KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 58(2018), 463-472 https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2018.58.3.463 pISSN 1225-6951 eISSN 0454-8124 © Kyungpook Mathematical Journal

Some Properties of Dedekind Modules and Q-modules

SHAHRAM MOTMAEN, AHMAD YOUSEFIAN DARANI^{*} AND MAHDI RAHMATINIA Department of Mathematics and Applications, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, P.O. Box 179, Ardabil, Iran

e-mail: sh.motmaenQuma.ac.ir, yousefianQuma.ac.ir and m.rahmatiQuma.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. A Q-module is a module in which every nonzero submodule of M is a finite product of primary submodules of M. This paper is devoted to study some properties of Dedekind modules and Q-modules.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are considered commutative rings with identiry and all modules are considered unitary. Let R be a ring and M an Rmodule. A proper submodule N of M is a prime submodule if for each $r \in R$ and for each $m \in M$ with $rm \in N$, we have $m \in N$ or $r \in (N :_R M)$, where $(N :_R M) = Ann(M/N) = \{r \in R | rM \subseteq N\}$. Also N is called a primary submodule of M if for each $r \in R$ and for each $m \in M$ with $rm \in N$, we have $m \in N$ or $r^n \in (N :_R M)$ for a positive integer n. We say that a submodule N of M is a radical submodule of M if $N = \sqrt{N}$, where $\sqrt{N} = \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M$.

The *R*-module *M* is said to be a multiplication *R*-module if every submodule *N* of *M* has the form *IM* for some ideal *I* of *R*. If *M* be a multiplication *R*-module and *N* a submodule of *M*, then N = IM for some ideal *I* of *R*. Hence $I \subseteq (N :_R M)$ and so $N = IM \subseteq (N :_R M)M \subseteq N$. Therefore $N = (N :_R M)M$ [8]. Let *M* be a multiplication *R*-module, N = IM and L = JM be submodules of *M* for ideals *I* and *J* of *R*. Then, the product of *N* and *L* is denoted by *N.L* or *NL* and is defined by IJM [5]. An *R*-module *M* is called a cancellation module if IM = JM for two ideals *I* and *J* of *R* implies I = J [1]. By [13, Corollary 1 to Theorem 9], finitely generated faithful multiplication modules are cancellation modules. It

^{*} Corresponding Author.

Received March 23, 2016; revised August 26, 2016; accepted February 4, 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 13B22, 13C10, 13C13.

Key words and phrases: Dedekind module, multiplication module, invertible submodule, Q-module.

follows that if M is a finitely generated faithful multiplication R-module, then $(IN :_R M) = I(N :_R M)$ for all ideals I of R and all submodules N of M. If R is an integral domain and M a faithful multiplication R-module, then M is a finitely generated R-module [9]. Let R be a ring, Z(R) the set of zero-divisors of R and $S = R \setminus Z(R)$. Then T(R) denotes the total quotient ring of R. A non-zero-divisor of a ring R is called a regular element and an ideal of R is said to be regular if it contains a regular element. For a non-zero ideal I of R, Let

$$I^{-1} = \{ x \in T(R) : xI \subseteq R \}.$$

In this case $II^{-1} \subseteq R$. I is called an invertible ideal of R if $II^{-1} = R$. An integral domain R is called a Dedekind domain if every nonzero ideal of R is invertible.

Let M be an R-module. An element $r \in R$ is said to be a zero-divisor on M if rm = 0 for some nonzero element $m \in M$. We denote by Z(M) the set of all zero-divisors of M. It is easy to see that Z(M) is not necessarily an ideal of R, but it has the property that if $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in Z(M)$, then either $a \in Z(M)$ or $b \in Z(M)$. Let M be an R-module and set

 $T = \{t \in S : \text{ for all } m \in M, tm = 0 \text{ implies } m = 0\} = (R \setminus Z(M)) \cap (R \setminus Z(R)).$

