

ARTICLE

Isolation and Characterization of an Anti-listerial Bacteriocin from *Leuconostoc lactis* SD501

In-Chan Hwang¹, Ju Kyoung Oh¹, Sang Hoon Kim¹,
Sejong Oh², and Dae-Kyung Kang^{1,*}

¹Department of Animal Resource Science, Dankook University, Cheonan 31116, Korea

²Department of Animal Science, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Korea



OPEN ACCESS

Received May 17, 2018

Revised August 8, 2018

Accepted August 9, 2018

*Corresponding author : Dae-Kyung Kang
Department of Animal Resources Science,
Dankook University, Cheonan 31116, Korea
Tel: +82-41-550-3655
E-mail: dkkang@dankook.ac.kr

Abstract Although bacteriocins with anti-listerial activity have been isolated from a wide variety of lactic acid bacteria, little is known about those from *Leuconostoc lactis*, a heterofermentative bacterium that produces diacetyl and exopolysaccharides in dairy foods. In this study, an anti-listerial bacteriocin was isolated from *Leuc. lactis* SD501 and characterized. It was particularly potent against *Listeria monocytogenes* and also inhibited *Enterococcus faecalis*. Anti-listerial activity reached a maximum during the early stationary phase and then decreased gradually. The anti-listerial substance was sensitive to proteinase K and α -chymotrypsin, confirming its proteinaceous nature. Its activity remained stable at pH values ranging from 1 to 10. In addition, it was strongly resistant to high temperatures, retaining its activity even after incubation for 15 min at 121°C. The apparent molecular mass of the partially purified anti-listerial bacteriocin was approximately 7 kDa. The characteristics of the SD501 bacteriocin, including its small molecular size (<10 kDa), strong anti-listerial activity, wide pH stability and good thermostability, indicate its classification as a Class IIa bacteriocin.

Keywords *Leuconostoc lactis*, bacteriocin, *Listeria monocytogenes*

Introduction

For decades, *Listeria monocytogenes* has been one of the most studied foodborne pathogens, as it causes the severe human illness listeriosis (Low and Donachie, 1997; Schuppler and Loessner, 2010). This microorganism has been repeatedly isolated from both food and the environment, and it contaminates a wide variety of dairy products (Koch et al., 2010; Latorre et al., 2010; Massa et al., 1990; Pintado et al., 2005). It is also frequently present in the guts of cattle, poultry and pigs and can be transferred to ready-to-eat foods or raw meat products (Meyer-Broseta et al., 2003; Pak et al., 2002; Ryser et al., 1996). This bacterium can grow at low temperatures and is relatively tolerant of salt and low pH, rendering its control in foods difficult (Halimi et al., 2010).

To date, numerous studies have investigated the control of *L. monocytogenes* contamination in foods through use of antimicrobials either in formulations or external applications as dips or sprays (Barmpalia et al., 2005; Byelashov et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2017; Yoon et al., 2009). One promising option for the control of *L. monocytogenes* is application of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) or their products bacteriocins, which are safe to consume and are also inhibitors of pathogens. Bacteriocins are proteinaceous antimicrobial compounds, and most bacteriocins studied are from the LAB strains that are commonly associated with food, especially fermented dairy and meat products (Halimi et al., 2010; Lecompte et al., 2008; Mathur et al., 2017). Thus, bacteriocins or bacteriocin-producing LAB with anti-listerial activity have been employed to inhibit *L. monocytogenes* in foods. Marques et al. (2017) reported that film containing a bacteriocin-like substance from *Lactobacillus curvatus* P99 effectively controlled *L. monocytogenes* in sliced cheese. In addition, the combination of a cell-bound bacteriocin produced by *Lb. curvatus* CWBI-B28 with savory essential oils or oregano effectively reduced the number of viable *L. monocytogenes* in pork meat (Ghalfi et al., 2006).

