

## $(L, *, \odot)$ -QUASIUNIFORM CONVERGENCE SPACES

JUNG MI KO<sup>a</sup> AND YONG CHAN KIM<sup>b,\*</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define the notion of  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence spaces on ecl-premonoid. From  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform structures, we can obtain various  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence structures and give their examples.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Gähler [2,3] introduced the notions of  $L$ -filters in a frame. Höhle and Sostak [4] introduced the concept of  $L$ -filters for a complete quasimonoidal lattice  $L$ . For the case that the lattice is a stsc quantale,  $L$ -filters were introduced in [12]. Jäger [5-6] developed stratified  $L$ -convergence structures based on the concepts of  $L$ -filters where  $L$  is a complete Heyting algebra. Yao [14] extended stratified  $L$ -convergence structures to complete residuated lattices and investigated between stratified  $L$ -convergence structures and  $L$ -fuzzy topological spaces. As an extension of Yao [14], Fang [7-11] introduced  $L$ -ordered convergence structures and (pre, quasi,semi) uniform convergence spaces on  $L$ -filters and investigated their relations.

In this paper, we define the  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence spaces as an extension of Fang's uniform convergence spaces on ecl-premonoid in Orpen's sense [13]. From  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform structures, we can obtain various  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence structures and give their examples.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1** ([13]). A complete lattice  $(L, \leq, \perp, \top)$  is called a *GL-monoid*  $(L, \leq, *, \perp, \top)$  with a binary operation  $*$  :  $L \times L \rightarrow L$  satisfying the following conditions:

---

Received by the editors June 11, 2019. Accepted October 01, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 03E72, 54A40, 54B10.

*Key words and phrases*. GL-monoid, ecl-premonoid, ecl-premonoid,  $(L, *)$ -filters,  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence spaces.

This work was supported by the Research Institute of Natural Science of Gangneung-Wonju National University.

\*Corresponding author.

- (G1)  $a * \top = a$ , for all  $a \in L$ ,
- (G2)  $a * b = b * a$ , for all  $a, b \in L$ ,
- (G3)  $a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c$ , for all  $a, b \in L$ ,
- (G4) if  $a \leq b$ , there exists  $c \in L$  such that  $b * c = a$ ,
- (G5)  $a * \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} b_i = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (a * b_i)$ .

We can define an implication operator:

$$a \Rightarrow b = \bigvee \{c \mid a * c \leq b\}.$$

**Example 2.2** ([1, 4, 13]). (1) A continuous t-norm  $([0, 1], \leq, *)$  is a GL-monoid.  
 (2) A frame  $(L, \leq, \wedge)$  is a GL-monoid.

**Definition 2.3** ([1, 4, 13]). A complete lattice  $(L, \leq, \perp, \top)$  is called a *cl-premonoid*  $(L, \leq, \odot)$  with a binary operation  $\odot : L \times L \rightarrow L$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (CL1)  $a \leq a \odot \top$  and  $a \leq \top \odot a$ , for all  $a \in L$ ,
- (CL2) if  $a \leq b$  and  $c \leq d$ , then  $a \odot c \leq b \odot d$ ,
- (CL3)  $a \odot \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} b_i = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (a \odot b_i)$  and  $\bigvee_{j \in \Gamma} a_j \odot b = \bigvee_{j \in \Gamma} (a_j \odot b)$ .

We can define an implication operator:

$$a \rightarrow b = \bigvee \{c \mid a \odot c \leq b\}.$$

**Example 2.4** ([1, 4, 13]). (1) Every GL-monoid  $(L, \leq, *)$  is a cl-premonoid.  
 (2) Defines maps  $\odot_i : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$x \odot_1 y = x^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot y^{\frac{1}{p}} (p \geq 1), x \odot_2 y = (x^p + y^p) \wedge 1 (p \geq 1).$$

Then  $(L, \leq, \odot_i)$  is a cl-premonoid for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Definition 2.5** ([1, 4, 13]). A complete lattice  $(L, \leq, \perp, \top)$  is called an *ecl-premonoid*  $(L, \leq, \odot, *)$  with a GL-monoid  $(L, \leq, *)$  and a cl-premonoid  $(L, \leq, \odot)$  which satisfy the following condition:

- (D)  $(a \odot b) * (c \odot d) \leq (a * c) \odot (b * d)$ , for all  $a, b, c, d \in L$ .

