

Survey and Phylogenetic Analysis of Rodents and Important Rodent-Borne Zoonotic Pathogens in Gedu, Bhutan

Yoenten Phuentshok^{1,3,*}, Kezang Dorji¹, Tandin Zangpo¹, Silas A. Davidson², Ratree Takhampunya², Tenzinla Tenzinla³, Chencho Dorjee⁴, Roger S. Morris⁵, Peter D. Jolly⁶, Sithar Dorjee⁴, Joanna S. McKenzie⁶

¹One Health Epidemiology Fellowship Program, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand; ²Entomology Department, Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences (AFRIMS), Bangkok, Thailand; ³National Centre for Animal Health, Department of Livestock, Ministry of Agriculture and Forests, Serbithang, Bhutan; ⁴Khesar Gyalpo University of Medical Sciences of Bhutan, Thimphu, Bhutan; ⁵MorVet Ltd, Consultancy Services in Health Risk Management and Food Safety Policy, Masterton, New Zealand; ⁶International Development Group, School of Veterinary Science, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Abstract: Rodents are well-known reservoirs and vectors of many emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases, but little is known about their role in zoonotic disease transmission in Bhutan. In this study, a cross-sectional investigation of zoonotic disease pathogens in rodents was performed in Chukha district, Bhutan, where a high incidence of scrub typhus and cases of acute undifferentiated febrile illness had been reported in people during the preceding 4-6 months. Twelve rodents were trapped alive using wire-mesh traps. Following euthanasia, liver and kidney tissues were removed and tested using PCR for *Orientia tsutsugamushi* and other bacterial and rickettsial pathogens causing bartonellosis, borreliosis, human monocytic ehrlichiosis, human granulocytic anaplasmosis, leptospirosis, and rickettsiosis. A phylogenetic analysis was performed on all rodent species captured and pathogens detected. Four out of the 12 rodents (33.3%) tested positive by PCR for zoonotic pathogens. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, *Bartonella grahamii*, and *B. queenslandensis* were identified for the first time in Bhutan. *Leptospira interrogans* was also detected for the first time from rodents in Bhutan. The findings demonstrate the presence of these zoonotic pathogens in rodents in Bhutan, which may pose a risk of disease transmission to humans.

Key words: *Leptospira*, *Anaplasma*, *Bartonella*, emerging infectious disease, surveillance, rodent, zoonosis, Bhutan

Bhutan is a small land-locked country known for its rich biodiversity and strong environmental and cultural conservation policies. The 2008 Constitution of the Kingdom of Bhutan decrees that the country will maintain a minimum of 60% of the total land under forest cover for all time [1], and currently 71% of Bhutan is under forest cover [2]. Bhutan has more than 200 species of mammals, including approximately 80 rodent species [3]. Rodents are well-known reservoirs and vectors of many emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases [4], but little is known about their role in zoonotic disease transmission in Bhutan. The number of acute undifferentiated febrile illness (AUI) cases in Bhutan has been on the rise in recent years, and there have been several reports of scrub typhus outbreaks [5].

In this study, a cross-sectional survey for evidence of infection with *Orientia tsutsugamushi* and other zoonotic disease pathogens was conducted in rodents, in association with a case-control study, to investigate risk factors for scrub typhus in people who had AUI in Bhutan. The rodent survey was conducted between February and April 2016 in 8 hamlets around Gedu (26°55'21.4" N, 89°31'25.7" E), a small town in Chukha district, south-western Bhutan, where a high incidence of scrub typhus had been detected in people in the case control study. Past studies have shown that the greatest risk of rodent-borne diseases is associated with anthropogenically disturbed habitats [6]. The constant change in agricultural practices around Gedu may thus be contributing to an increased risk of rodent-borne diseases in this area.

Rodents were collected alive using wire-mesh traps laid inside and near the vicinity of houses and vegetable gardens of 12 randomly selected households which were recruited as "cases" (from which scrub typhus had been confirmed in one or more household members) during the case-control study conducted in Gedu between October and December 2015. Traps were baited with processed cheese, meat, and dried fish

•Received 27 October 2017, revised 17 September 2018, accepted 24 September 2018.

*Corresponding author (vetyoen@gmail.com; yphuentshok@moaf.gov.bt)

© 2018, Korean Society for Parasitology and Tropical Medicine

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and inspected daily during the study period. Captured rodents were transported to the laboratory where they were euthanized in accordance with a published protocol [7]. Rodent species were initially identified using morphological criteria from the South East Asian Murines Field Guide [8]. Immediately after euthanasia, kidney and liver samples were collected and preserved in 70% alcohol. Preserved tissue samples were transported to the Armed Forces Research Institute for Medical Sciences (AFRIMS), Bangkok, Thailand, for molecular identification of rodent species and phylogenetic analysis of zoonotic bacterial and rickettsial pathogens.