Although bacteriocins with anti-listerial activity have been isolated from a wide variety of LAB species, including *Lactobacillus* spp. (Han et al., 2007; Larsen et al., 1993; Xiraphi et al., 2006), *Enterococcus* spp. (Feng et al., 2009; Seo et al., 2014), *Streptococcus* spp. (Heng et al., 2007), *Leuconostoc* spp. (Felix et al., 1994) and *Weissella* spp. (Papagianni and Papamichae, 2011), little information is available on those from *Leuconostoc lactis*, a major heterofermentative bacterium that produces diacetyl and exopolysaccharides in dairy foods (Saravanan and Shetty, 2016). In this study, we isolated and characterized a bacteriocin from *Leuc. lactis* SD501 with strong inhibitory activity against *L. monocytogenes*.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, media and growth conditions

Strains isolated from kimchi were grown in MRS broth (BD Biosciences) at 37°C. *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 was grown in tryptic soy broth (BD Biosciences) at 25°C. Other indicator strains were grown in the media listed in Table 1.

Identification of the isolate

Genomic DNA from the bacterial strains was extracted and purified. Then, 16S rDNA was amplified via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the PCR Thermal Cycler Dice® Gradient (TaKaRa, Japan). The universal primers used for PCR amplification were 5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' (27F) and 5'-TACGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3' (1492R) (Pavlova et al., 2002). Amplified 16S rDNA fragments from strain SD501 were visualized by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. Then, the PCR products were purified using a commercial PCR cleanup kit for the purification of sequencing fragments (MACHEREY-NAGEL GmbH, Germany). The sequencing of the 16S rDNA fragment was performed by Cosmogenetech Co., Ltd. (Korea). A homology search was carried out using the NCBI BLAST search program (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>).

Antimicrobial activity by agar well diffusion assay

LAB strains were isolated from kimchi and screened for their antagonistic activity against *L. monocytogenes* via the agar well diffusion assay (Lim et al., 2016). Briefly, isolates were grown in MRS broth at 37°C for 24 h, and then cells were removed by centrifugation (8,000×g for 10 min at 4°C). The cell-free supernatant was adjusted to pH 7.0 using 3 N NaOH and sterilized using a disposable syringe filter (0.45 µm pore size; BioFACT, Korea) prior to the antimicrobial activity assay. Indicator strains (0.1%, v/v) were added to soft agar medium (0.75% agar) and mixed well. The agar plates were allowed to solidify, and the

Table 1. Indicator strains, growth media and sensitivities to *Leuconostoc lactis* SD501 cell-free supernatant

Indicator organism	Media	Inhibition zone*	Temperature (°C)
Gram-positive			
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> ATCC 19114	TSB	+++	25
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (VRE) CCARM 0011	NB	+	
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> KCCM 12145	NB	–	
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> KCTC 1661	LB	–	37
<i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i> ATCC 13813	TSB	–	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> KFRI 00188	NB	–	
<i>Staphylococcus</i> subsp. <i>aureus</i> (MRSA) KCCM 40510	NB	–	
Gram-negative			
<i>Escherichia coli</i> K88	LB	–	
<i>Salmonella gallinarum</i> KVCC-BA0700722	NB	–	
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> KCCM 11266	NB	–	37
<i>Shigella flexneri</i> KCCM 40414	NB	–	
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> KCCM 11418	NB	–	
Fungi			
<i>Candida albicans</i> KCTC 7122	PDA	–	30

* Activity is expressed based on the diameter of the inhibition zone around the well. Degrees of inhibition around the well: –, no inhibition; +, up to 5 mm; +++, up to 20 mm.

ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; CCARM, Culture Collection of Antimicrobial Resistant Microbes; KCCM, Korean Culture Center of Microorganisms; KCTC, Korean Collection for Type Culture; KFRI, Kerala Forest Research Institute; KVCC, Korea Veterinary Culture Collection; TSB, tryptic soy broth; NB, nutrient broth; LB, Luria-Bertani; PDA, potato dextrose agar.

wells were punched into the agar plates using a sterile glass cylinder. These wells were filled with 200 μ L cell-free supernatant from the isolates, and then the plate was incubated at the appropriate temperature for the indicator strains. Antimicrobial activity was detected based on the presence of a clear zone around the wells. All bacteria tested are shown in Table 1.