Then T is a multiplicatively closed subset of R with $T \subseteq S$, and if M is torsion-free then T = S. In particular, T = S if M is a faithful multiplication R-module [9, Lemma 4.1]. Let N be a nonzero submodule of M. Then we write $N^{-1} = (M :_{R_T} N) = \{x \in R_T : xN \subseteq M\}$. Then N^{-1} is an R-submodule of R_T , $R \subseteq N^{-1}$ and $NN^{-1} \subseteq M$. We say that N is invertible in M if $NN^{-1} = M$. Clearly $0 \neq M$ is invertible in M. An R-module M is called a Dedekind module if every nonzero submodule of M is invertible. In Section 2, we investigate some properties of Dedekind modules. It is proved that if M is a faithful multiplication R-module over an integral domain R, then M is Dedekind R-module if and only if every proper submodule of M is a finite product of prime submodules of M. In Section 3 we prove some results on Q-modules. Let R be a ring and M a finitely generated faitful multiplication R-module. We show that if M is a Noetherian module with dim(M) = 1, then M is a Q-module. Finally we prove that if M a Noetherian finitely generated multiplication module over R, then M is a Q-module if and only if every prime submodule which is not a maximal submodule of M is a multiplication submodule.

Here we list some preliminaries and results used throughout the paper.

Lemma 1.1([9]). Let M be multiplication module and let N be a submodule of M. Then N = Ann(M/N)M

Lemma 1.2. ([9, Theorem 2.5]) Let M be a nonzero multiplication R-module. Then,

- (i) every proper submodule of M is contained in a maximal submodule of M;
- (ii) K is a maximal submodule of M if and only if there exists a maximal ideal P of R such that K = PM ≠ M.

Theorem 1.3.([9, Corollary 2.11]) Let R be ring and M an R-module. The following statements are equivalent for a proper submodule N of M:

- (i) N is a prime submodule of M;
- (ii) Ann(M/N) is a prime ideal of R;
- (iii) N = PM for some prime ideal P of R whit $Ann(M) \subseteq P$.

Theorem 1.4.([9, Theorem 3.1]) Let R be a ring and M a faithful multiplication R-module. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) is finitely generated;
- (ii) $AM \subseteq BM$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$;
- (iii) for each submodule N of M, there exists a unique ideal I of R such that N = IM;
- (iv) $M \neq AM$ for any proper ideal A of R;
- (v) $M \neq PM$ for any maximal ideal P of R.

Definition 1.5. Let R be a ring and M be an R-module and let N be a submodule of M such that N = IM for same ideal I of R. Then, we say that I is a *presentation ideal* of N.

Theorem 1.6. ([5, Theorem 3.4]) Let N = IM and K = JM be submodules of a multiplication *R*-module *M*. Then, the product of *N* and *K* is independent of presentations of *N* and *K*.

Definition 1.7. Let R be a ring, M an R-module and N a submodule of M. Then N is called *decomposable* if it has a primary decomposition $N = Q_1 \cap ... \cap Q_n$ where for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, Q_i is P_i -primary. Such a primary decomposition of N is said to be a *minimal primary decomposition* if

- (1) $P_1, ..., P_n$ are distinct prime ideal of R.
- (2) $\bigcap_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n} \not\subseteq Q_j$ for all j = 1, ..., n.

It is proved that every decomposable submodule of M has a minimal primary decomposition.

Theorem 1.8([12]). Let R be a ring and M a Noetherian R-module. Then every proper submodule of M is decomposable.

A commutative ring R is called a Q-ring if every ideal in R is a finite product of primary ideals in R. First, the class of Noetherian Q-rings have been studied and characterized by D. D. Anderson in [6]. Then Anderson and Mahaney in [7] have studied Q-rings in general.

2. Dedekind Modules

Proposition 2.1. Let R be a ring and M a multiplication R-module. If N, K, L are submodules of M such that NK = NL and N is invertible, then K = L.

Proof. Let N, K, L are submodules of M such that NK = NL and N is invertible. Then $K = MK = N^{-1}NK = N^{-1}NL = ML = L$.

Lemma 2.2. Let R be a ring, M a multiplication R-module and N_1, \dots, N_n submodules of M. Then the submodule $N_1 \dots N_n$ is invertible if and only if for each $1 \leq i \leq n, N_i$ is invertible.

