Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **55** (2018), No. 6, pp. 1791–1809 https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b171076 pISSN: 1015-8634 / eISSN: 2234-3016

QUANTITATIVE WEIGHTED BOUNDS FOR THE VECTOR-VALUED SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH NONSMOOTH KERNELS

Guoen Hu

ABSTRACT. Let T be the singular integral operator with nonsmooth kernel which was introduced by Duong and McIntosh, and T_q $(q \in (1, \infty))$ be the vector-valued operator defined by $T_q f(x) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Tf_k(x)|^q\right)^{1/q}$. In this paper, by proving certain weak type endpoint estimate of $L \log L$ type for the grand maximal operator of T, the author establishes some quantitative weighted bounds for T_q and the corresponding vector-valued maximal singular integral operator.

1. Introduction

We will work on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$. Let $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(p \in [1, \infty))$ be the weight functions class of Muckenhoupt, that is, $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if w is nonnegative, locally integrable and the $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ constant $[w]_{A_p}$ is finite, where

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_{Q} \Big(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) dx \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) dx \Big)^{p-1}, \ p \in (1, \infty),$$

the supremum is taken over all cubes in \mathbb{R}^n , and

$$[w]_{A_1} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{Mw(x)}{w(x)}.$$

For properties of $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we refer the reader to the monograph [8]. In the last several years, there has been significant progress in the study of sharp weighted bounds with A_p weights for the classical operators in Harmonic Analysis. The study was begun by Buckley [1], who proved that if $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M satisfies

(1.1)
$$\|Mf\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},w)} \lesssim_{n,p} [w]_{A_{p}}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},w)}$$

O2018Korean Mathematical Society

Received December 12, 2017; Revised April 16, 2018; Accepted May 3, 2018.

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 42B20.$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ weighted bound, singular integral operator, nonsmooth kernel, sparse operator, sharp maximal operator.

Moreover, the estimate (1.1) is sharp since the exponent 1/(p-1) can not be replaced by a smaller one. Hytönen and Pérez [13] improved the estimate (1.1), and showed that

(1.2)
$$||Mf||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,w)} \lesssim_{n,p} \left([w]_{A_p} [w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}]_{A_\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} ||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,w)},$$

where and in the following, for a weight $u \in A_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{p \ge 1} A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $[u]_{A_{\infty}}$ is the A_{∞} constant of u, defined by

$$[u]_{A_{\infty}} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{u(Q)} \int_Q M(u\chi_Q)(x) dx,$$

see [25]. It is obvious that (1.2) is more subtle than (1.1).

The sharp dependence of the weighted estimates of singular integral operators in terms of the $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ constant was first considered by Petermichl [22,23], who solved this question for Hilbert transform and Riesz transform. Hytönen [11] proved that for a Calderón-Zygmund operator T and $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

(1.3)
$$||Tf||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_n [w]_{A_2} ||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}$$

This solved the so-called A_2 conjecture. Combining the estimate (1.3) and the extrapolation theorem in [5], we know that for a Calderón-Zygmund operator $T, p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

(1.4)
$$\|Tf\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},w)} \lesssim_{n,p} [w]_{A_{p}}^{\max\{1,\frac{1}{p-1}\}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},w)}$$

In [17], Lerner gave a very simple proof of (1.4) by controlling the Calderón-Zygmund operator using sparse operators. For other recent works about the quantitative weighted bounds for singular integral operators, see [9, 12-14, 18] and the related references therein.

Let T be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K in the sense that for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{supp } f$,

(1.5)
$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where K is a locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(x, y) : x = y\}$. To obtain a weak (1, 1) estimate for certain Riesz transforms, and L^p boundedness with $p \in (1, \infty)$ of holomorphic functional calculi of linear elliptic operators on irregular domains, Duong and McIntosh [6] introduced singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels via the following generalized approximation to the identity.

Definition 1.1. Let *h* be a positive, bounded and decreasing function such that for some constant $\eta > 0$,

(1.6)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{n+\eta} h(r) = 0,$$

 $\{a_t\}_{t>0}$ be a family of functions in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0,

(1.7)
$$|a_t(x, y)| \le h_t(x, y) = t^{-n/s} h\Big(\frac{|x-y|}{t^{1/s}}\Big),$$

where s > 0 is a constant. The family of operators $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ is said to be an approximation to the identity, if for every t > 0, A_t can be represented by the kernel a_t in the sense that

$$A_t u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_t(x, y) u(y) dy$$

for every function $u \in \bigcup_{p>1} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and almost everywhere $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Assumption 1.2. There exists an approximation to the identity $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ such that the composite operator TA_t has an associated kernel K_t in the sense of (1.5), and there exists a positive constant c_1 such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0,

$$\int_{|x-y| \ge c_1 t^{\frac{1}{s}}} |K(x, y) - K_t(x, y)| dx \lesssim 1.$$

An $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K satisfying Assumption 1.2 is called a singular integral operator with nonsmooth kernel, since K does not enjoy smoothness in space variables. Duong and McIntosh [6] proved that if Tis an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K, and satisfies Assumption 1.2, then T is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To consider the weighted boundedness with $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels, Martell [19] introduced the following assumptions.

