# Static output feedback pole assignment of 2-input, 2-output, 4<sup>th</sup> order systems in Grassmann space

Su-Woon Kim\*, Seong-Ho Song\*\*, Min-Jae Kang\*\*\*, Ho-Chan Kim\*\*

## Abstract

It is presented in this paper that the static output feedback (SOF) pole-assignment problem of some linear time-invariant systems can be completely resolved by parametrization in real Grassmann space. For the real Grassmannian parametrization, the so-called Plücker matrix is utilized as a linear matrix formula formulated from the SOF variable's coefficients of a characteristic polynomial constrained in Grassmann space. It is found that the exact SOF pole assignability is determined by the linear independency of columns of Plücker sub-matrix and by full-rank of that sub-matrix. It is also presented that previous diverse pole-assignment methods and various computation algorithms of the real SOF gains for 2-input, 2-output, 4<sup>th</sup> order systems are unified in a deterministic way within this real Grassmannian parametrization method.

Key words : Exact pole assignment, Grassmann space, Plücker matrix, static output feedback, real SOF gains

# I. Introduction

The 2-input, 2-output systems are a small class of general multivariable systems that are commonly encountered in practical applications such as gas-turbine fuel oil control in airplane systems, reel-to-reel tape drivers, artificial ventilation systems for respiratory failure patients, etc. However, the necessary and sufficient condition of exact pole-assignment by static output feedback (SOF) in 2-input, 2-output,  $n^{\text{th}}$  order strictly proper linear time-invariant systems, has been not known, and also stable computation algorithms covering whole solutions of the exact real SOF gains have been not developed [1]-[6].

The study of SOF pole-assignment for the exact pole locations was pioneered by Kimura [3], where it is proved that if m + p > n in m-input, p-output,  $n^{\text{th}}$  order system, the pole-assignment by SOF can always be available in controllable and observable systems over distinct poles, provided that slight modification of the poles to be assigned is tolerable. A decade later, within the same state space frame of Kimura, a sufficient condition of exact pole-assignment (EPA) was derived by Fletcher and Magni without furnishing stable computation algorithms [7]–[9].

We propose in this paper a new EPA method based on the real Grassmannian space frame for

<sup>\*</sup> Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Jeju National University

<sup>\*\*</sup> School of Software, Hallym University

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Dept. of Electronic Engineering, Jeju National University

 $<sup>\</sup>bigstar$  Corresponding author

E-mail:hckim@jejunu.ac.kr, Tel:+82-64-754-3676

<sup>\*</sup> Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the 2019 education, research and student guidance grant funded by Jeju National University. Manuscript received Dec. 12, 2019; revised Dec. 20, 2019; accepted Dec. 26, 2019.

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

resolving the SOF pole-assignment together with its related computation problems in frequency domain. In mathematical viewpoint, the basic coordinate setting for solving the nonlinear SOF equations for pole-assignment is naturally carried out in the specific Grassmann space without introducing the general affine space [10],[11]. For the real parametrization in Grassmann space, the Plücker matrix formula Lk = a is introduced [12]-[15]. In this paper, the exact pole assignability of 2-input, 2-output, 4<sup>th</sup> order strictly proper linear systems (simply, (2,2,4) systems) is examined. Through the real Grassmannian parametrization approach, a necessary and sufficient condition is explicitly derived for the exact pole assignability and the real SOF gains of EPA for the desired pole positions are always algebraically computable in a deterministic way, which can generalize and unify previous diverse methods for SOF poleassignment and various computation algorithms of the real SOF gains.

#### II. Preliminaries

Regarding the pole assignabilities by SOF, the following two classifications are widely used: exact pole-assignment (EPA) and generic pole-assignment (GPA) [15].

**Definition 1.** An  $n^{\text{th}}$  order linear system with rational transfer function matrix  $G(s) = N_R(s)D_R(s)^{-1}$ is exactly pole assignable by real SOF if any  $n^{\text{th}}$ order monic polynomial  $p_c(s) = s^n + a_1s^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$ with coefficients  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be achieved by the closed-loop characteristic equation using some real SOF K.

