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#### Abstract

It is presented in this paper that the static output feedback (SOF) pole-assignment problem of some linear time-invariant systems can be completely resolved by parametrization in real Grassmann space. For the real Grassmannian parametrization, the so-called Plücker matrix is utilized as a linear matrix formula formulated from the SOF variable's coefficients of a characteristic polynomial constrained in Grassmann space. It is found that the exact SOF pole assignability is determined by the linear independency of columns of Plücker sub-matrix and by full-rank of that sub-matrix. It is also presented that previous diverse pole-assignment methods and various computation algorithms of the real SOF gains for 2 -input, 2 -output, $4^{\text {th }}$ order systems are unified in a deterministic way within this real Grassmannian parametrization method.
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## I. Introduction

The 2-input, 2-output systems are a small class of general multivariable systems that are commonly encountered in practical applications such as gas-turbine fuel oil control in airplane systems, reel-to-reel tape drivers, artificial ventilation systems for respiratory failure patients, etc. However, the necessary and sufficient condition of exact pole-assignment by static output feedback (SOF) in 2-input, 2 -output, $n^{\text {th }}$ order strictly proper linear time-invariant systems, has been not known, and also stable computation algorithms covering whole solutions of the exact real SOF gains have been not developed [1]-[6].

The study of SOF pole-assignment for the exact pole locations was pioneered by Kimura [3], where it is proved that if $m+p>n$ in $m$-input, $p$-output, $n^{\text {th }}$ order system, the pole-assignment by SOF can always be available in controllable and observable systems over distinct poles, provided that slight modification of the poles to be assigned is tolerable. A decade later, within the same state space frame of Kimura, a sufficient condition of exact pole-assignment (EPA) was derived by Fletcher and Magni without furnishing stable computation algorithms [7]-[9].

We propose in this paper a new EPA method based on the real Grassmannian space frame for

[^0]resolving the SOF pole-assignment together with its related computation problems in frequency domain. In mathematical viewpoint, the basic coordinate setting for solving the nonlinear SOF equations for pole-assignment is naturally carried out in the specific Grassmann space without introducing the general affine space [10],[11]. For the real parametrization in Grassmann space, the Plücker matrix formula $L k=a$ is introduced [12]-[15]. In this paper, the exact pole assignablitiy of 2 -input, 2 -output, $4^{\text {th }}$ order strictly proper linear systems (simply, $(2,2,4)$ systems) is examined. Through the real Grassmannian parametrization approach, a necessary and sufficient condition is explicitly derived for the exact pole assignablitiy and the real SOF gains of EPA for the desired pole positions are always algebraically computable in a deterministic way, which can generalize and unify previous diverse methods for SOF poleassignment and various computation algorithms of the real SOF gains.

## II. Preliminaries

Regarding the pole assignabilities by SOF, the following two classifications are widely used: exact pole-assignment (EPA) and generic poleassignment (GPA) [15].

Definition 1. An $n^{\text {th }}$ order linear system with rational transfer function matrix $G(s)=N_{R}(s) D_{R}(s)^{-1}$ is exactly pole assignable by real SOF if any $n^{\text {th }}$ order monic polynomial $p_{c}(s)=s^{n}+a_{1} s^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}$ with coefficients $\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ can be achieved by the closed-loop characteristic equation using some real SOF $K$.

Definition 2. An $n^{\text {th }}$ order linear system with rational transfer function matrix $G(s)$ is generically pole assignable by real SOF if the coefficient set $\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$ of the achievable closed-loop characteristic polynomial $p_{c}(s)$ by real SOF $K$, is open and dense in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

In Definitions 1 and $2, N_{R}(s)$ and $D_{R}(s)$ are right coprime polynomial matrices. In this section, a specific construction algorithm of $L k=a$ for the (2,2,4) systems is introduced [5]. Consider a $(2,2,4)$ system described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(s)=G(s) u(s) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(s)$ is a strictly proper rational transfer function matrix.
A feedback control input $u(s)=-K y(s)$ is applied to the systems. It is easily shown that the desired $4^{\text {th }}$ order closed-loop characteristic polynomial $p_{c}(s)$ is written by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{c}(s)=p(s) \operatorname{det}[I+K G(s)] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(s)=s^{4}+b_{1} s^{3}+\cdots+b_{4}$ is an open-loop characteristic polynomial.

