INTRODUCTION

As the average life-span is being extended, the prevalence of diabetes mellitus is escalating (Nanditha et al., 2016). With an increasing number of patients (382 million people worldwide in 2013), the drug market for anti-diabetics has grown enormously, establishing a multi-billion dollar market in US alone (Stephens et al., 2006). To address this, new anti-diabetics with novel therapeutic mechanisms are being actively explored (Gallwitz, 2016). Among these, agonists of GPR40 (also known as free fatty acid receptor 1 [FFAR1]), a G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) for long-chain fatty acids, received the spotlight since they, unlike other conventional anti-diabetics including sulfonylurea or glinide, selectively stimulate insulin secretion only in hyperglycemic conditions (Bramlage et al., 2012). GPR40 agonists activate GPR40 expressed on β-cells, leading to the secretion of incretins, GLP-1 (glucagon like peptide1) and GIP (glucose-dependent insulin tropic polypeptide), and insulin in a glucose-dependent manner (Christiansen et al., 2008). This distinct and ideal therapeutic profile of GPR40 agonists avoids hypoglycemia and body weight increase, which are common and serious side effects of conventional anti-diabetics (Tsujihata et al., 2011).

Among the tens of drug candidates targeting GPR40 currently on track for nonclinical/clinical development (Kamiyama and Terauchi, 2015), fasiglifam, TAK-875, was a leading can-
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Fasiglifam (TAK-875) a G-protein coupled receptor 40 (GPR40) agonist, significantly improves hyperglycemia without hypoglycemia and weight gain, the major side effects of conventional anti-diabetics. Unfortunately, during multi-center Phase 3 clinical trials, unexpected liver toxicity resulted in premature termination of its development. Here, we investigated whether TAK-875 directly inflicts toxicity on hepatocytes and explored its underlying mechanism of toxicity. TAK-875 decreased viability of 2D and 3D cultures of HepG2, a human hepatocarcinoma cell line, in concentration- (>50 μM) and time-dependent manners, both of which corresponded with ROS generation. An antioxidant, N-acetylcysteine, attenuated TAK-875-mediated hepatotoxicity, which confirmed the role of ROS generation. Of note, knockdown of GPR40 using siRNA abolished the hepatotoxicity of TAK-875 and attenuated ROS generation. In contrast, TAK-875 induced no cytotoxicity in fibroblasts up to 500 μM. Supporting the hepatotoxic potential of TAK-875, exposure to TAK-875 resulted in increased mortality of zebrafish larvae at 25 μM. Histopathological examination of zebrafish exposed to TAK-875 revealed severe hepatotoxicity as manifested by degenerated hypertrophic hepatocytes with cytoplasmic vacuolation and acentric nuclei, confirming that TAK-875 may induce direct hepatotoxicity and that ROS generation may be involved in a GPR40-dependent manner.
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didact. Its activity was demonstrated in both disease models (Ito et al., 2016) and clinical trials (Kaku et al., 2015) wherein significant improvement was observed against hyperglycemia without the serious side effects of hypoglycemia and weight gain, making TAK-875 superior to conventional anti-diabetics such as glinides or sulfonylureas (Naik et al., 2012). More importantly, blood HbA1C levels, a crucial marker for chronic diabetes, were significantly improved in patients who took either 25 or 50 mg TAK-875 without major adverse effects (Naik et al., 2012). However, in a global multi-center phase 3 study, unexpected liver toxicity was reported, which resulted in the premature termination of TAK-875 development (Watterson et al., 2014).

It is widely known that diabetes is accompanied by various complications including retinopathy (UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group, 1998), nephropathy (Adler et al., 2003), and hepatic diseases (Morling et al., 2016). The risk of hepatic diseases such as liver fibrosis, liver cancer, and chronic liver dysfunction, is significantly higher in Type 2 diabetics as compared to healthy people, which has been well demonstrated in large-scaled epidemiological studies (Chen et al., 2015; Kwok et al., 2015). Similarly, high levels of oxidative stress (Saeidnia et al., 2015; Kwok et al., 2016) and compromised liver function or dysfunction of diabetic patients resulted in increased susceptibility to liver toxicity of anti-diabetic drugs (Chitturi and George, 2001; El-serag et al., 2004; Gupte et al., 2004). Exemplifying this, some anti-diabetic drugs such as troglitazone, metformin and TAK-875 showed concern for drug-induced hepatotoxicity, which has resulted in warning, termination of clinical trials and withdrawal from the market (Gitlin et al., 1998; Hagelou-Theodorou et al., 2015).