Assumption 1.3. There exists an approximation to the identity $\{D_t\}_{t>0}$ such that the composite operator D_tT has an associated kernel K^t in the sense of (1.5), and there exist positive constants c_2 and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, such that for all t > 0 and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x - y| \ge c_2 t^{\frac{1}{s}}$,

$$|K(x, y) - K^{t}(x, y)| \lesssim \frac{t^{\alpha/s}}{|x - y|^{n + \alpha}}.$$

Assumption 1.4. There exists an approximation to the identity $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ such that the composite operator TA_t has an associated kernel K_t in the sense of (1.5), and there exists a positive constant c_1 and some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, such that for all t > 0 with $|x - y| \ge c_1 t^{\frac{1}{s}}$,

$$|K(x, y) - K_t(x, y)| \lesssim \frac{t^{\alpha/s}}{|x - y|^{n + \alpha}}.$$

Martell [19] proved that if T is an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator, satisfies Assumption 1.2 and Assumption 1.3, then for any $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, T is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$. Moreover, if T satisfies Assumption 1.3 and Assumption (1.4), then for $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, T is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$ to

 $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$. Hu and Yang [10] considered the weighted estimates with general weights for T and the corresponding maximal operator T^* defined by

$$T^*f(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} |T_{\epsilon}f(x)|,$$

with

$$T_{\epsilon}f(x) = \int_{|x-y| > \epsilon} K(x, y)f(y)dy.$$

Now let $q \in (1, \infty)$, and define the vector-valued singular integral operator with nonsmooth kernel by

$$T_q f(x) = |Tf(x)|_q = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Tf_k(x)|^q\right)^{1/q},$$

with $f = \{f_k\}$. Also, we define the vector-valued maximal singular integral operator T_q^* by

$$T_q^* f(x) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |T^* f_k(x)|^q\right)^{1/q}.$$

Mo and Lu [20] proved that for all $p, q \in (1, \infty)$,

$$T_q f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \||f|_q\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Le [16] considered the weighted boundedness for T_q and T_q^* , proved that for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$||T_q f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} + ||T_q^* f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim ||f|_q||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)},$$

and for $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$||T_q f||_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n,w)} \lesssim |||f|_q||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n,w)}.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to establish the quantitative weighted bounds for T_q and T_q^* . Our main results can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.5. Let T be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K in the sense of (1.5). Suppose that T satisfies Assumption 1.3 and Assumption 1.4. Then for $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

(1.8)
$$||T_q f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_{n, p, q} [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} ([w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}) [\sigma]_{A_\infty} ||f|_q ||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

Here and in the following, for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, p' = p/(p-1), $\sigma = w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Moreover, if the kernels $\{K^t\}_{t>0}$ in Assumption 1.3 satisfy that for all t > 0 and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x - y| \le c_2 t^{\frac{1}{s}}$,

(1.9)
$$|K^t(x, y)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{n}{s}},$$

then (1.8) holds true for T_q^* .

Theorem 1.6. Let T be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K in the sense of (1.5). Suppose that T satisfies Assumption 1.3 and Assumption 1.4. Then for $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$,

(1.10)
$$||T_q f||_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n,w)} \lesssim_{n,q} [w]_{A_1}[w]_{A_\infty} \log^2(e+[w]_{A_\infty})||f|_q||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n,w)},$$

and

(1.11)
$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_q f(x) > \lambda\})$$

$$\lesssim_{n,q} [w]_{A_1} \log^2(\mathbf{e} + [w]_{A_{\infty}}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda} \log\left(\mathbf{e} + \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda}\right) w(x) dx.$$

Moreover, if the kernels $\{K^t\}_{t>0}$ in Assumption 1.3 satisfy (1.9), then the estimate (1.11) also holds for T_q^* .

Remark 1.7. Theorem 1.5 implies that

(1.12)
$$\begin{aligned} \|T_q f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,w)} + \|T_q^* f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,w)} \\ \lesssim_{n,p,q} [w]_{A_p}^{\max\{1,\frac{1}{p-1}\}+\frac{1}{p-1}} \||f|_q\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,w)} \end{aligned}$$

Even for the scalar case, the weighted bounds in (1.11) and (1.12) are new. However, we do not know if these bounds are sharp.

Remark 1.8. Let $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We do not know if the estimates

$$\left\| T_{q}f \right\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n},w)} \lesssim_{n,q} [w]_{A_{1}} \log^{2}(e + [w]_{A_{\infty}}) \||f|_{q}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n},w)}$$

is true under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.6. It should be pointed out that the boundedness of T_q^* in (1.11) is new.

In what follows, C always denotes a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. We use the symbol $A \leq B$ to denote that there exists a positive constant Csuch that $A \leq CB$. Specially, we use $A \leq_{n,p} B$ to denote that there exists a positive constant C depending only on n, p such that $A \leq CB$. Constant with subscript such as c_1 , does not change in different occurrences. For any set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, χ_E denotes its characteristic function. For a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, we use $\ell(Q)$ (diamQ) to denote the side length (diameter) of Q, and λQ to denote the cube with the same center as Q and whose side length is λ times that of Q. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and r > 0, B(x, r) denotes the ball centered at x and having radius r. For locally integrable function g and a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\langle g \rangle_Q$ denotes the mean value of g on Q, that is, $\langle g \rangle_Q = |Q|^{-1} \int_Q g(y) dy$.

2. Endpoint estimates

This section is devoted to some endpoint estimates for the grand maximal operators corresponding to T and T^* in Theorem 1.5. These endpoint estimates play important roles in the proofs of the theorems and are of independent interest. We begin with some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let $q, p_0 \in (1, \infty), \rho \in [0, \infty)$ and S be a sublinear operator. Suppose that

$$|||Sf|_{q}||_{L^{p_{0}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim |||f|_{q}||_{L^{p_{0}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

and for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Sf(x)|_q > \lambda\right\}\right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda} \log^{\varrho} \left(e + \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda}\right) dx.$$

Then for cubes $Q_2 \subset Q_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{1}{|Q_1|} \int_{Q_1} |S(f\chi_{Q_2})(x)|_q dx \lesssim \left\| |f|_q \right\|_{L(\log L)^{\varrho+1}, Q_2},$$

here and in the following, for $f = \{f_k\}$ and a cube Q, $f\chi_Q = \{f_k\chi_Q\}$, and for $\beta \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\|g\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta}, Q} = \inf \Big\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \frac{|g(y)|}{\lambda} \log^{\beta} \Big(e + \frac{|g(y)|}{\lambda} \Big) dy \le 1 \Big\}.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.1 is a generalization of Lemma 3.1 in [10]. Their proofs are very similar. By homogeneity, we may assume that $\||f|_q\|_{L(\log L)^{\varrho+1}, Q_2} = 1$, which implies that

$$\int_{Q_2} |f(x)|_q \log^{\varrho+1} \left(e + |f(x)|_q \right) dx \le |Q_2|.$$