Proof. Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be ideals of R such that $N_1 = I_1 M, N_2 = I_2 M, \dots, N_n = I_n M$. Suppose $N_1 N_2 \dots N_n$ is invertible submodule. If K is a fractional ideal of R such that $K N_1 N_2 \dots N_n = M$, then for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have,

$$(KI_{1}I_{2}\cdots I_{i-1}I_{i+1}\cdots I_{n})N_{i} = (KI_{1}I_{2}\cdots I_{i-1}I_{i+1}\cdots I_{n})I_{i}M$$
$$= (KI_{1}I_{2}\cdots I_{n})M = K(I_{1}I_{2}\cdots I_{n})M = KN_{1}N_{2}\cdots N_{n} = M.$$

So N_i is invertible. Conversely, suppose for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, N_i is invertible. Then

$$(N_1^{-1}N_2^{-1}\cdots N_n^{-1})(N_1N_2\cdots N_n) = (N_1^{-1}N_1)(N_2^{-1}N_2)\cdots (N_n^{-1}N_n) = M.$$

So $N_1 N_2 \cdots N_n$ is invertible submodule of M.

Lemma 2.3. Let R be an integral domain and M a faithful multiplication Rmodule. If $K_1K_2 \cdots K_n = N = L_1L_2 \cdots L_m$ where K_i , L_i are prime submodules of M and K_i is invertible then n = m and $K_i = L_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on n. Suppose n = 1 and $K_1 = N = L_1 L_2 \cdots L_m$ and $J_1, J_2, \cdots, J_n, I_1, I_2, \cdots, I_m$ are prime ideals of R such that $K_j = J_j M$ and $L_i = I_i M$. So we have $JM = I_1 I_2 \cdots I_m M$, since M is cancelative R-module $J = I_1 I_2 \cdots I_m$. So after reindexing $J = I_1$, thus $K_1 = L_1$. If n > 1, choose one of the K_i , say K_1 , such that K_1 does not properly contain K_i , for $i = 2, 3, \cdots, n$. Since

$$I_1I_2\cdots I_mM = L_1L_2\cdots L_m = K_1K_2\cdots K_n = J_1J_2\cdots J_nM \subset K_1$$

and M is cancelative, we have

$$I_1 I_2 \cdots I_m = J_1 J_2 \cdots J_n \subset J_1$$

and J_1 is prime so by prime avoidence Theorem there exists some I_i , say I_1 , is contained in J_1 . Similarly since

$$J_1 J_2 \cdots J_n = I_1 I_2 \cdots I_m \subset I_1$$

so $J_i \subseteq I_1$. Hence $J_i \subseteq I_1 \subseteq J_1$ and so $K_i \subseteq L_1 \subseteq K_1$. By the minimality of K_1 we must have $K_i = L_1 = K_1$. Since $K_1 = L_1$ is invertible, Proposition 2.1 implies

that $K_2K_3\cdots K_n = L_2L_3\cdots L_m$. Therefore by the induction hypothesis n = m and after reindexing $K_i = L_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Proposition 2.4. Let R be a ring and M be a finitely generated faithful multiplication R-module in which every proper submodule is a finite product of prime submodules. Then every proper ideal of R is a finite product of prime ideals of R.

Proof. Let I be a proper ideal of R. Then IM is a proper submodule of M, so $IM = K_1K_2 \cdots K_n$ where K_i , $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ is prime submodule of M, and there exist prime ideals P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_n of R such that $K_i = P_iM$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. So we have

$$IM = K_1 K_2 \cdots K_n = (P_1 M)(P_2 M) \cdots (P_n M) = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_n$$

Since M is a finitely generated faithful multiplication R-module, M is a cancelative module, hence we must have $I = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_n$.

Theorem 2.5. Let R be a ring and M be a finitely generated faithful multiplication R-module in which every proper submodule is the product of a finite number of prime submodules. Then every invertible prime submodule of M is maximal.

Proof. Let N be an invertible prime submodule of M. So there exists prime ideal P of R such that N = PM. Since N is invertible, P is an invertible ideal of R. Hence, by [10, Theorem 6.5], P is a maximal ideal of R. Therefore N is maximal, because M is cancelation R-module.

Proposition 2.6. Every faithful multiplication module over an integral domain is a D_1 module.

Proof. See [11, Remark 3.8].

Theorem 2.7. Let R be an integral domain and M be a faithful multiplication R-module in which every proper submodule is the product of a finite number of prime submodules. Then every prime submodule of M is invertible.

