

COUPLED COINCIDENCE POINT RESULTS WITH MAPPINGS SATISFYING RATIONAL INEQUALITY IN PARTIALLY ORDERED METRIC SPACES

BINAYAK S. CHOUDHURY, PULAK KONAR, NIKHILESH METIYA*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove certain coupled coincidence point and coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered metric spaces for a pair of compatible mappings which satisfy certain rational inequality. The results are supported with two examples.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 54H10, 54H25, 47H10.

Key words and phrases : Partially ordered set, metric space, mixed g -monotone property, coupled coincidence point, coupled common fixed point.

1. Introduction

Coupled fixed point results constitute a chapter in metric fixed point theory which has been in focus in recent times. Although the concept was introduced some time back in 1987 by Guo et al. [14], it was after the publication of the work of Bhaskar et al. [13] that a large number of papers have been written on this topic and on topics related to it. Particularly coupled coincidence point results appeared in works like [2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21]. The commutativity and compatibility conditions were defined in a separate way to suit the new situation [2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 17, 18, 20].

Here we address a problem of the existence of a coupled coincidence point between two functions under certain conditions. We assume that a particular rational inequality is satisfied by the two functions. The use of rational inequality in metric fixed point theory was initiated by Dass et al. in their work [12] in which they extended the Banach's contraction mapping principle by using a contractive rational inequality. After that the rational inequalities have been used in fixed point, coincidence point and proximity point problem in a large

Received April 21, 2015. Revised May 19, 2017. Accepted December 29, 2017. *Corresponding author.

© 2019 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

number of papers as for instances in [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 16]. Further we work out our result in partially ordered metric spaces. We use several partial order conditions in our results.

In this paper we establish a coupled coincidence point theorem for mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$, where (X, d) is a metric space with a partial ordering. The uniqueness of the coupled common fixed point is ensured by imposing, amongst other conditions, the condition of coincidentally commuting, a concept which we introduce here. Our result extends some results in [13, 19]. Two supporting examples are given.

2. Mathematical Preliminaries

Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and $F : X \rightarrow X$. The mapping F is said to be nondecreasing if for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \preceq x_2$ implies $F(x_1) \preceq F(x_2)$ and nonincreasing if for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \preceq x_2$ implies $F(x_1) \succeq F(x_2)$.

Definition 2.1 ([13]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$. The mapping F is said to have the mixed monotone property if F is monotone nondecreasing in its first argument and is monotone nonincreasing in its second argument; that is, if

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \preceq x_2 \implies F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y), \text{ for all } y \in X$$

and

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \preceq y_2 \implies F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Definition 2.2 ([18]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$. We say F has the mixed g -monotone property if

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \implies F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y), \text{ for all } y \in X$$

and

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, gy_1 \preceq gy_2 \implies F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Definition 2.3 ([13]). Let X be a non-empty set and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping F if $x = F(x, y)$ and $y = F(y, x)$.

Definition 2.4 ([18]). Let X be a non-empty set and $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of the mappings g and F if $gx = F(x, y)$ and $gy = F(y, x)$.

Definition 2.5 ([18]). Let X be a non-empty set and $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled common fixed point of the mappings g and F if $x = gx = F(x, y)$ and $y = gy = F(y, x)$.

Definition 2.6 ([7]). Let X be a non-empty set and $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$. We say g and F are compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(x_n, y_n), F(gx_n, gy_n)) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(y_n, x_n), F(gy_n, gx_n)) = 0$$

, whenever $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = y$, for some $x, y \in X$ are satisfied.

We define coincidentally commuting mapping in the following.