An ecl-premonoid  $(L, \leq, \odot, *)$  is called an M-ecl-premonoid if it satisfies the following condition:

- (M)  $a \leq a \odot a$  for all  $a \in L$ .

In this paper, we always assume that  $(L, \leq, \odot, *)$  is an ecl-premonoid unless otherwise specified.

**Example 2.6** ([1, 4, 13]). (1) Let  $(L, \leq, *)$  be a GL-monoid and  $(L, \leq, \wedge)$  is a cl-premonoid. Then  $(L, \leq, \wedge, *)$  is an M-ecl-premonoid.

(2) Let  $(L, \leq, *)$  be a GL-monoid. Then  $(L, \leq, *, *)$  is an ecl-premonoid. If  $* = \cdot$ ,  $0.5 \not\leq 0.5 \cdot 0.5 = 0.25$ .  $(L, \leq, \cdot, \cdot)$  is not an M-ecl-premonoid.

(3) Let  $(L, \leq, \cdot)$  be a GL-monoid. Define a map  $\odot : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as  $x \odot y = (x + y) \wedge 1$ . Then  $(L, \leq, \odot, \cdot)$  is not an M-cl-premonoid because

$$0.7 = (0.3 \odot 0.4) \cdot (0.5 \odot 0.7) \not\leq (0.3 \cdot 0.5) \odot (0.4 \cdot 0.7) = 0.15 + 0.28 = 0.43$$

(4) Let  $(L, \leq, \cdot)$  be a GL-monoid. Define a map  $\odot : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as  $x \odot y = x^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot y^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . Then  $(L, \leq, \odot, \cdot)$  is an M-cl-premonoid.

**Lemma 2.7** ([1, 4, 13]). *Let  $(L, \leq, \odot, *)$  be an ecl-premonoid. For each  $a, b, c, d, a_i, b_i \in L$  and for  $\uparrow \in \{\rightarrow, \Rightarrow\}$ , we have the following properties.*

- (1) *If  $b \leq c$ , then  $a \odot b \leq a \odot c$  and  $a * b \leq a * c$ .*
- (2)  *$a \odot b \leq c$  iff  $a \leq b \rightarrow c$ . Moreover,  $a * b \leq c$  iff  $a \leq b \Rightarrow c$ .*
- (3) *If  $b \leq c$ , then  $a \uparrow b \leq a \uparrow c$  and  $c \uparrow a \leq b \uparrow a$ .*
- (4)  *$a \leq b$  iff  $a \Rightarrow b = \top$ .*
- (5)  *$a * b \leq a \odot b$ ,  $a \rightarrow b \leq a \Rightarrow b$  and  $a * (b \odot c) \leq (a * b) \odot c$ .*
- (6)  *$(a \uparrow b) \odot (c \uparrow d) \leq (a \odot c) \uparrow (b \odot d)$ .*
- (7)  *$(b \uparrow c) \leq (a \odot b) \uparrow (a \odot c)$ .*
- (8)  *$(b \uparrow c) \leq (a \uparrow b) \uparrow (a \uparrow c)$  and  $(b \uparrow a) \leq (a \uparrow c) \uparrow (b \uparrow c)$ .*
- (9)  *$(b \rightarrow c) \leq (a \uparrow b) \rightarrow (a \uparrow c)$  and  $(b \uparrow a) \leq (a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b \uparrow c)$*
- (10)  *$a_i \uparrow b_i \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} a_i) \uparrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} b_i)$ .*
- (11)  *$a_i \uparrow b_i \leq (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} a_i) \uparrow (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} b_i)$ .*
- (12)  *$(c \uparrow a) * (b \rightarrow d) \leq (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \uparrow d)$ .*

**Definition 2.8** ([4, 13]). A mapping  $\mathcal{F} : L^X \rightarrow L$  is called an  $(L, *)$ -filter on  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (F1)  $\mathcal{F}(1_\emptyset) = \perp$  and  $\mathcal{F}(1_X) = \top$ , where  $1_\emptyset(x) = \perp, 1_X(x) = \top$  for  $x \in X$ .
- (F2)  $\mathcal{F}(f * g) \geq \mathcal{F}(f) * \mathcal{F}(g)$ , for each  $f, g \in L^X$ ,
- (F3) if  $f \leq g$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(f) \leq \mathcal{F}(g)$ .

