

## COMPARISON OF TOPOLOGIES ON THE FAMILY OF ALL TOPOLOGIES ON $X$

JAE-RYONG KIM\*

ABSTRACT. Topology may be described as a pattern of existence of elements of a given set  $X$ . The family  $\tau(X)$  of all topologies given on a set  $X$  form a complete lattice. We will give some topologies on this lattice  $\tau(X)$  using a fixed topology on  $X$  and we will regard  $\tau(X)$  as a topological space. Our purpose of this study is to compare new topologies on the family  $\tau(X)$  of all topologies induced by an old one.

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $X$  be a set. The family  $\tau(X)$  would consist of all topologies on a given fixed set  $X$ . Here we want to give topologies on the family  $\tau(X)$  of all the topologies using a given topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  and compare the topologies induced from the fixed old one.

The family  $\tau(X)$  of all topologies on  $X$  form a complete lattice, that is, given any collection of topologies on  $X$ , there is a smallest (respectively largest) topology on  $X$  containing (contained in) each member of the collection. Of course, the partial order  $\leq$  on  $\tau(X)$  is defined by inclusion  $\subseteq$  naturally.

In the sequel, the *closure* and *interior* of  $A$  are denoted by  $\bar{A}$  and  $\text{int}(A)$  in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The  $\theta$ -closure of a subset  $G$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is defined [8] to be the set of all point  $x \in X$  such that every closed neighborhood of  $x$  intersects  $G$  non-emptily and is denoted by  $\bar{G}_\theta$  (cf. [1],[5]). Of course for any subset  $G$  in  $X$ ,  $G \subset \bar{G} \subset \bar{G}_\theta$  and  $\bar{G}_\theta$  is closed in  $X$ . The subset  $G$  is called  $\theta$ -closed if  $\bar{G}_\theta = G$ . If  $G$  is open, the  $\bar{G} = \bar{G}_\theta$ .

---

Received October 10, 2017; Accepted September 19, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A10, 54C05, 54D05, 54D10.

Key words and phrases: comparison of topologies, topology field,  $\theta$  topology, semi-regular space.

Research supported in part by Kookmin University Research Fund.

Similarly, the  $\theta$ -interior of a subset  $G$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is defined to be the set of all point  $x \in X$  for which there exists a closed neighborhood of  $x$  contained in  $G$ . The  $\theta$ -interior of  $G$  is denoted by  $int_{\theta}G$ . Naturally, for any subset  $G$  in  $X$ ,  $int_{\theta}(G) \subset G$ . An open set  $U$  in  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\theta$ -open if  $U = int_{\theta}(U)$ . By the definition of this  $\theta$ -open, the collection of all  $\theta$ -open in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  form a topology  $\tau_{\theta}$  on  $X$  which will called the  $\theta$  topology induced by  $\tau$  which is related to the semi-regular topology on  $(X, \tau)$  (cf. [7] [3]).

The semi-regular topology  $\tau_s$  is the topology having as its base the set of all regular open sets. A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is called *regular open* [7] if  $A = int\bar{A}$ . For any subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $int(\bar{A})$  is always regular open. The collection of all regular open subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  form a base for a topology  $\tau_s$  on  $X$  coarser than  $\tau$ ,  $(X, \tau_s)$  is called the semiregularization of  $(X, \tau)$ .

We should recall the definitions of almost-continuity and  $\theta$ -continuity: A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *almost-continuous*( $\theta$ -continuous) if for each  $x \in X$  and each regular-open  $V$  (open  $V$ ) containing  $f(x)$ , there exists a open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$  ( $f(\bar{U}) \subset \bar{V}$ ) ([3]).

**THEOREM 1.1.** [3] *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be continous map. If  $V \subset X$  is  $\theta$ -open, then  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\theta$ -open.*

**THEOREM 1.2.** [3] *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function from  $X$  onto  $Y$  that is both open and closed. Then  $f$  preserves  $\theta$ -open sets.*

## 2. Topology on the family $\tau(X)$ related to the $\theta$ topologies on $X$ .

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, and  $G \in \tau$ . Let  $i(G) = \{\zeta \in \tau(X) \mid G \in \zeta\}$  and denote  $\epsilon = \{i(G) \mid G \in \tau\}$ , a family of subset of  $\tau(X)$ . Then there is exactly one topology  $In_{\tau}$  on  $\tau(X)$  with  $\epsilon$  as a subbasis. We will call this topology *the inner topology* induced by the topology  $\tau$  ([4]).

