## NOTES ON SYMMETRIC SKEW n-DERIVATION IN RINGS

Emine Koç and Nadeem ur Rehman

ABSTRACT. Let R be a prime ring (or semiprime ring) with center Z(R), I a nonzero ideal of R, T an automorphism of R,  $S : R^n \to R$  be a symmetric skew *n*-derivation associated with the automorphism T and  $\Delta$  is the trace of S. In this paper, we shall prove that  $S(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in R$  if any one of the following holds: i)  $\Delta(x) = 0$ , ii)  $[\Delta(x), T(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .

Moreover, we prove that if  $[\Delta(x), T(x)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in I$ , then R is a commutative ring.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper R will denote an associative ring with centre Z(R). A ring R is said to be prime (resp. semiprime) if aRb = (0) implies that either a = 0 or b = 0 (resp. aRa = (0) implies that a = 0). We shall write [x, y] the commutator xy - yx. We make extensive use of basic commutator identities [xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z] and [x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]. An additive mapping  $d : R \to R$  is called a derivation if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) for all  $x, y \in R$ . A derivation d is inner if there exists an element  $a \in R$  such that d(x) = [a, x] for all  $x \in R$ . A mapping  $S : R \times R \to R$  is said to be symmetric if S(x, y) = S(y, x), for all  $x, y \in R$ . A mapping  $\Delta : R \to R$  defined by  $\Delta(x) = S(x, x)$ , where  $S : R \times R \to R$  is a symmetric mapping, is called the trace of S. It is obvious that in the case  $S : R \times R \to R$  is a symmetric bi-additive mapping, the trace  $\Delta$  of S satisfies the relation  $\Delta(x + y) = \Delta(x) + \Delta(y) + 2S(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . A bi-additive mapping  $S : R \times R \to R$  is said to be a bi-derivation if for every  $x \in R$ , the map  $y \mapsto S(x, y)$  as well as if for every  $y \in R$ , the map  $x \mapsto S(x, y)$  are derivations of R.

An additive mapping  $d: R \to R$  is called a skew derivation (*T*-derivation) of R associated with the automorphism T if d(xy) = d(x)y+T(x)d(y) for all  $x, y \in R$ . Skew derivations are one of the natural generalizations of usual derivations, when T = I, the identity map on R. Let  $n \ge 1$  be an integer. A mapping  $S: R^n \to R$  is said to be *n*-additive, if it is additive in each argument and it is

O2018Korean Mathematical Society

1113

Received November 14, 2017; Accepted April 11, 2018.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W20, 16W25, 16N60.

Key words and phrases. prime ring, semiprime ring, symmetric skew *n*-derivation, centralizing mapping, commuting mapping.

called symmetric if  $S(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = S(x_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, x_{\pi(n)})$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in R$  and every permutation  $\pi \in S_n$ , the symmetric group of degree n. An n-additive map  $S : R^n \to R$  is called a skew n-derivation associated with the automorphism T if for every  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  and all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in R$ , the map  $x \longmapsto S(x_1, x_{k-1}, x, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n)$  is a skew derivation of R associated with the automorphism T. This definition covers both the notion of skew derivations as well as the notion of skew bi-derivation. Namely, a skew 1-derivation is a skew derivation.

Let S be a nonempty subset of R. A mapping F from R to R is called centralizing on S if  $[F(x), x] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in S$  and is called commuting on S if [F(x), x] = 0 for all  $x \in S$ . The study of centralizing mappings was initiated by E. C. Posner [11], which states that there existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring forces the ring to be commutative (Posner's second theorem). There has been an ongoing interest concerning the relationship between the commutativity of a ring and the existence of certain specific types of derivations of R (see [3], for a partial bibliography).

In [8], Maksa introduced the concept of a symmetric bi-derivation (see also [9], where an example can be found). It was shown in [8] that symmetric biderivations are related to general solution of some functional equations. Then, Ashraf [1] obtained the analogous result replacing d with the trace of symmetric bi-derivation. Vukman [13] and [14] also studied the symmetric biderivation on prime and semiprime rings and obtain some results concerning the traces symmetric bi-additive maps. Some results on symmetric bi-derivation in prime and semiprime rings can be found in [2, 4, 5]. In the present paper, we shall prove that R is commutative if any one of the following holds: i)  $\Delta(x) = 0$ , ii)  $[\Delta(x), T(x)] = 0$ , iii)  $[\Delta(x), T(x)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in I$ .