Proof. Suppose N is a nonzero prime submodule of M and $0 \neq a \in N$. Then $Ra = K_1K_2 \cdots K_n$ where P_i is a prime submodule of M for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. There exist prime ideals P, P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_n such that N = PM and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $K_i = P_iM$. Since

$$(P_1P_2\cdots P_n)M = (P_1M)(P_2M)\cdots (P_nM) = K_1K_2\cdots K_n = Ra \subseteq N = PM$$

and M is a cancelation R-module, $P_1P_2 \cdots P_n \subseteq P$. Therefore for some $k, P_k \subseteq P$ and hence $K_k \subseteq N$. Since by Proposition 2.6, Ra is invertible, K_k is invertible, by Lemma 2.2. Hence K_k is invertible prime submodule. So K_k is maximal by Theorem 2.5, whence $N = K_k$. Therefore N is maximal and invertible. \Box

Theorem 2.8. Let R be an integral domain and M be a faithful multiplication R-module. Then M is Dedekind R-module if and only if every proper submodule of M is a finite product of prime submodules of M.

Proof. Let N be anonzero submodule of M. Choose maximal submodule K_N such that $N \subseteq K_N \subsetneq M$. If N = M, let $K_M = R$. Now we have

$$K_N^{-1}N \subseteq K_N^{-1}K_N \subseteq M$$

therefore $K_N^{-1}N$ is a submodule of M and contains N. If N is proper submodule of M, then $N \subsetneq K_N^{-1}N$, because, if not

$$M = RM = RMRM = (N^{-1}N)(K_N^{-1}K_N)$$
$$= N^{-1}(NK_N^{-1})K_N = N^{-1}NK_N = MK_N = K_N$$

is a contradiction. Let S be the set of all submodules of M and define a function $f: S \to S$ by $N \mapsto K_N^{-1}N$. Given a proper submodule N, there exists a function $\phi: N \to S$ such that $\phi(0) = N$ and $\phi(n+1) = f(\phi(n))$. If we denote $\phi(n)$ by N_n and K_{N_n} by K_n , then we have an ascending chain of submodules

$$N = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \cdots$$

such that $N_{n+1} = f(N_n) = K_n^{-1}N_n$. Since *M* is Dedekind, *M* is Notherian *R*-module and *N* is proper submodule of *M*, there is a least integer *l* such that

$$N = N_0 \subsetneq N_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq N_{l-1} \subsetneq N_l = N_{l+1}$$

Thus $N_l = N_{l+1} = f(N_l) = K_l^{-1} N_l$. So we must have $N_l = M$. Consequently,

$$M = N_l = f(N_{l-1}) = K_{l-1}^{-1} N_{l-1}$$

whence

$$N_{l-1} = N_{l-1}M = N_{l-1}K_{l-1}^{-1}K_{l-1} = MK_{l-1} = K_{l-1}.$$

Since $K_{l-1} = N_{l-1} \subsetneq N_l = M$, K_{l-1} is a maximal submodule of M. The minimality of l insures that each of K_0, \dots, K_{l-2} is also maximal, because, if not we have $K_i = M$, whence

$$N_{i+1} = K_i^{-1} N_i = M^{-1} N_i = R N_i = N_i$$

is a contradiction. Now we have

$$K_{l-1} = N_{l-1} = K_{l-2}^{-1} N_{l-2} = K_{l-2}^{-1} K_{l-3}^{-1} N_{l-3} = \dots = K_{l-2}^{-1} \dots K_1^{-1} K_0^{-1} N.$$

Consequently, since each K_i is invertible,

$$(K_0K_1\cdots K_{l-2})K_{l-1} = (K_0K_1\cdots K_{l-2})K_{l-2}^{-1}\cdots K_1^{-1}K_0^{-1}N = N.$$

Conversely, by Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.7, M is a Dedekind R-module.

3. Q-modules

Definition 3.1. Let R be a ring and M an R-module. Then M is called a Q-module if every submodule of M is a finite product of primary submodules of M.

It is clear that a Q-module is a Dedekind module.

Theorem 3.2. Let R be a ring and M a finitely generated faithful multiplication R-module. If M is a Q-module, then

- (1) M_S is a Q-module for multiplicative subset S of R.
- (2) M/N is a Q-module for each submodule N of M.

Proof. (1) Let j be a submodule of M_S . Then $j \cap M$ is a submodule of M. So $j \cap M = P_1 \dots P_n$ where for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, P_i is a primary submodule of M. Hence $j = S^{-1}(j \cap M) = S^{-1}(P_1 \dots P_n) = (S^{-1}P_1) \dots (S^{-1}P_n)$ which is a product of primary submodules of M_S . Therefore M_S is a Q-module.