Definition 2.7. The mappings g and F , where $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$, are said to be coincidentally commuting if they commute at their coupled coincidence points, that is, if $gx = F(x, y)$ and $gy = F(y, x)$, for some $(x, y) \in X \times X$, then $gF(x, y) = F(gx, gy)$ and $gF(y, x) = F(gy, gx)$.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and d be a metric on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Suppose that $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are two mappings such that F has the mixed g -monotone property on X . Suppose that F is continuous, $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, g is continuous non-decreasing and the pair (F, g) is compatible. Further suppose that there exist non-negative real numbers α and L with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ such that for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, with $gx \succeq gu$ and $gy \preceq gv$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq & \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), \right. \\
& \frac{d(gx, F(x, y))(1 + d(gu, F(u, v)))}{1 + d(gx, gu)}, \\
& \frac{d(gx, F(u, v))(1 + d(gu, F(x, y)))}{1 + d(gx, gu)}, \\
& \frac{d(gy, F(y, x))(1 + d(gv, F(v, u)))}{1 + d(gy, gv)}, \\
& \left. \frac{d(gy, F(v, u))(1 + d(gv, F(y, x)))}{1 + d(gy, gv)} \right\} \\
& + L \min \left\{ d(F(x, y), gu), d(F(u, v), gx), d(F(x, y), gx), d(F(u, v), gu) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that $gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$, then F and g have a coupled coincidence point in X , that is, there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $gx = F(x, y)$ and $gy = F(y, x)$.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ be such that $gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$. Since $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, we can construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \text{ and } gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n), \text{ for all } n \geq 0. \tag{2}$$

We claim that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1} \quad (3)$$

and

$$gy_n \succeq gy_{n+1}. \quad (4)$$

Since $gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$, it follows by (2) that $gx_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0) = gx_1$ and $gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0) = gy_1$, that is, (3) and (4) hold for $n = 0$. Suppose that (3) and (4) hold for some $n > 0$. As F has the mixed g -monotone property and $gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1}$ and $gy_n \succeq gy_{n+1}$, from (2), we get

$$gx_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \preceq F(x_{n+1}, y_n) \preceq F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = gx_{n+2} \quad (5)$$

and

$$gy_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n) \succeq F(y_{n+1}, x_n) \succeq F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = gy_{n+2}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, we obtain that $gx_{n+1} \preceq gx_{n+2}$ and $gy_{n+1} \succeq gy_{n+2}$. Thus by the mathematical induction, we conclude that (3) and (4) hold for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$gx_0 \preceq gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \preceq \dots \preceq gx_n \preceq gx_{n+1} \preceq \dots \quad (7)$$

and

$$gy_0 \succeq gy_1 \succeq gy_2 \succeq \dots \succeq gy_n \succeq gy_{n+1} \succeq \dots \quad (8)$$

Since $gx_n \succeq gx_{n-1}$ and $gy_n \preceq gy_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, applying (1) and using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &= d(F(x_n, y_n), F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}), \right. \\ &\quad \frac{d(gx_n, F(x_n, y_n))(1 + d(gx_{n-1}, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})))}{1 + d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})}, \\ &\quad \frac{d(gx_n, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}))(1 + d(gx_{n-1}, F(x_n, y_n)))}{1 + d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})}, \\ &\quad \frac{d(gy_n, F(y_n, x_n))(1 + d(gy_{n-1}, F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1})))}{1 + d(gy_n, gy_{n-1})}, \\ &\quad \left. \frac{d(gy_n, F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))(1 + d(gy_{n-1}, F(y_n, x_n)))}{1 + d(gy_n, gy_{n-1})} \right\} \\ &+ L \min \left\{ d(F(x_n, y_n), gx_{n-1}), d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), gx_n), \right. \\ &\quad \left. d(F(x_n, y_n), gx_n), d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), gx_{n-1}) \right\} \\ &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})(1 + d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n))}{1 + d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})}, \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d(gx_n, gx_n)(1 + d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}))}{1 + d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})}, \\
& \frac{d(gy_n, gy_{n+1})(1 + d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n))}{1 + d(gy_n, gy_{n-1})}, \\
& \left. \frac{d(gy_n, gy_n)(1 + d(gy_{n-1}, gy_{n+1}))}{1 + d(gy_n, gy_{n-1})} \right\} \\
& + L \min \left\{ d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n-1}), d(gx_n, gx_n), d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n), d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) \right\} \\
& \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}), d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}), d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \right\}.$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}), d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) \right\}.$$