**Definition 2.** An  $n^{\text{th}}$  order linear system with rational transfer function matrix G(s) is generically pole assignable by real SOF if the coefficient set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  of the achievable closed-loop characteristic polynomial  $p_c(s)$  by real SOF K, is open and dense in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In Definitions 1 and 2,  $N_R(s)$  and  $D_R(s)$  are right coprime polynomial matrices. In this section, a specific construction algorithm of Lk = a for the (2,2,4) systems is introduced [5]. Consider a (2,2,4) system described by

$$y(s) = G(s)u(s) \tag{1}$$

where G(s) is a strictly proper rational transfer function matrix.

A feedback control input u(s) = -Ky(s) is applied to the systems. It is easily shown that the desired 4<sup>th</sup> order closed-loop characteristic polynomial  $p_c(s)$  is written by

$$p_c(s) = p(s)\det[I + KG(s)]$$
(2)

where  $p(s) = s^4 + b_1 s^3 + \dots + b_4$  is an open-loop characteristic polynomial.

Equation (2) can be expressed as follows through matrix theory

$$p(s)\det[I+KG(s)] = p(s)\det[\lambda I+KG(s)]_{\lambda=1}$$

$$= p(s)[\lambda^{2} + tr(KG(s))\lambda + \det(KG(s))]_{\lambda=1}$$

$$= p(s)[1 + tr(KG(s)) + \det(KG(s))]$$

$$= p(s)[1 + tr(KG(s)) + \det(K)\det(G(s))]$$
(3)

From the signal flow graph viewpoint, the elements of K and G(s) can be written as below [1],[6],

$$K \!=\! \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \, k_2 \\ k_3 \, k_4 \end{bmatrix}\!\!, \hspace{0.2cm} G(s) \!=\! \frac{1}{p(s)} \begin{bmatrix} f_1(s) \, f_3(s) \\ f_2(s) \, f_4(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $f_i(s), (i = 1, \dots, 4)$  is a numerator polynomial of  $G_i(s)$ .

And over the desired closed-loop characteristic polynomial  $p_c(s)$ , the Equation (3) becomes

$$p_c(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{4} (s - s_i) = p(s) + \sum_{i=1}^{5} f_i(s) k_i$$
(4)

where  $s_1, \dots, s_4$  are closed-loop poles and  $f_i(s) = f_{i1}s^3 + f_{i2}s^2 + f_{i3}s + f_{i4}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ,  $f_5(s)(:= p(s)\det(G(s))) = f_{52}s^2 + f_{53}s + f_{54}$ , and  $k_5(:= \det(K)) = k_1k_4 - k_2k_3$ . Equating the coefficients of the same orders on both sides of Equation (4) yields

$$Lk = a \tag{5}$$

where

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 f_{11} \cdots f_{41} & 0 \\ b_2 f_{12} \cdots f_{42} f_{52} \\ b_3 f_{13} \cdots f_{43} f_{53} \\ b_4 f_{14} \cdots f_{44} f_{54} \end{bmatrix}, k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k_1 \\ \vdots \\ k_4 \\ k_5 \end{bmatrix}, a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Equations (4) and (5), it is known that the numerical construction algorithm of the Plücker matrix formula Lk = a in (2,2,4) systems is implemented by the following procedure:

<sup>1st</sup> column of *L*: Filled by the coefficients of an open-loop characteristic polynomial p(s).

 $2^{nd}$  column of *L*: Filled by the coefficients of  $p(s)G_1(s)$ , which is matched with the gain  $k_1$ .

**5<sup>th</sup> column of** *L*: Filled by the coefficients of  $p(s)G_4(s)$ , which is matched with the gain  $k_4$ .

**6<sup>th</sup> column of** *L*: Filled by the coefficients of the interacting factor  $p(s)(G_1(s)G_4(s) - G_2(s)G_3(s))$ , which is matched with the gain  $k_5$ .

For the reduced formula, Equation (4) is rearranged into

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} f_i(s) k_i = p_c(s) - p(s)$$
(6)

And equating the coefficient of the same orders on both sides of Equation (6) yields

$$L_{\rm sub}k_{\rm sub} = a_{\rm sub} \tag{7}$$

where

$$L_{\rm sub} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} \cdots f_{41} & 0 \\ f_{12} \cdots f_{42} f_{52} \\ f_{13} \cdots f_{43} f_{53} \\ f_{14} \cdots f_{44} f_{54} \end{bmatrix}, k_{\rm sub} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ \vdots \\ k_4 \\ k_5 \end{bmatrix}, a_{\rm sub} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 - b_1 \\ a_2 - b_2 \\ a_3 - b_3 \\ a_4 - b_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Remark 1.** In algebraic geometry, the elements  $[1k_1 \cdots k_5]^t$  of k in Equation (5) are named

inhomogeneous Plücker coordinates of Grassmann space Grass(2,4), constrained in a quadratic equation  $k_5 - k_1k_4 + k_2k_3 = 0$ , whose solution set is called Grassmann variety.