Equation (2) can be expressed as follows through matrix theory

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(s) \operatorname{det}[I+K G(s)] \\
& \quad=p(s) \operatorname{det}[\lambda I+K G(s)]_{\lambda=1} \\
& \quad=p(s)\left[\lambda^{2}+\operatorname{tr}(K G(s)) \lambda+\operatorname{det}(K G(s))\right]_{\lambda=1}  \tag{3}\\
& \quad=p(s)[1+\operatorname{tr}(K G(s))+\operatorname{det}(K G(s))] \\
& \quad=p(s)[1+\operatorname{tr}(K G(s))+\operatorname{det}(K) \operatorname{det}(G(s))]
\end{align*}
$$

From the signal flow graph viewpoint, the elements of $K$ and $G(s)$ can be written as below [1],[6],

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{l}
k_{1} k_{2} \\
k_{3} k_{4}
\end{array}\right], \quad G(s)=\frac{1}{p(s)}\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}(s) f_{3}(s) \\
f_{2}(s) f_{4}(s)
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $f_{i}(s),(i=1, \cdots, 4)$ is a numerator polynomial of $G_{i}(s)$.

And over the desired closed-loop characteristic polynomial $p_{c}(s)$, the Equation (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{c}(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(s-s_{i}\right)=p(s)+\sum_{i=1}^{5} f_{i}(s) k_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{4}$ are closed-loop poles and $f_{i}(s)=f_{i 1} s^{3}+f_{i 2} s^{2}+f_{i 3} s+f_{i 4}$ for all $i=1, \cdots, 4$, $f_{5}(s)(:=p(s) \operatorname{det}(G(s)))=f_{52} s^{2}+f_{53} s+f_{54}$, and $k_{5}(:=\operatorname{det}(K))=k_{1} k_{4}-k_{2} k_{3}$.

Equating the coefficients of the same orders on both sides of Equation (4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
L k=a \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
b_{1} & f_{11} & \cdots & f_{41} & 0 \\
b_{2} & f_{12} & \cdots & f_{42} & f_{52} \\
b_{3} & f_{13} & \cdots & f_{43} & f_{53} \\
b_{4} & f_{14} & \cdots & f_{44} & f_{54}
\end{array}\right], k=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
k_{1} \\
\vdots \\
k_{4} \\
k_{5}
\end{array}\right], a=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3} \\
a_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In Equations (4) and (5), it is known that the numerical construction algorithm of the Plücker matrix formula $L k=a$ in $(2,2,4)$ systems is implemented by the following procedure:

1st column of $L$ : Filled by the coefficients of an open-loop characteristic polynomial $p(s)$.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ column of $L$ : Filled by the coefficients of $p(s) G_{1}(s)$, which is matched with the gain $k_{1}$.
$5^{\text {th }}$ column of $L$ : Filled by the coefficients of $p(s) G_{4}(s)$, which is matched with the gain $k_{4}$.
$6^{\text {th }}$ column of $L$ : Filled by the coefficients of the interacting factor $p(s)\left(G_{1}(s) G_{4}(s)-G_{2}(s) G_{3}(s)\right)$, which is matched with the gain $k_{5}$.
For the reduced formula, Equation (4) is rearranged into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{5} f_{i}(s) k_{i}=p_{c}(s)-p(s) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

And equating the coefficient of the same orders on both sides of Equation (6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\text {sub }} k_{\mathrm{sub}}=a_{\mathrm{sub}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L_{\text {sub }}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{11} \cdots f_{41} \\
f_{12} \cdots f_{42} f_{52} \\
f_{13} \cdots f_{43} f_{53} \\
f_{14} \cdots f_{44} f_{54}
\end{array}\right], k_{\text {sub }}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
k_{1} \\
\vdots \\
k_{4} \\
k_{5}
\end{array}\right], a_{\text {sub }}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{1}-b_{1} \\
a_{2}-b_{2} \\
a_{3}-b_{3} \\
a_{4}-b_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Remark 1. In algebraic geometry, the elements [ $\left.1 k_{1} \cdots k_{5}\right]^{t}$ of $k$ in Equation (5) are named
inhomogeneous Plücker coordinates of Grassmann space Grass(2,4), constrained in a quadratic equation $k_{5}-k_{1} k_{4}+k_{2} k_{3}=0$, whose solution set is called Grassmann variety.
Remark 2. The general Plücker matrix formula $L k=a$ for general ( $m, p, n$ ) systems can be numerically constructed through the application of Binet-Cauchy theorem to determinantal matrix formula $\operatorname{det}[I+K G(s)]$ and its comparisons with the signal flow graph analysis of the closed-loop determinant $\Delta$ in Mason's gain formula [14].