An  $(L, *)$ -filter is called *stratified* if

- (S)  $\mathcal{F}(\alpha * f) \geq \alpha * \mathcal{F}(f)$  for each  $f \in L^X$  and  $\alpha \in L$ .

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is called an (resp. a stratified)  $(L, *)$ -filter space. We denote by  $F_*(X)$  (resp.  $F_*^s(X)$ ) the set of all (resp. stratified)  $(L, *)$ -filters on  $X$ .

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}_1)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be two  $(L, *)$ -filter spaces and  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  called an  $L$ -filter map if  $\mathcal{F}_2(g) \leq \mathcal{F}_1(\phi^{\leftarrow}(g))$  for all  $g \in L^Y$  where  $\phi^{\leftarrow}(g) = g \circ \phi$ .

**Example 2.9** ([4, 13]). (1) Define a map  $[x] : L^X \rightarrow L$  as  $[x](f) = f(x)$ . Then  $[x]$  is a stratified  $(L, *)$ -filter on  $X$ .

(2) Define a map  $\text{inf} : L^X \rightarrow L$  as  $\text{inf}(f) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} f(x)$ . Then  $\text{inf}$  is a stratified  $(L, *)$ -filter on  $X$ .

### 3. $(L, *, \odot)$ -QUASIUNIFORM CONVERGENCE SPACES

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in F_*(X \times X)$ . We define  $\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V} : L^{X \times X} \rightarrow L$  as follows:

$$(\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V})(w) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}(u) \odot \mathcal{V}(v) \mid u \circ v \leq w \}$$

where  $u \circ v(x, z) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (u(x, y) * v(y, z))$ .

(1)  $u \circ v = \perp$  implies  $\mathcal{U}(u) \odot \mathcal{V}(v) = \perp$  iff  $(\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V}) \in F_*(X \times X)$ .

(2) If  $u \circ v = \perp$  implies  $\mathcal{U}(u) \odot \mathcal{V}(v) = \perp$  and  $\mathcal{U} \in F_*^s(X \times X)$  or  $\mathcal{V} \in F_*^s(X \times X)$ , then  $\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V} \in F_*^s(X \times X)$ .

(3) If  $\mathcal{U}(1_{\Delta}) = \top$  where  $1_{\Delta}(x, x) = \top$  and  $1_{\Delta}(x, y) = \perp$  for  $x \neq y \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{U} \geq \mathcal{U}$ .

(4)  $\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} [(x, x)] \in F_*^s(X \times X)$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} [(x, x)] \geq \mathcal{U}$ .

(5)  $[(x, x)] \circ_* [(x, x)] = [(x, x)]$ .

(6)  $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)] \circ_* \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)] = \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)]$ .

(7)  $\mathcal{U} \circ_* \mathcal{U}^{-1} \in F_*(X \times X)$ .

(8)  $(\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V})^{-1} = \mathcal{V}^{-1} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $(u_1 * u_2) \circ (v_1 * v_2) \leq (u_1 \circ v_1) * (u_2 \circ v_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V})(u) * (\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V})(v) \\ &= \bigvee_{u_1 \circ v_1 \leq u} (\mathcal{U}(u_1) \odot \mathcal{V}(v_1)) * \bigvee_{u_2 \circ v_2 \leq v} (\mathcal{U}(u_2) \odot \mathcal{V}(v_2)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{(u_1 \circ v_1) * (u_2 \circ v_2) \leq u * v} \left( (\mathcal{U}(u_1) \odot \mathcal{V}(v_1)) * (\mathcal{U}(u_2) \odot \mathcal{V}(v_2)) \right) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{(u_1 \circ v_1) * (u_2 \circ v_2) \leq u * v} \left( (\mathcal{U}(u_1) * \mathcal{U}(u_2)) \odot (\mathcal{V}(v_1) * \mathcal{V}(v_2)) \right) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{(u_1 * u_2) \circ (v_1 * v_2) \leq u * v} (\mathcal{U}(u_1 * u_2) \odot \mathcal{V}(v_1 * v_2)) \\ &\leq (\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V})(u * v). \end{aligned}$$

Other cases are easily proved.