(2) Let K/N be a submodule of M/N where K is a submodule of M. Then $K = P_1...P_n$ where for each $1 \le i \le n$, P_i is a primary submodule of M. Hence $K/N = P_1...P_n/N = (P_1/N)...(P_n/N)$ which is a product of primary submodules of M/N. Therefore M/N is a Q-module.

Remark 3.3. Let *R* be a ring, *M* a multiplication *R*-module, *I* an ideal of *R* and *N* a submodule of *M*. Then $(N :_R M)M^n = (N :_R M)MM^{n-1} = NM^{n-1} = NMM^{n-2} = ... = NM = N$ and $IM^n = I(RM...RM) = IM$.

Lemma 3.4. Let R be a ring, M a finitely generated multiplication R-module, I an ideal of R and N a submodule of M. Then

- (1) N is a product of primary submodules of M if and only if $(N :_R M)$ is a product of primary ideals of R.
- (2) I is a product of primary ideals of R if and only if IM is a product of primary submodules of M.

Proof. (1) Let $N = P_1...P_n$ where for each $1 \le i \le n$, P_i is a primary submodule of M. Then $(N :_R M) = (P_1...P_n :_R M) = (P_1 :_R M)...(P_n :_R M)$ where for each $1 \le i \le n$, $(P_i :_R M)$ is a primary ideal of R, by [3, Lemma 4]. Conversely, let $(N :_R M) = P_1...P_n$ where for each $1 \le i \le n$, P_i is a primary ideals of R. Hence, by [3, Lemma 4], $N = (N :_R M)M^n = (P_1...P_n)M^n = (P_1M)...(P_nM)$ where for each $1 \le i \le n$, P_i is a primary submodule of M.

(2) let $I = P_1...P_n$ where for each $1 \le i \le n$, P_i is a primary ideals of R. Hence, by [3, Lemma 4], $IM = IM^n = (P_1...P_n)M^n = (P_1M)...(P_nM)$ where for each $1 \le i \le n$, P_iM is a primary submodule of M. Conversely, let $IM = P_1...P_n$ where for each $1 \le i \le n$, P_i is a primary submodule of M. Then $I = (IM :_R M) =$ $(P_1...P_n :_R M) = (P_1 :_R M)...(P_n :_R M)$ where for each $1 \le i \le n$, $(P_i :_R M)$ is a primary ideal of R, by [3, Lemma 4]. \Box

Now we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.5. Let R be a ring and M be a finitely generated multiplication R-module. Then R is a Q-ring if and only if M is a Q-module.

Theorem 3.6. Let R be a ring and M be a finitely generated multiplication R-module. If a submodule N of M is a finite product of primary submodules, then there are only finitely many prime submodules of M which are minimal over N.

Proof. Let N be a product of primary submodules of M. Then, by Lemma 3.4, $(N :_R M)$ is a product of primary ideals. Hence, by [6, Lemma 4], there are only finitely many minimal prime submodules over $(N :_R M)$.

Therefore, by [3, Lemma 4], there are only finitely many prime submodules of M which are minimal over N.

Corollary 3.7. Let R be a ring and M be a finitely generated multiplication R-module. If M is a Q-module, then there are only finitley many minimal prime submodules over any submodule of M.

Lemma 3.8. Let R be a ring, M a multiplication R-module and N, K submodules of M. If $\sqrt{N} + \sqrt{K} = M$, then N + K = M. Moreover, $NK = N \cap K$.

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \ \mathrm{Let} \sqrt{N} + \sqrt{K} = M. \ \mathrm{Then} \ (\sqrt{(N:_R M)} + \sqrt{(K:_R M)})M = \sqrt{(N:_R M)}M + \sqrt{(K:_R M)}M = M. \ \mathrm{So} \ \sqrt{(N:_R M)} + \sqrt{(K:_R M)} = R. \ \mathrm{Hence} \ (N:_R M) + (K:_R M) = M. \ \mathrm{Hence} \ (N:_R M) + (K:_R M) = (N:_R M) \cap (K:_R M). \ \mathrm{Therefore} \ N + K = (N:_R M)M + (K:_R M)M = M. \ \mathrm{Moreover}, \ KN = (N:_R M)M(K:_R M)M = (N:_R M)M(K:_R M)M = N \cap K. \end{array}$

Theorem 3.9. Let R be a ring and M a finitely generated faitful multiplication Rmodule. Let M be a Noetherian module with dim(M) = 1. Then M is a Q-module.