$$\text{Set } \rho_n = \max \left\{ d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) \right\}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_n &= \max \left\{ d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) \right\} \\
&\leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx_n, gx_{n-1}), d(gy_n, gy_{n-1}) \right\} \\
&= \alpha \rho_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By mathematical induction, we have

$$\rho_n = \max \left\{ d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n), d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) \right\} \leq \alpha^n \rho_0,$$

which implies that

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \leq \alpha^n \rho_0 \quad \text{and} \quad d(gy_{n+1}, gy_n) \leq \alpha^n \rho_0.$$

Then for each $m, n \in N$ with $m < n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gx_m, gx_n) &\leq d(gx_m, gx_{m+1}) + d(gx_{m+1}, gx_{m+2}) + \dots + d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \\
&\leq (\alpha^m + \alpha^{m+1} + \dots + \alpha^{n-1}) \rho_0 \\
&\leq \frac{\alpha^m}{1 - \alpha} \rho_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gy_m, gy_n) &\leq d(gy_m, gy_{m+1}) + d(gy_{m+1}, gy_{m+2}) + \dots + d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n) \\
&\leq (\alpha^m + \alpha^{m+1} + \dots + \alpha^{n-1}) \rho_0 \\
&\leq \frac{\alpha^m}{1 - \alpha} \rho_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences. Since X is a complete metric space, there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $gx_n \rightarrow x$ and $gy_n \rightarrow y$. Since g is continuous, we have

$$g(gx_n) \rightarrow gx \quad \text{and} \quad g(gy_n) \rightarrow gy. \quad (9)$$

Since F and g are compatible mappings, we have

$$d\left(gF(x_n, y_n), F(gx_n, gy_n)\right) = 0 \quad (10)$$

and

$$d\left(gF(y_n, x_n), F(gy_n, gx_n)\right) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Next we prove that $gx = F(x, y)$ and $gy = F(y, x)$.

For all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(gx, F(gx_n, gy_n)\right) &\leq d\left(gx, gF(x_n, y_n)\right) + d\left(gF(x_n, y_n), F(gx_n, gy_n)\right) \\ &\leq d\left(gx, g(gx_{n+1})\right) + d\left(gF(x_n, y_n), F(gx_n, gy_n)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, using (9), (10) and the continuities of F and g , we have $d(gx, F(x, y)) = 0$, that is, $gx = F(x, y)$. Similarly, we have $d(gy, F(y, x)) = 0$, that is, $gy = F(y, x)$. Hence (x, y) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g . \square

Now, we shall prove the existence and uniqueness of a coupled common fixed point. Note that if (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set, the product space $X \times X$ has the following partial order relation:

for $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$, $(u, v) \succeq (x, y)$ which implies that $x \preceq u, y \succeq v$.

Theorem 3.2. *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, suppose that for every $(x, y), (x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$ there exists a $(u, v) \in X \times X$ such that $\left(F(u, v), F(v, u)\right)$ is comparable to $\left(F(x, y), F(y, x)\right)$ and $\left(F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*)\right)$ and also the pair functions (g, F) is coincidentally commuting. Then F and g have a unique coupled common fixed point, that is, there exist a unique $(x, y) \in X \times X$ such that $x = gx = F(x, y)$ and $y = gy = F(y, x)$.*