If  $\zeta \leq \eta$ , then  $\forall G \in \zeta, G \in \eta$ . That is, if  $i(G) \ni \zeta$ , then  $i(G) \cap \{\eta\} \neq \emptyset$ . This implies  $\zeta \in \overline{\{\eta\}}$ . Conversely  $\zeta \in \overline{\{\eta\}}$  implies  $\zeta \leq \eta$ . If this relation holds we say that  $\zeta$  is a *specialization* of  $\eta$  [6]. For any  $\eta \in \tau(X)$  we will denote the subset  $\{\zeta \in \tau(X) \mid \zeta \geq \eta\}$  by  $\uparrow(\eta)$ . We shall also use later the notation  $\downarrow(\eta)$  for  $\{\zeta \in \tau(X) \mid \zeta \leq \eta\}$ . Then since  $i(G) = \{\zeta \in \tau(X) \mid G \in \zeta\}$ ,  $i(G) = \uparrow(\{\emptyset, X, G\})$ . Hence  $\zeta \in \overline{\{\eta\}}$  if and only if  $\zeta \leq \eta$ . Since *Alexandrov topology*  $\Upsilon$  on  $\tau(X)$  is the collection of all *upper sets* in  $\tau(X)$  (i.e. sets  $U$  such that  $\eta \in U$  and  $\eta \leq \zeta$  imply  $\zeta \in U$ )

[6],  $i(G) \in \Upsilon$ . Hence we have the following result [2]. If  $\tau \leq \zeta \leq 1$ , then  $In_\tau \leq In_\zeta \leq In_1 \leq \Upsilon$ .

Now we will define a different topology on  $\tau(X)$  the  $\theta$  topology induced by given topology  $\tau$ . Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space, and  $G \in \tau$ . Let  $\theta(G) = \{\zeta \in \tau(X) \mid G : \theta\text{-open in } \zeta\}$ . And denote  $\beta = \{\theta(G) \mid G \in \tau\}$ , a family of subset of  $\tau(X)$ . Then there is exactly one topology  $\theta_\tau$  on  $\tau(X)$  with  $\beta$  as a subbasis. We will call also this topology  $\theta_\tau$  on  $\tau(X)$  the  $\theta$  topology induced by the topology  $\tau$ .

If we consider  $\theta$  as a map from  $\tau(X)$  to  $\tau(X)$  defined by  $\theta(\eta) = \eta_\theta$ , then we have next result ([3]):

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then the induced map*

$$\theta : (\tau(X), \theta_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), \theta_\tau)$$

*is continuous.*

Such map  $\theta : (\tau(X), \theta_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), \theta_\tau)$  will be called  $\theta$ -operator. Moreover this map satisfies that

**COROLLARY 2.2.**  $\theta(\zeta \wedge \eta) \leq \theta(\zeta) \wedge \theta(\eta)$  and  $\theta(\zeta) \vee \theta(\eta) \leq \theta(\zeta \vee \eta)$ .

For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the collection of all open neighborhoods of  $p$  and empty set, that is,  $\{V \in \tau \mid p \in V\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$  becomes a topology on  $X$  for any point  $p \in X$ . We will denote such a topology by  $\tau_p$  and call *localized topology* of  $\tau$  at  $p$ . We will denote the localized topology of the discrete topology  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  on  $X$  at  $p$  by  $1_p$ .

Denote  $\tau_p(X) = \{\eta_p \mid \eta \in \tau(X)\}$  for a point  $p \in X$ . Since  $\tau(X)$  is a complete lattice, we can easily find that  $\tau_p(X)$  is a sublattice of  $\tau(X)$ . The smallest element of this sublattice  $\tau_p(X)$  is  $0_p = 0$ , the largest element is  $\mathcal{P}(X)_p = 1_p \neq 1$ . We will call this sublattice  $\tau_p(X)$  as *sublattice of all localized topologies* at  $p$  in  $X$ .

