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#### Abstract

Let $n$ be the spatial dimension. For $d, 0<d \leq n$, let $H^{d}$ be the $d$-dimensional Hausdorff content. The purpose of this paper is to prove the boundedness of the dyadic strong maximal operator on the Choquet space $L^{p}\left(H^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $\min (1, d)<p$. We also show that our result is sharp.


## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to prove the boundedness of the strong maximal function on the Choquet spaces. For a locally integrable function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the strong maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{S}$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{S} f(x):=\sup _{R} \mathbf{1}_{R}(x) f_{R}|f(y)| \mathrm{d} y,
$$

where the supremum is taken over all rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ whose sides are parallel to the coordinate axes and the barred integral $f_{R} f \mathrm{~d} x$ stands for the usual integral average of $f$ over $R . \mathbf{1}_{R}$ denotes the characteristic function of $R$. As usual, we can reduce the problem to the dyadic situation. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ the family of all dyadic intervals in $\mathbb{R}$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{2^{k}(m+[0,1)): k, m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Then elements of $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}: I_{k} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$ are called the dyadic rectangles. On the other hand, we denote the usual dyadic cubes by $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{2^{k}\left(m+[0,1)^{n}\right): k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}
$$

We define the dyadic strong maximal function by

$$
M_{S} f(x)=\sup _{R \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbf{1}_{R}(x) f_{R}|f(y)| \mathrm{d} y
$$

[^0]where the supremum is taken over all dyadic rectangles in $\mathcal{R}$.
If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $0<d \leq n$, then the $d$-dimensional Hausdorff content $H^{d}$ of $E$ is defined by
$$
H^{d}(E):=\inf \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} l\left(Q_{j}\right)^{d}
$$
where the infimum is taken over all coverings of $E$ by countable families of dyadic cubes $Q_{j}$ and $l(Q)$ denotes the side length of the cube $Q$. In [2], for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M$, Orobitg and Verdera proved the strong type inequality
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(M f)^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f|^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for $d / n<p<\infty$, and the weak type inequality

$$
\sup _{t>0} t H^{d}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: M f(x)>t\right\}\right)^{1 / p} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f|^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d}, \quad t>0
$$

for $p=d / n$. Here, the integrals are taken in the Choquet sense, that is, the Choquet integral of $f \geq 0$ with respect to a set function $\mathcal{C}$ is defined by

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{C}:=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f(x)>t\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Formerly, Adams proved the strong type estimate for $p=1$ and $0<d<n$ in [1] by using duality of BMO and the Hardy space $H^{1}$ among other things.

In this note, we prove the following strong type inequality for $M_{S}$.
Theorem 1.1. Let $0<d \leq n$. Then for $\min (1, d)<p<\infty$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(M_{S} f\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f| \mathrm{d} H^{d}
$$

Moreover, the exponent $p$ is sharp.
Remark 1.2. (1) Using the standard dyadic argument, we can prove the same inequality for $\mathcal{M}_{S}$. Further, one may expect to establish the weak type estimate for $p=\min (1, d)$. But we cannot prove it until now, and further refinement of the known proofs for the endpoint estimate for the strong maximal operator would be needed.
(2) We define the $k$-th variable maximal operator by

$$
M_{k} f(x)=\sup _{I \in \mathcal{D}} \mathbf{1}_{I}\left(x_{k}\right) f_{I}\left|f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} y_{k}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. That is, $M_{k}$ is the operator defined on functions in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by letting the one-dimensional Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator acts on the $k$-th variable while keeping the remaining variables fixed. We first notice that the strong maximal operator is dominated pointwisely by an iterated maximal operator as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{S} f(x) \leq M_{n} M_{n-1} \cdots M_{1} f(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Fubini's theorem for the Lebesgue measure $\mathrm{d} x$ and boundedness of $M_{k}$ on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{~d} x)$, we can get

$$
\left\|\mathcal{M}_{S} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} x\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathrm{~d} x\right)}
$$

for $p>1$. However, we have not known whether the Fubini-type theorem holds or not for the Hausdorff content, this strategy does not work.
(3) Comparing Orobitg and Verdera's result (1.1), one may be wondering why the range $p, \min (1, d)<p<\infty$ in Theorem 1.1, does not depend on the spatial dimension $n$. We will give a remark on this point in the last section.