(2) Let  $\mathcal{U} \in F_*^s(X \times X)$ . Since  $a * (b \odot c) \leq (a \odot \top) * (b \odot c) \leq (a * b) \odot (\top * c) = (a * b) \odot c$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha * (\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V})(u) &= \alpha * \bigvee_{u_1 \circ v_1 \leq u} (\mathcal{U}(u_1) \odot \mathcal{V}(v_1)) \\ &= \bigvee_{u_1 \circ v_1 \leq u} (\alpha * (\mathcal{U}(u_1) \odot \mathcal{V}(v_1))) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{(u_1 \circ v_1) \leq u} ((\alpha * \mathcal{U}(u_1)) \odot \mathcal{V}(v_1)) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{((\alpha * u_1) \circ v_1) \leq \alpha * u} (\mathcal{U}(\alpha * u_1) \odot \mathcal{V}(v_1)) \\ &\leq (\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V})(\alpha * u) \end{aligned}$$

(3) For  $u \circ 1_\Delta = u$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \circ_\odot \mathcal{U}(u) \geq \mathcal{U}(u) \odot \mathcal{U}(1_\Delta) = \mathcal{U}(u)$ .

(4) Since  $[(x, x)](\alpha * u) = \alpha * u(x, x) = \alpha * [(x, x)](u)$ ,  $[(x, x)] \in F_*^s(X \times X)$ . For  $u \circ 1_\Delta = u$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{U} \circ_\odot [(x, x)])(u) \geq \mathcal{U}(u) \odot [(x, x)](1_\Delta) = \mathcal{U}(u).$$

(5) For  $u_1 \circ u_2 \leq u$ , we have

$$([(x, x)] \circ_* [(x, x)])(u) = \bigvee_{x \in X} ([(x, x)](u_1) * [(x, x)](u_2)) \leq u(x, x) = [(x, x)](u).$$

(6) For  $u \circ 1_\Delta = u$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)] \circ_* \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)])(u) &\geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)](u) * [(x, x)](1_\Delta) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)](u). \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \circ v \leq w$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)](u) * \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)](v)) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} u(x, x) * \bigwedge_{x \in X} v(x, x) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)](u \circ v) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} [(x, x)](w). \end{aligned}$$

(7) For  $u \circ v = \perp$ , we have  $\mathcal{U}(u) * \mathcal{U}^{-1}(v) \leq \mathcal{U}(u * v^{-1}) = \perp$  because  $u * v^{-1}(x, y) \leq u \circ v(x, x) = \perp$ .

(8) Since  $(v \circ u)^{-1} = u^{-1} \circ v^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}^{-1} \circ_\odot \mathcal{U}^{-1}(w) &= \bigvee \{ \mathcal{V}^{-1}(v) \odot \mathcal{U}^{-1}(u) \mid v \circ u \leq w \} \\ &= \bigvee \{ \mathcal{V}(v^{-1}) \odot \mathcal{U}(u^{-1}) \mid u^{-1} \circ v^{-1} \leq w^{-1} \} \\ &= \mathcal{U} \circ_\odot \mathcal{V}(w^{-1}) = (\mathcal{U} \circ_\odot \mathcal{V})^{-1}(w). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.2.** A subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $F_*(X \times X)$  is called an  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform structure on  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

(QU1)  $\mathcal{U} \leq [(x, x)]$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

(QU2)  $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{U} \circ_\odot \mathcal{U}$ .

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is called an  $(L, *, \odot)$  quasiuniform space.

An  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform space is called an  $(L, *, \odot)$ -uniform space if it satisfies the following condition;

(U)  $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ .

Let  $(X, \mathcal{U}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{U}_Y)$  be  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform spaces. A map  $\psi : (X, \mathcal{U}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_Y)$  is called quasiuniformly continuous if for all  $u \in L^{Y \times Y}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_Y(u) \leq \mathcal{U}_X((\psi \times \psi)^\leftarrow(u))$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  be a set and  $(L = [0, 1], \leq, \wedge, *, 0, 1)$  an M-ecl-premonoid with  $a * b = (a + b - 1) \vee 0$ . Put  $u, v \in [0, 1]^{X \times X}$  as follows:

$$u(a, a) = u(b, b) = u(c, c) = 1, \quad u(a, b) = u(b, a) = 0.6,$$

$$u(a, c) = u(c, a) = 0.5, u(b, c) = u(c, b) = 0.4.$$

$$v(a, a) = v(b, b) = 1, v(c, c) = 0.4, v(a, b) = v(b, a) = 0.6,$$

$$v(a, c) = v(c, a) = 0.5, v(b, c) = v(c, b) = 0.4.$$

(1) Define a  $([0, 1], *)$ -filter as  $\mathcal{U} : [0, 1]^{X \times X} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w = 1_{X \times X}, \\ 0.6, & \text{if } u \leq w \neq 1_{X \times X}, \\ 0.3, & \text{if } u * u \leq w \not\leq u, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $u \circ u = u$ , we obtain  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U} \circ_{\wedge} \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^{-1}$  and

$$(\mathcal{U} \circ_* \mathcal{U})(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w = 1_{X \times X}, \\ 0.2, & \text{if } u \leq w \neq 1_{X \times X}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,  $\mathcal{U}(w) \leq [(x, x)](w)$ , for each  $x \in X$ ,  $w \in L^{X \times X}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is an  $(L, *, \wedge)$ -uniform structure on  $X$  but not an  $(L, *, *)$ -uniform structure on  $X$  because  $0.6 = \mathcal{U}(u) \not\leq (\mathcal{U} \circ_* \mathcal{U})(u) = 0.2$ .

(2) Define  $[0, 1]$ -filter as  $\mathcal{V} : [0, 1]^{X \times X} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w \geq 1_{\Delta}, \\ 0.6, & \text{if } v \leq w \not\leq 1_{\Delta}, \\ 0.3, & \text{if } v * v \leq w \not\leq v, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $v \circ 1_{\Delta} = v$ , we obtain  $\mathcal{V} \circ_* \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V} \circ_{\wedge} \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^{-1}$ . But  $0.6 = \mathcal{V}(v) \not\leq [(c, c)](v) = 0.4$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V}$  is neither an  $(L, *, \wedge)$ -uniform structure nor an  $(L, *, *)$ -uniform structure on  $X$ .

**Definition 3.4.** A map  $\Lambda : F_*(X \times X) \rightarrow L$  is called an  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence structure on  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

(QC1)  $\Lambda([(x, x)]) = \top$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

(QC2) If  $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$ , then  $\Lambda(\mathcal{U}) \leq \Lambda(\mathcal{V})$ .

(QC3)  $\Lambda(\mathcal{U}) \odot \Lambda(\mathcal{V}) \leq \Lambda(\mathcal{U} \odot \mathcal{V})$ .

(QC4)  $\Lambda(\mathcal{U}) \odot \Lambda(\mathcal{V}) \leq \Lambda(\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V})$  where  $\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{V} \in F_*(X \times X)$ .

The pair  $(X, \Lambda)$  is called an  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence space.

An  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence space is called an  $(L, *, \odot)$ -uniform convergence space if it satisfies the following condition;

(U)  $\Lambda(\mathcal{U}) \leq \Lambda(\mathcal{U}^{-1})$ .

We say  $\Lambda_1$  is *finer* than  $\Lambda_2$  (or  $\Lambda_2$  is *coarser* than  $\Lambda_1$ ) iff  $\Lambda_1 \leq \Lambda_2$ .

We define  $\Lambda_\top, \Lambda_\perp : F_*(X \times X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\Lambda_\top(\mathcal{W}) = \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } \mathcal{W} \geq [(x, x)], \forall x \in X \\ \perp, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \Lambda_\perp(\mathcal{W}) = \top, \forall \mathcal{W} \in F(X \times X)$$

Then  $\Lambda_\top$  (resp.  $\Lambda_\perp$ ) is the finest (resp. coarsest)  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence structure.