Now we will regard any member  $\tau$  of  $\tau(X)$  as a map from  $X$  to  $\cup_p \tau_p(X) \subset \tau(X)$  defined by  $\tau(p) = \tau_p$ . Hence this map  $\tau$  acts like a vector field on  $X$ . Such a map  $f : X \rightarrow \tau(X)$  defined by  $f(p) \in \tau_p(X)$  will be called *topology field* on  $X$  [4].

**THEOREM 2.3.** [4] *Topology field  $\zeta : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), In_\tau)$  is continuous.*

**THEOREM 2.4.** [3] *If  $(X, \zeta)$  is a  $\theta$  topological space, then the topology field  $\zeta : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), \theta_\tau)$  is continuous.*

COROLLARY 2.5. *If  $(X, \zeta)$  is a regular topological space, then the topology field  $\zeta: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), \theta_\tau)$  is continuous.*

Additionally, if  $f$  is open and closed and  $\omega \in \theta(f^{-1}(G))$ , then  $f^{-1}(G)$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(X, \omega)$ . By Theorem 1.5 ([3]),  $G$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(X, f_*(\omega))$ , i.e.  $f_*(\omega) \in \theta(G)$ . That is,  $\omega \in f_*^{-1}(\theta(G))$ . Consequently we have;

THEOREM 2.6. *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \eta)$  is a continuous and open and closed surjective map, then for any open  $G$  in  $Y$*

$$f_*^{-1}(\theta(G)) = \theta(f^{-1}(G)).$$

Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \zeta)$  be topological spaces. We may assume that  $\tau(X)$  and  $\tau(Y)$  are given the topologies  $\theta_\tau$  and  $\theta_\zeta$  respectively and assume that  $\tau(X \times Y)$  is given topology  $\theta_{\tau \times \zeta}$ . Then we have the next theorem by [3].

THEOREM 2.7. *The multiplication  $\times : \tau(X) \times \tau(Y) \rightarrow \tau(X \times Y)$  is continuous.*

THEOREM 2.8. *Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \zeta)$  be topological spaces. Then*

$$\tau_\theta \times \zeta_\theta = (\tau \times \zeta)_\theta.$$

Consequently we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau(X) \times \tau(Y) & \xrightarrow{\times} & \tau(X \times Y) \\ \downarrow \theta \times \theta & & \downarrow \theta \\ \tau(X) \times \tau(Y) & \xrightarrow{\times} & \tau(X \times Y). \end{array}$$

Again we consider  $\Theta$  as a map from  $\tau(X)$  to  $\tau(\tau(X))$  defined by  $\Theta(\eta) = \theta_\eta$ , then we have next result.

THEOREM 2.9. *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then the induced map*

$$\Theta : (\tau(X), \Upsilon) \rightarrow (\tau(\tau(X)), \Upsilon)$$

*is continuous.*

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then the induced map*

$$\Theta : (\tau(X), \theta_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(\tau(X)), \theta_{\theta_\tau})$$

*is continuous.*

**3. Topology on the family  $\tau(X)$  related to the  $\theta$  topologies on  $X$ .**

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, and  $G \in \tau$ . Let  $w\theta(G) = \{\zeta \in \tau(X) \mid \text{there exist } \theta\text{-open } O \text{ in } \zeta \text{ such that } O \subset G\}$ . Denote  $\beta = \{w\theta(G) \mid G \in \tau\}$ , a family of subset of  $\tau(X)$ . Then there is exactly one topology  $w\theta_\tau$  on  $\tau(X)$  with  $\beta$  as a subbasis. We will call this topology  $w\theta_\tau$  the weak  $\theta$  topology induced by the topology  $\tau$ .

It is natural  $\theta_\tau \leq w\theta_\tau$ .

THEOREM 3.2. If  $\tau \leq \zeta \leq 1$ , then  $w\theta_\tau \leq w\theta_\zeta \leq w\theta_1 \leq \Upsilon$ .