For each fixed $\lambda > 0$, set $\Omega_{\lambda} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)|_q > \lambda^{\frac{p_0-1}{2p_0}} \right\}$. Decompose f_k as

$$f_k(x) = f_k(x)\chi_{\Omega_\lambda}(x) + f_k(x)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_\lambda}(x) = f_k^1(x) + f_k^2(x).$$

Set

$$f^1 = \{f_k^1\}, \ f^2 = \{f_k^2\}; \ f^1\chi_{Q_2} = \{f_k^1\chi_{Q_2}\}, \ f^2\chi_{Q_2} = \{f_k^2\chi_{Q_2}\}, \ f^2\chi_{Q_2} = \{f_k^2\chi_{Q_2}$$

It is obvious that $|||f^2|_q||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \lambda^{\frac{p_0-1}{2p_0}}$. A trivial computation leads to that

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \left| S(f^{2}\chi_{Q_{2}})(x) \right|_{q} > \lambda/2 \right\} \right| d\lambda$$

$$\lesssim \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_{2}} |f^{2}(x)|_{q}^{p_{0}} dx \lambda^{-p_{0}} d\lambda$$

$$\lesssim \int_{Q_{2}} |f^{2}(x)|_{q} dx \int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-p_{0} + \frac{(p_{0}-1)^{2}}{2p_{0}}} d\lambda \lesssim |Q_{2}|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{1}^{\infty} \left| \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : |S(f^{1}\chi_{Q_{2}})(x)|_{q} > \lambda/2 \} \right| d\lambda \\ &\lesssim \int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_{2}} |f^{1}(x)|_{q} \log^{\varrho} \left(\mathbf{e} + |f^{1}(x)|_{q} \right) dx \lambda^{-1} d\lambda \\ &\lesssim \int_{Q_{2}} |f^{1}(x)|_{q} \log^{\varrho} \left(\mathbf{e} + |f^{1}(x)|_{q} \right) \int_{1}^{|f(x)|_{q}^{\frac{2p_{0}}{p_{0}-1}}} \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda dx \end{split}$$

$$\lesssim \int_{Q_2} |f(x)|_q \log^{\varrho+1} \left(e + |f(x)|_q \right) dx.$$

Combining the estimates above then yields

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\infty} \left| \{ x \in Q_{1} : |S(f\chi_{Q_{2}})(x)|_{q} > \lambda \} \right| d\lambda \\ &\lesssim \int_{0}^{1} \left| \{ x \in Q_{1} : |S(f\chi_{Q_{2}})(x)|_{q} > \lambda \} \right| d\lambda \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} \left| \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : |S(f^{1}\chi_{Q_{2}})(x)|_{q} > \lambda/2 \} \right| d\lambda \\ &+ \int_{1}^{\infty} \left| \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : |S(f^{2}\chi_{Q_{2}})(x)|_{q} > \lambda/2 \} \right| d\lambda \lesssim |Q_{1}|. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Recall that the standard dyadic grid in \mathbb{R}^n consists of all cubes of the form

$$2^{-k}([0, 1)^n + j), k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Denote the standard grid by \mathcal{D} . For a fixed cube Q, denote by $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ the set of dyadic cubes with respect to Q, that is, the cubes from $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ are formed by repeating subdivision of Q and each of descendants into 2^n congruent subcubes.

As usual, by a general dyadic grid \mathscr{D} , we mean a collection of cubes with the following properties: (i) for any cube $Q \in \mathscr{D}$, its side length $\ell(Q)$ is of the form 2^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; (ii) for any cubes $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathscr{D}, Q_1 \cap Q_2 \in \{Q_1, Q_2, \emptyset\}$; (iii) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cubes of side length 2^k in \mathscr{D} form a partition of \mathbb{R}^n . By the one-third trick, (see [12, Lemma 2.5]), there exist dyadic grids $\mathscr{D}_1, \ldots, \mathscr{D}_{3^n}$, such that for each cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a cube $I \in \mathscr{D}_j$ for some $j, Q \subset I$ and $\ell(Q) \approx \ell(I)$.

Let $\{D_t\}_{t>0}$ be an approximation to the identity. Associated with $\{D_t\}_{t>0}$, define the sharp maximal operator M_D^{\sharp} by

$$M_D^{\sharp}g(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |g(y) - D_{t_Q}g(y)| dy, \ g \in \bigcup_{p \in [1,\infty]} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

here, $t_Q = \{\ell(Q)\}^s$, $\ell(Q)$ is the side length of Q and s is the constant appeared in (1.7), the supremum is taken over all cubes in \mathbb{R}^n . This operator was introduced by Martell [19] and plays an important role in the weighted estimates for singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels. Let $q \in (1, \infty)$, $f = \{f_k\} \subset L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p_0 \in [1, \infty]$, define the sharp maximal function of f by

$$M_{D,q}^{\sharp}(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |f(y) - D_{t_Q}f(y)|_q dy;$$

see [20].

1797

Lemma 2.2. Let Φ be an increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying that

$$\Phi(2t) \le C\Phi(t), \ t \in [0, \infty)$$

 ${D_t}_{t>0}$ be an approximation to the identity as in Definition 1.1. Let $f = {f_k}$ be a sequence of functions such that for any R > 0,

$$\sup_{0<\lambda< R} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(|f|_q)(x) > \lambda\}| < \infty.$$

Then

 $\sup_{\lambda>0} \Phi(\lambda)|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(|f|_q)(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0} \Phi(\lambda)|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{D,q}^{\sharp}(f)(x) > \lambda\}|.$

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$, $\{f_k\} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact supports, $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube such that there exists $x_0 \in Q$ with $M(|f|_q)(x_0) < \lambda$. It was proved in [16] that, for every $\zeta \in (0, 1)$, we can find $\gamma > 0$ (independent of λ, Q, f, x_0), such that

$$|\{x \in Q : M(|f|_q)(x) > A\lambda, M^{\sharp}_{D,q}(f)(x) \le \gamma\lambda\}| \le \zeta |Q|,$$

where A > 1 is a fixed constant which only depends on the approximation to the identity $\{D_t\}_{t>0}$. This, via the argument used in the proof of the Fefferman-Stein inequality (see [8, pp. 150–151]), leads to our desired conclusion immediately.

