Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **33** (2018), No. 4, pp. 1075–1082 https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c170393 pISSN: 1225-1763 / eISSN: 2234-3024

ON U-GROUP RINGS

Emad Abu Osba, Hasan Al-Ezeh, and Manal Ghanem

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring, G be an Abelian group, and let RG be the group ring. We say that RG is a U-group ring if a is a unit in RG if and only if $\epsilon(a)$ is a unit in R. We show that RG is a U-group ring if and only if G is a p-group and $p \in J(R)$. We give some properties of U-group rings and investigate some properties of well known rings, such as Hermite rings and rings with stable range, in the presence of U-group rings.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings considered are commutative with unity and all groups are assumed to be Abelian. Let J(R), Nil(R) and U(R) denote the Jacobson radical of R, the nil radical of R and the set of units of R, respectively.

Let R be a ring and let G be a group. Define $RG = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i : a_i \in R, g_i \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $\epsilon : RG \to R$ be the ring homomorphism defined by $\epsilon \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g\right) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g$, see [3]. Let $\Delta(G) = \ker(\epsilon) = \{a \in RG : \epsilon(a) = 0\}$. If $g \in G$ is of order $n < \infty$, then let $\hat{g} = 1 + g + g^2 + \cdots + g^{n-1}$ and it is clear that if $f \in \langle g \rangle$, then $f\hat{g} = \epsilon(f)\hat{g}$. For each $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i \in RG$, let $Supp(a) = \{g_i : a_i \neq 0\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_n = \langle g \rangle$ be the multiplicative cyclic group of order n.

In this article we define U-group rings to be group rings RG such that $a \in U(RG)$ if and only if $\epsilon(a) \in U(R)$ and we investigate some basic properties of them. It was proved in [1] that if G is a p-group and $p \in J(R)$, then RG is a U-group ring and here we show that the converse is also true. For U-group rings many algebraic properties are shared by RG and R, as in [1] and [10]. This article is a continuation of the work done on these two articles. We show that if RG is a U-group ring, then RG has stable range d if and only if R has. We also show that if RG is a U-group ring, then RG has stable range d if and only if R has. We also show that if RG is a U-group ring, then RG is d-Hermite if and only if R is. Finally we use properties of U-group rings to show that if a positive integer

©2018 Korean Mathematical Society

Received September 20, 2017; Revised November 29, 2017; Accepted December 21, 2017. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A99, 16S34, 20C05, 20C07.

Key words and phrases. group ring, Hermite ring, rings with stable range, Jacobson radical, p-group.

n is not a power of a prime number, then the combinations: $\binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \ldots, \binom{n}{n-1}$ are relatively prime.

2. U-group rings

While it is clear that if $a \in U(RG)$, then $\epsilon(a) \in U(R)$, the converse is not in general true. If $C_2 = \langle g \rangle$, then $\epsilon(1+g) = 2 \in U(\mathbb{R})$, where \mathbb{R} is the field of real numbers, while $1 + g \notin U(\mathbb{R}C_2)$, because 0 = (1 - g)(1 + g). To ensure that the converse is true, we give the following definition.

Definition 1. Let *R* be a ring and let *G* be a group. We say that the group ring *RG* is a U-group ring provided that $a \in U(RG)$ if and only if $\epsilon(a) \in U(R)$.

We first investigate some basic properties of U-group rings.

Theorem 2. The group ring RG is a U-group ring if and only if RH is a U-group ring for any (finitely generated) subgroup H of G.

Proof. Assume that RG is a U-group ring, and H is any subgroup of G. Let $a = \sum a_i h_i \in RH$ such that $\sum a_i \in U(R)$. Since RG is a U-group ring, there exists $b \in RG$ such that ab = 1. But it follows from [3, Proposition 4(i)] that $b \in \langle Supp(b) \rangle \leq \langle Supp(a) \rangle \leq H$. Thus RH is a U-group ring.