DNA was extracted from kidney and liver tissues using the Wizard® Genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) following a previously published protocol [9]. To perform rodent barcoding, the cytochrome c oxidase 1 gene (COI) was amplified from DNA extracts of rodent tissue using specific primers (BatL5310: 5' CCTACTCRGCCATTTACCTATG 3', R6036R: 5' ACTTCTGGGTGTCCAAAGAATCA 3') following the protocol published by Herbreteau et al. [7]. PCR amplicons (648 bp) were sequenced and species were identified on the basis of percent DNA sequence identity with reference species deposited in the GenBank database, the Barcode of Life Data system (BOLD), or CERoPath project [7]. Amplification of species-specific genes was performed using published primers for each pathogen. Amplification products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, California, USA) following the manufacturer's instruction and sent for sequencing at AITbiotech Pte. Ltd. (Singapore). Sequence data were assembled using Sequencher 5.1 software (Gene Code Corporation, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA) and consensus sequences were used for analyses. Sequences were aligned and constructed by identity matrix with the reference sequences using the Muscle algorithm implemented in Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) 6.0 software. Maximum likelihood and Neighbor-

joining trees were constructed using MEGA 6.0 software as described by Tamura et al. [10], and bootstrap analyses with 1,000 resamplings were performed to test the robustness of




Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of COI gene (541 bp) of rodents sampled in the study. GenBank accession numbers, sequences from CERoPath project and BOLD are noted after each sequence. Only bootstrap values of 70% or greater are shown. Scale bar represents substitutions per site.

Table 1. Pathogens detected from wild-caught rodents collected from Gedu, Bhutan

Rodent species	No. of rodent	No. of Positive						
		<i>Leptospira</i> spp.	<i>Orientia tsutsugamushi</i>	<i>Rickettsia</i> spp.	<i>Anaplasma phagocytophilum</i>	<i>Ehrlichia chaffeensis</i>	<i>Bartonella</i> spp.	<i>Borrelia</i> spp.
<i>Niviventer fulvescens</i>	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
<i>Mus</i> spp.	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Mus musculus</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Rattus nitidus</i>	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
<i>Suncus murinus</i>	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
No. of positives (%)	12	1 (8.3)	0	0	2 (16.7)	0	3 (25.0)	0

queenslandensis (97.2%; GenBank accession no. MG786477), *B. grahamii* (96.9%; GenBank accession no. MG786478), uncultured *Bartonella* spp. (99.5%; GenBank accession no. MG786479) and *L. interrogans* (99.2%; GenBank accession no. MG786476); respectively (Fig. 2A, B). The *ankA* gene of *Anaplasma* spp. could not be amplified from 2 rodent samples. However, the DNA sequence of real-time PCR products (50-101 bp) showed the highest sequence identity (98.8%) to *A. phagocytophilum* strain red fox 51 (KC763008) using the BLASTN search program.

This study is the first report of *A. phagocytophilum*, *B. grahamii*, and *B. queenslandensis* in Bhutan. It is also the first report of *L. interrogans* in rodents from Bhutan, a pathogen that has previously been detected in cattle and human populations [19]. While only 12 rodents were captured and tested in this study, 33.3% were positive for the presence of the zoonotic pathogens investigated. It is therefore possible that rodents are commonly infected with zoonotic pathogens in Bhutan and play a significant role in disease transmission.

It is worth noting that all rodents were captured in and around the houses of confirmed cases of scrub typhus in humans that had been identified during the previous 4-6 months; however, none of the rodents tested positive for *O. tsutsugamushi*. The inability to detect the scrub typhus pathogen in the trapped rodents may be due to a number of factors, including the small sample size, trap locations and/or the time of year when the rodents were trapped. The incidence of scrub typhus in humans is highly seasonal and is typically highest from July to October, and lowest from February to May, in Bhutan. The rodents were trapped between February and April in this study, when there is a low incidence of scrub typhus in people, which may have been associated with a low prevalence of rodents infected with *O. tsutsugamushi*. Furthermore, traps may not have been placed in the environment where rodents serving as hosts for infected chiggers are likely to be found. All of our traps were laid inside and in the vicinity of houses, whereas a study conducted in Thailand found that the location of seropositive rodents was significantly associated with forest cover [20].

This study shows that sampling even a few rodents in Bhutan can provide important information about potential risks of rodent-borne zoonotic diseases. More detailed information on the geographic distribution and prevalence of these organisms in rodents could support the development of a list of potential pathogenic organisms for clinicians to test for in cases of undiagnosed undifferentiated acute fever. We recommend future studies are designed to capture a larger number of ro-

dent across a wider range of localities and habitat types to obtain more accurate estimates of the prevalence of the zoonotic pathogens in rodents and association with different types of habitat. In future studies, the ectoparasites of rodents should also be collected and analysed. A One Health approach involving the contemporaneous collection and testing of samples from both humans and rodents in the study area is likely to contribute to a better understanding of the risk of rodent-borne zoonotic diseases in Bhutan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Kinzang Dukpa, Program Director of National Centre for Animal Health, Serbithang and Dr. Basant Sharma, Regional Director of Regional Livestock Development Centre, Tsimasham for providing technical and logistical support. The work has been managed by Massey University with financial assistance from the European Union under the One Health Program in Asia (EuropeAid/133708/C/ACT/Multi; Contract DCI-ASIE/2013/331-217). Laboratory testing of specimens was funded by the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Branch, Global Emerging Infections Surveillance and Response System (AFHSB-GEIS), Silver Spring, Maryland, USA. Material has been reviewed by the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research. There is no objection to its presentation and/or publication. The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the author, and are not to be construed as official, or as reflecting true views of the Department of the Army or the Department of Defence.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest related to this work.