*Proof.* For any  $G \in \tau \leq \zeta$ , by definition of  $w\theta(G)$  we can naturally have  $w\theta_\tau \leq w\theta_\zeta$ . Now we will prove that every  $w\theta(G)$  is upper set in  $\tau(X)$ . Let  $\delta \in w\theta(G)$ . Then there exists a  $\theta$ -open  $O$  in  $(X, \delta)$  such that  $O \subset G$ . Hence  $O \in \delta_\theta$ . If  $\delta \leq \gamma$ , we have by Theorem 2.1,  $O \in \gamma_\theta$ . This means  $O$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(X, \gamma)$  such that  $O \subset G$ . This implies  $\gamma \in w\theta(G)$ . Hence  $w\theta(G)$  is upper set in  $\tau(X)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

If we consider  $\theta$  as a map from  $\tau(X)$  to  $\tau(X)$  defined by  $\theta(\eta) = \eta_\theta$ , then we have;

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then the induced map

$$\theta : (\tau(X), w\theta_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), w\theta_\tau)$$

is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta \in \tau(X)$  and  $w\theta(K)$  is a neighborhood of  $\theta(\zeta) = \zeta_\theta$  where  $K \in \tau$ . Then since  $\zeta_\theta = \{U \in \zeta \mid U : \theta\text{-open in } (X, \zeta)\}$ , there exists a  $\theta$ -open set  $H$  in  $(X, \zeta_\theta)$  such that  $H \subset K$ . Hence  $H$  is also  $\theta$ -open in  $(X, \zeta)$  such that  $H \subset K$ . Consequently  $\zeta \in w\theta(K)$ , i.e.  $w\theta(K)$  is a neighborhood of  $\zeta$  which satisfied that  $\theta(w\theta(K)) \subset w\theta(K)$ . This completes the Theorem.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.4. If  $(X, \zeta)$  is a  $\theta$  topological space, then the topology field  $\zeta : (X, \tau \rightarrow (\tau(X), w\theta_\tau)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $p \in X$  and  $w\theta(G)$  be a subbasic open neighborhood of  $\zeta(p) = \zeta_p$ . Then there is a  $\theta$ -open  $O$  in  $(X, \zeta_p)$  such that  $O \subset G$ . This implies  $O$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(X, \zeta)$  because  $O$  is open set in  $(X, \zeta)$  which contains the point  $p$ . Moreover since  $G \in \tau$ ,  $G$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ . Hence if  $q \in G$ ,  $\zeta(q) = \zeta_q \in w\theta(G)$ , so that  $\zeta(G) \subset w\theta(G)$ . This shows that topology field  $\zeta$  is continuous.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *If  $(X, \zeta)$  is a regular topological space, then the topology field  $\zeta:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), w\theta_\tau)$  is continuous.*

Let  $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \eta)$  be a continuous surjective map. If we define a map  $f_*:(\tau(X), w\theta_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(Y), w\theta_\eta)$  by  $f_*(w) = \{U \subset Y \mid f^{-1}(U) \in w\}$ , then  $f_*(0) = 0$  and  $f_*(1) = 1$ . Let  $\omega \in \tau(X)$ . For any subbasic open neighborhood  $w\theta(G)$  of  $f_*(\omega)$  in  $(\tau(Y), w\theta_\eta)$ , where  $G$  is open in  $(Y, \eta)$ , there is a  $\theta$ -open  $O$  in  $(Y, f_*(\omega))$  such that  $O \subset G$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $f^{-1}(O)$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(X, \omega)$  such that  $f^{-1}(O) \subset f^{-1}(G)$ . Thus  $\omega \in w\theta(f^{-1}(G))$ . Hence  $w\theta(f^{-1}(G))$  is an open neighborhood of  $\omega$  in  $(\tau(X), w\theta_\tau)$ . Consequently we have the next result.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \eta)$  be a continuous surjective map. If we define a map  $f_*:(\tau(X), w\theta_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(Y), w\theta_\eta)$  by  $f_*(w) = \{U \subset Y \mid f^{-1}(U) \in w\}$ , then the map  $f_*$  is continuous. If  $\gamma \leq \delta$ , then  $f_*(\gamma) \leq f_*(\delta)$  and  $f_*(\tau) \geq \eta$ . And for any  $\theta$  topology field  $\zeta$ , the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{f} & (Y, \eta) \\ \downarrow \zeta & & \downarrow f_*(\zeta) \\ (\tau(X), w\theta_\tau) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & (\tau(Y), w\theta_\eta) \end{array}$$