**Remark 2.** The general Plücker matrix formula Lk = a for general (m,p,n) systems can be numerically constructed through the application of Binet–Cauchy theorem to determinantal matrix formula det[I+KG(s)] and its comparisons with the signal flow graph analysis of the closed–loop determinant  $\Delta$  in Mason's gain formula [14].

#### III. Main Result

In Theorem 1, the necessary and sufficient condition for the exact pole assignability of (2,2,4) systems is explicitly provided for the Plucker matrix formula in Equation (7).

**Theorem 1.** The 2-input, 2-output, 4<sup>th</sup> order strictly proper linear systems are exactly pole assignable if and only if the last column  $\ell_5$  in *L* is zero under  $rank(L_{sub}) = 4$ .

**Proof:** The sufficient condition is obviously obtained by setting  $l_5$  to be zero in  $L_{sub}$  of Equation (7). If  $l_5$  is zero, then  $K_{sub}$  can be obtained under the condition  $rank(L_{sub}) = 4$  for any given  $a_{sub}$  regardless of the relation for  $k_5$ . So EPA is satisfied.

Next, we will prove the necessary condition by contradiction. Assume that  $l_5$  is not zero and the (2,2,4) system is exactly pole assignable. Because  $rank(L_{sub}) = 4$ , there happen three cases for the matrix  $L_{sub}$  when  $l_5$  is not zero.

**Case 1.** One of 4 columns,  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_4$  in  $L_{sub}$  is zero.

**Case 2.** Some 2 columns of  $L_{sub}$  are linearly dependent.

**Case 3.** Every two columns of  $L_{sub}$  are linearly independent

**Case 1:** If one of the 1<sup>st</sup> 4 columns of  $L_{sub}$ ,

 $\ell_i(i=1,\dots,4)$ , is zero under  $rank(L_{sub}) = 4$ , then the four real SOF variables except  $k_i$  variable are always determined from  $L_{sub}k_{sub} = a_{sub}$ . Putting the four values in the 5 variable quadratic equation (QE), the QE  $k_5 - k_1k_4 + k_2k_3 = 0$  is reduced into a 1 variable 1<sup>st</sup> order linear equation. Thus, the real solution-set of the SOF equations, Lk = a and QE, is complete on the real field R except a singular zero-value point where the gain multiplied to  $k_i$ in the QE is zero.

Case 2: In Case 2, there are three situations.

i) Two columns,  $\{\ell_1, \ell_4\}$  or  $\{\ell_2, \ell_3\}$  in  $L_{sub}$ , are linearly dependent.

If two columns of  $L_{sub}$ ,  $\{\ell_1, \ell_4\}$  or  $\{\ell_2, \ell_3\}$  are linearly dependent, then from  $L_{sub}^{'} k_{sub}^{'} = a_{sub}^{'}$ , four SOF variables where one combined variable X is represented by  $X = k_1 + \gamma k_4$  or  $X = k_2 + \delta k_3$  for real constants  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ , are always determined by real values. Putting the four real values into  $k_5 - k_1 k_4 + k_2 k_3 = 0$ , the QE is reduced into a 1 variable 2<sup>nd</sup> order equation. Thus by the algebraic character of the 1 variable 2<sup>nd</sup> order equation constructed for some real vector *a*, these (2,2,4) systems are NPA with some real-disconnected interval on the SOF gain variables in R.

*ii)* Two columns,  $\ell_5$  and one column of  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4\}$  in  $L_{sub}$ , are linearly dependent.