Let  $(X, \Lambda_X)$  and  $(Y, \Lambda_Y)$  be  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence spaces. A map  $\psi : (X, \Lambda_X) \rightarrow (Y, \Lambda_Y)$  is called *quasiuniformly continuous* if for all  $\mathcal{U} \in F_*(X \times X)$ ,  $\Lambda_X(\mathcal{U}) \leq \Lambda_Y((\psi \times \psi)^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{U}))$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(X, \Lambda_X)$  be an  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence space. We define a map  $\Lambda_X^{-1} : F_*(X \times X) \rightarrow L$  as*

$$\Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = \Lambda_X(\mathcal{U}^{-1})$$

Then

- (1)  $(X, \Lambda_X^{-1})$  is an  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence space.
- (2) If  $\psi : (X, \Lambda_X) \rightarrow (Y, \Lambda_Y)$  is quasiuniformly continuous, then  $\psi : (X, \Lambda_X^{-1}) \rightarrow (Y, \Lambda_Y^{-1})$  is quasiuniformly continuous.

*Proof.* (1) (QC1) It is easy because  $[(x, x)]^{-1} = [(x, x)]$ .

(QC2) If  $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$ , then  $\mathcal{U}^{-1} \leq \mathcal{V}^{-1}$ . Thus  $\Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = \Lambda_X(\mathcal{U}^{-1}) \leq \Lambda_X(\mathcal{V}^{-1}) = \Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ .

(QC3)  $\Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \odot \Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = \Lambda_X(\mathcal{U}^{-1}) \odot \Lambda_X(\mathcal{V}^{-1}) \leq \Lambda_X(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \odot \mathcal{V}^{-1}) = \Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{U} \odot \mathcal{V})$ .

(QC4)

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \odot \Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) &= \Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \odot \Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = \Lambda_X(\mathcal{V}^{-1}) \odot \Lambda_X(\mathcal{U}^{-1}) \\ &\leq \Lambda_X(\mathcal{V}^{-1} \circ_\odot \mathcal{U}^{-1}) = \Lambda_X((\mathcal{U} \odot \mathcal{V})^{-1}) \\ &= \Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{U} \odot \mathcal{V}). \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $\Lambda_X^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = \Lambda_X(\mathcal{U}^{-1}) \leq \Lambda_Y((\psi \times \psi)^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{U}^{-1})) = \Lambda_Y(((\psi \times \psi)^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{U}))^{-1}) = \Lambda_Y^{-1}((\psi \times \psi)^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{U}))$ .

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  be a set,  $(L = [0, 1], \leq, \odot, *, 0, 1)$  an ecl-premonoid with  $a * b = a \cdot b$ ,  $a \odot b = a^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot b^{\frac{1}{3}}$  and  $u \in [0, 1]^{X \times X}$  defined as follows:

$$u(a, a) = u(b, b) = u(c, c) = 1, \quad u(a, b) = 0.5, u(b, a) = 0.6,$$

$$u(a, c) = u(c, a) = 0.5, u(b, c) = 0.6, u(c, b) = 0.4.$$

Define  $[0, 1]$ -filter as  $\mathcal{U} : [0, 1]^{X \times X} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w = 1_{X \times X}, \\ 0.6^n, & \text{if } u^n \leq w \not\leq u^{n-1}, n \in N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $u^{n+1} = u^n * u$  and  $u^0 = 1_{X \times X}$ .

Since  $u^n \circ u^n = u^n$ , we obtain

$$(\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{U})(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w = 1_{X \times X}, \\ 0.6^n \odot 0.6^n, & \text{if } u^n \leq w \not\leq u^{n-1}, n \in N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(\mathcal{U} \odot \mathcal{U})(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w = 1_{X \times X}, \\ 0.6^n \odot 0.6^n, & \text{if } u^n \leq w \not\leq u^{n-1}, n \in N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define  $\Lambda : F_*(X \times X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{W}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{W} \geq [(x, x)], x \in X \\ 0.5^{[n]}, & \text{if } \mathcal{U}^{[n]} \leq \mathcal{W} \not\leq \mathcal{U}^{[n+1]}, n \in N \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{U}^{[n+1]} = \mathcal{U}^{[n]} \odot \mathcal{U}$  and  $0.5^{[n+1]} = 0.5^{[n]} \odot 0.5$ .