Lemma 2.3. Let T be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K in the sense of (1.5). Suppose that T satisfies Assumption 1.3 and Assumption 1.4. Then for any $q \in (1, \infty)$ and $\lambda > 0$,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)|_q > \lambda\}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} ||f|_q||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.3, see [20, Theorem 2.3].

For $\beta \in [0, \infty)$, let $M_{L(\log L)^{\beta}}$ be the maximal operator defined by

$$M_{L(\log L)^{\beta}}g(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \|g\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta}, Q}.$$

For simplicity, we denote $M_{L(\log L)^1}$ by $M_{L\log L}$. It is well known (see [21]) that for any $\lambda > 0$,

(2.1)
$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{L(\log L)^\beta}g(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(x)|}{\lambda} \log^\beta \left(e + \frac{|g(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx$$

Lemma 2.4. Let T be the singular integral operator in Theorem 1.6. Then for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and functions $f = \{f_k\}_{k=1}^N \subset L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p_0 \in [1, \infty)$,

$$M_{D,q}^{\sharp}(Tf)(x) \lesssim M_{L\log L}(|f|_q)(x).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $c_2 = 2$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, B be a ball containing x and $t_B = r_B^s$. Write

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} |Tf_{k}(y) - D_{t_{B}}Tf_{k}(y)|_{q} dy \le E_{1} + E_{2} + E_{3},$$

with

$$E_{1} = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} |T(f\chi_{4B})(y)|_{q} dy,$$

$$E_{2} = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} |D_{t_{B}}T(f\chi_{4B})(y)|_{q} dy,$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}_3 = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B})(y) - D_{t_B}T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B})(y)|_q dy.$$

Recall that T is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3,

$$\mathbf{E}_1 \lesssim \left\| |f|_q \right\|_{L\log L, \, 4B} \lesssim M_{L\log L}(|f|_q)(x).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Minkowski's inequality that

$$|D_{t_B}T(f\chi_{4B})(y)|_q \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{t_B}(y, z)| |T(f\chi_{4B})(z)|_q dz.$$

Let

$$F_0 = \int_{16B} |h_{t_B}(y, z)| |T(f\chi_{4B})(z)|_q dz$$

and for $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_{j} = \int_{2^{j+5}B\setminus 2^{j+4}B} |h_{t_{B}}(y, z)| |T(f\chi_{4B})(z)|_{q} dz.$$

By the estimate (1.7) and Lemma 2.1, we know that

$$\mathbf{F}_0 \le \left\| |f|_q \right\|_{L\log L, 4B}$$

and

$$\mathbf{F}_{j} \leq \frac{1}{|B|} h(2^{j}) \int_{2^{j+5}B} |T(f\chi_{4B})(z)|_{q} dz \lesssim 2^{-\delta j} \left\| |f|_{q} \right\|_{L\log L, 4B}$$

This, in turn gives us that

$$\mathbf{E}_2 \lesssim \left\| |f|_q \right\|_{L\log L, 4B}$$

Finally, another application of Minkowski's inequality yields

$$|Tf(\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B})(y) - D_{t_B}T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B}(y))|_q$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B} |K(y, z) - K^{t_B}(y, z)| |f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4B}(z)|_q dz.$$

This, via Assumption 1.3, tells us that for each $y \in B$,

$$\left|T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 4B})(y) - D_{t_B}T(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus 4B}(y)\right|_q \lesssim M(|f|_q)(x),$$

which implies that

$$\mathbf{E}_3 \lesssim M(|f|_q)(x).$$

Combining the estimates for $E_1,\,E_2$ and E_3 then leads to our desired conclusion. $\hfill\square$

Let ${\mathscr D}$ be a dyadic grid. Associated with ${\mathscr D},$ define the maximal operator $M_{\mathscr D}$ by

$$M_{\mathscr{D}}g(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x, \, Q \in \mathscr{D}} \langle |g| \rangle_Q.$$

Also, we define the sharp maximal function $M_{\mathscr{D}}^{\sharp}$ as

$$M_{\mathscr{D}}^{\sharp}g(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x, \, Q \in \mathscr{D}} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \langle |g - c| \rangle.$$

For $\delta \in (0, 1)$, let

$$M_{\mathscr{D},\delta}g(x) = \left[M_{\mathscr{D}}(|g|^{\delta})(x)\right]^{1/\delta} \text{ and } M_{\mathscr{D},\delta}^{\sharp}g(x) = \left[M_{\mathscr{D}}^{\sharp}(|g|^{\delta})(x)\right]^{1/\delta}.$$

Repeating the argument in [24, p. 153], we can verify that if Φ is an increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ which satisfies that

$$\Phi(2t) \le C\Phi(t), \ t \in [0, \ \infty),$$

then

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{\lambda>0} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |g(x)| > \lambda\}| \lesssim \sup_{\lambda>0} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M^{\sharp}_{\mathscr{D},\delta}g(x) > \lambda\}|,$$

provided that $\sup_{\lambda>0} \Phi(\lambda) |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\mathscr{D},\,\delta}g(x) > \lambda\}| < \infty.$

Lemma 2.5. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.6, for bounded functions $f = \{f_k\}$ with compact supports and each $\lambda > 0$,

$$\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |MTf(x)|_q > \lambda\right\}\right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda} \log\left(e + \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda}\right) dx$$

Proof. By the well known one-third trick (see [12, Lemma 2.5]), we only need to prove that, for each dyadic grid \mathcal{D} , the inequality