**Example 1.1** ([10, Example 1]). Let R be a commutative ring, T be an autoporphism of R and  $s : R \to R$  be a skew-derivation of R associated with the automorphism T. Then the map  $S : R^n \to R$ ,  $S(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = s(x_1)s(x_2)\cdots s(x_n)$  is a skew *n*-derivation in R.

**Example 1.2** ([10, Example 2]). Let  $R = \{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all integers, and  $T \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then R is a noncommutative ring and T is an automorphism of R. We define a map  $S : R^n \to R$  by

$$\left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} x_1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} x_2 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \dots, \left( \begin{array}{cc} x_n & y_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right) \rightarrow \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Then it is easy to verify that S is a skew *n*-derivation in R associated with the automorphism T.

## 2. Main results

Posner [11] proved a very striking theorem, which states that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring forces the ring to be commutative. This theorem has been extremely influential and it initiated the study of centralizing mappings. Further Vukman [14] extended the above result for bi-derivations. Recently, Jung and Park [7] considered permuting 3-derivations on prime and semiprime rings and obtained the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let R be a noncommutative 3-torsion free semiprime ring and I be a nonzero two-sided ideal of R. Suppose that there exists a permuting 3derivation  $D: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  with the trace  $\Delta$  such that  $\Delta$  is centralizing on I. Then  $\Delta$  is commuting on I.

Further Park [10] proved that:

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $n \geq 2$  be a fixed positive integer and R be a noncommutative n!-torsion free semiprime ring. If there exists a symmetric n-derivation  $D: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that the trace of D is centralizing on R, then the trace is commuting on R.

Many authors ([6], [12]) partially extended the above theorems for symmetric skew n-derivations for different values of n.

Recently, Fošner [6] proved the above theorems for symmetric skew 3-derivations in prime rings. In this paper [6], author mentioned some open problems involving skew n-derivations. In the present paper, our aim is to solve these problems.

We begin our discussion with the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let R be a n!-torsion free prime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R, T an automorphism of R and  $S: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a symmetric skew n-derivation associated with the automorphism T. If  $\Delta$  is the trace of S such that  $\Delta(I) = 0$ , then  $S(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 0$  for all  $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in R$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\Delta(x) = 0$  that is  $S(x, \ldots, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Linearizing the identity, we have

(2.1) 
$$0 = \Delta(x+y) = \binom{n}{0}\Delta_0 + \binom{n}{1}\Delta_1 + \dots + \binom{n}{n}\Delta_n,$$

where  $\Delta_i = S(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{i}, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{n-i})$ . Since  $\Delta(x) = \Delta_n = \Delta_0 = 0$ , (2.1) reduces to

(2.2) 
$$\binom{n}{1}\Delta_1 + \binom{n}{2}\Delta_2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1}\Delta_{n-1} = 0.$$

Replacing x by x, 2x, 3x, (n-1)x in turn, and expressing the resulting system of n-1 homogeneous equations, we see that the coefficient matrix of the system is a Vandermonde matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 2 & 2^2 & \dots & 2^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ n-1 & (n-1)^2 & \dots & (n-1)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the determinant of the matrix is equal to a product of positive integers, each of which is less than n - 1, and since R is n!-torsion free, it follows immediately that

$$\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \dots = \Delta_{n-1} = 0.$$