If two columns in  $L_{sub}$ ,  $\{\ell_i, \ell_5\}$   $(i = 1, \dots, 4)$  are linearly dependent, then from  $L_{sub}^{'}k_{sub}^{'} = a_{sub}^{'}$ , four variables where a combined variable X is represented by  $X := k_5 + \lambda k_i$  for real constant  $\lambda$ , are always determined by real values. Putting the four real values into  $k_5 - k_1k_4 + k_2k_3 = 0$ , the QE is reduced into a 1 variable 1<sup>st</sup> order equation with a singular point. For example, let  $k_i = k_2$ , then from  $X := k_5 + \lambda k_i = \alpha_1 \alpha_4 - \alpha_3 k_2 + \lambda k_2 = \alpha_X$ , the  $k_2$  is obtained by

$$k_2 = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_4 - \alpha_X}{\alpha_3 - \lambda}$$

In this case,  $k_i$  is one of  $\{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4\}$  and

 $\alpha_{X}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}$  indicate the real values of  $X, k_{1}, k_{3}, k_{4}$ , respectively, in  $L_{sub}' \dot{k}_{sub} = a_{sub}'$ . In this way, the SOF  $k_{2}$  has a singular point at  $\alpha_{3} = \lambda$  for a special real vector a. Thus, these (2,2,4) systems are exactly pole assignable except a singular point at  $k_{i}$ .

*iii)* Two columns,  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2 \text{ (or } \ell_3)\}$  or  $\{\ell_4, \ell_2 \text{ (or } \ell_3)\}$  in  $L_{sub}$ , are linearly dependent.

If two columns in  $L_{sub}$ ,  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2 \text{ (or } \ell_3)\}$  or  $\{\ell_4, \ell_2 \text{ (or } \ell_3)\}$ , are linearly independent, then from  $L_{sub}' k_{sub}' = a_{sub}'$ , four SOF variables where one combined variable X is represented by  $X := k_i + \rho k_j$  for real constant  $\rho$ , are always determined by real values. Putting the four real values in  $k_5$ , the QE is reduced into a 1 variable 1<sup>st</sup> order equation with a singular point. For example, let  $k_i = k_1$  and  $k_j = k_2$  then from  $X := k_1 + \rho k_2 = \alpha_X$ ,  $k_5$  is obtained by  $\alpha_5 = k_1 \alpha_4 - \alpha_3 (\alpha_X - k_1)/\rho$ . Therefore,  $k_1$  is given by

$$k_1 = \frac{\alpha_5 + \alpha_3 \alpha_X}{\alpha_4 - \alpha_3/\rho}$$

In this case, the SOF  $k_1$  has a singular point at  $\alpha_4 + \alpha_3/\rho = 0$  for a special real vector *a*. Thus, these (2,2,4) systems are exactly pole assignable except a singular point at  $k_i$  and  $k_j$ .

**Case 3:** If every 2 columns of  $L_{sub}$  are linearly independent under  $rank(L_{sub}) = 4$ , then from the 1<sup>st</sup> 4 diagonalized matrix  $L_{sub}^{'}$  in  $L_{sub}^{'}k_{sub}^{'} = a_{sub}^{'}$ , 2~4 variables among  $k_{1}^{'}, \dots, k_{4}^{'}$  in  $k_{sub}^{'}$  become linear functions over the last remaining variable,  $k_{5}^{'}$ .

i) 4 variable linear function case:

In  $L'_{sub}$  by rank-nullity theorem, rank  $(L'_{sub})$  + null  $(L'_{sub})$  = number of columns of  $L'_{sub}$ . Thus, the 1<sup>st</sup> 4 variables in  $L'_{sub}\dot{k'_{sub}} = a'_{sub}$  depend upon the last 1 variable  $k'_5$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \beta_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \beta_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \beta_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \beta_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \\ k_4 \\ k_5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

In this case, let  $\beta_1 \ell_1' + \beta_2 \ell_2' + \beta_3 \ell_3' + \beta_4 \ell_4' = \ell_5'$ where  $\ell_i'$  indicates the *i*<sup>th</sup> column of  $L_{sub}$ , then all 4 variables  $k_1', \dots, k_4'$  are linear functions on the variable  $k_5'$ . Thus the QE,  $k_5 - k_1 k_4 + k_2 k_3 = 0$  is always reduced into a 1 variable 2<sup>nd</sup> order equation of  $k_5'$  constructed through arbitrary selection of 4 variables among 5 variables in the QE for some real vector *a*.

## ii) 3 variable linear function case:

In the same way as i), 3 variables among 4 variables  $k'_1, \dots, k'_4$  in  $L'_{sub}k'_{sub} = a'_{sub}$  depend upon the last 1 variable  $k'_5$ . For example, let  $\beta_2 \ell'_2 + \beta_3 \ell'_3 + \beta_4 \ell'_4 = \ell'_5$ , then 3 variables  $k'_2, k'_3, k'_4$  have linear functions with the variable  $k'_5$ . Thus the QE,  $k_5 - k_1k_4 + k_2k_3 = 0$  is always reduced into a 1 variable  $2^{nd}$  order equation of  $k'_5$  constructed through arbitrary selection of 3 variables among 5 variables in the QE for some real vector a.