(2.3)
$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |M_{\mathscr{D}}(Tf)(x)|_q > 1\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|_q \log(1 + |f(x)|_q) dx$$

for bounded functions $f = \{f_k\}_{1 \le k \le N}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N})$ with compact supports. As in the proof of Lemma 8.1 in [4], we can verify that for each cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{split} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \left| |M_{\mathscr{D}} f(y)|_{q} - c \right|^{\delta} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |M_{\mathscr{D}} (f\chi_{Q})(y)|_{q}^{\delta} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &\lesssim \langle |f\chi_{Q}|_{q} \rangle_{Q}, \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality, we invoked the fact that for each $\lambda > 0$,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Mf(x)|_q > \lambda\}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|_q dx;$$

see [7]. This, in turn, implies that

(2.4)
$$M_{\mathscr{D},\delta}^{\sharp}(|M_{\mathscr{D}}f|_{q})(x) \lesssim M_{\mathscr{D}}(|f|_{q})(x).$$

Now let $\Phi(t) = t \log^{-1}(e+t^{-1})$. It follows from (2.2), (2.4), Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.4 and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : |M_{\mathscr{D}}Tf(x)|_{q} > 1 \right\} \right| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t>0} \Phi(t) \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : M_{\mathscr{D},\delta}^{\sharp} \left(|M_{\mathscr{D}}Tf|_{q} \right)(x) > t \right\} \right| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t>0} \Phi(t) \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : M \left(|Tf|_{q} \right)(x) > \lambda \right\} \right| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t>0} \Phi(t) \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : M_{D}^{\sharp}(Tf)(x) > t \right\} \right| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t>0} \Phi(t) \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : M_{L\log L}(|f|_{q})(x) > t \right\} \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(x)|_{q} \log(e + |f(x)|_{q}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (2.3) and completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

We are now ready to establish the main result in this section. As in [17], for a sublinear operator U, we define the associated grand maximal operator \mathcal{M}_U by

$$\mathcal{M}_U g(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\xi \in Q} |U(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})(\xi)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing x.

Theorem 2.6. Let $q \in (1, \infty)$, T be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ bounded linear operator with kernel K as in (1.5). Suppose that T satisfies Assumption 1.3 and Assumption 1.4. Then for each $f = \{f_k\}$ and each $\lambda > 0$,

(2.5)
$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\mathcal{M}_T f(x)|_q > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda} \log\left(e + \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda} \right) dx.$$

If we further assume that the kernels $\{K^t\}_{t>0}$ in Assumption 1.3 also satisfy (1.9), then (2.5) is also true for T^* .

Proof. As it was proved in [9], the maximal operator $M_{L \log L}$ satisfies that

$$\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |M_{L\log L}f(x)|_q > \lambda\right\}\right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda} \log\left(e + \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda}\right) dx.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.5, our proof is now reduced to proving that the inequalities

(2.6)
$$\mathcal{M}_T g(x) \lesssim M T g(x) + M_{L \log L} g(x),$$

and

(2.7)
$$\mathcal{M}_{T^*}g(x) \lesssim MTg(x) + M_{L\log L}g(x)$$

hold. Without loss of generality, we assume that $c_2 > 1$. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube and $x, \xi \in Q$. Set $t_Q = \left(\frac{1}{c_2\sqrt{n}}\ell(Q)\right)^s$ and write

$$T(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 3Q})(\xi) = D_{t_Q}Tg(\xi) - D_{t_Q}T(g\chi_{3Q})(\xi) + \Big(T(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 3Q})(\xi) - D_{t_Q}T(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 3Q})(\xi)\Big).$$

A trivial computation involving (1.6) leads to that

$$\begin{split} |D_{t_Q}Tg(\xi)| &\lesssim |Q|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^j n t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}} < |\xi-y| \le 2^{j+1} n t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}} h\Big(\frac{|\xi-y|}{t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}}\Big) |Tg(y)| dy \\ &+ |Q|^{-1} \int_{|\xi-y| \le 2n t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}} |Tg(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim |Q|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j-1} n t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}} < |x-y| \le 2^{j+2} n t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}} h\Big(\frac{|\xi-y|}{2t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}}\Big) |Tg(y)| dy \\ &+ |Q|^{-1} \int_{|x-y| \le 3n t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}} |Tg(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim MTg(x). \end{split}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{split} |D_{t_Q} T(g\chi_{3Q})(\xi)| &\lesssim \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j-1}nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}} < |x-y| \le 2^{j+2}nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}} h\Big(\frac{|\xi-y|}{2t_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}}\Big) |T(g\chi_{3Q})(y)| dy \\ &+ |Q|^{-1} \int_{|x-y| \le 3nt_Q^{\frac{1}{s}}} |T(g\chi_{3Q})(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim M_{L\log L} g(x). \end{split}$$

Finally, Assumption 1.3 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| T(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})(\xi) - D_{t_Q} T(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})(\xi) \right| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q} \left| K(\xi, y) - K^{t_Q}(\xi, y) \right| |g(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim t_Q^{\frac{\alpha}{s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q} \frac{1}{|\xi - y|^{n+\alpha}} |g(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim Mg(x). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above leads to (2.6).

It remains to prove (2.7). Let $x, \xi \in Q$. Observe that $\operatorname{supp}\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q} \subset \{y : |y-x| \ge \ell(Q)\}$ and

(2.8)
$$T^*(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 3Q})(\xi) \le |T(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 3Q})(\xi)| + \sup_{\epsilon \ge \ell(Q)} |T_\epsilon(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 3Q})(\xi)|.$$

Now let $\epsilon \geq \ell(Q)$. Write

$$T_{\epsilon}(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 3Q})(\xi) = D_{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}Tg(\xi) - D_{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}T(g\chi_{3Q})(\xi) + \left(T_{\epsilon}(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 3Q})(\xi) - D_{\epsilon^s}T(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 3Q})(\xi)\right)$$

Invoking the argument for \mathcal{M}_T , we can verify that

 $|D_{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}Tg(\xi)| \lesssim MTg(x)$

and

$$|D_{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}T(g\chi_{3Q})(\xi)| \lesssim M_{L\log L}g(x).$$