Production of an anti-listerial substance during the growth of SD501

Bacteriocin production during bacterial growth was monitored in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks inoculated at 1% (v/v) with an overnight culture of strain SD501 incubated at 37°C. Samples were collected at 3- to 12-h intervals during a 72 h incubation period, and cell growth and antimicrobial activity were measured. Cell growth was represented by optical density (OD) measurements at 600 nm using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan), and the antimicrobial activity of the cell-free supernatant after neutralization was expressed as arbitrary activity units (AU) per mL. One AU was defined as the reciprocal of the highest dilution that showed inhibition of growth of *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114, the indicator strain, in the agar well diffusion method described above. All experiments were performed in triplicate. The titer was measured using the formula $AU/mL = [2^x] \times [1,000/V (\mu L)]$, where X is the number of the final dilution showing inhibition, and V is the volume of bioactive liquid (Chumchalova et al., 1995).

Purification of the anti-listerial substance

Anti-listerial bacteriocin purification was carried out via a three-step procedure using the supernatant of a 4 L culture of

Leuc. lactis SD501 grown to the early stationary phase in MRS broth at 37°C. First, ammonium sulfate was gradually added to the cell-free supernatant (70% saturation) of *Leuc. lactis* SD501, which was stirred for 12 h at 4°C and then centrifuged (8,000×g for 30 min at 4°C). The pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris-Cl buffer (pH 7.0) and dialyzed using dialysis membrane (Pre-wetted RC tubing [MWCO: 1 kD], Spectra/Por®, USA). The dialyzed product was mixed with Amberlite XAD-16N resin (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) that had been previously activated and equilibrated with 50% (v/v) isopropanol, and the substance was incubated for 12 h at 4°C to allow to adsorb onto the resin. The matrix was packed into a column (1.2×30 cm, SciLab®, USA) and washed twice with washing buffer (40% ethanol). The active solution was recovered using elution buffer (70% 2-propanol and 10 mM acetic acid, pH 2.0).

The Sep-Pak C₁₈ cartridge column (Millipore, USA) was initially rinsed and equilibrated using 100% acetonitrile supplemented with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. The equilibrated column was washed using distilled water containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. The active solution was loaded onto a C₁₈ cartridge column and eluted as an antibacterial fraction with acetonitrile containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. Then, it was dried using a vacuum concentrator (Savant spd1010, Thermo Scientific, USA) to remove the solvent and finally dissolved in 50 mM Tris-Cl buffer (pH 7.0) for determination of the molecular weight of the active substance. The activity and protein concentration of the purified anti-listerial substance were determined using the serial twofold dilution method and Bradford protein assay (Bradford, 1976), respectively, and antimicrobial activity was assessed using the agar well diffusion assay described above (Table 2).

Characterization of the anti-listerial substance

Enzyme sensitivity

The substance purified from the SD501 culture supernatant was treated with the following enzymes, each at a final concentration of 5 mg/mL: α -amylase, α -chymotrypsin, lipase, proteinase K, pepsin and trypsin (all from Sigma). All preparations were incubated for 2 h at the appropriate temperatures, and residual antimicrobial activity against *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 was examined using the agar well diffusion assay.

Effect of pH

The effect of pH on the anti-listerial substance was evaluated by adjusting the purified substance to pH 1.0–10.0 (at increments of one pH unit) with sterile 3 N NaOH or 3 N HCl. After a 2 h incubation at 37°C, the samples were re-adjusted to pH 7.0 with 3 N NaOH or 3 N HCl and evaluated for anti-listerial activity using the agar well diffusion assay.

Heat stability

To determine the effect of temperature on the anti-listerial substance, the substance was subjected to 4°C, 25°C, 37°C,

Table 2. Purification of the SD501 bacteriocin by ammonium sulfate (AS) precipitation, Amberlite XAD-16N and hydrophobic C₁₈ column

Fraction	Volume (mL)	Total protein (mg)	Total activity (AU)	Specific activity (AU/mg)	Purification (fold)	Yield (%)
Culture supernatant	4,000	480	2,800,000	5,833	1	100
AS precipitation	8	84.8	890,400	10,500	1.8	32
Amberlite XAD-16N	2	12.6	360,120	28,581	4.9	13
C ₁₈ column	1	0.24	11,339	47,247	8.1	0.4

AU, activity units.