# iii) 2 variable linear function case:

In the same way as ii), 2 variables among 4 variables  $k'_1, \dots, k'_4$  in  $L'_{\text{sub}} k'_{\text{sub}} = a'_{\text{sub}}$  depend upon the last 1 variable  $k'_5$ . For example, let  $\beta_3 \ell'_3 + \beta_4 \ell'_4 = \ell'_5$ , then 2 variables  $k'_3, k'_4$  have linear functions with the variable  $k'_5$ . Thus the QE,  $k_5 - k_1 k_4 + k_2 k_3 = 0$  is always reduced into a 1 variable  $1^{\text{st}}$  or  $2^{\text{nd}}$  order equation of  $k'_5$  constructed through arbitrary selection of 2 variables among 5 variables in the QE for some real vector a.

For the above three cases, 2-input, 2-output,  $4^{\text{th}}$  order strictly proper linear systems are not exactly pole assignable if  $l_5$  is not zero. This is contradictory to the assumption.

**Remark 3.** The necessary and sufficient condition for the EPA of (2,2,4) systems given in Theorem 1 is equivalent to the condition, det(G(s)) = 0 (called, rank-one systems) and no linear combination of the set of  $\{G_i(s)\}$  vanishes [11],[15].

# IV. Numerical Example

Consider a 2-input, 2-output, 4<sup>th</sup> order strictly proper system [16] with the system matrices given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

First, exact pole assignability is checked using the condition in Theorem 1.

**Step 1:** The system transfer function G(s)(:=  $C(sI-A)^{-1}B$ ) is obtained by

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{s^2 - 1}{s^4 - s^2 - 1} & \frac{1}{s^4 - s^2 - 1} \\ \frac{s^3 - s}{s^4 - s^2 - 1} & \frac{s}{s^4 - s^2 - 1} \end{bmatrix}$$

From Equation (5), Lk = a is constructed by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \\ k_4 \\ k_5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

without constraint of  $k_5$ . In the rank test,  $rank(L_{sub}) = 4$ , and the last column,  $l_5$  of  $L_{sub}$  is zero. Thus, the condition for EPA in Theorem 1 is satisfied. So, this SOF system has EPA feature by real SOF. Next, the control gain K is obtained since the system satisfies pole assignability.

**Step 2:** From arbitrary desired pole positions of  $(s+1)^2(s+2)^2 = 0$ , the real coefficients of the closed-loop characteristic polynomial  $p_c(s)$  are obtained by  $a_1 = 6, a_2 = 13, a_3 = 12, a_4 = 4$ . From rank  $(L_{sub}) = 4$ , the reduced row echelon form  $L_{sub}^{'}k_{sub} = a_{sub}^{'}$  is obtained by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \\ k_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 6 \\ 19 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

From Equation (9),  $k_5$  is calculated with

 $k_5 = 14 \times 18 - 6 \times 19$  and the real solution K is directly obtained by

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 k_2 \\ k_3 k_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 6 \\ 19 & 18 \end{bmatrix}$$

# V. Conclusion

It is presented that the static output feedback pole-assignment problem and its related stabilization problem of 2-input, 2-output, 4<sup>th</sup> order strictly proper linear systems can be completely resolved by the real Grassmannian paramerization method within Plücker matrix formula Lk = a, as a selfcontained algorithm in Grassmann space. In this paper, the necessary and sufficient condition was provided for the exact pole assignability of static output feedback problem in 2-input, 2-output, 4<sup>th</sup> order linear systems. Futhermore, it can be shown that previous diverse pole-assignment methods and various computation algorithms of real gains are unified using this real Grassmannian parametrization method.

# References

[1] C. I. Byrnes, "Pole-assignment by output feedback," *Lecture Notes in Control and Infor. Sciences*, vol.135, pp.31–78, 1989.

DOI: 10.1007%2FBFb0008458

[2] K. Ramar and K. K. Appukuttan, "Pole assignment for multi-input, multi-output systems using output feedback,"*Automatica*, vol.27, no.6, pp.1061–1062, 1991.