Now  $\Delta_1 = 0$  yields that  $S(y, x, x, \ldots, x) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . Again linearizing this identity with respect to x, we can prove by the same manner that  $S(y, z, x, \ldots, x) = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$  and hence  $S(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$  for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$ . Now replacing  $x_1$  with  $r_1x_1$ , where  $r_1 \in R$ , we get  $0 = S(r_1x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = S(r_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)x_1 + T(r_1)S(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ . Since  $S(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$  for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$ , we have from above relation that  $S(r_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)x_1 = 0$  for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$ . Since R is prime, we conclude that  $S(r_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$  for all  $x_2, \ldots, x_n \in I$  and  $r_1 \in R$ . Again replacing  $x_2$  with  $r_2x_2$ , where  $r_2 \in R$ , we have by the same arguments that  $S(r_1, r_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$  for all  $x_3, \ldots, x_n \in I$  and  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . Repeating the process, we obtain that  $S(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = 0$  for all  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let R be a noncommutative (n + 1)!-torsion free prime ring, Ia nonzero ideal of R, T an automorphism of R and  $S : R^n \to R$  be a symmetric skew n-derivation associated with the automorphism T. If  $\Delta$  is the trace of Ssuch that

$$[\Delta(x), T(x)] = 0$$

for all  $x \in I$ , then  $S(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$  for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in R$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad [\Delta(x), T(x)] = 0$$

for all  $x \in I$ . Replacing x with x + y in above relation and then using the technique of linearizing as in Proposition 2.3, we get

(2.4) 
$$n[S(y, x, \dots, x), T(x)] + [\Delta(x), T(y)] = 0$$

for all 
$$x, y \in I$$
. Now we put  $y = xy$  and then obtain that

(2.5) 
$$n[\Delta(x)y + T(x)S(y, x, \dots, x), T(x)] + [\Delta(x), T(x)T(y)] = 0$$

that is,

(2.6) 
$$n\Delta(x)[y,T(x)] + n[\Delta(x),T(x)]y + nT(x)[S(y,x,\ldots,x),T(x)] + T(x)[\Delta(x),T(y)] + [\Delta(x),T(x)]T(y) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . Now using (2.3) and (2.4), (2.6) reduces to

(2.7) 
$$n\Delta(x)[y, T(x)] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . By using torsion free restriction on R, we can write  $\Delta(x)[y, T(x)] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . Now putting y = yr, where  $r \in R$ , we get

$$0 = \Delta(x)[yr, T(x)] = \Delta(x)[y, T(x)]r + \Delta(x)y[r, T(x)] = \Delta(x)y[r, T(x)]$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $r \in R$ . Since R is prime, for each  $x \in I$ , either  $\Delta(x) = 0$ or  $T(x) \in Z(R)$ . Now choose  $x \in I$  such that  $T(x) \in Z(R)$ . Thus from (2.4), we can write for all  $y \in I$  that  $[\Delta(x), T(y)] = 0$ , that is  $[\Delta(x), T(I)] = 0$ . Since T(I) is a nonzero ideal of R, we have  $\Delta(x) \in Z(R)$ .

Therefore, in any case, we can write  $\Delta(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in I$ . This implies  $[\Delta(x), r] = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $r \in R$ . Again by replacing x with x + y and then by using the same arguments linearization of Proposition 2.3, we have  $n[S(y, x, \ldots, x), r] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $r \in R$ . Since R is ntorsion free,  $[S(y, x, \ldots, x), r] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $r \in R$ . Putting y =yr we get  $0 = [S(y, x, \ldots, x)r + T(y)S(r, x, \ldots, x), r] = [S(y, x, \ldots, x), r]r +$  $[T(y)S(r, x, \ldots, x), r]$ . This implies  $0 = [T(y)S(r, x, \ldots, x), r]$  for all  $x, y \in I$ and  $r \in R$ . Putting y = sy, where  $s \in R$ , we obtain

$$0 = [T(s)T(y)S(r, x, ..., x), r]$$
  
=  $T(s)[T(y)S(r, x, ..., x), r] + [T(s), r]T(y)S(r, x, ..., x)$   
=  $[T(s), r]T(y)S(r, x, ..., x).$ 