As in [6, p. 249], write

$$T_{\epsilon}(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}\backslash 3Q})(\xi) - D_{\epsilon^{s}}T(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}\backslash 3Q})(\xi)$$

=
$$\int_{|\xi-y| \leq \epsilon} K^{(\epsilon/c_{2})^{s}}(\xi, y)g(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}\backslash 3Q}(y)dy$$

+
$$\int_{|\xi-y| > \epsilon} \left(K(\xi, y) - K^{(\epsilon/c_{2})^{s}}(\xi, y)\right)g(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n}\backslash 3Q}(y)dy.$$

The fact that $K^{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}$ satisfies the size condition (1.9), implies that

$$\left|\int_{|\xi-y|\leq\epsilon} K^{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}(\xi,\,y)g(y)dy\right|\lesssim\epsilon^{-n}\int_{|\xi-y|<\epsilon}|g(y)|dy\lesssim Mg(x).$$

On the other hand, by Assumption 1.3, we obtain that

$$\int_{|\xi-y|>\epsilon} \left(K(\xi, y) - K^{(\epsilon/c_2)^s}(\xi, y) \right) g(y) \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q}(y) dy \bigg| \lesssim Mg(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{\epsilon \ge \ell(Q)} |T_{\epsilon}(g\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})(\xi)| \lesssim MTg(x) + M_{L\log L}g(x),$$

which, via the estimates (2.6) and (2.8), shows that

 $\mathcal{M}_{T^*}g(x) \lesssim MTg(x) + M_{L\log L}g(x).$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6.

3. Proof of theorems

Let $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and S be a family of cubes. We say that S is η -sparse, if for each fixed $Q \in S$, there exists a measurable subset $E_Q \subset Q$, such that $|E_Q| \geq \eta |Q|$ and E_Q 's are pairwise disjoint. Associated with the sparse family S and constant $\beta \in [0, \infty)$, we define the sparse operator $\mathcal{A}_{S, L(\log L)^{\beta}}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, L(\log L)^{\beta}} f(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \|f\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta}, Q} \chi_Q(x).$$

We denote $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, L(\log L)^1}$ by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, L\log L}$.

To prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6, we will employ the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let $q \in (1, \infty)$ and $\beta \in [0, \infty)$, U be a sublinear operator and \mathcal{M}_U the corresponding grand maximal operator. Suppose that U is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and satisfies the endpoint estimate that, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\left|\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\mathcal{M}_U f(y)|_q > \lambda\right\}\right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|_q}{\lambda} \log^\beta \left(e + \frac{|f(y)|_q}{\lambda}\right) dy$$

Then for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and bounded functions $f = \{f_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ with compact supports, there exists a $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3^n}$ -sparse family S such that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|Uf(x)|_q \lesssim \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, L(\log L)^{\beta}}(|f|_q)(x).$$

1803

For the proof of Lemma 3.1, see [9].

Lemma 3.2. Let $\beta \in [0, \infty)$, S be a sparse family and $\beta \in [0, \infty)$, $\mathcal{A}_{S, L(\log L)^{\beta}}$ be the associated sparse operator. Then for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S},\,L(\log L)^{\beta}}g\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},w)} \lesssim [w]_{A_{p}}^{\frac{1}{p}} ([w]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p}}) [\sigma]_{A_{\infty}}^{\beta} \|g\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},w)}$$

Proof. Lemma 3.2 was indicated by Lemma 2.1 in [9], and can be proved by the argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [3]. In fact, by the one-third trick, we may assume that $S \subset \mathcal{D}$ for some dyadic grid \mathcal{D} . As it was pointed out in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [3], for each cube $Q \subset \mathcal{D}$,

(3.1)
$$\|g\sigma\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta}, Q} \lesssim [\sigma]^{\beta}_{A_{\infty}} \langle \sigma M^{\mathscr{D}}_{\sigma, \varrho} g \rangle_{Q}$$

here, $\rho = (1+p)/2$, $M^{\mathscr{D}}_{\sigma,\rho}$ is the maximal operator defined by

$$M_{\sigma,\varrho}^{\mathscr{D}}g(x) = \sup_{I \ni x, I \in \mathscr{D}} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(I)} \int_{I} |g(y)|^{\varrho} \sigma(y) \mathrm{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.3 in [15] that for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

(3.2)
$$\left\|\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{S}}\langle |v|\sigma\rangle_Q\chi_Q\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,w)} \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left([w]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n,\sigma)}.$$

Combining the inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) leads to that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S},L(\log L)^{\beta}}(g\sigma)\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},w)} &\lesssim [w]_{A_{p}}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left([w]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)[\sigma]_{A_{\infty}}^{\beta} \|M_{\sigma,\varrho}^{\mathscr{D}}g\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},\sigma)} \\ &\lesssim [w]_{A_{p}}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left([w]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p'}} + [\sigma]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)[\sigma]_{A_{\infty}}^{\beta} \|g\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},\sigma)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $M^{\mathscr{D}}_{\sigma,\varrho}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma)$ with bound independent of σ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\beta \in [0, \infty)$, S be a sparse family and $\mathcal{A}_{S, L(\log L)^{\beta}}$ be the corresponding sparse operator. Then for $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ and weight u,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S},L(\log L)^{\beta}}g\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},u)} \lesssim p^{\prime 1+\beta}p^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\|g\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n},M_{L(\log L)^{p-1+\epsilon}}u)}.$$

Moreover, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$u(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, L(\log L)^{\beta}}g(x) > \lambda\}) \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1+\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|g(x)|}{\lambda} \log^{\beta} \left(e + \frac{|g(x)|}{\lambda}\right) M_{L(\log L)^{\epsilon}}u(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Lemma 3.3 is a combination of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 in [9].