50°C, 60°C, 80°C, 100°C, and 121°C. Residual anti-listerial activity was tested after a 15 min incubation at these temperatures using the agar well diffusion assay.

Determination of the molecular weight of the anti-listerial substance

The molecular weight of the partially purified anti-listerial substance was determined using a tricine sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) system, as described by Schägger and von Jagow (1987), using 4% acrylamide for the stacking gel, 10% acrylamide for the spacer gel and 16.5% acrylamide for the separating gel. The sample was mixed with sample buffer without SDS and boiled at 100°C for 10 min. Electrophoresis was performed using vertical gels (1.5 mm thickness) in an electrophoresis chamber (PowerPac 300, Bio-Rad, USA) at 30 V for 12 h. After electrophoresis, one gel was placed in staining buffer (0.025% (w/v) Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 (Bio-Rad, USA), 10% (v/v) acetic acid, 45% (v/v) distilled water and 45% (v/v) methanol for 1 h, then destained with destaining buffer (10% (v/v) acetic acid, 45% (v/v) distilled water and 45% (v/v) methanol for 24 h. The other gel was fixed using destaining buffer, and then washed three times in distilled water for 3 h and then overlaid with 0.75% (w/v) soft agar inoculated with *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 (0.1%, v/v). The plate was incubated at 25°C overnight, and the gel was examined for an inhibition zone.

Results and Discussion

Isolation and identification of a strain showing anti-listerial activity

Presumptive LAB grown on MRS agar plates were selected, and their neutralized cell-free supernatants were evaluated for antimicrobial activity against *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 using the agar well diffusion assay. Of 140 strains tested in this study, strain SD501 showed strong anti-listerial activity and was selected for further investigation. The 16S rDNA sequence of strain SD501 showed the most similarity (100%) to that of *Leuc. lactis* CAU7596 (data not shown). Therefore, this strain was confirmed to belong to the species *Leuc. lactis* and was designated *Leuc. lactis* SD501. The sequence determined in this study has been deposited in the NCBI database under accession number MF108705.1. To date, anti-listerial substances from *Leuc. lactis* strains have been reported by Cholakov et al. (2017) and Yehia et al. (2017). However, their studies lack data on the characteristics of the anti-listerial substances.

Production of an anti-listerial substance

The relationship between the cell growth stage and anti-listerial activity of *Leuc. lactis* SD501 was determined (Fig. 1). Anti-listerial activity was first detected at 3 h, when the culture was in the early logarithmic phase. Anti-listerial activity reached a maximum (735 AU/mL) during the early stationary phase (9 h) and then decreased gradually, as previously reported for most bacteriocins from LAB (Arakawa et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018; Makhloufi et al., 2013; Wulijideligen et al., 2012; Zamfir et al., 2016). Decreased antimicrobial activity after prolonged incubation of the bacteria could be attributed to processes such as proteolytic degradation by extracellular proteases, re-adsorption by the surface of the producing cell, or protein aggregation (Papagianni and Papamichae, 2011).

Antimicrobial spectrum

The antimicrobial spectrum of the purified substance from *Leuc. lactis* SD501 is shown in Table 1. The agar well diffusion assay showed that the antimicrobial substance from *Leuc. lactis* SD501 exhibited a narrow antimicrobial spectrum. It was




Fig. 1. Antimicrobial activity (AU/mL) during the growth of *Leuconostoc lactis* SD501. Symbols: circles, cell density (OD₆₀₀). AU, arbitrary unit.

particularly potent against *L. monocytogenes* and also inhibited *Enterococcus faecalis*. On the other hand, it was not active against any other Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria evaluated.

Characterization of the anti-listerial substance

The effects of physicochemical treatments on the stability of the purified anti-listerial substance from *Leuc. lactis* SD501 are summarized in Table 3. The anti-listerial substance was sensitive to several proteolytic enzymes (proteinase K and α -chymotrypsin), confirming its proteinaceous nature. However, it was resistant to catalase and amylase, indicating that carbohydrates are not bound to the substance, and its antimicrobial effect was not derived from hydrogen peroxide.