Proof. From Theorem 3.1, the set of coupled coincidence points of F and g is non-empty. Suppose (x, y) and (x^*, y^*) are coupled coincidence points of F and g , that is, $gx = F(x, y)$, $gy = F(y, x)$ and $gx^* = F(x^*, y^*)$, $gy^* = F(y^*, x^*)$. Now, we show

$$gx = gx^* \quad \text{and} \quad gy = gy^*. \quad (13)$$

By the assumption, there exists $(u, v) \in X \times X$ such that $\left(F(u, v), F(v, u)\right)$ is comparable with $\left(F(x, y), F(y, x)\right)$ and $\left(F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*)\right)$. Put $u_0 = u$, $v_0 = v$. Since $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, we choose $u_1, v_1 \in X$ so that $gu_1 = F(u_0, v_0)$ and $gv_1 = F(v_0, u_0)$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can

inductively define two sequences $\{gu_n\}$ and $\{gv_n\}$ where $gu_{n+1} = F(u_n, v_n)$ and $gv_{n+1} = F(v_n, u_n)$, for all $n \geq 0$. Hence $(F(x, y), F(y, x)) = (gx, gy)$ and $(F(u, v), F(v, u)) = (gu_1, gv_1)$ are comparable. Suppose that $(gx, gy) \succeq (gu_1, gv_1)$ (the proof is similar in other cases).

We claim that $(gx, gy) \succeq (gu_n, gv_n)$, for each $n \in N$.

In fact, we will use mathematical induction. Since $(gx, gy) \succeq (gu_1, gv_1)$, our claim is true for $n = 1$. We assume that $(gx, gy) \succeq (gu_n, gv_n)$ holds for some $n > 1$. Then $gx \succeq gu_n$ and $gy \preceq gv_n$. Using the mixed g -monotone property of F , we get

$$gu_{n+1} = F(u_n, v_n) \preceq F(x, v_n) \preceq F(x, y) = gx$$

and

$$gv_{n+1} = F(v_n, u_n) \succeq F(y, u_n) \succeq F(y, x) = gy$$

and these proves our claim.

Since $gx \succeq gu_n$ and $gy \preceq gv_n$, applying (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx, gu_{n+1}) &= d(F(x, y), F(u_n, v_n)) \\ &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n), \right. \\ &\quad \frac{d(gx, F(x, y))(1 + d(gu_n, F(u_n, v_n)))}{1 + d(gx, gu_n)}, \\ &\quad \frac{d(gx, F(u_n, v_n))(1 + d(gu_n, F(x, y)))}{1 + d(gx, gu_n)}, \\ &\quad \frac{d(gy, F(y, x))(1 + d(gv_n, F(u_n, v_n)))}{1 + d(gy, gv_n)}, \\ &\quad \left. \frac{d(gy, F(v_n, u_n))(1 + d(gv_n, F(y, x)))}{1 + d(gy, gv_n)} \right\} \\ &\quad + L \min \left\{ d(F(x, y), gu_n), d(F(u_n, v_n), gx), \right. \\ &\quad \left. d(F(x, y), gx), d(F(u_n, v_n), gu_n) \right\} \\ &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n), \right. \\ &\quad \frac{d(gx, gu_{n+1})(1 + d(gu_n, gx))}{1 + d(gx, gu_n)}, \\ &\quad \left. \frac{d(gy, gv_{n+1})(1 + d(gv_n, gy))}{1 + d(gy, gv_n)} \right\} \\ &\leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n), d(gx, gu_{n+1}), d(gy, gv_{n+1}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$d(gy, gv_{n+1}) \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n), d(gx, gu_{n+1}), d(gy, gv_{n+1}) \right\}.$$

Hence

$$\max \left\{ d(gx, gu_{n+1}), d(gy, gv_{n+1}) \right\} \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(gx, gu_n), d(gy, gv_n) \right\}.$$

By mathematical induction, we have

$$\max \left\{ d(gx, gu_{n+1}), d(gy, gv_{n+1}) \right\} \leq \alpha^n \max \left\{ d(gx, gu_1), d(gy, gv_1) \right\},$$

that is,

$$d(gx, gu_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^n \max \left\{ d(gx, gu_1), d(gy, gv_1) \right\}$$

and

$$d(gy, gv_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^n \max \left\{ d(gx, gu_1), d(gy, gv_1) \right\}.$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequalities, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx, gu_{n+1}) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy, gv_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Similarly, we show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx^*, gu_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy^*, gv_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (15)$$