Then  $\Lambda$  is an  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence structure on  $X$ .

We obtain  $\Lambda^{-1} : F(X \times X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\Lambda^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{W} \geq [(x, x)], x \in X \\ 0.5^{[n+1]}, & \text{if } \mathcal{V}^{[n]} \leq \mathcal{W} \not\leq \mathcal{V}^{[n+1]}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}^{[n+1]} = \mathcal{V}^{[n]} \odot \mathcal{V}$  and  $0.5^{[n+1]} = 0.5^{[n]} \odot 0.5$ . Then  $\Lambda^{-1}$  is an  $(L, *, \odot)$ -quasiuniform convergence structure on  $X$ .

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $([0, 1], *)$ ,  $u \in [0, 1]^{X \times X}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  as defined in Example 12. We define  $\Lambda : F_*(X \times X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{W}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{W} \geq [(x, x)], \\ 0.6, & \text{if } \mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{W} \not\leq [(x, x)], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\mathcal{U} \circ_{\wedge} \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ ,  $\Lambda$  is an  $(L, *, \wedge)$ -uniform convergence structure.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $(L, \leq, \odot, *)$  be an  $M$ -ecl-premonoid. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a quasiuniform structure on  $X$ . We define a map  $\Lambda^{\mathcal{U}} : F(X \times X) \rightarrow L$  as follows:

$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{W}) = \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} (\mathcal{U}(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(u)).$$

Then



(1)  $\Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}$  is an  $(L, *, \odot)$  quasiuniform convergence structure.

(2) If  $\psi : (X, \mathcal{U}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_Y)$  is quasiuniformly continuous, then  $\psi : (X, \Lambda_X^{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, \Lambda_Y^{\mathcal{U}})$  is quasiuniformly continuous.

*Proof.* (QC1) Since  $\mathcal{U} \leq [(x, x)]$ ,

$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}([(x, x)]) = \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} (\mathcal{U}(u) \rightarrow [(x, x)](u)) = \top.$$

(QC3)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{W}_1) \odot \Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{W}_2) \\ &= \left( \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} (\mathcal{U}(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1(u)) \right) \odot \left( \bigwedge_{v \in L^{X \times X}} (\mathcal{U}(v) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2(v)) \right) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} \left( (\mathcal{U}(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1(u)) \odot (\mathcal{U}(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2(u)) \right) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} \left( (\mathcal{U}(u) \odot \mathcal{U}(u)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}_1(u) \odot \mathcal{W}_2(u)) \right) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} \left( \mathcal{U}(u) \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}_1 \odot \mathcal{W}_2)(u) \right) \\ &= \Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{W}_1 \odot \mathcal{W}_2). \end{aligned}$$