## 2. Lemmas

We begin to prove the following lemma. This is due to Orobitg and Verdera [2].
Lemma 2.1. For any dyadic cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\min (1, d)<p$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} \leq C l(Q)^{d}
$$

Proof. Fix a dyadic interval $I \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. We define

$$
\pi^{0}(I):=I
$$

and $\pi^{j}(I)$ denotes the smallest interval in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ containing $\pi^{j-1}(I)$ for $j=$ $1,2, \ldots$. We see $l\left(\pi^{j}(I)\right)=2^{j} l(I)$. We denote by $\operatorname{Pr}_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$ the projection on the $x_{k}$-axis. Obviously, $Q=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Pr}_{k}(Q)$. Further, we define

$$
\mathcal{P}^{m}(Q):=\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \pi^{j_{k}}\left(\operatorname{Pr}_{k}(Q)\right): \sum_{k=1}^{n} j_{k}=m\right\}, \quad m=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

In particular, we deduce that $\mathcal{P}^{0}(Q)=\{Q\}$, and that the number of elements in $\mathcal{P}^{m}(Q)$ is $\# \mathcal{P}^{m}(Q)=\binom{m+n-1}{n-1}$. Here, $\binom{n}{k}=\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$. Now we see that if $R \in \mathcal{P}^{m}(Q)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|R| & =\prod_{k=1}^{n} l\left(\pi^{j_{k}}\left(\operatorname{Pr}_{k}(Q)\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{n} 2^{j_{k}} l\left(\operatorname{Pr}_{k}(Q)\right) \\
& =2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} j_{k}}|Q|=2^{m}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that the rectangle $R$ in $\mathcal{P}^{m}(Q)$ contains the original cube $Q$ and its volume is just $|Q|$ times $2^{m}$. Moreover, we set

$$
B_{m}:=\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{P}^{m}(Q)} R, \quad m=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

By definition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B_{m}\right| & \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{P}^{m}(Q)}|R| \\
& =\# \mathcal{P}^{m}(Q) \cdot 2^{m}|Q| \\
& =\binom{m+n-1}{n-1} 2^{m}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$

and this implies that $B_{m}$ can be covered by at most $\binom{m+n-1}{n-1} 2^{m}$ cubes $Q$. Now, we can show that

$$
M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right](x)=\mathbf{1}_{Q}(x)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \mathbf{1}_{B_{m} \backslash B_{m-1}}(x)
$$

Indeed, if $m=0$ and $x \in Q$, then obviously $M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right](x)=1$. If $m \geq 1$ and $x \in B_{m} \backslash B_{m-1}$, then there exists $R \in \mathcal{P}^{m}$ containing $x$, and for all $k ; 0 \leq k \leq m-1$, and any $R^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}^{k}, x$ does not belong to $R^{\prime}$. Thus,

$$
M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right](x)=\frac{|Q \cap R|}{|R|}=\frac{|Q|}{|R|}=\frac{1}{2^{m}}
$$

Now, we have

$$
M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right](x)^{p}=\mathbf{1}_{Q}(x)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m p} \mathbf{1}_{B_{m} \backslash B_{m-1}}(x)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} & \leq l(Q)^{d}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m p} H^{d}\left(B_{m} \backslash B_{m-1}\right) \\
& \leq l(Q)^{d}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m p} H^{d}\left(B_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $d \geq 1$ : We notice $p>1$. By the previous observation, we can cover $B_{m}$ by $\binom{m+n-1}{n-1} 2^{m}$ copies of cubes $Q$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} & \leq l(Q)^{d}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m p}\binom{m+n-1}{n-1} 2^{m} l(Q)^{d} \\
& \leq l(Q)^{d}+l(Q)^{d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} 2^{(1-p) m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence by d'Alembert's criterion the last series converges as $1-p<0$.
Case $\boldsymbol{d}<\mathbf{1}$ : We notice $p>d$. Covering $B_{m}$ by one large cube $\widetilde{Q}$ whose side length is $2^{m} l(Q)$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} \leq l(Q)^{d}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m p} 2^{m d} l(Q)^{d}
$$

$$
=l(Q)^{d}+l(Q)^{d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{(d-p) m}
$$

and the last series converges as $d-p<0$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof is due to [2]. We may assume that $f \geq 0$. For each integer $k$, let $\left\{Q_{j}^{k}\right\}_{j}$ be a family of nonoverlapping dyadic cubes $Q_{j}^{k}$ such that

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: 2^{k}<f(x) \leq 2^{k+1}\right\} \subset \bigcup_{j} Q_{j}^{k}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j} l\left(Q_{j}^{k}\right)^{d} \leq 2 H^{d}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: 2^{k}<f(x) \leq 2^{k+1}\right\}\right)
$$

Set $g=\sum_{k} 2^{p(k+1)} \mathbf{1}_{A_{k}}$, where $A_{k}=\bigcup_{j} Q_{j}^{k}$. Thus, $f^{p} \leq g$.
Assume first that $d<1$ and $1 \leq p$. Then

$$
\left(M_{S} f\right)^{p} \leq M_{S}\left[f^{p}\right] \leq M_{S}[g] \leq \sum_{k} 2^{p(k+1)} \sum_{j} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q_{j}^{k}}\right] .
$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(M_{S} f\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} & \leq \sum_{k} 2^{p(k+1)} \sum_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q_{j}^{k}}\right] \mathrm{d} H^{d} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k} 2^{p(k+1)} \sum_{j} l\left(Q_{j}^{k}\right)^{d} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k} 2^{p(k+1)} H^{d}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: 2^{k}<f(x) \leq 2^{k+1}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{k} \frac{2^{2 p}}{2^{p}-1} \int_{2^{(k-1) p}}^{2 k p} H^{d}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f(x)^{p}>t\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves this case.
Assume now that $d<p<1$. Since $f \leq \sum_{k} 2^{k+1} \mathbf{1}_{A_{k}}$,

$$
M_{S} f \leq \sum_{k} 2^{k+1} \sum_{j} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q_{j}^{k}}\right] .
$$