For the converse, let $a = \sum a_i g_i \in RG$ such that $\sum a_i \in U(R)$. Let $H = \langle Supp(a) \rangle$. Then H is finitely generated and RH is a U-group ring and so there exists $b \in RH \subseteq RG$ such that ab = 1. Thus, RG is a U-group ring.

Theorem 3. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and let G be a group. Then RG is a U-group ring if and only if R_iG is a U-group ring for i = 1, 2.

Proof. We recall first that $(R_1 \times R_2)G \simeq R_1G \times R_2G$ with the isomorphism φ mapping (a, b)g to (ag, bg).

Assume that RG is a U-group ring and let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i \in R_1 G$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \in U(R_1)$. Then $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i, 1) \in U(R)$ and so, $(a_1, 1)g_1 + (a_2, 0)g_2 + \cdots + (a_n, 0)g_n \in U(RG)$, which implies that $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i, g_1) \in U((R_1 \times R_2)G)$ and hence $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i \in U(R_1G)$.

Assume now that R_1G and R_2G are U-group rings and assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i, b_i) g_i \in RG,$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i, b_i) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i) \in U(R)$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \in U(R_1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \in U(R_2)$. Thus we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i \in U(R_1G)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i g_i \in U(R_2G)$ and hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i, b_i) g_i = \varphi^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i g_i) \in U(RG)$.

Looking for examples of U-group rings, we first investigate groups containing elements of infinite order.

Theorem 4. Let G be a group containing elements of infinite order. Then RG cannot be a U-group ring for any ring R.

Proof. Let R be a ring and let $g \in G$ such that $|g| = \infty$. If $2 \notin Nil(R)$, then $(1-2g)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2g)^k \in R(\langle g \rangle) \setminus RG$, where $R(\langle g \rangle) = \{\sum_{n=r}^{\infty} a_n g^n : a_n \in R, r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, since $(2g)^n = (2g)^m$ if and only if n = m. But $\epsilon(1-2g) = -1 \in U(R)$. So, RG cannot be a U-group ring.

If $2 \in Nil(R)$, then $\epsilon(1 + g + g^2) = 3 = 1 + 2 \in 1 + J(R) \subseteq U(R)$. To show that $1 + g + g^2 \notin U(RG)$, note that $1 + g \notin Nil(RG)$, since $|g| = \infty$ and if $g^{n}(1+g)^{n} = g^{m}(1+g)^{m}$, then

$$g^{n} + \binom{n}{1}g^{n+1} + \binom{n}{2}g^{n+2} + \dots + g^{2n} = g^{m} + \binom{m}{1}g^{m+1} + \binom{m}{2}g^{m+2} + \dots + g^{2m},$$

and so, by uniqueness of representation in RG, we must have n = m.

Thus, $(1+g+g^2)^{-1} = (1+g(1+g))^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (g(1+g))^k \in R(\langle g \rangle) \setminus RG.$ So, RG cannot be a U-group ring. \square

The result of Theorem 4 restricts our investigation of U-group rings to torsion groups only.

Theorem 5. Let R be a ring and let G be a torsion group. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) G is a p-group such that $p \in J(R)$.
- (2) $\Delta(G) \subset J(RG)$.
- (3) RG is a U-group ring.

Proof. For the equivalence of (1) and (2), see [10] and for $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$, see [1].

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that G contains elements g_1 and g_2 such that $|g_1| = p$ and $|g_2| = q$, where p and q are distinct primes. Then there exist $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that 1 = np + mq. Let $a = n\hat{g}_1 + m\hat{g}_2$. Then $\epsilon(a) = np + mq = 1 \in U(R)$. But $(1 - g_1)(1 - g_2)a = 0$ and $(1 - g_1)(1 - g_2) = 1 - g_2 - g_1 + g_1g_2 \neq 0$. So, $a \notin U(RG)$ and RG is not a U-group ring.

Hence, if RG is a U-group ring, G must be a p-group.

