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#### Abstract

In this paper we give some properties related to fuzzy functions on complete residuated lattices.
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## 1. Introduction

A fuzzy function fuzzifies a concept of a function between two universes. This fuzzification has been researched by many authors (for examples, see $[2,3,4$, $5,7,8]$ ). In this paper we give some properties related to fuzzy functions on complete residuated lattices.

Definition $1.1([1])$. An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0,1)$ is called a complete residuated lattice if
(1) $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0,1)$ is a complete lattice with the least element 0 and the greatest element 1 ;
(2) $(L, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid (i.e., $\odot$ is commutative, associated and $x \odot 1=x$ for all $x \in L)$;
(3) $x \odot y \leq z$ if and only if $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for all $x, y, z \in L$ (i.e., $\odot$ and $\rightarrow$ form adjoint pair).
Throughout this paper we always assume that $L=(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0,1)$ is a complete residuated lattice.

Definition $1.2([7])$. Let $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ be a fuzzy relation on a set $X$.
(1) $R$ is reflexive if $R(x, x)=1$ for all $x \in X$.

[^0](2) $R$ is symmetric if $R(x, y)=R(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
(3) $R$ is transitive if $R(x, y) \odot R(y, z) \leq R(x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.
(4) $R$ is an indistinguishable operator on $X$ if $R$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Definition 1.3 ([4]). Let $E$ and $F$ be two indistinguishable operators on $X$ and $Y$ respectively. A fuzzy relation $R: X \times Y \rightarrow L$ is extensional with respect to $E$ and $F$ if

$$
R(x, y) \odot E\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \odot F\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq R\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

Definition 1.4 ([4]). Let $E$ and $F$ be two indistinguishable operators on $X$ and $Y$ respectively. Let $R: X \times Y \rightarrow L$ be extensional with respect to $E$ and $F$.
(1) $R$ is a partial fuzzy function if $R(x, y) \odot R\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \leq F\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ for all $x \in X$ and $y, y^{\prime} \in Y$.
(2) $R$ is fully defined if $\bigvee_{y \in Y} R(x, y)=1$ for all $x \in X$.
(3) $R$ is a fuzzy function if $R$ is a partial fuzzy function and is fully defined.
(4) $R$ is a perfect fuzzy function if (a) $R$ is a partial fuzzy map and (b) for all $x \in X$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $R(x, y)=1$.

Definition 1.5 ([4]). Let $E$ and $F$ be two indistinguishable operators on $X$ and $Y$ respectively. A fuzzy relation $R: X \times Y \rightarrow L$ is a strong fuzzy function with respect to $E$ and $F$ if
(1) for all $x \in X$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $R(x, y)=1$, and
(2) $R(x, y) \odot R\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq F\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ for all $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and $y, y^{\prime} \in Y$.

Definition 1.6 ([4]). Let $E$ and $F$ be two indistinguishable operators on $X$ and $Y$ respectively. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a crisp function. $f$ is extensional with respect to $E$ and $F$ if

$$
E\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq F\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \text { for all } x, x^{\prime} \in X
$$

Proposition $1.7([1])$. Let $L=(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0,1)$ be a complete residuated lattice. Then for all $x, y, y_{i} \in L$, the following hold.
(1) $x \rightarrow x=1$.
(2) $1 \rightarrow x=x$.
(3) $x \odot(x \rightarrow y) \leq y$.
(4) $x \odot \bigwedge_{i} y_{i} \leq \bigwedge_{i}\left(x \odot y_{i}\right)$.
(5) $y_{1} \leq y_{2}$ implies $x \odot y_{1} \leq x \odot y_{2}$ (isotonicity of $\odot$ ).

Definition $1.8([6])$. Let $R: X \times Y \rightarrow L$ be a fuzzy relation from $X$ to $Y$. Define $\sigma(R): Y \times Y \rightarrow L$ by

$$
\sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\bigwedge_{x \in X}\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right] \quad \text { for all } y_{1}, y_{2} \in Y
$$

Define $\rho(R): X \times X \rightarrow L$ by

$$
\rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\bigwedge_{y \in Y}\left[R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right] \quad \text { for all } x_{1}, x_{2} \in X
$$

## 2. Results

Lemma 2.1. Let $R: X \times Y \rightarrow L$ be a fuzzy relation from a set $X$ to a set $Y$.
(1) For all $y_{1} \in Y$, there exists $y_{2} \in Y$ such that $\sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=1$.
(2) For all $x_{1} \in X$, there exists $x_{2} \in X$ such that $\rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=1$.
(3) $\bigvee_{y_{2} \in Y} \sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=1$ for all $y_{1} \in Y$, and $\bigvee_{x_{2} \in X} \rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=1$ for all $x_{1} \in X$.

Proof. (1) Let $y_{1} \in Y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{1}\right) & =\bigwedge_{x \in X}\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{1}\right)\right] \\
& =\bigwedge_{x \in X} 1 \quad \text { by Proposition 1.7(1) } \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Let $x_{1} \in X$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right) & =\bigwedge_{y \in Y}\left[R\left(x_{1}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right] \\
& =\bigwedge_{y \in Y} 1 \quad \text { by Proposition 1.7(1) } \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) It follows from (1) and (2).