*commutes. Furthermore if  $(Z, \lambda)$  is a topological space and  $g: (Y, \eta) \rightarrow (Z, \lambda)$  is a map, then*

$$(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*.$$

*Finally, if  $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is the identity homeomorphism, then so is  $f_*$ .*

*Proof.* The continuity of the map  $f_*:(\tau(X), w\theta_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(Y), w\theta_\eta)$  was proved already. And the commutativity of the diagram follows from the next fact.

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(\zeta_p) &= \{U \mid f^{-1}(U) \in \zeta_p\} = \{U \mid p \in f^{-1}(U) \in \zeta\} \\ &= \{U \mid f(p) \in U, f^{-1}(U) \in \zeta\} = \{U \mid U \in f_*(\zeta), f(p) \in U\} \\ &= f_*(\zeta)_{f(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

All other statements follow directly from the definitions. □

Additionally, if  $f$  is open and closed and  $\omega \in w\theta(f^{-1}(G))$ , then there is a  $\theta$ -open  $O$  in  $(X, \omega)$  such that  $O \subset f^{-1}(G)$ . By Theorem 1.5 ([3]),  $f(O)$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(X, f_*(\omega))$  such that  $f(O) \subset G$ , i.e.,  $f_*(\omega) \in w\theta(G)$ . That is,  $\omega \in f_*^{-1}(w\theta(G))$ . Consequently we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \eta)$  is a continuous and open and closed surjective map, then for any open  $G$  in  $Y$*

$$f_*^{-1}(w\theta(G)) = w\theta(f^{-1}(G)).$$

Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \zeta)$  be topological spaces. We may assume that  $\tau(X)$  and  $\tau(Y)$  are given the topologies  $w\theta_\tau$  and  $w\theta_\zeta$  respectively and assume that  $\tau(X \times Y)$  is given topology  $w\theta_{\tau \times \zeta}$ . Then we get the following result.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *The multiplication  $\times : \tau(X) \times \tau(Y) \rightarrow \tau(X \times Y)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \tau(X) \times \tau(Y)$ . Then  $\alpha \times \beta \in \tau(X \times Y)$ . If  $w\theta(W)$  is a neighborhood of  $\times(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \times \beta$ , where  $W$  is open in  $(X \times Y, \tau \times \zeta)$ . Then there exists an  $\theta$ -open set  $O$  in  $\alpha \times \beta$  such that  $O \subset W$ . We may assume that  $O = O_X \times O_Y$  is a basic open set in  $(\tau(X \times Y), \alpha \times \beta)$ . Since  $O$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(\tau(X \times Y), \alpha \times \beta)$ . Hence projection  $O_X$  and  $O_Y$  are  $\theta$ -opens in  $(X, \alpha)$  and  $(Y, \beta)$  respectively. Hence  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \theta(O_X) \times \theta(O_Y)$ . Moreover  $\times(\theta(O_X) \times \theta(O_Y)) \subset \theta(O)$ . In fact, if  $\delta \in \theta(O_X)$  and  $\gamma \in \theta(O_Y)$ , then  $O_X$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(X, \delta)$  and  $O_Y$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(Y, \gamma)$ . Since the product of  $\theta$ -opens is  $\theta$ -open [5],  $O = O_X \times O_Y$  is  $\theta$ -open in  $(X \times Y, \delta \times \gamma)$  such that  $O \subset W$ . Hence  $\delta \times \gamma \in w\theta(W)$ . This completes the proof. □