Now, we show that $p \in J(R)$. Let $a \in R, g \in G$ such that |g| = p and let $f = (1 + ap) - a\hat{g}$. Then $\epsilon(f) = 1$ and there exists $h \in RG$ such that 1 = fh. One can write $h = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i g^i$, by [3, Proposition 4(i)]. Thus we have

$$1 = (1+ap)\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i g^i - a\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i\right) \hat{g},$$

and so,

$$1 = (1 + ap)a_0 - a\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i\right),\\ 0 = (1 + ap)a_1 - a\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i\right).$$

Subtracting the two equations we get

$$1 = (1 + ap)(a_0 - a_1).$$

Therefore, $\epsilon(1 + ap) = (1 + ap) \in U(R)$ and $p \in J(R)$.

Using the equivalent conditions in Theorem 5, we can deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 6. Let RG be a U-group ring. Then

- (1) [9, Page 138] RG is a local ring if and only if R is.
- (2) [10, Theorem 2.3] RG is a clean ring (every element is a sum of a unit and an idempotent) if and only if R is.
- (3) [1, Theorem 3.8] RG is a présimplifiable ring (the zero-divisors are contained in the Jacobson radical) if and only if R is.
- (4) [1, Theorem 3.1(ii)] $a \in J(RG)$ if and only if $\epsilon(a) \in J(R)$.
- (5) [10, page 542] $RG/J(RG) \simeq R/J(R)$.
- (6) [1, Theorem 3.1(iv)] If $p \in Nil(R)$, then $a \in Nil(RG)$ if and only if $\epsilon(a) \in Nil(R)$.

Using Theorem 5 together with Theorem 2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7. Let R be a ring, H and K be groups and let $G = H \times K$. Then RG is a U-group ring if and only if RH and RK are U-group rings.

3. Some applications

In this section, we investigate some properties of well known rings, such as Hermite rings and stable range rings, in the presence of U-group rings.

3.1. Stable range of a ring

A sequence $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ in a ring R is said to be unimodular if $a_1R + a_2R + \cdots + a_nR = R$. In case $n \ge 2$, such a sequence is said to be reducible if there exist $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{n-1} \in R$ such that $(a_1 + r_1a_n)R + (a_2 + r_2a_n)R + \cdots + (a_{n-1} + r_{n-1}a_n)R = R$. A ring R is said to have stable range $\le d$ if every unimodular sequence of length greater than d is reducible. The smallest such d is said to be the stable range of R. We write simply sr(R) = d. If no such d exists, then we say $sr(R) = \infty$, see [6].

If a ring S is a homomorphic image of a ring R, then $sr(S) \leq sr(R)$, in particular, $sr(R) \leq sr(RG)$. Note that $sr(\mathbb{Z}) = 2 < sr(\mathbb{Z}C_2)$, since $\{3, 5, g\}$ is unimodular in $\mathbb{Z}C_2$, but it is not reducible.

Now, we show that if RG is a U-group ring, then we will get equality.

Theorem 8. Let RG be a U-group ring. Then sr(R) = sr(RG).

Proof. According to [6, Proposition 1.5], sr(R) = sr(R/J(R)) and since RG is a U-group ring, we have $R/J(R) \simeq R(G)/J(R(G))$. Thus, sr(R) = sr(R/J(R)) = sr(R(G)/J(R(G))) = sr(RG).

It is shown in [9, Proposition 4] that if R is a Boolean ring and G is a locally finite group, then RG is clean and hence sr(RG) = 1. Thus one can see that sr(RG) = 1 = sr(R), although RG is not necessarily a U-group ring.

3.2. Hermite group rings

For any integer $d \ge 0$, a ring R is called d-Hermite if any unimodular row over R of length $\ge d + 2$ can be completed to a square invertible matrix over R. A 0-Hermite ring is simply called Hermite. Also, since if ax + by = 1, then the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -y & x \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible, so 1-Hermite is still synonymous with Hermite, see [6].

It is shown in [8] that if sr(R) = d, then R is a d-Hermite ring. However, the converse is not in general true, since $sr(\mathbb{Z}) \neq 1$ because $2\mathbb{Z} + 5\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$, but $(2+5r)\mathbb{Z} \neq \mathbb{Z}$, for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, while \mathbb{Z} is Hermite.