Proof. Let N be a submodule of M. Then N has a minimal primary decomposition, say, $N = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \ldots \cap Q_n$ where for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, Q_i is a P_i -primary submodule of M. Since dim(M) = 1, each nonzero prime submodule of M is maximal. So for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, P_i is a maximal submodule of M. Hence, $P_i + P_j = M$ for all $i \neq j$.

Thus, by Lemma 3.8, $Q_i + Q_j = M$ for all $i \neq j$. Then $N = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \dots \cap Q_n = Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_n$. Therefore M is a Q-module.

Note that if R is a ring, M is a multiplication R-module and N a submodule of M, then N is a multiplication R-submodule of M if and only if $(N :_R M)$ is a multiplication ideal of R. For this, let N be a multiplication R-submodule of Mand I an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq (N :_R M)$. Then $IM \subseteq N$. So IM = KN for an ideal K of R. Hence $I = (IM :_R M) = K(N :_R M)$. Therefore $(N :_R M)$ is a multiplication ideal of R. Conversely, let $(N :_R M)$ be a multiplication ideal of Rand K a submodule of N. Then $(K :_R M) \subseteq (N :_R M)$. So $(K :_R M) = I(N :_R M)$ for an ideal I of R. Hence K = IN. Therefore N is a multiplication submodule of M.

Proposition 3.10. Let R be a ring, M a multiplication R-module and N be a multiplication submodule of M. If P is a prime submodule of M with $P \subsetneq N$, then $P \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N^n$.

Proof. Let N be a multiplication submodule of M. Then $(N :_R M)$ is a multiplication ideal of R. Let P be a prime submodule of M with $P \subsetneq N$. Then $(P :_R M)$ is a prime ideal of R with $(P :_R M) \subsetneq (N :_R M)$. Hence, by [6], $(P :_R M) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (N :_R M)^n$. Therefore

$$P = (P:_R M)M^n \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (N:_R M)^n M^n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N^n.$$

It is shown that if R is a ring and M is a finitely generated faithful multiplication R-module, then M is a Noetherian R-module if and only if R is a Noetherian ring.

Theorem 3.11. Let R be a ring and M a Noetherian finitely generated multiplication R-module. Then M is a Q-module if and only if every prime submodule which is not a maximal submodule of M is a multiplication submodule.

Proof. It is obvious that M is a Noetherian module if and only if R is a Noetherian ring. Then M is a Q-module if and only if R is a Q-ring by Theorem 3.5, if and only if every prime ideal which is not maximal in R is multiplication by [6, Theorem 10], if and only if every prime submodule which is not a maximal submodule in M is a multiplication submodule.

References

- M. M. Ali, Some remarks on generalized GCD domains, Comm. Algebra, 36(2008), 142–164.
- [2] M. M. Ali, Idempotent and nilpotent submodules of multiplication modules, Comm. Algebra, 36(2008), 4620–4642.
- [3] M. M. Ali, Invertibility of multiplication modules II, New Zealand J. Math., 39(2009), 45–64.
- [4] M. M. Ali, Invertibility of multiplication modules III, New Zealand J. Math., 39 (2009), 193–213.
- [5] R. Ameri, On the prime submodules of multiplication modules, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(2003), 1715–1724.
- [6] D. D. Anderson, Noetherian rings in which every ideal is a product of primary ideals, Canad. Math. Bull., 23(1980), 457–459.
- [7] D. D. Anderson and L. A. Mahaney, Commutative rings in which every ideal is a product of primary ideals, J. Algebra, 106(1987), 528–535.
- [8] A. Barnard, Multiplication modules, J. Algebra, 71(1981), 174–178.
- [9] Z. A. El-Bast and P. F. Smith, *Multiplication modules*, Comm. Algebra, 16(1998), 755–799.
- [10] T. W. Hungerford, Algebra, Springer-Verlag (1974).

- [11] A. G. Naoum and F. H. Al-Alwan, *Dedekind modules*, Comm. Algebra, 24(2)(1996), 397–412.
- [12] R. Y. Sharp, Steps in Commutative Algebra, Cambridge University Press, (1990).
- [13] P. F. Smith, Some remarks on multiplication modules, Arch. Math., 50(1988), 223– 235.