We have that, since $p<1$,

$$
\left(M_{S} f\right)^{p} \leq \sum_{k} 2^{p(k+1)} \sum_{j} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q_{j}^{k}}\right]^{p}
$$

and, hence,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(M_{S} f\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} \leq C \sum_{k} 2^{(k+1) p} \sum_{j} l\left(Q_{j}^{k}\right)^{d} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} .
$$

Finally, if we assume $d \geq 1$, then since $p>1$, so we have nothing to prove. This completes the proof of the inequality in Theorem 1.1.

In the next section, we discuss the sharpness of the exponent $p$.

## 4. Sharpness

In this section, we show that the condition $\min (1, d)<p$ in Theorem 1.1 is sharp. In particular, for some dyadic cube $Q$ we show that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d}=\infty
$$

if $p \leq \min (1, d)$.
Let $d<n$. Fix a dyadic cube $Q$ as

$$
Q=[0, l(Q)]^{n} .
$$

That is, $Q$ is the cube which is located in the first quadrant and one of its vertices is on the origin. We denote $F_{0}:=Q$, and

$$
F_{m}:=\left[0,2^{m} l(Q)\right] \times[0, l(Q)]^{n-1}, \quad(m=0,1,2, \ldots)
$$

For each $m$, the rectangle $F_{m}$ is in $\mathcal{P}^{m}(Q)$ and contains the cube $Q$ and sidelengths are $2^{m} l(Q)$ and $l(Q)$. We first observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} & =p \int_{0}^{\infty} H^{d}\left(M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]>t\right) t^{p-1} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-m-1}}^{2^{-m}} H^{d}\left(M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]>t\right) t^{p-1} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \geq p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H^{d}\left(M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]>2^{-m}\right) \int_{2^{-m-1}}^{2^{-m}} t^{p-1} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\left(1-2^{-p}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H^{d}\left(B_{m-1}\right) 2^{-m p} \\
& \geq\left(1-2^{-p}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H^{d}\left(F_{m-1}\right) 2^{-m p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right](x)>2^{-m}\right\}=B_{m-1} \supset F_{m-1}
$$

in the last two lines. To compute $H^{d}\left(F_{m-1}\right)$, we need to find the infimum covering of $F_{m-1}$ by the dyadic cubes in $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. It is easy to see that (see also

Remark 4.1 below)

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{d}\left(F_{m-1}\right) & =\min _{0 \leq k \leq m-1} 2^{m-1-k}\left(2^{k} l(Q)\right)^{d} \\
& =l(Q)^{d} \min _{0 \leq k \leq m-1} 2^{k d+m-1-k} \\
& = \begin{cases}2^{m-1} l(Q)^{d}, & (1 \leq d<n), \\
2^{(m-1) d} l(Q)^{d}, & (0<d<1) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $1 \leq \boldsymbol{d}<\boldsymbol{n}$ : We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} & \geq\left(1-2^{-p}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H^{d}\left(F_{m-1}\right) 2^{-m p} \\
& =\left(1-2^{-p}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{m-1} l(Q)^{d} 2^{-m p} \\
& =\left(1-2^{-p}\right) l(Q)^{d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{(1-p) m-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

then since $p \leq 1$, the last series diverges.
Case $0<d<1$ : We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} M_{S}\left[\mathbf{1}_{Q}\right]^{p} \mathrm{~d} H^{d} & \geq\left(1-2^{-p}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H^{d}\left(F_{m-1}\right) 2^{-m p} \\
& =\left(1-2^{-p}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{(m-1) d} l(Q)^{d} 2^{-m p} \\
& =\left(1-2^{-p}\right) l(Q)^{d} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{(d-p) m-d}
\end{aligned}
$$

then since $p \leq d$, the last series also diverges.
Remark 4.1. We describe the reason why the range $p$ in Theorem 1.1 does not depend on the dimension $n$. As mentioned above, we need to compute the Hausdorff content of the dyadic rectangle $F_{m-1}$ and find the minimum covering of $F_{m-1}$ by using the family of dyadic cubes. Actually, the covering $\left\{Q_{j}\right\}_{j}$ of $F_{m-1}$ which minimizes $\sum_{j} l\left(Q_{j}\right)^{d}$ is different depending on $d$. That is, if $0<d<1$, the minimum is attained by one large cube whose sidelength is $2^{m-1} l(Q)$, and if $1<d, 2^{m-1}$ cubes $\left\{Q_{j}\right\}$, whose sidelengths are equal to $l(Q)$, attain the minimum. The border $d=1$ does not depend on $n$, this is because $p$ is independent of $n$.
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