The effect of pH on the anti-listerial substance was assessed after adjusting the pH from 1.0 to 10.0 for 2 h and then re-adjusted to pH 7.0. The antimicrobial activity remained stable at pH values ranging from 1 to 10. Its activity was even increased after adjusting to acidic conditions before neutralization. Some bacteriocins, such as nisin, showed highest activity in acidic conditions. Tuncer and Ozden (2010) reported that antimicrobial activity of nisin-like bacteriocin from *Lac. lactis* was highest below pH 4.0. The influence of pH on the antimicrobial activity of bacteriocin could be due to changes in the conformation/oligomerization of the bacteriocin peptide as well as to changes in the surface charge of the target microorganisms (Abriouel et al., 2001). In other words, some bacteriocins form monomeric structure than oligomeric one at low pH and show higher antimicrobial activity (Alvarez-Cisneros et al., 2010).

Furthermore, the inhibitory activity was strongly resistant to high temperatures and was retained even after incubation for 15 min at 121°C. Similar results were reported for mesentericin ST99 from *Leuc. mesenteroides* (Todorov and Dicks, 2004) and leucocin A from *Leuc. mesenteroides* (Balay et al., 2017).

Bacteriocins that are active against *Listeria* with a wide pH stability and good thermostability similar to those of SD501, such as leucocin (Balay et al., 2017), acidocin (Kanatani et al., 1995), mesentericin (Todorov and Dicks, 2004), pediocin (Porto et al., 2017) and sakacin (Simon et al., 2002), are classified as Class II bacteriocins among four classes of bacteriocins (Alvarez-Sieiro, 2016; Balciunasa et al., 2013).

Table 3. Effects of pH, temperature and various enzymes on the anti-listerial substance obtained from *Leuconostoc lactis* SD501

Treatment	Residual activity ¹⁾
None (Control)	+
Enzyme ²⁾	
α -Amylase	+
Lipase	+
Proteinase-K	-
Trypsin	+
α -Chymotrypsin	-
Pepsin	+
Heat:	
25°C	+
50°C	+
60°C	+
80°C	+
100°C	+
121°C	+
pH:	
2	++
3	+
4	+
5	+
6	+
7	+
8	+
9	+
10	+

¹⁾ Residual activity compared with antibacterial activity prior to treatment. Activity is expressed based on the diameter of the inhibition zone around the well. Degrees of inhibition around the well: -, no inhibition; +, up to 20 mm; ++, up to 25 mm.

²⁾ The enzyme concentration used was 5 mg/mL.

Determination of the molecular weight of the anti-listerial substance

In Tris-tricine SDS-PAGE analysis, the active fraction of partially purified *Leuc. lactis* SD501 showed a single band corresponding to a molecular mass of approximately 7 kDa on a gel stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (Fig. 2A). In post-electrophoretic detection analysis, the inhibitory activity of that band was confirmed by overlaying the bacteriocin-containing gel with 0.75% (w/w) soft agar containing the indicator strain *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19114 (Fig. 2B).

Overall, a small molecular size (<10 kDa), strong anti-listerial activity, wide pH stability and good thermostability are common characteristics of class IIa bacteriocins. Therefore, the anti-listerial bacteriocin from *Leuc. lactis* SD501 may be classified as a Class IIa bacteriocin, based on the accepted bacteriocin classification system (Alvarez-Sieiro, 2016; Balciunasa et al., 2013). The amino acid sequence, mode of action and genes involved in biosynthesis of SD501 bacteriocin need to be elucidated by future research.

Conclusion

Anti-listerial bacteriocin was obtained from *Leuc. lactis* SD501 isolated from kimchi, to our knowledge, which is the first characterized bacteriocin from the species *Leuc. lactis*. This bacteriocin with high thermostability and activity in a wide range




Fig. 2. Molecular weight estimation of the *Leuconostoc lactis* SD501 bacteriocin. (A) Tricine SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250 for protein detection. (B) Tricine gel overlaid with soft agar containing *Listeria monocytogenes* ATCC 19114: lanes 1 and 3, Precision Plus Protein™ Dual Xtra Prestained Protein Standards marker; lanes 2 and 4, purified bacteriocin from *Leuc. lactis* SD501.

of pH could be a promising biopreservative for the control of *L. monocytogenes* in foods, including dairy and meat products.