Theorem 9. Let R be a ring and let G be a group. If RG is a d-Hermite ring, then so is R.

Proof. Assume that RG is a *d*-Hermite ring and let $m \ge d+2, (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m), (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i r_i = 1$. Since RG is a *d*-Hermite ring, there exists an $m \times m$ matrix M over RG with first row (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m) and $\det(M) \in U(RG)$.

	a_1	a_2	• • •	a_m
	a_{21}	a_{22}	•••	a_{2m}
Assume $M =$	÷	÷		:
	a_{m1}	a_{m2}	• • •	a_{mm}

Then det $(M) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (sgn\sigma) a_{\sigma 1} a_{2\sigma 2} \cdots a_{m\sigma m} \in U(RG)$. Since $a_{kj} \in R(G)$ for $2 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m$, we have $a_{kj} = \sum_{g \in G} a_{kj,g}g$ where $a_{kj,g} \in R$. So, $T = \epsilon(\det(M)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (sgn\sigma) a_{\sigma 1}(\sum_{g \in G} a_{2\sigma 2,g}) \cdots (\sum_{g \in G} a_{m\sigma m,g}) \in U(R)$.

Now, consider
$$L = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_m \\ \sum_{g \in G} a_{21,g} & \sum_{g \in G} a_{22,g} & \cdots & \sum_{g \in G} a_{2m,g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sum_{g \in G} a_{m1,g} & \sum_{g \in G} a_{m2,g} & \cdots & \sum_{g \in G} a_{mm,g} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, L is an $m \times m$ matrix defined over R with $det(L) = T \in U(R)$. Hence R is a d-Hermite ring.

We don't know yet if the converse of Theorem 9 is true, but to have a partial answer, we need to add an extra condition.

Theorem 10. Let RG be a U-group ring. Then R is a d-Hermite ring if and only if RG is.

Proof. Suppose that R is a d-Hermite ring. Let $r_k = \sum_{g \in G} r_{kg}g$, $a_k = \sum_{g \in G} a_{kg}g \in RG$ for $1 \le k \le m$ and $m \ge d+2$. If $\sum_{i=1}^m r_k a_k = 1$, then $1 = \epsilon(\sum_{i=1}^m r_k a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\sum_{g \in G} r_{kg} \sum_{g \in G} a_{kg})$. Then there exists an $m \times m$

matrix M over R such that



and $\det(M) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (sgn\sigma) (\sum_{g \in G} a_{\sigma ig}) b_{2\sigma 2} \cdots b_{m\sigma m} \in U(R).$ By assumption we would have

$$S = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (sgn\sigma) (\sum_{g \in G} a_{\sigma ig}g) b_{2\sigma 2} \cdots b_{m\sigma m} \in U(RG).$$

Now, let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{g \in G} a_{1g}g & \sum_{g \in G} a_{2g}g & \cdots & \sum_{g \in G} a_{mg}g \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{m1} & b_{m2} & \cdots & b_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $det(B) = S \in U(RG)$ and RG is a *d*-Hermite ring. The other implication follows from Theorem 9.

A ring R is called semilocal if R has only finitely many maximal ideals. Fields and Artinian rings are examples of semilocal rings. In [7] it is proved that a semilocal ring is Hermite. In [2] it is proved that a group ring RG of a semilocal ring R and a finite group G is semilocal. So, the ring $\mathbb{R}C_7$ is Hermite even though $7 \notin J(\mathbb{R})$. This gives an example of a Hermite group ring RG, which is not a U-group ring. Moreover, the torsionness of the group is not a necessary condition as was proved in [5] that if R is semilocal with J(R) is nil, then $R\mathbb{Z}$ is Hermite. In fact it is proved in [4] that if G is a finitely generated Abelian group, then $\mathbb{Z}G$ is a Hermite ring, although $\mathbb{Z}G$ is not a U-group ring for any group G.