## III. Main Result

In Theorem 1, the necessary and sufficient condition for the exact pole assignability of $(2,2,4)$ systems is explicitly provided for the Plucker matrix formula in Equation (7).

Theorem 1. The 2 -input, $2^{-}$output, $4^{\text {th }}$ order strictly proper linear systems are exactly pole assignable if and only if the last column $\ell_{5}$ in $L$ is zero under $\operatorname{rank}\left(L_{\text {sub }}\right)=4$.

Proof: The sufficient condition is obviously obtained by setting $l_{5}$ to be zero in $L_{\text {sub }}$ of Equation (7). If $l_{5}$ is zero, then $K_{\text {sub }}$ can be obtained under the condition $\operatorname{rank}\left(L_{\text {sub }}\right)=4$ for any given $a_{\text {sub }}$ regardless of the relation for $k_{5}$. So EPA is satisfied.

Next, we will prove the necessary condition by contradiction. Assume that $l_{5}$ is not zero and the $(2,2,4)$ system is exactly pole assignable. Because $\operatorname{rank}\left(L_{\text {sub }}\right)=4$, there happen three cases for the matrix $L_{\text {sub }}$ when $l_{5}$ is not zero.
Case 1. One of 4 columns, $\ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{4}$ in $L_{\text {sub }}$ is zero.

Case 2. Some 2 columns of $L_{\text {sub }}$ are linearly dependent.

Case 3. Every two columns of $L_{\text {sub }}$ are linearly independent

Case 1: If one of the $1^{\text {st }} 4$ columns of $L_{\text {sub }}$,
$\ell_{i}(i=1, \cdots, 4)$, is zero under $\operatorname{rank}\left(L_{\text {sub }}\right)=4$, then the four real SOF variables except $k_{i}$ variable are always determined from $L_{\text {sub }} k_{\text {sub }}=a_{\text {sub }}$. Putting the four values in the 5 variable quadratic equation (QE), the QE $k_{5}-k_{1} k_{4}+k_{2} k_{3}=0$ is reduced into a 1 variable $1^{\text {st }}$ order linear equation. Thus, the real solution-set of the SOF equtions, $L k=a$ and QE, is complete on the real field R except a singular zero-value point where the gain multiplied to $k_{i}$ in the QE is zero.

Case 2: In Case 2, there are three situations.
i) Two columns, $\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{4}\right\}$ or $\left\{\ell_{2}, \ell_{3}\right\}$ in $L_{\text {sub }}$, are linearly dependent.

If two columns of $L_{\text {sub }},\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{4}\right\}$ or $\left\{\ell_{2}, \ell_{3}\right\}$ are linearly dependent, then from $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime} k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}=a_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$, four SOF variables where one combined variable X is represented by $X=k_{1}+\gamma k_{4}$ or $X=k_{2}+\delta k_{3}$ for real constants $\gamma$ and $\delta$, are always determined by real values. Putting the four real values into $k_{5}-k_{1} k_{4}+k_{2} k_{3}=0$, the QE is reduced into a 1 variable $2^{\text {nd }}$ order equation. Thus by the algebraic character of the 1 variable $2^{\text {nd }}$ order equation constructed for some real vector $a$, these $(2,2,4)$ systems are NPA with some real-disconnected interval on the SOF gain variables in R .
ii) Two columns, $\ell_{5}$ and one column of $\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}\right\}$ in $L_{\mathrm{sub}}$, are linearly dependent.

If two columns in $L_{\text {sub }},\left\{\ell_{\mathrm{i}}, \ell_{5}\right\}(i=1, \cdots, 4)$ are linearly dependent, then from $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime} k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}=a_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$, four variables where a combined variable $X$ is represented by $X:=k_{5}+\lambda k_{i}$ for real constant $\lambda$, are always determined by real values. Putting the four real values into $k_{5}-k_{1} k_{4}+k_{2} k_{3}=0$, the QE is reduced into a 1 variable $1^{\text {st }}$ order equation with a singular point. For example, let $k_{i}=k_{2}$, then from $X:=k_{5}+\lambda k_{i}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3} k_{2}+\lambda k_{2}=\alpha_{X}$, the $k_{2}$ is obtained by

$$
k_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}-\alpha_{X}}{\alpha_{3}-\lambda}
$$