By the triangle inequality, (14) and (15), we have

$$d(gx, gx^*) \leq \left[d(gx, gu_{n+1}) + d(gu_{n+1}, gx^*) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$d(gy, gy^*) \leq \left[d(gy, gv_{n+1}) + d(gv_{n+1}, gy^*) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $gx = gx^*$ and $gy = gy^*$. Thus we proved (13).

Since the pair (g, F) is coincidentally commuting and $gx = F(x, y)$ and $gy = F(y, x)$, we have

$$ggx = gF(x, y) = F(gx, gy) \text{ and } ggy = gF(y, x) = F(gy, gx).$$

Denote $gx = z$ and $gy = w$. Then, we have

$$gz = F(z, w) \text{ and } gw = F(w, z). \quad (16)$$

Thus (z, w) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g . Then from (13) with $x^* = z$ and $y^* = w$ it follows $gx = gz$ and $gy = gw$, that is,

$$gz = z \text{ and } gw = w. \quad (17)$$

From (16) and (17), we have that $z = gz = F(z, w)$ and $w = gw = F(w, z)$, that is, (z, w) is a coupled common fixed point of F and g .

To prove the uniqueness, assume that (r, s) is another coupled common fixed point of F and g , that is

$$r = gr = F(r, s) \text{ and } s = gs = F(s, r).$$

Then by (13), we have $r = gr = gz = z$ and $s = gs = gw = w$. Hence the coupled common fixed point of F and g is unique. \square

Example 3.3. Let $X = [0, 1]$. Then (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set with the natural ordering of real numbers. Let $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, for $x, y \in X$. Let $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be defined respectively as follows:

$$gx = x^2, \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } F(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 - y^2}{4}, & \text{if } x \geq y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq y. \end{cases}$$

Let $x_0 = 0$ and $y_0 = c (> 0)$ be two points in X . Then

$$g(x_0) = g(0) = 0 = F(0, c) = F(x_0, y_0)$$

and

$$g(y_0) = g(c) = c^2 \geq \frac{c^2}{3} = F(c, 0) = F(y_0, x_0).$$

Let $\alpha = 0.97 \in [0, 1)$ and $L = 10$.

It is verified that all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and $(0, 0) \in X \times X$ is a coupled coincidence point of F and g . Further, $(0, 0) \in X \times X$ is the unique coupled common fixed point of F and g .

Example 3.4. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Then (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set with the natural ordering of real numbers. Let $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, for $x, y \in X$. Let $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be defined respectively as follows:

$$gx = \frac{5}{6}x, \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } F(x, y) = \frac{x - 2y}{4}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Let $x_0 = -3$ and $y_0 = 3$. Then $gx_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $gy_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0)$. Let $\alpha = 0.7 \in [0, 1)$ and $L = 10$. It is verified that all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and $(0, 0) \in X \times X$ is a coupled coincidence point of F and g . Further, $(0, 0) \in X \times X$ is the unique coupled common fixed point of F and g .

REFERENCES

1. M. Abbas, Č.V. Rajić, T. Nazir and S. Radenović, *Common fixed point of mappings satisfying rational inequalities in ordered complex valued generalized metric spaces*, Afrika Mat. **26** (2015), 17–30.
2. G.V.R. Babu and P. Subhashini, *Coupled common fixed points for a pair of compatible maps satisfying geraghty contraction in partially ordered metric spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics and Scientific Computing **2** (2012), 41–48.
3. G.V.R. Babu and M.V.R. Kameswari, *Coupled fixed points for generalized contractive maps with rational expressions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics **6** (2014), 43–57.
4. S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal and R.C. Dimri, *Common fixed point of mappings satisfying rational inequality in complex valued metric space*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **73** (2011), 159–164.
5. S. Chandok and J.K. Kim, *Fixed point theorem in ordered metric spaces for generalized contractions mappings satisfying rational type expressions*, J. Nonlinear Functional Anal. Appl. **17** (2012), 301–306.
6. S. Chandok, B.S. Choudhury and N. Metiya, *Fixed point results in ordered metric spaces for rational type expressions with auxiliary functions*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. **23** (2015), 95–101.
7. B.S. Choudhury and A. Kundu, *A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. **73** (2010), 2524–2531.