Acknowledgements

The present research was conducted by the research fund of Dankook University in 2018.

References

- Abriouel H, Valdivia E, Gálvez A, Maqueda M. 2001. Influence of physico-chemical factors on the oligomerization and biological activity of bacteriocin AS-48. *Curr Microbiol* 42:89-95.
- Alvarez-Cisneros YM, Fernández FJ, Wachter-Rodarte C, Aguilar MB, Sáinz Espuñes Tdel R, Ponce-Alquicira E. 2010. Biochemical characterization of a bacteriocin-like inhibitory substance produced by *Enterococcus faecium* MXVK29, isolated from Mexican traditional sausage. *J Sci Food Agric* 90:2475-2481.
- Alvarez-Sieiro P, Montalbán-López M, Mu D, Kuipers OP. 2016. Bacteriocins of lactic acid bacteria: Extending the family. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 100:2939-2951.
- Arakawa K, Yoshida S, Aikawa H, Hano C, Bolormaa T, Burenjargal S, Miyamoto T. 2016. Production of a bacteriocin-like inhibitory substance by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp. *dextranicum* 213M0 isolated from Mongolian fermented mare milk, airag. *Anim Sci J* 87:449-456.
- Balay DR, Dangeti RV, Kaur K, McMullen LM. 2017. Purification of leucocin A for use on wieners to inhibit *Listeria monocytogenes* in the presence of spoilage organisms. *Int J Food Microbiol* 255:25-31.
- Balciunasa EM, Martinez FAC, Todorov SD, de Melo Francob BDG, de Souza Oliveira ACRP. 2013. Novel biotechnological applications of bacteriocins: A review. *Food Control* 32:134-142.
- Barmपाली IM, Koutsoumanis KP, Geornaras I, Belk KE, Scanga JA, Kendall PA, Smith GC, Sofos JN. 2005. Effect of antimicrobials as ingredients of pork bologna for *Listeria monocytogenes* control during storage at 4 or 10°C. *Food Microbiol* 22:205-211.
- Bradford MM. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the

- principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 72:248-254.
- Byelashov OA, Kendall PA, Belk KE, Scanga JA, Sofos JN. 2008. Control of *Listeria monocytogenes* on vacuum-packaged frankfurters sprayed with lactic acid alone or in combination with sodium lauryl sulfate. *J Food Prot* 71:728-734.
- Chen YS, Wu HC, Kuo CY, Chen YW, Ho S, Yanagida F. 2018. Leucocin C-607, a novel bacteriocin from the multiple-bacteriocin-producing *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides* 607 isolated from persimmon. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins* 10:148-156.
- Chumchalova J, Josephsen J, Plockova M. 1995. Characterization of acidocin CH5, a saccharolytic sensitive bacteriocin of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* CH5. *Chem Mikrobiol Technol Lebensm* 17:145-150.
- Cholakov R, Tumbarski Y, Yanakieva V, Dobrev I, Salim Y, Denkova Z. 2017. Antimicrobial activity of *Leuconostoc lactis* strain BT17, isolated from a spontaneously fermented cereal beverage (Boza). *J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci* 7:47-49.
- Felix JV, Papathanasopoulos MA, Smith AA, von Holy A, Hastings JW. 1994. Characterization of leucocin B-Ta11a: A bacteriocin from *Leuconostoc carnosum* Ta11a isolated from meat. *Curr Microbiol* 29:207-212.
- Feng G, Guron GK, Churey JJ, Worobo RW. 2009. Characterization of mundticin L, a class IIa anti-*Listeria* bacteriocin from *Enterococcus mundtii* CUGF08. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75:5708-5713.
- Ghali H, Kouakou P, Duroy M, Daoudi A, Benkerroum N, Thonart P. 2006. Antilisterial bacteriocin-producing strain of *Lactobacillus curvatus* CWBI-B28 as a preservative culture in bacon meat and influence of fat and nitrites on bacteriocins production and activity. *Food Sci Technol Int* 12:325-333.
- Halimi B, Dortu C, Arguelles-Arias A, Thonart P, Joris B, Fickers P. 2010. Antilisterial activity on poultry meat of amylolysin, a bacteriocin from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* GA1. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins* 2:120-125.
- Han KS, Kim Y, Kim SH, Oh S. 2007. Characterization and purification of acidocin 1B, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* GP1B. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 17:774-783.
- Heng NC, Burtenshaw GA, Jack RW, Tagg JR. 2007. Ubericin A, a class IIa bacteriocin produced by *Streptococcus uberis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73:7763-7766.
- Kanatani K, Oshimura M, Sano K. 1995. Isolation and characterization of acidocin A and cloning of the bacteriocin gene from *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 61:1061-1067.
- Kim S, Lee H, Lee S, Yoon Y, Choi KH. 2015. Antimicrobial action of oleanolic acid on *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Enterococcus faecium*, and *Enterococcus faecalis*. *PLoS One* 10: e0118800.
- Koch J, Dworak R, Prager R, Becker B, Brockmann S, Wicke A, Wichmann-Schauer H, Hof H, Werber D, Stark K. 2010. Large listeriosis outbreak linked to cheese made from pasteurized milk, Germany, 2006–2007. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 7:1581-1584.
- Larsen AG, Vogensen FK, Josephsen J. 1993. Antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from sour doughs: Purification and characterization of bavaricin A, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus bavaricus* MI401. *J Appl Bacteriol* 75:113-122.
- Latorre AA, Van Kessel JS, Karns JS, Zurakowski MJ, Pradhan AK, Boor KJ, Jayarao BM, Houser BA, Daugherty CS, Schukken YH. 2010. Biofilm in milking equipment on a dairy farm as a potential source of bulk tank milk contamination with *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J Dairy Sci* 93:2792-2802.
- Lecompte JY, Kondjoyan A, Sarter S, Portanguen S, Collignan A. 2008. Effects of steam and lactic acid treatments on inactivation of *Listeria innocua* surface-inoculated on chicken skins. *Int J Food Microbiol* 127:155-161.
- Lee J, Gwak E, Lee H, Ha J, Lee S, Kim S, Oh MH, Park BY, Choi KH, Yoon Y. 2017. Effects of low NaNO₂ and NaCl