This implies that 0 = [T(s), r]T(I)S(r, x, ..., x) for all  $x \in I$  and  $r, s \in R$ . Since R is prime, for each  $r \in R$  we conclude either [T(s), r] = 0 for all  $s \in R$  or S(r, x, ..., x) = 0 for all  $x \in I$ . The sets of  $r \in R$  for which these two conditions hold are additive subgroups of R whose union is R; therefore, [T(s), r] = 0 for all  $s \in R$ , for all  $r \in R$  or S(r, x, ..., x) = 0 for all  $x \in I$ , for all  $r \in R$ . Since R is noncommutative, first case can not occurs, and hence  $S(r_1, x, ..., x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ ,  $r_1 \in R$ . Then by same argument of Proposition 2.3, we can conclude that  $S(r_1, ..., r_n) = 0$  for all  $r_1, ..., r_n \in R$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let R be a noncommutative (n + 1)!-torsion free semiprime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R, T an automorphism of R and  $S : R^n \to R$  be a symmetric skew n-derivation associated with the automorphism T. If  $\Delta$  is the trace of S such that

$$[\Delta(x), T(x)] \in Z(R)$$

for all  $x \in I$ , then  $[\Delta(x), T(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in I$  and  $t = [\Delta(x), T(x)] \in Z(R)$ . Denote

$$\gamma_i(y,x) = S(\underbrace{y,\ldots,y}_i,\underbrace{x,\ldots,x}_{n-i}).$$

Then  $\gamma_0(y,x) = S(x,\ldots,x) = \Delta(x)$  and  $\gamma_n(y,x) = S(y,\ldots,y) = \Delta(y)$ . Linearizing the relation  $[\Delta(x), T(x)] \in Z(R)$  yields as shown in Proposition 2.3 that

$$\binom{n}{1} [\gamma_1(y, x), T(x)] + [\Delta(x), T(y)] \in Z(R),$$

$$\binom{n}{2} [\gamma_2(y, x), T(x)] + \binom{n}{1} [\gamma_1(y, x), T(y)] \in Z(R),$$

$$\binom{n}{3} [\gamma_3(y, x), T(x)] + \binom{n}{2} [\gamma_2(y, x), T(y)] \in Z(R),$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$\binom{n}{n} [\Delta(y), T(x)] + \binom{n}{n-1} [\gamma_{n-1}(y, x), T(y)] \in Z(R).$$

Now putting  $y = x^2$ , above relations become

(2.8) 
$$\binom{n}{1} [\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2tT(x) \in Z(R),$$

(2.9) 
$$\binom{n}{2} [\gamma_2(x^2, x), T(x)] + \binom{n}{1} \Big\{ [\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)] T(x) \\ + T(x) [\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)] \Big\} \in Z(R),$$

(2.10) 
$$\binom{n}{3} [\gamma_3(x^2, x), T(x)] + \binom{n}{2} \Big\{ [\gamma_2(x^2, x), T(x)] T(x) \\ + T(x) [\gamma_2(x^2, x), T(x)] \Big\} \in Z(R),$$
.....

(2.11) 
$$\binom{n}{n} [\Delta(x^2), T(x)] + \binom{n}{n-1} \Big\{ [\gamma_{n-1}(x^2, x), T(x)] T(x) \\ + T(x) [\gamma_{n-1}(x^2, x), T(x)] \Big\} \in Z(R).$$

Commuting both sides of (2.8) with T(x), we can write

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} \binom{n}{1} [\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2tT(x), T(x)] = \binom{n}{1} [[\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)], T(x)].$$

Since R is (n + 1)!-torsion free, we conclude that  $[\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)]$  commutes with T(x). Again, commuting both sides of (2.9) with T(x), we obtain by using the fact  $[[\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)], T(x)] = 0$  that  $[[\gamma_2(x^2, x), T(x)], T(x)] = 0$ . In the same manner, we can prove in general that  $[[\gamma_i(x^2, x), T(x)], T(x)] = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$  and  $[[\Delta(x^2), T(x)], T(x)] = 0$ . Thus the relations (2.8) to (2.11) reduce to

$$\binom{n}{1} [\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2tT(x) \in Z(R),$$

$$\binom{n}{2} [\gamma_2(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2\binom{n}{1} [\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)]T(x) \in Z(R),$$

$$\binom{n}{3} [\gamma_3(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2\binom{n}{2} [\gamma_2(x^2, x), T(x)]T(x) \in Z(R),$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$\binom{n}{n-1} [\gamma_{n-1}(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2\binom{n}{n-2} [\gamma_{n-2}(x^2, x), T(x)]T(x) \in Z(R),$$

$$\binom{n}{n} [\Delta(x^2), T(x)] + 2\binom{n}{n-1} [\gamma_{n-1}(x^2, x), T(x)]T(x) \in Z(R).$$