8. B.S. Choudhury, N. Metiya and A. Kundu, *Coupled coincidence point theorems in ordered metric spaces*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara **57** (2011), 1–16.
9. B.S. Choudhury, N. Metiya and M. Postolache, *A generalized weak contraction principle with applications to coupled coincidence point problems*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013** (2013) :152.
10. B.S. Choudhury and N. Metiya, *Fixed point results for mapping satisfying rational inequality in complex valued metric spaces*, J. Adv. Math. Stud. **7** (2014), 79– 89.
11. L. Ćirić and V. Lakshmikantham, *Coupled random fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces*, Stoch. Anal. **27** (2009), 1246–1259.
12. B.K. Dass and S. Gupta, *An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expressions*, Inidan J. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (1975), 1455–1458.
13. T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **65** (2006), 1379–1393.
14. D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **11** (1987), 623–632.
15. J. Harjani, B. López and K. Sadarangani, *Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and applications to integral equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), 1749–1760.
16. D.S. Jaggi and B.K. Das, *An extension of Banach's fixed point theorem through rational expression*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **72** (1980), 261 – 264.
17. E. Karapinar, *Couple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59** (2010), 3656 – 3668.
18. V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ćirić, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **70** (2009), 4341–4349.
19. N.V. Luong and N.X. Thuan, *Coupled fixed point theorem in partially ordered metric space*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. **2** (2010), 16–24.
20. H.K. Nashine, B.S. Choudhury and N. Metiya, *Coupled coincidence point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces*, Thai J. Math. **12** (2014), 665–685.
21. W. Shatanawi, *Partially ordered cone metric spaces and coupled fixed point results*, Comput. Math. Appl. **60** (2010), 2508–2515.

Binayak S. Choudhury received M.Sc. and Ph.D from the University of Calcutta. He is a full Professor of Mathematics in Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology (Formerly, Bengal Engineering and Science University), Shibpur, Howrah - 711103, West Bengal, India since 2003. He has supervised several Ph.D students in different areas of pure and applied mathematics and theoretical physics and has published a good number of research articles in international journals. Particularly he has published about 150 research articles in metric space related studies. He has served his institute in several administrative capacities.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, Shibpur, Howrah - 711103, West Bengal, India.
e-mail: binayak12@yahoo.co.in

Pulak Konar received M.Sc. from Guru Ghasidas University, Chattishgarh, India and Ph.D from Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology (Formerly, Bengal Engineering and Science University). He is working as an Assistant Professor at Amity University, Rajarhat, New Town, Kolkata - 700135, West Bengal, India. His research interest is mathematical analysis, nonlinear analysis, topology and optimization.

Department of Mathematics, Amity University, Rajarhat, New Town, Kolkata - 700135, West Bengal, India.
e-mail: pulakkonar@gmail.com

Nikhilesh Metiya received M.Sc. from Jadavpur University and Ph.D from Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology (Formerly, Bengal Engineering and Science University). He is an Assistant Professor of Mathematics in Sovarani Memorial College, Jagatballavpur, Howrah-711408, West Bengal, India. His research interests include with mathematical analysis, nonlinear analysis and optimization. He has 46 international publications in fixed point theory and allied subjects.

Department of Mathematics, Sovarani Memorial College, Jagatballavpur, Howrah-711408, West Bengal, India.

e-mail: metiya.nikhilesh@gmail.com