(QC4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{V} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{W}) \\ &= \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} \left( \mathcal{U}(u) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{W})(u) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} \left( (\mathcal{U} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{U})(u) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{W})(u) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} \left( \bigvee_{u_1 \circ u_2 \leq u} (\mathcal{U}(u_1) \odot \mathcal{U}(u_2)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{W})(u) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} \bigwedge_{u_1 \circ u_2 \leq u} \left( (\mathcal{U}(u_1) \odot \mathcal{U}(u_2)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \circ_{\odot} \mathcal{W})(u) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} \bigwedge_{u_1 \circ u_2 \leq u} \left( (\mathcal{U}(u_1) \odot \mathcal{U}(u_2)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}(u_1) \odot \mathcal{W}(u_2)) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{u_1 \in L^{X \times X}} \bigwedge_{u_2 \in L^{X \times X}} \left( (\mathcal{U}(u_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(u_1)) \odot (\mathcal{U}(u_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(u_2)) \right) \\ &\geq \left( \bigwedge_{u_1 \in L^{X \times X}} (\mathcal{U}(u_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(u_1)) \right) \odot \left( \bigwedge_{u_2 \in L^{X \times X}} (\mathcal{U}(u_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(u_2)) \right) \\ &= \Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{V}) \odot \Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{W}). \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda_X^{\mathcal{U}_X}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \Lambda_Y^{\mathcal{U}_Y}((\psi \times \psi)^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{W})) \\ &\geq \left( \bigwedge_{u \in L^{X \times X}} (\mathcal{U}_X(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(u)) \right) \\ &\rightarrow \left( \bigwedge_{v \in L^{Y \times Y}} (\mathcal{U}_Y(v) \rightarrow (\psi \times \psi)^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{W})(v)) \right) \\ &\geq \left( \bigwedge_{v \in L^{Y \times Y}} (\mathcal{U}_X((\psi \times \psi)^{\Leftarrow}(v)) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}((\psi \times \psi)^{\Leftarrow}(v))) \right) \rightarrow \\ &\quad \left( \bigwedge_{v \in L^{Y \times Y}} (\mathcal{U}_Y(v) \rightarrow (\psi \times \psi)^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{W})(v)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \bigwedge_{v \in L^Y \times Y} \left( \mathcal{U}_X((\psi \times \psi)^{\leftarrow}(v)) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}((\psi \times \psi)^{\leftarrow}(v)) \right) \rightarrow \\ &\quad \left( \mathcal{U}_Y(v) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}((\psi \times \psi)^{\leftarrow}(v)) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{v \in L^Y \times Y} \left( \mathcal{U}_Y(v) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_X((\psi \times \psi)^{\leftarrow}(v)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.9.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $([0, 1], *)$ ,  $u \in [0, 1]^{X \times X}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  as defined in Example 12. Since  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is an  $(L, *, \wedge)$  uniform structure and  $(L, \leq, \wedge, *)$  is an M-ecl-premonoid, we obtain an  $(L, *, \wedge)$ -quasiuniform convergence structure  $\Lambda^{\mathcal{U}} : F_*(X \times X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{W}) &= \bigwedge_{v \in L^{X \times X}} (\mathcal{U}(v) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(v)) \\ &= (0.6 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(u)) \wedge (0.3 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(u * u)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $(a \rightarrow b) = 1$  if  $a \leq b$  and  $(a \rightarrow b) = b$ , otherwise.

## REFERENCES

1. R. Bělohlávek: Fuzzy Relational Systems. Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2002.
2. W. Gähler: The general fuzzy filter approach to fuzzy topology I. Fuzzy Sets and Systems **76** (1995), 205-224.
3. ———: The general fuzzy filter approach to fuzzy topology II. Fuzzy Sets and Systems **76** (1995), 225-246.
4. U. Höhle & A.P. Sostak: Axiomatic foundation of fixed-basis fuzzy topology, Chapter 3 in Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Logic, Topology and Measure Theory, Handbook of fuzzy set series. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 1999.
5. G. Jäger: Subcategories of lattice-valued convergence spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems **156** (2005), 1-24.
6. ———: Pretopological and topological lattice-valued convergence spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems **158**(2007), 424-435.
7. Jinming Fang: Stratified L-order convergence structures. Fuzzy Sets and Systems **161** (2010), 2130-2149.
8. ———: Lattice-valued semiuniform convergence spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems **195** (2012), 33-57.
9. ———: Stratified L-order quasiuniform limit spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems **227** (2013), 51-73.
10. ———: Lattice-valued preuniform convergence spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems **251** (2014), 52-70.
11. ———: Relationships between L-ordered convergence structures and strong L-tologies. Fuzzy Sets and Systems **161** (2010), 2923-2944.

12. Y.C. Kim & J.M. Ko: Images and preimages of L-filter bases. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **173** (2005), 93-113.
13. D. Orpen & G. Jäger: Lattice-valued convergence spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **190** (2012), 1-20.
14. W. Yao: On many-valued L-fuzzy convergence spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **159** (2008), 2503-2519.

<sup>a</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GANGNEUNG-WONJU NATIONAL GANGNEUNG 25457, KOREA  
*Email address:* `jmko@gwnu.ac.kr`

<sup>b</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GANGNEUNG-WONJU NATIONAL GANGNEUNG 25457, KOREA  
*Email address:* `yck@gwnu.ac.kr`