REFERENCES

1. National Biodiversity Centre, Ministry of Agriculture and Forests, Royal Government of Bhutan. National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plan of Bhutan. Thimphu, Bhutan. Ministry of Agriculture and Forests. 2014, pp 191.
2. Department of Forests and Park Services. Ministry of Agriculture and Forests, Royal Government of Bhutan. National Forest Inventory Report. Thimphu, Bhutan. Ministry of Agriculture and Forests. 2017, pp 77.
3. Wangchuk T, Thinley P, Tshering K, Tshering C, Yonten D, Pema B, Wangchuk S. A Field Guide to the Mammals of Bhutan. Thimphu, Bhutan. Ministry of Agriculture. 2004.
4. Luis AD, Hayman DT, O'Shea TJ, Cryan PM, Gilbert AT, Pulliam

- JR, Mills JN, Timonin ME, Willis CK, Cunningham AA, Fooks AR, Rupprecht CE, Wood JL, Webb CT. A comparison of bats and rodents as reservoirs of zoonotic viruses: are bats special? *Proc Biol Sci* 2013; 280: 20122753.
5. Tshokey T, Choden T, Sharma R. Scrub typhus in Bhutan: a synthesis of data from 2009 to 2014. *WHO South East Asia J Public Health* 2016; 5: 117-122.
 6. Mills JN. Biodiversity loss and emerging infectious disease: an example from the rodent-borne hemorrhagic fevers. *Biodiversity* 2006; 7: 9-17.
 7. Herbreteau V, Jittapalapong S, Rerkamnuaychoke W, Chaval Y, Cosson JF, Morand S. Protocols for field and laboratory rodent studies. Bangkok, Thailand. Kasetsart University. 2011.
 8. Chaval Y. South East Asian Murines Field Guide. Bangkok, Thailand. Kasetsart University. 2011, pp 201.
 9. Klangthong K, Promstaporn S, Leepitakrat S, Schuster AL, McCordle PW, Kosoy M, Takhampunya R. The distribution and diversity of *Bartonella* species in rodents and their ectoparasites across Thailand. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0140856.
 10. Tamaru K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. Mega6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol Biol Evol* 2013; 30: 2725-2729.
 11. McAvin JC, Kengluetcha A, Takhampunya R, Richardson JH. A field-expedient method for detection of leptospirosis causative agents in rodents. *US Army Med Dep J* 2012; 22-28.
 12. Morey RE, Galloway RL, Bragg SL, Steigerwalt AG, Mayer LW, Levett PN. Species-specific identification of *Leptospiraceae* by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; 44: 3510-3516.
 13. Diaz MH, Bai Y, Malania L, Winchell JM, Kosoy MY. Development of a novel genus-specific real-time PCR assay for detection and differentiation of *Bartonella* species and genotypes. *J Clin Microbiol* 2012; 50: 1645-1649.
 14. Loan HK, Cuong NV, Takhampunya R, Klangthong K, Osikowicz L, Kiet BT, Campbell J, Bryant J, Promstaporn S, Kosoy M, Hoang NV, Morand S, Chaval Y, Hien VB, Carrique-Mas J. *Bartonella* species and trombiculid mites of rats from the Mekong Delta of Vietnam. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2015; 15: 40-47.
 15. Wright CL, Nadolny RM, Jiang J, Richards AL, Sonenshine DE, Gaff HD, Hynes WL. *Rickettsia parkeri* in Gulf Coast Ticks, Southeastern Virginia, USA. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011; 5: 896-898.
 16. Jiang J, Chan TC, Temenak JJ, Dasch GA, Ching WM, Richards AL. Development of a quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assay specific for *Orientia tsutsugamushi*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2004; 70: 351-356.
 17. Parola P, Diatta G, Socolovschi C, Mediannikov O, Tall A, Bassene H, Trape JE, Raoult D. Tick-borne relapsing fever borreliosis, rural Senegal. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011; 17: 883-885.
 18. Dong T, Qu Z, Zhang L. Detection of *A. phagocytophilum* and *E. chaffeensis* in patient and mouse blood and ticks by a duplex real-time PCR assay. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e74796.
 19. Tenzin T. Risk based surveillance of Leptospirosis in cross-species domestic animals in Bhutan. *Proceedings of the 2nd National One Health Workshop*; 2015 Feb 4-6; Paro, Bhutan.
 20. Chaisiri K, Cosson JF, Morand S. Infection of Rodents by *Orientia tsutsugamushi*, the Agent of Scrub Typhus in Relation to Land Use in Thailand. *Trop Med Infect Dis* 2017; 2: 53.