Let u be a weight, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and T be the operator in Theorem 1.5. By Theorem 2.6, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, we know that for each $p \in (1, \infty)$,

(3.3)
$$\|T_q f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, u)} + \|T_q^* f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, u)} \\ \lesssim p'^2 p^2 (\frac{1}{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{p'}} \||f|_q\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, M_{L(\log L)^{p-1+\epsilon}u)}}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $q \in (1, \infty)$. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.5, we know that T and T^* are bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see [6]. The conclusion of Theorem 1.5 now follows from Theorem 2.6, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 directly.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By Theorem 2.6, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, we know that for each weight w and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

(3.4)
$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_q f(x) > \lambda\}) + w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_q^* f(x) > \lambda\})$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda} \log\left(e + \frac{|f(x)|_q}{\lambda}\right) M_{L(\log L)^{\epsilon}} w(x) dx.$$

The estimate (1.11) now follows if we apply the argument used in the proof of [14, Corollary 1.4], see also the proof [18, Corollay 1.3].

We now prove (1.10). As in the proof of [14, Corollary 1.4], it suffices to show that for each weight w and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

(3.5)
$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_q f(x) > \lambda\}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda \epsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|_q M_{L(\log L)^{1+\epsilon}} w(x) dx.$$

We assume that $c_1 = 2$. For $\lambda > 0$ and $f = \{f_k\}$, applying the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to $|f|_q$ at level λ , we obtain a sequence of cubes $\{Q_l\}$ with disjoint interiors, such that

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{|Q_l|} \int_{Q_l} |f(x)|_q dx \lesssim \lambda,$$

 $f_k^1(x) = f_k(x)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\bigcup Q_i)}(x),$

and $|f(x)|_q \lesssim \lambda$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_l Q_l$. For each fixed k, set

$$f_k^2(x) = \sum_l A_{t_{Q_l}} b_{k,l}(x), \ f_k^3(x) = \sum_l \left(b_{k,l}(x) - A_{t_{Q_l}} b_{k,l}(x) \right) \chi_{Q_l}(x),$$

with $b_{k,l}(y) = f_k(y)\chi_{Q_l}(y)$, $t_{Q_l} = \{\ell(Q_l)\}^s$. Set $f^j(x) = \{f_k^j(x)\}$ with j = 1, 2, 3. By the fact that $\||f^1|_q\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \lambda$, we deduce from (3.3) that

(3.6)
$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : |Tf^{1}(x)|_{q} > \lambda\}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f^{1}(x)|_{q}^{2} M_{L(\log L)^{1+\epsilon}} w(x) dx$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(x)|_{q} M_{L(\log L)^{1+\epsilon}} w(x) dx.$$

To estimate $|Tf^3|_q$, we set $\Omega = \bigcup_l 4nQ_l$ and $b^l(y) = \{b_{k,l}(y)\}$. Obviously,

$$|b^{l}(y)|_{q} = |f(y)|_{q}\chi_{Q_{l}}(y)$$

For each k and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$, write

$$|Tf_k^3(x)| \le \sum_l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(x,y) - K_{A_{t_{Q_l}}}(x,y)| |b_{k,l}(y)| dy.$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality twice, we obtain

$$|Tf^{3}(x)|_{q} \leq \sum_{l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |K(x,y) - K_{A_{t_{Q_{l}}}}(x,y)|b^{l}(y)|_{q} dy.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.7) \qquad w\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega : |Tf^3(x)|_q > \lambda/3\right\}\right) \\ \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \sum_l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 4nQ_l} |K(x,y) - K_{A_{tQ_l}}(x,y)w(x)dx|b^l(y)|_q dy \\ \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \sum_l \int_{Q_l} |b^l(y)|_q Mw(y)dy \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|_q Mw(x)dx.$$

It remains to estimate $|Tf^2|_q$. Let $\widetilde{w}(x) = w(x)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega}(x)$. A trivial computation shows that

(3.8)
$$w(\Omega) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda \epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|_q M w(y) dy.$$

For each fixed l, a straightforward computation involving Minkowski's inequality gives us that for $v = \{v_k\}$,

$$\sum_{k} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A_{t_{Q_l}} b_{k,l}(y) v_k(y) dy \right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v(y)|_{q'} \int_{Q_l} h_{t_{Q_l}}(y, z) |b^l(z)|_q dz dy.$$

Applying the argument in [6, p. 241], we know that for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_{Q_l} h_{t_{Q_l}}(y,z) |b^l(z)|_q dz \lesssim \left\| |b^l|_q \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \inf_{z \in Q_l} h_{\theta t_{Q_l}}(y,z) \lesssim \lambda \int_{Q_l} h_{\theta t_{Q_l}}(y,z) dz.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} A_{t_{Q_{l}}} b_{k,l}(y) v_{k}(y) dy \right| &\lesssim \lambda \int_{Q_{l}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h_{\theta t_{Q_{l}}}(y,z) |v(y)|_{q'} dy dz \\ &\lesssim \lambda \int_{Q_{l}} M(|v|_{q'})(z) dz. \end{split}$$

Recall that for each l and $\gamma \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\inf_{y \in Q_l} M_{L(\log L)^{\gamma}} \widetilde{w}(y) \approx \sup_{y \in Q_l} M_{L(\log L)^{\gamma}} \widetilde{w}(y).$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\cup_l Q_l} M_{L(\log L)^{\gamma}} \widetilde{w}(y) dy \lesssim \sum_l |Q_l| \inf_{y \in Q_l} M_{L(\log L)^{\gamma}} \widetilde{w}(y) \\ \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|_q M_{L(\log L)^{\gamma}} \widetilde{w}(y) dy. \end{split}$$