In this case, $k_{i}$ is one of $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right\}$ and
$\alpha_{X}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}$ indicate the real values of $X, k_{1}, k_{3}, k_{4}$, respectively, in $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime} k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}=a_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$. In this way, the SOF $k_{2}$ has a singular point at $\alpha_{3}=\lambda$ for a special real vector $a$. Thus, these $(2,2,4)$ systems are exactly pole assignable except a singular point at $k_{i}$.
iii) Two columns, $\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right.$ (or $\ell_{3}$ ) $\}$ or $\left\{\ell_{4}, \ell_{2}\right.$ (or $\ell_{3}$ ) $\}$ in $L_{\text {sub }}$, are linearly dependent.

If two columns in $L_{\text {sub }},\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\left(\right.\right.$ or $\left.\left.\ell_{3}\right)\right\}$ or $\left\{\ell_{4}, \ell_{2}\right.$ (or $\ell_{3}$ ) \}, are linearly independent, then from $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime} k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}=a_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$, four SOF variables where one combined variable $X$ is represented by $X:=k_{i}+\rho k_{j}$ for real constant $\rho$, are always determined by real values. Putting the four real values in $k_{5}$, the QE is reduced into a 1 variable $1^{\text {st }}$ order equation with a singular point. For example, let $k_{i}=k_{1}$ and $k_{j}=k_{2}$ then from $X:=k_{1}+\rho k_{2}=\alpha_{X}, k_{5}$ is obtained by $\alpha_{5}=k_{1} \alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{X}-k_{1}\right) / \rho$. Therefore, $k_{1}$ is given by

$$
k_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{3} \alpha_{X}}{\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{3} / \rho}
$$

In this case, the SOF $k_{1}$ has a singular point at $\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{3} / \rho=0$ for a special real vector $a$. Thus, these $(2,2,4)$ systems are exactly pole assignable except a singular point at $k_{i}$ and $k_{j}$.

Case 3: If every 2 columns of $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$ are linearly independent under $\operatorname{rank}\left(L_{\text {sub }}\right)=4$, then from the $1^{\text {st }}$ 4 diagonalized matrix $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$ in $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime} k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}=a_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}, 2 \sim 4$ variables among $k_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, k_{4}^{\prime}$ in $k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$ become linear functions over the last remaining variable, $k_{5}^{\prime}$.
i) 4 variable linear function case:

In $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$ by rank-nullity theorem, rank $\left(L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}\right)+$ null $\left(L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}\right)=$ number of columns of $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$. Thus, the $1^{\text {st }} 4$ variables in $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime} k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}=a_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$ depend upon the last 1 variable $k_{5}^{\prime}$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{1} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \beta_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \beta_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
k_{1}^{\prime} \\
k_{2}^{\prime} \\
k_{3}^{\prime} \\
k_{4}^{\prime} \\
k_{5}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \\
\alpha_{2}^{\prime} \\
\alpha_{3}^{\prime} \\
\alpha_{4}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In this case, let $\beta_{1} \ell_{1}^{\prime}+\beta_{2} \ell_{2}^{\prime}+\beta_{3} \ell_{3}^{\prime}+\beta_{4} \ell_{4}^{\prime}=\ell_{5}^{\prime}$ where $\ell_{i}^{\prime}$ indicates the $i^{\text {th }}$ column of $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$, then all 4 variables $k_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, k_{4}^{\prime}$ are linear functions on the variable $k_{5}^{\prime}$. Thus the $\mathrm{QE}, k_{5}-k_{1} k_{4}+k_{2} k_{3}=0$ is always reduced into a 1 variable $2^{\text {nd }}$ order equation of $k_{5}^{\prime}$ constructed through arbitrary selection of 4 variables among 5 variables in the QE for some real vector $a$.
ii) 3 variable linear function case:

In the same way as i), 3 variables among 4 variables $k_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, k_{4}^{\prime}$ in $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime} k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}=a_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$ depend upon the last 1 variable $k_{5}^{\prime}$. For example, let $\beta_{2} \ell_{2}^{\prime}+\beta_{3} \ell_{3}^{\prime}+\beta_{4} \ell_{4}^{\prime}=\ell_{5}^{\prime}$, then 3 variables $k_{2}^{\prime}, k_{3}^{\prime}, k_{4}^{\prime}$ have linear functions with the variable $k_{5}^{\prime}$. Thus the $\mathrm{QE}, k_{5}-k_{1} k_{4}+k_{2} k_{3}=0$ is always reduced into a 1 variable $2^{\text {nd }}$ order equation of $k_{5}^{\prime}$ constructed through arbitrary selection of 3 variables among 5 variables in the QE for some real vector $a$.
iii) 2 variable linear function case:

In the same way as ii), 2 variables among 4 variables $k_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, k_{4}^{\prime}$ in $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime} k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}=a_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$ depend upon the last 1 variable $k_{5}^{\prime}$. For example, let $\beta_{3} \ell_{3}{ }^{\prime}+\beta_{4} \ell_{4}{ }^{\prime}=\ell_{5}{ }^{\prime}$, then 2 variables $k_{3}^{\prime}, k_{4}^{\prime}$ have linear functions with the variable $k_{5}^{\prime}$. Thus the $\mathrm{QE}, k_{5}-k_{1} k_{4}+k_{2} k_{3}=0$ is always reduced into a 1 variable $1^{\text {st }}$ or $2^{\text {nd }}$ order equation of $k_{5}^{\prime}$ constructed through arbitrary selection of 2 variables among 5 variables in the QE for some real vector $a$.

For the above three cases, 2-input, 2-output, $4^{\text {th }}$ order strictly proper linear systems are not exactly pole assignable if $l_{5}$ is not zero. This is contradictory to the assumption.

Remark 3. The necessary and sufficient condition for the EPA of $(2,2,4)$ systems given in Theorem 1 is equivalent to the condition, $\operatorname{det}(G(s))=0$ (called, rank-one systems) and no linear combination of the set of $\left\{G_{i}(s)\right\}$ vanishes [11],[15].

## IV. Numerical Example

Consider a 2 -input, 2 -output, $4^{\text {th }}$ order strictly proper system [16] with the system matrices given by

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right], B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], C=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

First, exact pole assignability is checked using the condition in Theorem 1.

Step 1: The system transfer function $G(s)$ (:=C(sI-A $\left.)^{-1} B\right)$ is obtained by

$$
G(s)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{s^{2}-1}{s^{4}-s^{2}-1} & \frac{1}{s^{4}-s^{2}-1} \\
\frac{s^{3}-s}{s^{4}-s^{2}-1} & \frac{s}{s^{4}-s^{2}-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

From Equation (5), $L k=a$ is constructed by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{8}\\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
k_{1} \\
k_{2} \\
k_{3} \\
k_{4} \\
k_{5}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3} \\
a_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

without constraint of $k_{5}$. In the rank test, $\operatorname{rank}\left(L_{\text {sub }}\right)=4$, and the last column, $l_{5}$ of $L_{\text {sub }}$ is zero. Thus, the condition for EPA in Theorem 1 is satisfied. So, this SOF system has EPA feature by real SOF. Next, the control gain $K$ is obtained since the system satisfies pole assignability.

Step 2: From arbitrary desired pole positions of $(s+1)^{2}(s+2)^{2}=0$, the real coefficients of the closed-loop characteristic polynomial $p_{c}(s)$ are obtained by $a_{1}=6, a_{2}=13, a_{3}=12, a_{4}=4$. From rank $\left(L_{\text {sub }}\right)=4$, the reduced row echelon form $L_{\text {sub }}^{\prime} k_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}=$ $a_{\text {sub }}^{\prime}$ is obtained by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{9}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
k_{1} \\
k_{2} \\
k_{3} \\
k_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
14 \\
6 \\
19 \\
8
\end{array}\right]
$$

From Equation (9), $k_{5}$ is calculated with
$k_{5}=14 \times 18-6 \times 19$ and the real solution $K$ is directly obtained by

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{l}
k_{1} k_{2} \\
k_{3} k_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
14 & 6 \\
19 & 18
\end{array}\right]
$$

## V. Conclusion

It is presented that the static output feedback pole-assignment problem and its related stabilization problem of 2 -input, 2 -output, $4^{\text {th }}$ order strictly proper linear systems can be completely resolved by the real Grassmannian paramerization method within Plücker matrix formula $L k=a$, as a selfcontained algorithm in Grassmann space. In this paper, the necessary and sufficient condition was provided for the exact pole assignablitiy of static output feedback problem in 2 -input, 2 -output, $4^{\text {th }}$ order linear systems. Futhermore, it can be shown that previous diverse pole-assignment methods and various computation algorithms of real gains are unified using this real Grassmannian parametrization method.
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