Lemma 2.2. Let $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ be a fuzzy relation from $X$ to $X$. If $R$ is reflexive, then $\sigma(R) \leq R$ and $\rho(R) \leq R$.
Proof. Note that for all $y_{1}, y_{2} \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & =\bigwedge_{x \in X}\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leq R\left(y_{1}, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \\
& =1 \rightarrow R\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \text { since } R \text { is reflexive } \\
& =R\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \text { by Proposition 1.7(2) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\sigma(R) \leq R$.
Similarly, for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\bigwedge_{y \in X}\left[R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right. \\
& \leq R\left(x_{2}, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& =1 \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \text { since } R \text { is reflexive } \\
& =R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\rho(R) \leq R$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $E$ be an indistinguishable operator on $X$. Let $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ be a fuzzy relation such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, y) \odot R\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq E\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \text { for all } x, x^{\prime}, y, y^{\prime} \in X \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $R$ is reflexible, then $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are strong fuzzy functions with respect to $E$ and $E$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 (1) and (2), both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ satisfy the condition (1) in Definition 1.5.

Let $y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime} \in X$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \odot \sigma(R)\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq R\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \odot R\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { by Lemma } 2.2 \\
& \leq E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { by Eq. }(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\sigma(R)$ is a strong fuzzy function with respect to $E$ and $E$.
Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime} \in X$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \odot \rho(R)\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \odot R\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { by Lemma } 2.2 \\
& \leq E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { by Eq. }(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\rho(R)$ is a strong fuzzy function with respect to $E$ and $E$.
By Theorem 2.3, we have the following.
Corollary 2.4. Let $E$ be an indistinguishable operator on $X$. Let $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ be a strong fuzzy function with respect to $E$ and $E$. If $R$ is reflexible, then $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are strong fuzzy functions with respect to $E$ and $E$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $E$ be an indistinguishable operator on $X$. Let $R: X \times X \rightarrow$ $L$ be extensional with respect to $E$ and $E$. Then both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are extensional with respect to $E$ and $E$.

Proof. Let $y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime} \in X$. We must show that

$$
\sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \odot E\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq \sigma(R)\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \odot E\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\bigwedge_{x \in X}\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right] \odot E\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X}\left\{\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right] \odot E\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \text { by Proposition 1.7(4), }
\end{aligned}
$$

it is enough to show that for all $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right] \odot E\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq R\left(x, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Eq. (2) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right] \odot R\left(x, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq R\left(x, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right] \odot R\left(x, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)} \\
& =\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right] \odot R\left(x, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E(x, x) \odot E\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}\right) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \odot\left[R\left(x, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow R\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right] \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { since } R \text { is extensional } \\
& \leq R\left(x, y_{2}\right) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { by Propostion } 1.7(3) \\
& =R\left(x, y_{2}\right) \odot E(x, x) \odot E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq R\left(x, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { since } R \text { is extensional } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\sigma(R)$ is extensional with respect to $E$ and $E$.
Let $x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime} \in X$. We must show that

$$
\rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq \rho(R)\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\bigwedge_{y \in X}\left[R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right] \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \bigwedge_{y \in X}\left\{\left[R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right] \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \text { by Proposition 1.7(4), }
\end{aligned}
$$

it is enough to show that for any $y \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right] \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq R\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Eq. (4) holds if and only if

$$
\left[R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right] \odot R\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, y\right) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq R\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y\right)
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right] \odot R\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, y\right) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left[R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right] \odot R\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, y\right) \odot E\left(x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{2}\right) \odot E(y, y) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq\left[R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \rightarrow R\left(x_{1}, y\right)\right] \odot R\left(x_{2}, y\right) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { since } R \text { is extensional } \\
& \leq R\left(x_{1}, y\right) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { by Proposition } 1.7(4) \\
& =R\left(x_{1}, y\right) \odot E\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \odot E(y, y) \\
& \leq R\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y\right) \quad \text { since } R \text { is extensional. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\rho(R)$ is extensional with respect to $E$ and $E$.
Theorem 2.6. Let $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ be a partial fuzzy function where $E$ is an indistinguishable operator on $X$. If $R$ is reflexive, then both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are partial fuzzy functions.

Proof. We already know by Theorem 2.5 that both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are extensional with respect to $E$ and $E$.

Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime} \in X$. We must show that

$$
\sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \odot \sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \odot \sigma(R)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) & \leq R\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \odot R\left(y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { by Lemma } 2.2 \\
& \leq E\left(y_{2}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { since } R \text { is a partial fuzzy function. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\sigma(R)$ is a partial fuzzy function.
Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime} \in X$. We must show that

$$
\rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \odot \sigma(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \odot \rho(R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) & \leq R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \odot R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { by Lemma } 2.2 \\
& \leq E\left(x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { since } R \text { is a partial fuzzy function. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\rho(R)$ is a partial fuzzy function.
Theorem 2.7. If $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ is fully defined where $E$ is an indistinguishable operator on $X$, then $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ is fully defined.
Proof. Since $R$ is fully defined, $R$ is extensional with respect to $E$ and $E$, and so by Theorem 2.5, both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are extensional with respect to $E$ and $E$. Now, by Lemma $2.1(3)$, both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are fully defined.

By Lemma 2.1 (1), (2), Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, we have the following.
Theorem 2.8. Let $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ be a partial fuzzy function where $E$ is an indistinguishable operator on $X$. If $R$ is reflexive, then both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are perfect fuzzy functions.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.8, we have the following.
Corollary 2.9. If $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ be a reflexive perfect fuzzy function where $E$ is an indistinguishable operator on $X$, then both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are perfect fuzzy functions.

By Theorems 2.6 and 2.7, we have the following.
Theorem 2.10. Let $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ be a partial fuzzy function where $E$ is an indistinguishable operator on $X$. If $R$ is reflexive, then both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are fuzzy functions.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.10, we have the following.
Corollary 2.11. If $R: X \times X \rightarrow L$ be a reflexive fuzzy function where $E$ is an indistinguishable operator on $X$, then both of $\sigma(R)$ and $\rho(R)$ are fuzzy functions.
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