Let $p_1 = 1 + \epsilon/4$. For $v = \{v_k\}$ with $|v|_{q'} \in L^{p'_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, (M_{L(\log L)^{\epsilon/2}}\widetilde{w})^{1-p'_1})$, we have that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| v_{k}(y) A_{t_{Q_{l}}} b_{k,l}(y) \right| dy &\lesssim \lambda \sum_{l} \int_{Q_{l}} M\left(|v|_{q'} \right)(z) dz \\ &\lesssim \lambda \Big(\int_{\cup_{j} Q_{j}} \left\{ M\left(|v|_{q'} \right)(y) \right\}^{p'_{1}} \Big(M_{L(\log L)^{1+\epsilon}} \widetilde{w}(y) \Big)^{1-p'_{1}} dy \Big)^{\frac{1}{p'_{1}}} \\ &\times \Big(\int_{\cup_{j} Q_{j}} M_{L(\log L)^{1+\epsilon}} \widetilde{w}(y) dy \Big)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{p_{1}-1}{p_{1}}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |v(y)|_{q'}^{p'_{1}} (M_{L(\log L)^{\epsilon/2}} \widetilde{w})^{1-p'_{1}}(y) dy \Big)^{\frac{1}{p'_{1}}} \\ &\times \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(y)|_{q} M_{L(\log L)^{1+\epsilon}} \widetilde{w}(y) dy \Big)^{\frac{1}{p_{1}}}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that for any $\epsilon \in (0,\,1)$ and weight u,

$$\|Mh\|_{L^{p'_{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, (M_{L(\log L)^{p_{1}-1+\epsilon/4}}u(y))^{1-p'_{1}})} \lesssim_{n} p_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'_{1}}} \|h\|_{L^{p'_{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, u^{1-p'_{1}})},$$

see [14, p. 618–619], and the fact that for any weight u,

 $M_{L(\log L)^{\epsilon/2}}(M_{L(\log L)^{\epsilon/2}}u)(x) \approx M_{L(\log L)^{1+\epsilon}}u(x),$

see [2]. Therefore, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f^2(x)|_q^{p_1} M_{L(\log L)^{\epsilon/2}} \widetilde{w}(x) dx \lesssim \lambda^{p_1 - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|_q M_{L(\log L)^{1 + \epsilon}} w(x) dx.$$

This, along with the estimate (3.3), tells us that

(3.9)

$$w\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \Omega : |Tf^{2}(x)|_{q} > \frac{\lambda}{3}\right\}\right)$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2p_{1}}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{p_{1}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f^{2}(x)|_{q}^{p_{1}} M_{L(\log L)^{\epsilon/2}} \widetilde{w}(x) dx$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda \epsilon^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(x)|_{q} M_{L(\log L)^{1+\epsilon}} w(x) dx.$$

Combining the estimates (3.6)–(3.9) yields (3.5) and completes the proof of Theorem 1.6. $\hfill \Box$

Remark 3.4. The inequalities (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) extend and improve the results about the weight estimates with general weight for T and T^* established in [10].

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions and helpful comments.

References

- S. M. Buckley, Estimates for operator norms on weighted spaces and reverse Jensen inequalities, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 340 (1993), no. 1, 253–272.
- [2] M. Carozza and A. Passarelli Di Napoli, Composition of maximal operators, Publ. Mat. 40 (1996), no. 2, 397–409.
- [3] J. Chen and G. Hu, Weighted vector-valued bounds for a class of multilinear singular integral operators and applications, J. Korean Math. Soc. 55 (2018), 671–694.
- [4] D. Cruz-Uribe, J. M. Martell, and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted estimates for classical operators, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), no. 1, 408–441.
- [5] O. Dragicević, L. Grafakos, M. Pereyra, and S. Petermichl, Extrapolation and sharp norm estimates for classical operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces, Publ. Mat. 49 (2005), no. 1, 73–91.
- [6] X. T. Duong and A. MacIntosh, Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 15 (1999), no. 2, 233–265.
- [7] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, Some maximal inequalities, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 107–115.
- [8] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 250, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [9] G. Hu, Weighted vector-valued estimates for a non-standard Calderón-Zygmund operator, Nonlinear Anal. 165 (2017), 143–162.
- [10] G. Hu and D. Yang, Weighted estimates for singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels and applications, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 85 (2008), no. 3, 377–417.
- [11] T. Hytönen, The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators, Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 3, 1473–1506.
- [12] T. Hytönen, M. T. Lacey, and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds for the q-variation of singular integrals, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 45 (2013), no. 3, 529–540.
- [13] T. Hytönen and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds involving A_{∞} , Anal. PDE **6** (2013), no. 4, 777–818.
- [14] _____, The $L(\log L)^{\epsilon}$ endpoint estimate for maximal singular integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **428** (2015), no. 1, 605–626.
- [15] M. T. Lacey and K. Li, On A_p - A_∞ type estimates for square functions, Math. Z. **284** (2016), no. 3-4, 1211–1222.
- [16] H. V. Le, Vector-valued singular integrals with non-smooth kernels on spaces of homogeneous type, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 11 (2017), no. 1, 57–84.
- [17] A. K. Lerner, On pointwise estimates involving sparse operators, New York J. Math. 22 (2016), 341–349.
- [18] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, and I. P. Rivera-Rios, On pointwise and weighted estimates for commutators of Calderón-Zygmund operators, Adv. Math. **319** (2017), 153–181.
- [19] J. M. Martell, Sharp maximal functions associated with approximations of the identity in spaces of homogeneous type and applications, Studia Math. 161 (2004), no. 2, 113–145.
- [20] H.-X. Mo and S.-Z. Lu, Vector-valued singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels and related multilinear commutators, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 3 (2007), no. 2, Special Issue: In honor of Leon Simon. Part 1, 451–480.
- [21] C. Pérez, Weighted norm inequalities for singular integral operators, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 49 (1994), no. 2, 296–308.
- [22] S. Petermichl, The sharp bound for the Hilbert transform on weighted Lebesgue spaces in terms of the classical A_p characteristic, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), no. 5, 1355–1375.
- [23] _____, The sharp weighted bound for the Riesz transforms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 4, 1237–1249.
- [24] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Mathematical Series, 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

SINGULAR INTEGRAL

[25] J. M. Wilson, Weighted inequalities for the dyadic square function without dyadic A_{∞} , Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), no. 1, 19–50.

Guoen Hu

SCHOOL OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS BELJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY ZHUHAI 519087, P. R. CHINA *Email address:* guoenxx0163.com