The mechanism of TAK-875-induced hepatotoxicity and whether it is GPR40-dependent or from off-target effects has yet to be established (Mancini and Poitout, 2015). GPR40 is expressed in many organs and tissues as well as in the pancreas (Steneberg et al., 2005; Schnell et al., 2007). GPR40 expression has been reported in a variety of tissues, including intestinal enteroendocrine cells I, K, and L and even the brain (Christiansen et al., 2010). The expression of GPR40 in the liver has been demonstrated, where it was shown to promote the effects of insulin (Ou et al., 2013). Accordingly, a possible role of GPR40 in TAK-875-induced hepatotoxicity cannot be excluded. Recently, it was shown that TAK-875 and TAK-875 acyl glucuronide affect bile transporters like Ntcp and OATP/Oatp (uptake transporters) and MRP2/Mrp2 (efflux transporter), leading to cholestatic liver toxicity and hyperbilirubinemia (Li et al., 2015; Otieno et al., 2018). However, it remains to be elucidated whether TAK-875 inflicts direct toxicity on hepatocytes.

In the present study, we employed 2D and 3D HepG2 culture models in vitro to evaluate the direct hepatotoxicity of TAK-875. To further elucidate the mechanisms underlying TAK-875 induced cytotoxicity in HepG2 cells, the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and effects of GPR40 knockdown were investigated as well as comparison of the cytotoxicity of TAK-875 in a non-liver fibroblast cell line. Lastly we confirmed the induction of hepatotoxicity of TAK-875 using zebrafish larvae to investigate the relevance of our findings in a system close to in vivo.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals**

Fasiglifam, TAK-875, with >99% purity was kindly provided by the SK Chemical (Sungnam, Korea), and acetaminophen (APAP) was from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Chemicals were dissolved in DMSO to prepare stock solutions for experiments, and final DMSO concentrations did not exceed 0.5%.

**Cell culture and cell treatment**

**HepG2 cell line:** The human hepatocarcinoma (HepG2) cell line was purchased form ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD, USA). The cells were cultivated in Dulbecco’s modified essential medium (DMEM, Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics (100 U/mL of penicillin A and 100 U/mL of streptomycin) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2. The medium was replenished every 2 days. After confluence, the cells were sub-cultured following trypsinization. HepG2 3D spheres were prepared according to a previously described method (Kim et al., 2018). Briefly, HepG2 cells were seeded into a 96 well ultra-low attachment plate at a density of 1×10⁶ cells/well and were cultivated for 14 days. The medium was changed three times each week.

**Human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs):** Primary HDFs were

![Fig. 1. TAK-875-induced cytotoxicity against HepG2 cells (2D monolayer). (A) Dose-dependent (at 24 h) and (B) Time-dependent toxicity of TAK875 compared to APAP 25 mM as measured by WST-1 assay. Data shown are mean ± SE of at least 3 replications. **p<0.01.](https://doi.org/10.4062/biomolther.2017.225)
obtained from Biosolution Co., Ltd. (Seoul, Korea). Cells were cultured as described previously (Song et al., 2017) in DMEM supplemented with antibiotics (100 U/ml of penicillin A and 100 U/ml of streptomycin) and 10% FBS at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂; 60% confluent cells were cultured in serum-free medium for 24 h.

**Cell treatment:** Cells were treated with various concentrations of TAK-875, APAP, or DMSO (final 0.5%) in culture medium for 24 h. The control group was treated with 0.5% DMSO only. Fibroblasts were seeded into 6 well plates at a density of 1.5×10^5/well, while HepG2 cells were seeded at 1.0×10^4/well in 96 well plates. For ROS determination, each cell line was seeded at 1.5×10^5/well in 6 well plates.

**MTT and WST-1 assay for cell viability**

Cell viability was determined using either the 3-[4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2, 5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT; Sigma-Aldrich) or the WST-1 (4-[3-(4-iodophenyl)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-5-tetrazolio]-1,3-benzenedisulfonate) (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) assay, which are based on the reduction of tetrazolium into formazan dye by active mitochondria (Lee et al., 2017). After treatment, the medium was removed, and the cells were incubated with 250 μl of MTT (0.3 mg/mL in serum-free medium) or 100 μl of WST-1 (final 10 μg/ml in PBS) for 3 h at 37°C and were protected from light. For MTT, formazan products were dissolved in 300 μl DMSO with gentle shaking for 30 min at 37°C. For MTT, 200 μl of supernatants were transferred into 96-well plates, and absorbance was determined by microplate spectrophotometry at 540 nm (Molecular Devices Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA). For WST-1, absorbance was measured at 450 nm. Cell viability was calculated using the following formula:

Cell viability (%) = mean value of treated group/control group×100 (%).

