } H_{n-k-2}(I; R) \geq n - k - 3$. Proceeding in this manner we get $\text{depth } H_i(I; R) \geq i - 1$ for all $0 \leq i < n - k$, as required.

Case 2: Let $\text{grade } I = 0$. By [7, Lemma 1.1], for all $i \geq 0$ we have the exact sequence

$$(‡) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \oplus(0 :_R I) \longrightarrow H_i(I; R) \longrightarrow H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{R}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where “ $-$ ” denotes the homomorphism from R to $R/(0 : I) = \bar{R}$. By this exact sequence, $\text{depth}(0 :_R I) \geq i - 1$, because by Definition 1.9, $R/(0 :_R I)$ is aCM, and hence by [12, Theorem 2.3] we have $\text{depth } R/(0 :_R I) \geq \dim R/(0 :_R I) - 1 \geq \dim R - \text{grade}(0 :_R I) - 2$. Obversely $\text{grade}(0 :_R I) = 0$ and so from the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow (0 :_R I) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/(0 :_R I) \longrightarrow 0$ we have $\text{depth}(0 :_R I) \geq \dim R - 1$. Consequently, from [7, Remark 2.4] it follows that $\text{depth}(0 :_R I) \geq \dim R - 1 \geq n - 1 \geq i - 1$. Since $\text{grade } \bar{I} \geq 1$, by using Case 1 and induction on n we have $\text{depth } H_i(\bar{I}, \bar{R}) \geq i - 1$. Now, the exact sequence (‡) yields that $\text{depth } H_i(I; R) \geq i - 1$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. \square

The following examples show that all almost Cohen-Macaulay R -modules are not necessarily Cohen-Macaulay R -module.

Example 1.12. (i) Let k be a field. Set $R := k[[y]]$ and $M := k[[x, y]]/(x^2, xy)$. Then M is a finitely generated R -module as the set $\{\bar{1}, \bar{x}\}$ generates M , where “ $-$ ” denotes the canonical homomorphism $R[[x]] \rightarrow M$. So, we have $\dim M = \dim R/\text{Ann}_R M = 1$ as $\text{Ann}_R M = 0$. Clearly, $(y) \subseteq Z_R(M)$, hence $\text{depth } M = 0$. Therefore, by [14, Lemma 1.2], it follows that M is an almost Cohen-Macaulay R -module, however, it is not Cohen-Macaulay R -module.

(ii) Let k be a field. Set $R := k[[x, y, z]]$, and $M := \mathbb{K}[[x, y, z]]/(x, y) \cap (x, y, z)^3$. Clearly, $\dim R = 3$, $\dim M = 1$ and $\text{depth } M = 0$. Thus, by [14, Lemma 1.2], M is an almost Cohen-Macaulay R -module but it is not Cohen-Macaulay.

(iii) All finitely generated R -modules with $\dim M \leq 1$ are almost Cohen-Macaulay.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank to Prof. Seyed Hamid Hassanzadeh for constructing of Example 1.2. Also, we would like to thank deeply grateful to the referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and the helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] L. Chu, Z. Tang, and H. Tang, *A note on almost Cohen-Macaulay modules*, J. Algebra Appl. **14** (2015), no. 10, 1550136, 7 pp.
- [3] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, *Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2>.
- [4] C. Huneke, *On the symmetric and Rees algebra of an ideal generated by a d -sequence*, J. Algebra **62** (1980), no. 2, 268–275.
- [5] ———, *The theory of d -sequences and powers of ideals*, Adv. in Math. **46** (1982), no. 3, 249–279.
- [6] ———, *Linkage and the Koszul homology of ideals*, Amer. J. Math. **104** (1982), no. 5, 1043–1062.
- [7] ———, *The Koszul homology of an ideal*, Adv. in Math. **56** (1985), no. 3, 295–318.
- [8] C. Ionescu, *More properties of almost Cohen-Macaulay rings*, J. Commut. Algebra **7** (2015), no. 3, 363–372.
- [9] M.-C. Kang, *Almost Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), no. 2, 781–787.
- [10] ———, *Addendum to: “Almost Cohen-Macaulay modules”, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), no. 2, 781–787*, Comm. Algebra, **30**(2)(2002), 1049–1052.
- [11] A. Mafi and H. Saremi, *A note on the finiteness property related to derived functors*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **34** (2009), no. 3, 371–374.
- [12] A. Mafi and S. Tabejamaat, *Results on almost Cohen-Macaulay modules*, J. Algebr. Syst. **3** (2016), no. 2, 147–150, 5 (Persian p.).
- [13] S. Tabejamaat and A. Mafi, *About a Serre-type condition for modules*, J. Algebra Appl. **16** (2017), no. 11, 1750206, 6 pp.
- [14] S. Tabejamaat, A. Mafi, and K. Ahmadi Amoli, *Property of almost Cohen-Macaulay over extension modules*, Algebra Colloq. **24** (2017), no. 3, 509–518.
- [15] W. Vasconcelos, *Integral Closure*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

AMIR MAFI
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF KURDISTAN
 P.O. BOX: 416, SANANDAJ, IRAN
 Email address: A_Mafi@ipm.ir

SAMANEH TABEJAMAAT
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY
 P.O. BOX: 19395-3697, TEHRAN, IRAN
 Email address: samanetabejamaat_golestan@yahoo.com