1118

There exists a sequence of maps  $\mu_i: R \to Z(R)$  such that

$$\binom{n}{1}[\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2tT(x) = \mu_1(x),$$

$$\binom{n}{2}[\gamma_2(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2\binom{n}{1}[\gamma_1(x^2, x), T(x)]T(x) = \mu_2(x),$$

$$\binom{n}{3}[\gamma_3(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2\binom{n}{2}[\gamma_2(x^2, x), T(x)]T(x) = \mu_3(x),$$

$$\cdots \cdots \cdots$$

$$\binom{n}{n-1}[\gamma_{n-1}(x^2, x), T(x)] + 2\binom{n}{n-2}[\gamma_{n-2}(x^2, x), T(x)]T(x) = \mu_{n-1}(x),$$

$$\binom{n}{n}[\Delta(x^2), T(x)] + 2\binom{n}{n-1}[\gamma_{n-1}(x^2, x), T(x)]T(x) = \mu_n(x).$$

Multiplying the equations  $2^{n-1}T(x)^{n-1}$ ,  $-2^{n-2}T(x)^{n-2}$ , ...,  $(-1)^n 2^1 T(x)^1$ ,  $-(-1)^n .1$  respectively, we can write the equations as

$$\begin{split} & 2^{n-1}T(x)^{n-1}\binom{n}{1}[\gamma_1(x^2,x),T(x)] + 2^nT(x)^nt = 2^{n-1}T(x)^{n-1}\mu_1(x), \\ & -2^{n-2}T(x)^{n-2}\binom{n}{2}[\gamma_2(x^2,x),T(x)] - 2^{n-1}T(x)^{n-1}\binom{n}{1}[\gamma_1(x^2,x),T(x)] \\ & = -2^{n-2}T(x)^{n-2}\mu_2(x), \\ & 2^{n-3}T(x)^{n-3}\binom{n}{3}[\gamma_3(x^2,x),T(x)] + 2^{n-2}T(x)^{n-2}\binom{n}{2}[\gamma_2(x^2,x),T(x)] \\ & = 2^{n-3}T(x)^{n-3}\mu_3(x), \end{split}$$

$$(-1)^{n}2T(x)\binom{n}{n-1}[\gamma_{n-1}(x^{2},x),T(x)] \\ + (-1)^{n}2^{2}T(x)^{2}\binom{n}{n-2}[\gamma_{n-2}(x^{2},x),T(x)] \\ = (-1)^{n}2T(x)\mu_{n-1}(x), \\ - (-1)^{n}\binom{n}{n}[\Delta(x^{2}),T(x)] - (-1)^{n}2\binom{n}{n-1}[\gamma_{n-1}(x^{2},x),T(x)]T(x) \\ = - (-1)^{n}\mu_{n}(x).$$

Adding all these above equations, we obtain

$$(2.12) \qquad 2^{n}T(x)^{n}t - (-1)^{n}[\Delta(x^{2}), T(x)] \\ = 2^{n-1}T(x)^{n-1}\mu_{1}(x) - 2^{n-2}T(x)^{n-2}\mu_{2}(x) + 2^{n-3}T(x)^{n-3}\mu_{3}(x) \\ + \dots + (-1)^{n}2T(x)\mu_{n-1}(x) - (-1)^{n}\mu_{n}(x).$$

1119

Now by hypothesis, we have  $[\Delta(x^2), T(x^2)] \in Z(R)$ . Then for some  $\mu_{n+1} : R \to R$ , we can write  $[\Delta(x^2), T(x^2)] = \mu_{n+1}(x)$ . Since  $[\Delta(x^2), T(x)]$  commutes with T(x), we have

$$\mu_{n+1}(x) = [\Delta(x^2), T(x^2)] = 2T(x)[\Delta(x^2), T(x)].$$

Now multiplying (2.12) by 2T(x) in both sides and then using the fact  $2T(x)[\Delta(x^2), T(x)] = \mu_{n+1}(x)$ , we obtain that