**Detection of healthy, apoptotic, and necrotic cells**

Cells undergoing apoptosis and necrosis were visualized using a commercial fluorescence triple staining kit comprised of Hoechst 33258, annexin, and ethidium bromide (Promo Kine Apoptotic/Necrotic Cells Detection Kit, Promo Cell GmBH, Heidelberg, Germany) under a fluorescence microscope (Axiovert 200 M microscope, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) as described previously (Hwang et al., 2018). Briefly, cells were washed with 1x binding buffer and stained by adding 5 μL of FITC-Annexin V and 5 μL of EthD-III to 100 μL 1X binding buffer. Samples were incubated with the staining solution for 15 min at room temperature and were protected from light.

**Measurement of reactive oxygen species production**

Production of ROS was measured using a 2’,7’-dichlorofluoroscein diacetate (DCFH-DA) (a non-fluorescent ester that is cleaved by intracellular esterases into the fluorophore DCF in the presence of oxidants) assay, which measures the oxidation of the dye by intracellular oxidants. After treatment, the medium was removed, and the cells were incubated with 100 μl of DCFH-DA (5 μM) for 30 min at 37°C in the dark. Then, the cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended in PBS. The fluorescence intensity was measured using a microplate reader at 485 nm excitation and 530 nm emission.
Knockdown of GPR40 through siRNA application in HepG2
To knock out GPR40, HepG2 cells were seeded onto 35mm dishes at a density of 2.5x10^5 cells/well and cultured for 24 h in a 37°C 5% CO₂ incubator. The siRNA mixture [5 μM, GPR40: ON-TARGETplus siRNA (human)], L-005571-02-0005, positive control: ON-TARGETplus GAPDH control pool – human, D-001830-10-05, negative control: ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting pool, D-001810-10-05] with DharmaFECT agent (GE Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA) in serum free media were added to the cells, and the cells were further incubated for 48 h. Knockdown of GPR40 was confirmed through PCR analysis after extraction with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The concentration of RNA was determined using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA).

Reverse transcription-PCR
Relative expression levels of mRNAs were measured by PCR. Total RNA, extracted from HepG2 cell treated with siRNA, was used to synthesize cDNA using the pre-master mix with oligo dT (Bioepis, Seoul, Korea). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed using electrophoresis though a 1.5% agarose gel with eco dye (EcoDye DNA staining solution, Biofact, Daejeon, Korea). The sequence of primers of HepG2 was as follows: forward GPR40, 5′-GTGTCACCTGGGTCTGGTCT-3′; reverse GPR40, 5′-GAGCAGGAGAGAGGCTGA-3′; forward Human GAPDH, 5′-GTGTTCAAGAGGCTGCTATA-3′; reverse Human GAPDH, 5′-GGTCACCAGGGCTGCTTTTA-3′; forward GPR40, 5′-GAGCAGGAGAGAGGCTGA-3′; reverse GPR40, 5′-GTGTCACCTGGGTCTGGTCT-3′; forward Human GAPDH, 5′-GTGTTCAAGAGGCTGCTATA-3′; reverse Human GAPDH, 5′-GGTCACCAGGGCTGCTTTTA-3′; cycling parameters were 95°C for 2 min, and then 33 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 54°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 30 s, followed by 72°C for 5 min.

Hepatotoxicity testing with zebrafish embryo
Maintenance of zebrafish: Zebrafish (Danio rerio) were maintained under a 14 h light/10 h dark cycle in an automatic circulating tank system and fed brine shrimp three times per day (Nirwane et al., 2016; Jeong et al., 2018). Three or four pairs of zebrafish were set up for mating, and approximately 200-300 embryos were generated. Embryos were maintained at 28°C in egg water. Experiments were performed on hatched zebrafish embryos at 3 days post fertilization (dpf). All animal studies were performed in accordance with the international rules considering animal experiments and the internationally accepted ethical principles for laboratory animal use and care. The protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Seoul National University (accession number SNU-151029-4).