Recall that an *R*-module *M* is a stably free module if there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M \oplus R^m = R^n$. If *M* is finitely generated, then we set rank(M) = n - m. Clearly any free module is stably free. Since *R* is a *d*-Hermite ring if and only if every finitely generated stably free *R*-module of rank $\geq d$ is free, see [7], we get the following:

Corollary 11. Let RG be a U-group ring. Then every finitely generated stably free R-module of rank $\geq d$ is free if and only if every finitely generated stably free RG-module of rank $\geq d$ is free.

3.3. Divisors of $\binom{n}{k}$

It is well known that if p is a prime integer and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $p \mid \binom{p^n}{k}$ for all $0 < k < p^n$. Now, if n is an integer divisible by more than one prime, is there a common divisor of $\binom{n}{k}$ for all 0 < k < n? We first give the following simple lemma.

Lemma 12. Let n be an integer greater than 1 and let 0 < k < n. Then $gcd(n, \binom{n}{k}) \neq 1$.

Proof. It is clear that $n\binom{n-1}{k-1} = k\binom{n}{k}$ and so, $1 \neq \gcd(n, \frac{n}{\gcd(n,k)}\binom{n-1}{k-1}) = \gcd(n, \frac{k}{\gcd(n,k)}\binom{n}{k}) = \gcd(n, \binom{n}{k})$.

Theorem 13. Let n be a positive integer divisible by at least two distinct primes. Then the integers $\binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \ldots, \binom{n}{n-1}$ are relatively prime.

Proof. Assume that there exists a prime p such that $p \mid {\binom{n}{i}}$ for all 0 < i < n. Let $a = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i g_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p C_n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \in U(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then $a^n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^n g_i^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} {\binom{n}{i}} y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^n$, where $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p C_n$ for each i. Applying the augmentation homomorphism we get:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i\right)^n = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^n,$$

and so we have:

$$a^{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}^{n} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\right)^{n} \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{p}) \subseteq U(\mathbb{Z}_{p}C_{n}).$$

Hence we have $a \in U(\mathbb{Z}_p C_n)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p C_n$ is a U-group ring, contradicting Theorem 5, since C_n is not a p-group. Thus the integers $\binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \ldots, \binom{n}{n-1}$ are relatively prime.

Acknowledgment. The authors are very grateful for the valuable comments and improvements suggested by the referee.

References

- D. D. Anderson and O. A. Al-Mallah, Commutative group rings that are présimplifiable or domainlike, J. Algebra Appl. 16 (2017), no. 1, 1750019, 9 pp.
- [2] A. Y. M. Chin, A note on semilocal group rings, Czechoslovak Math. J. 52(127) (2002), no. 4, 749–755.
- [3] I. G. Connell, On the group ring, Canad. J. Math. 15 (1963), 650-685.
- [4] F. E. A. Johnson, Stably free cancellation for abelian group rings, Arch. Math. (Basel) 102 (2014), no. 1, 7–10.
- [5] A. Klappeneckera and M. Holschneider, A Unified view on filter banks, Wavelet Applications in Signal and Image Processing VI (Laine A. F., Unser M. A., Aldroubi A.), SPIE 3458, 2–13, 1998.

- [6] T. Y. Lam, A crash course on stable range, cancellation, substitution and exchange, J. Algebra Appl. 3 (2004), no. 3, 301–343.
- [7] _____, Serre's Problem on Projective Modules, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [8] O. Lezama and C. Gallego, d-Hermite rings and skew PBW extensions, São Paulo J. Math. Sci. 10 (2016), no. 1, 60–72.
- [9] W. K. Nicholson, Local group rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 15 (1972), 137–138.
- [10] X. Wang and H. You, Cleanness of the group ring of an abelian p-group over a commutative ring, Algebra Colloq. 19 (2012), no. 3, 539–544.

Emad Abu Osba Department of Mathematics School of Science The University of Jordan Amman 11942, Jordan Email address: eabuosba@ju.edu.jo

HASAN AL-EZEH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SCHOOL OF SCIENCE THE UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN AMMAN 11942, JORDAN Email address: alezehh@ju.edu.jo

Manal Ghanem Department of Mathematics School of Science The University of Jordan Amman 11942, Jordan *Email address*: m.ghanem@ju.edu.jo