(2.13) 
$$2^{n+1}T(x)^{n+1}t - (-1)^n \mu_{n+1}(x) = 2^n T(x)^n \mu_1(x) - 2^{n-1}T(x)^{n-1} \mu_2(x) + 2^{n-2}T(x)^{n-2} \mu_3(x) + \dots + (-1)^n 2^2 T(x)^2 \mu_{n-1}(x) - (-1)^n 2T(x) \mu_n(x).$$

Now commuting  $T(x)^k$  with  $\Delta(x)$  successively, we get

. . 1

$$[\Delta(x), T(x)^k] = [\Delta(x), \underbrace{T(x).T(x).\cdots.T(x)}_{k \text{ times}}] = ktT(x)^{k-1}$$

and

$$\begin{split} [\Delta(x), [\Delta(x), T(x)^k]] &= kt[\Delta(x), T(x)^{k-1}] = k(k-1)t^2 T(x)^{k-2} \\ &= \frac{k!}{(k-2)!} t^2 T(x)^{k-2}. \end{split}$$

Thus commuting  $T(x)^k$  with  $\Delta(x)$  successively *m*-times yields

$$[\Delta(x), \dots, [\Delta(x), T(x)^{k}]] = \begin{cases} \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} t^{m} T(x)^{k-m}, & 1 \le m \le k \\ 0, & m > k. \end{cases}$$

Using this fact, we can write, successively commuting both sides of (2.13) (n + 1)-times with T(x) and using the fact that R is (n + 1)!-torsion free, we obtain  $t^{n+2} = 0$ . Since the center of semiprime ring contains no nonzero nilpotent elements, we have t = 0, as desired.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let R be a (n + 1)!-torsion free prime ring, I a nonzero ideal of R, T an automorphism of R and  $S : R^n \to R$  be a nonzero symmetric skew n-derivation associated with the automorphism T. If  $\Delta$  is the trace of S such that

$$[\Delta(x), T(x)] \in Z(R)$$

for all  $x \in I$ , then R is commutative.

## References

- M. Ashraf, On symmetric bi-derivations in rings, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 31 (1999), no. 1-2, 25–36.
- [2] M. Ashraf and M. R. Jamal, Traces of permuting n-additive maps and permuting nderivations of rings, Mediterr. J. Math. 11 (2014), no. 2, 287–297.
- [3] H. E. Bell and W. S. Martindale, III, Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 30 (1987), no. 1, 92–101.
- [4] M. Bresar, On generalized biderivations and related maps, J. Algebra 172 (1995), no. 3, 764–786.

- [5] M. Bresar, W. S. Martindale, III, and C. R. Miers, Centralizing maps in prime rings with involution, J. Algebra 161 (1993), no. 2, 342–357.
- [6] A. Fošner, Prime and semiprime rings with symmetric skew 3-derivations, Aequat. Math. DOI 10.1007/s00010-013-0208-8.
- [7] Y.-S. Jung and K.-H. Park, On prime and semiprime rings with permuting 3-derivations, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 44 (2007), no. 4, 789–794.
- [8] Gy. Maksa, A remark on symmetric biadditive functions having nonnegative diagonalization, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 15(35) (1980), no. 2, 279–282.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, On the trace of symmetric bi-derivations, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 9 (1987), no. 6, 303–307.
- [10] K. H. Park, On prime and semiprme rings with symmetryic n-derivations, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. 22 (2009), no. 3, 451–454.
- [11] E. C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093–1100.
- [12] R. K. Sharma and B. Dhara, Skew-commuting and commuting mappings in rings with left identity, Results Math. 46 (2004), no. 1-2, 123–129.
- [13] J. Vukman, Symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semi-prime rings, Aequationes Math. 38 (1989), no. 2-3, 245-254.
- [14] \_\_\_\_\_, Two results concerning symmetric bi-derivations on prime rings, Aequationes Math. 40 (1990), no. 2-3, 181–189.

EMINE KOÇ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CUMHURIYET UNIVERSITY SIVAS, TURKEY Email address: eminekoc@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

NADEEM UR REHMAN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY ALIGARH U.P., INDIA Email address: nu.rehman.mm@amu.ac.in