- concentrations on *Listeria monocytogenes* growth in emulsion-type sausage. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 30:432-438.
- Lim KB, Balolong MP, Kim SH, Oh JK, Lee JY, Kang DK. 2016. Isolation and characterization of a broad spectrum bacteriocin from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* RX7. Biomed Res Int 2016:8521476.
- Low JC, Donachie W. 1997. A review of *Listeria monocytogenes* and listeriosis. Vet J 153:9-29.
- Makhloufi KM, Carré-Mlouka A, Peduzzi J, Lombard C, van Reenen CA, Dicks LM, Rebuffat S. 2013. Characterization of leucocin B-KM432Bz from *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides* isolated from boza, and comparison of its efficiency to pediocin PA-1. PLoS One 8:e70484.
- Marques JL, Funck GD, Dannenberg GDS, Cruzen CEDS, Halal SLME, Dias ARG, Fiorentini ÂM, Silva WPD. 2017. Bacteriocin-like substances of *Lactobacillus curvatus* P99: Characterization and application in biodegradable films for control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in cheese. Food Microbiol 63:159-163.
- Massa S, Cesaroni D, Poda G, Trovatelli LD. 1990. The incidence of *Listeria* spp. in soft cheeses, butter and raw milk in the province of Bologna. J Appl Bacteriol 68:153-156.
- Mathur H, Field D, Rea MC, Cotter PD, Hill C, Ross RP. 2017. Bacteriocin-antimicrobial synergy: A medical and food perspective. Front Microbiol 8:1205.
- Meyer-Broseta S, Diot A, Bastian S, Rivière J, Cerf O. 2003. Estimation of low bacterial concentration: *Listeria monocytogenes* in raw milk. Int J Food Microbiol 80:1-15.
- Pak SI, Spahr U, Jemmi T, Salman MD. 2002. Risk factors for *L. monocytogenes* contamination of dairy products in Switzerland, 1990–1999. Prev Vet Med 53:55-65.
- Papagianni M, Papamichae EM. 2011. Purification, amino acid sequence and characterization of the class IIa bacteriocin weissellin A, produced by *Weissella paramesenteroides* DX. Bioresour Technol 102:6730-6734.
- Pavlova SI, Kilic AO, Kilic SS, So JS, Nader-Macias ME, Simoes JA, Tao L. 2002. Genetic diversity of vaginal lactobacilli from women in different countries based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. J Appl Microbiol 92:451-459.
- Pintado CMBS, Oliveirab A, Pampulhab ME, Ferreira MASS. 2005. Prevalence and characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from soft cheese. Food Microbiol 22: 79-85.
- Porto MC, Kuniyoshi TM, Azevedo PO, Vitolo M, Oliveira RP. 2017. *Pediococcus* spp.: An important genus of lactic acid bacteria and pediocin producers. Biotechnol Adv 35:361-374.
- Ryser ET, Arimi SM, Bunduki MM, Donnelly CW. 1996. Recovery of different *Listeria* ribotypes from naturally contaminated, raw refrigerated meat and poultry products with two primary enrichment media. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:1781-1787.
- Saravanan C, Shetty PK. 2016. Isolation and characterization of exopolysaccharide from *Leuconostoc lactis* KC117496 isolated from idli batter. Int J Biol Macromol 90:100-106.
- Schägger H, von Jagow G. 1987. Tricine-sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis for the separation of proteins in the range from 1 to 100 kDa. Anal Biochem 166:368-379.
- Schuppler M, Loessner MJ. 2010. The opportunistic pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes*: Pathogenicity and interaction with the mucosal immune system. Int J Inflam 2010:704321.
- Seo SH, Jung M, Kim WJ. 2014. Antilisterial and amylase-sensitive bacteriocin producing *Enterococcus faecium* SH01 from Mukeunji, a Korean over-ripened Kimchi. Food Sci Biotechnol 23:1177-1184.
- Simon L, Fremaux C, Cenatiempo Y, Berjeaud JM. 2002. Sakacin G, a new type of antilisterial bacteriocin. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:6416-6420.

- Todorov SD, Dicks LM. 2004. Characterization of mesentericin ST99, a bacteriocin produced by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp. *dextranicum* ST99 isolated from boza. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 31:323-329.
- Tuncer Y, Ozden B. 2010. Partial biochemical characterization of nisin-like bacteriocin produced by *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* YBD11 isolated from boza, a traditional fermented Turkish beverage. *Rom Biotechnol Lett* 15:4940-4948.
- Wulijideligen, Asahina T, Hara K, Arakawa K, Nakano H, Miyamoto T. 2012. Production of bacteriocin by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* 406 isolated from Mongolian fermented mare's milk, airag. *Anim Sci J* 83:704-711.
- Xiraphi N, Georgalaki M, Driessche GV, Devreese B, Beeumen JV, Tsakalidou E, Metaxopoulos J, Drosinos EH. 2006. Purification and characterization of curvaticin L442, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus curvatus* L442. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 89:19-26.
- Yehia HM, Ghanem S, Elobeid T, Mosilhey SH, Savvaidis IN. 2017. *In vitro* characterization of a vancomycin-resistant strain of *Leuconostoc lactis* isolated from chicken carcasses and its activity against some foodborne pathogens. *African J Food Sci* 11:337-345.
- Yoon Y, Kendall PA, Belk KE, Scanga JA, Smith GC, Sofos JN. 2009. Modeling the growth/no-growth boundaries of postprocessing *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination on frankfurters and bologna treated with lactic acid. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75:353-358.
- Zamfir M, Stefan IR, Stancu MM, Grosu-Tudor SS. 2016. Production, mode of action and sequencing of the corresponding gene of a bacteriocin produced by *Lactococcus lactis* 19.3. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 51:2164-2170.