DOI: 10.1016/0005-1098(91)90145-r

[3] H. Kimura, "Pole assignment by gain output feedback," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, vol.20, no.4, pp.509–516, 1975.

DOI: 10.1109/TAC.1975.1101028

[4] X. Wang, "On output feedback via Grassmannian," SIAM J. of Control and Optimization, vol.29, no.4, pp.926–935, 1991. DOI.ORG/10.1137/0329051
[5] Q. G. Wang, T. H. Lee, and C. C. Hang, "Pole assignment by output feedback: a solution for 2x2 plants," *Automatica*, vol.29, no.6, pp.1599–1601, 1993. DOI.ORG/10.1016/0005-1098(93)90028-R

[6] V. L. Syrmos, C. Abdallah, P. Dorato, and K. Grigoriadis, "Static output feedback: a survey," *Automatica*, vol.33, no.2, pp.125–137, 1997.

DOI.ORG/10.1016/S0005-1098(96)00141-0

[7] L. Fletcher and J. Magni, "Exact pole assignment by output feedback: Part I," *Int. J. Control*, vol.45, no.6, pp.1995–2007, 1987.

DOI.ORG/10.1080/00207178708933862

[8] L. Fletcher, "Exact pole assignment by output feedback: Part II," *Int. J. Control*, vol.45, no.6, pp.2009–2019, 1987.

DOI.ORG/10.1080/00207178708933863

[9] J. F. Magni, "Exact pole assignment by output feedback: Part III," *Int. J. Control*, vol.45, no.6, pp.2021–2033, 1987.

DOI.ORG/10.1080/00207178708933864

[10] C. I. Byrnes and B. D. O. Anderson, "Output feedback and generic stabilizability," *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, vol.22, no.3, pp.362–380, 1984. DOI.ORG/10.1137/0322024

[11] R. W. Brockett and C. I. Byrnes, "Multivariable Nyquist criteria, root loci and pole placement: A geometric viewpoint," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol.26, no.1, pp.271–284, 1981.

DOI: 10.1109/TAC.1981.1102571

[12] C. Giannakopoulos and N. Karcanias, "Pole assignment of strictly and proper linear system by constant output feedback," *Int. J. Control*, vol.42, no.3, pp.543–565, 1985.

DOI.ORG/10.1080/00207178508933382

[13] N. Karcanias and J. Leventides, "Grassmann invariants, matrix pencils, and linear system properties," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, no.241, pp.705–731, 1996.

DOI: 10.1016/0024-3795(95)00590-0

[14] S. W. Kim, "Construction algorithm of Grassmann space parameter in linear system," *Int. J. Control, Automation and System*, vol.3, no.3, pp.430–443, 2005.

[15] A. S. Morse, W. A. Wolovich, and B. D.

O. Anderson, "Generic pole-assignment: preliminary results," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol.28, no.4, pp.503–506, 1983.

DOI: 10.1109/TAC.1983.1103249

[16] B.-H. Kwon and M.-J. Yoon, "Eigenvalue -generalized eigenvector assignment by output feedback," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol.32, no.5, pp.417–421, 1987.

DOI: 10.1109/TAC.1987.1104623

# BIOGRAPHY

#### Su-Woon Kim (Member)



1974 : BS degree in Electrical Engineering, Seoul National University. 1996 : PhD degree in Electrical Engineering, Univ. of Minnesota, U.S.A

2003~2010: Lecturer, Dept. of Electrical Eng., Jeju National University

#### Min-Jae Kang (Member)



1982 : BS degree in Electrical
Engineering, Seoul National
University.
1991 : PhD degree in Electrical
Engineering, Univ. of Louisvill, U.S.A
1992 ~ Current : Professor, Dept. of
Electronic Eng., Jeju National
University

#### Seong-Ho Song (Member)



1987 : BS degree in Control & Instrument Eng., Seoul National University.1991 : MS degree in Control &

Instrument Eng., Seoul National University. 1995 : PhD degree in Control &

Instrument Eng., Seoul National University

1996~Current: Professor, School of Software, Hallym University

# Ho-Chan Kim (Member)



1987 : BS degree in Control & Instrument Eng., Seoul National University.1989 : MS degree in Control & Instrument Eng., Seoul National

1994 : PhD degree in Control & Instrument Eng., Seoul National University

1995~Current : Professor, Dept. of Electrical Eng., Jeju National University