**4. Topology on the family  $\tau(X)$  related to the semi-regular topology on  $X$ .**

**DEFINITION 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, and  $G \in \tau$ . Let  $s(G) = \{\zeta \in \tau(X) | G \text{ is regular-open in } \zeta\}$ . Denote  $\beta' = \{s(G) | G \in \tau\}$ , a family of subset of  $\tau(X)$ . Then there is exactly one topology  $s_\tau$  on  $\tau(X)$  with  $\beta'$  as a subbasis. We will call this topology  $s_\tau$  *the semireg topology* induced by the topology  $\tau$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If  $\tau \leq \zeta \leq 1$ , then  $s_\tau \leq s_\zeta \leq s_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s(G) \in s_\tau$ . Then for any  $\delta \in s(G)$ ,  $G$  is regular-open in  $(X, \delta)$ . Since  $\tau \leq \zeta \leq 1$ ,  $G \in \tau$  implies  $G \in \zeta$ . Consequently  $\delta \in s(G) \in s_\zeta$ . □

Similarly as in the  $\theta$  case if we consider  $s$  as a map from  $\tau(X)$  to  $\tau(X)$  defined by  $s(\eta) = \eta_s$ , then we have next result:

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then the induced map*

$$s : (\tau(X), s_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), s_\tau)$$

*is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta \in \tau(X)$  and  $s(K)$  is a neighborhood of  $s(\zeta) = \zeta_s$  where  $K \in \tau$ . Then since  $\zeta_s = \{U \in \zeta \mid U : \text{regur} - \text{open in } (X, \zeta)\}$ ,  $K$  is a regular-open set in  $(X, \zeta_s)$ . Hence it is also regular-open in  $(X, \zeta)$ . Consequently  $\zeta \in s(K)$ , i.e.  $s(K)$  is a neighborhood of  $\zeta$  which satisfied that  $s(s(K)) \subset s(K)$ . This completes the proof of theorem.  $\square$

Such map  $s : (\tau(X), s_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), s_\tau)$  will be called semi-regularization operator. Moreover this map satisfies that the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 4.4.**  $s(\zeta \wedge \eta) \leq s(\zeta) \wedge s(\eta)$  and  $s(\zeta) \vee s(\eta) \leq s(\zeta \vee \eta)$ .

*Proof.* This corollary follows from the above definition and Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Now we want to know the relations between  $s_\tau$  and  $In_{\tau_s}$ . Let  $\eta \in s(G) \in s_\tau$ , then  $G \in \eta$ , i.e.  $\eta \in i(G)$ . Hence it is natural that  $s(G) \subset i(G)$ . Let  $i(G)$  be a sub basic open in  $In_{\tau_s}$ . Then  $G \in \tau_s$ . Hence  $G$  is regular-open in  $\tau$ , that is  $G = \text{int}^\tau \bar{G}^\tau$ . Hence if  $\zeta \in i(G)$  and  $(X, \eta)$  is regular, then by above Theorem 1.2,  $G$  is also regular-open in  $(X, \eta)$ . Hence  $\eta \in s(G)$ . Thus we have;

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  is a regular space. If we denote  $\tau_{\text{reg}}(X)$  by the subset of all regular topologies in  $\tau(X)$ . Then the subspace  $\tau_{\text{reg}}(X)$  of the space  $(\tau(X), s_\tau)$  and the subspace  $\tau_{\text{reg}}(X)$  of the space  $(\tau(X), In_{\tau_s})$  are identical.*

**THEOREM 4.6.** *If  $(X, \zeta)$  is semi-regular space, then the topology field  $\zeta : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), s_\tau)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in X$  and  $s(G)$  be a subbasic open neighborhood of  $\zeta(p) = \zeta_p$ . Then  $G$  is regular-open in  $(X, \zeta_p)$ . This implies  $G$  is regular-open in  $(X, \zeta)$  because  $G$  is open set in  $(X, \zeta)$  which contains the point  $p$ . Moreover since  $G \in \tau$ ,  $G$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ . Hence if  $q \in G$ ,  $\zeta(q) = \zeta_q \in s(G)$ , so that  $\zeta(G) \subset s(G)$ . This shows that topology field  $\zeta$  is continuous.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.7.** *If  $(X, \zeta)$  is regular space, then the topology field  $\zeta : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\tau(X), s_\tau)$  is continuous.*

Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \eta)$  be a continuous surjective map. If we define a map  $f_* : (\tau(X), s_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(Y), s_\eta)$  by  $f_*(w) = \{U \subset Y \mid f^{-1}(U) \in w\}$ ,

then  $f_*(0) = 0$  and  $f_*(1) = 1$ . Let  $\omega \in \tau(X)$ . For any subbasic open neighborhood  $s(G)$  of  $f_*(\omega)$  in  $(\tau(Y), s_\eta)$ , where  $G$  is open in  $(Y, \eta)$ ,  $G$  is regular-open in  $(Y, f_*(\omega))$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, it is naturally almost-continuous. Hence  $f^{-1}(G)$  is regular-open in  $(X, \omega)$ . Thus  $\omega \in s(f^{-1}(G))$ . Hence  $s(f^{-1}(G))$  is an open neighborhood of  $\omega$  in  $(\tau(X), s_\tau)$ .

Now we will prove that  $f_*(s(f^{-1}(G))) \subset s(G)$ . Let  $\zeta \in s(f^{-1}(G))$ . Then  $f^{-1}(G)$  is regular-open in  $(X, \zeta)$ . Since naturally the map  $f : (X, \zeta) \rightarrow (Y, f_*(\zeta))$  is continuous,  $G$  is regular-open in  $(Y, f_*(\zeta))$ . This implies that  $f_*(\zeta) \in s(G)$ . Thus we have next theorem.

**THEOREM 4.8.** *Let  $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \eta)$  be a continuous surjective map. If we define a map  $f_*:(\tau(X), s_\tau) \rightarrow (\tau(Y), s_\eta)$  by  $f_*(w)=\{U \subset Y|f^{-1}(U) \in w\}$ , then the map  $f_*$  is continuous. If  $\gamma \leq \delta$ , then  $f_*(\gamma) \leq f_*(\delta)$  and  $f_*(\tau) \geq \eta$ . And for any semi-regular topology field  $\zeta$ , the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \tau) & \xrightarrow{f} & (Y, \eta) \\ \downarrow \zeta & & \downarrow f_*(\zeta) \\ (\tau(X), s_\tau) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & (\tau(Y), s_\eta) \end{array}$$

*commutes. If, furthermore,  $(Z, \lambda)$  is a topological space and  $g: (Y, \eta) \rightarrow (Z, \lambda)$  is a map, then*

$$(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*.$$

*Finally, if  $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is the identity homeomorphism, then so is  $f_*$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is very closed to the case of  $\theta$  topological case. □

Additionally, if  $f$  is open and closed and  $\omega \in s(f^{-1}(G))$ , then  $f^{-1}(G)$  is regular-open in  $(X, \omega)$ . By above Theorem 1.5,  $G$  is regular-open in  $(X, f_*(\omega))$ , i.e.  $f_*(\omega) \in s(G)$ . That is,  $\omega \in f_*^{-1}(s(G))$ . Consequently we have the next result.

**LEMMA 4.9.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \eta)$  is continuous and open and closed surjective map, then for any open  $G$  in  $Y$*

$$f_*^{-1}(s(G)) = s(f^{-1}(G)).$$

### References

- [1] H. M. Darwesh, *A new topology from old one*, Journal of the chungcheong mathematical society, **25** (2012), no. 3, 401-413.
- [2] J. R. Kim, *Topology fields, topological flow and topological organisms*, Journal of the Chungcheong mathematical society, **26** (2013), no. 1, 53-69.
- [3] J. R. Kim, *Theta topology and its application to the family of all topologies on  $X$* , Journal of the chungcheong mathematical society, **28** (2015), no. 3, 431-441.
- [4] J. R. Kim and M. H. Woo, *Topology fields and fixed points of flows*, Research and Exposition in Mathematics, **27** (2003), 73-81 ©2003 Heldermann Verlag.
- [5] P. E. Long and L. L. Herrington, *The  $T_0$ -topology and fanitly continous functions*, Kyungpook Math. J. **22** (1982), no. 1, 7-14.
- [6] P. T. Johnstone, *Stone spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [7] M. H. Stone, *Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. **41** (1937), 375-381.
- [8] N. V. Veličko, *H-closed topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. **2** (1969), no. 78, 103-118.

\*

Department of Information Security, Cryptology, and Mathematics  
Kookmin University  
Seoul 02707, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: kimjr@kookmin.ac.kr