Chemical treatment: Five zebrafish embryos per well at 3 dpf were immersed in 500 μL of egg water containing 0.1 mM 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU) solution for 48-72 h on a 24-well...
Effects of GPR40 knockdown on TAK875-induced ROS generation and hepatotoxicity in HepG2 cells. GPR40 was knocked-down using siRNA treatment for 48 h. (A) Knock-down was confirmed by PCR. (B) ROS generation (200x) and (C) cytotoxicity were examined in GPR40 Knock-down HepG2 cells as described above. Viability was measured by the WST-1 assay. Data are mean ± SE of at least 3 replications. *p<0.01, versus DMSO control, **p<0.01, versus TAK875.

plate. TAK-875, acetaminophen, or 0.1% DMSO for vehicle control was added into PTU solution depending on each experimental design. Survival rates were observed every 6 h, and dead embryos were removed.

Morphological assessment of hepatotoxicity in zebrafish:
To assess hepatotoxicity morphologically, zebrafish larvae were mounted in 1% low melting agarose. Images were obtained using a stereomicroscope (Leica M165 FC) to obtain liver and yolk sac sizes. Liver size and yolk sac retention were calculated using the formulas:

Liver size (% of control) = (liver area (chemical)/liver area (control)) × 100%

Yolk sac retention (% of control) = (yolk sac area (chemical)/yolk sac area (control)) × 100%

Liver histology in zebrafish: Zebrafish larvae were collected and fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin at room temperature overnight and were then subjected to paraffin embedding and sectioning. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was performed to histologically examine hepatotoxicity as described previously (Jeong et al., 2017a; Kim et al., 2017).

Statistics
Results are presented as mean ± SE of three or more independent experiments. Statistical significance of differences between groups was assessed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). A p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
To evaluate the cytotoxicity of fasiglifam, TAK-875, against hepatocytes, a human hepatocarcinoma cell line, HepG2, cultured in a monolayer was treated with various concentrations of TAK-875 and acetaminophen 20 mM (APAP) as a positive control for 24 h, and, thereafter, cell viability was measured. TAK-875 decreased cell viability of HepG2 cells in both concentration- and time-dependent manners from a concentration of ~100 μM, suggesting that it might cause direct hepatotoxicity (Fig. 1). This level of cytotoxicity against HepG2 was similar to that induced by APAP at ~20 mM, reflecting that the potency of hepatotoxicity of TAK-875 may be much stronger than that of APAP. Visualization of apoptotic and necrotic cells revealed that TAK-875 exposed-HepG2 cells exhibited late apoptotic (green & red) appearance as was found with APAP (Fig. 2).

We assessed the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) employing DCF-DA enhanced fluorescence to examine the mechanism underlying the hepatotoxicity of TAK-875. We measured cell viability and detected changes in intracellular ROS generation following the treatment of HepG2 with TAK-875. Intracellular ROS production increased significantly in HepG2 exposed to TAK-875 as compared with those exposed to H2O2 and APAP, two positive controls well known for their toxic mechanisms associated with ROS production (Fig. 3A, 3B). HepG2 treated with TAK-875 plus N-acetylcysteine (NAC), an antioxidant, resulted in significant reduction of ROS production (Fig. 3B) and alleviation of the cytotoxicity of TAK-875 (Fig. 3C).

TAK-875 is a GPR40 agonist. To identify the role of GPR40 in the manifestation of cytotoxicity of TAK-875, GPR40 was knock-downed using siRNA (Fig. 4A). TAK-875-induced ROS generation was significantly attenuated after knockdown of GPR40 (Fig. 4B). This was further confirmed by the abrogation of TAK-875-induced cytotoxicity in HepG2 cells (Fig. 4C). To examine whether the cytotoxicity of TAK-875 was common to other cell-types, human dermal fibroblasts considered not to express GPR40 (Fujita et al., 2011; Bahar Halpern et al., 2012), were treated with TAK-875 and cell viability and ROS production were evaluated. TAK-875 did not induce cytotoxicity or ROS generation in fibroblasts, which was in clear contrast to the findings in HepG2 cells (Fig. 5A, 5B).

A 3D HepG2 culture, a spheroid system, has recently been used to study the hepatotoxicity of xenobiotics. We cultivated HepG2 in spheroid, and the hepatotoxicity of TAK-875 was assessed. APAP, a positive control, induced significant levels of cytotoxicity from the concentrations of 25 mM while TAK-875 manifested from 250-500 μM (Fig. 6A). This was further corroborated by histological examination of treated spheroids wherein chromatin condensation and unclear demarcation were evident in APAP or TAK-875 treated spheroids (Fig. 6B). However, neither potentiation nor inhibition of cytotoxicity was observed, suggesting that the contribution of metabolism may...
Liver consists of various types of cells, including Kupffer cells, stellate cells, and parenchymal hepatocytes. 2D or 3D single cell culture models have limitations for addressing interactions between various cell types in the liver or interaction between liver and other organs. To explore the potential systemic effects involved in the manifestation of hepatotoxicity of TAK-875, zebrafish, a non-mammalian alternative to animal test widely used for liver physiology (Saad et al., 2017) and hepatotoxicity owing to its similarity to human liver (Goldstone et al., 2010) was employed as a surrogate in vivo model to assess the hepatotoxicity of TAK-875. First, we examined survival rates of TAK-875 exposed zebrafish larvae. APAP served as a positive drug inducing hepatotoxicity in zebrafish larvae (Vliegenthart et al., 2014). APAP barely induced mortality up to the 5 mM concentration. However, TAK-875 exposed zebrafish larvae showed significant mortality from 24 h of chemical treatment with increased responses depending on the concentration (Fig. 7A, 7B).

We assessed hepatotoxicity by determining morphological changes in the liver and yolk sac (Fig. 8) after exposure to APAP or TAK-875. Because liver size can be reduced in response to various pathologies such as inflammation, degeneration, and necrosis (He et al., 2013a), we assumed that drug induced hepatotoxicity could be quantitated by measuring liver size (Fig. 8A). As expected, both APAP and TAK-875 significantly reduced liver sizes of zebrafish larvae compared to the vehicle control (Fig. 8B). In addition, we observed the delayed yolk absorption with significantly larger yolk retentions in TAK-875 and APAP exposed zebrafish larvae. These results suggest that TAK-875 and APAP impaired liver function of zebrafish larvae resulting in reduced metabolism of lipid absorbed mostly from yolk in the liver (He et al., 2013a).

Finally we histologically evaluated TAK-875-induced hepatotoxicity (Fig. 8C). Normal zebrafish larvae livers were filled with well-delineated polygonal hepatocytes with well-preserved cytoplasm and prominent nuclei. However, APAP- and TAK-875-exposed zebrafish larvae showed typical hepatotoxic findings, demonstrating that most of the hepatocytes in these livers had marked vacuolated and enlarged cytoplasm and eccentric nuclei. Collectively, these data confirmed that TAK-875 induces liver damage in a zebrafish model.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we demonstrated that TAK-875 induced cytotoxicity in HepG2 cells cultured in 2D monolayers or 3D spheroids and that the potency TAK-875 was almost 100 fold stronger than that of APAP. Interestingly, the toxic range of TAK-875 was ~100 μM, which is in a proximate range with the therapeutic level of 10 μM (Cmax, 2.3 μg/mL at 50 mg) suggesting that the margin of safety was small. The cytotoxicity of TAK-875 appeared to be, at least in part, ROS-mediated and GPR40 dependent. Most importantly, the hepatotoxicity of TAK-875 is well-illustrated in zebrafish embryos where treatment with 25 μM TAK-875 resulted in considerable mortality and severe liver damage. The toxic level of TAK-875 is thousands of fold lower than the toxic concentration of APAP in zebrafish and around two fold that of the therapeutic level, demonstrating that the hepatotoxicity of TAK-875 may have biological and clinical relevance.

The mechanism underlying TAK-875-induced hepatotoxicity remains unclear. Li et al. (2015) reported that rats receiv-
ing TAK-875 exhibited cholestatic hepatotoxicity, which they ascribed to abnormal activities of bile transporters like Ntcp and OATP/Oatp (uptake transporters) and MRP2/Mrp2 (efflux transporter). Very recently, Otieno et al. (2018) showed that a reactive acylglucuronide metabolite of TAK can be produced with a capacity to induce covalent binding and to inhibit mitochondrial respiration. Here, we demonstrated that ROS generation may be involved in the hepatotoxicity of TAK-875;
this has been further confirmed by the reversal of toxicity of TAK-875 with the antioxidant NAC. Interestingly, in fibroblasts, TAK-875 failed to induce cytotoxicity and ROS generation suggesting that a hepatocyte-selective cytotoxic mechanism may exist, an issue that must be addressed in the future.

TAK-875 directly induced cytotoxicity against HepG2 cells at much lower concentrations than APAP, a representative therapeutic drug with well-established hepatotoxicity concerns. Considering the therapeutic levels of TAK-875 (plasma Cmax 2.3 μg/mL, ~ 5-10 μM), the safety margin is around 25-50 fold. Actually, the effective concentration of APAP is approximately 20-40 μg/mL (corresponding to around 125-250 μM), and the toxic level is around 25 mM, resulting in a toxicity margin of 50 to 100 fold, which gives extra weight to the probable induction of TAK-875 hepatotoxicity in humans. Moreover, considering that a single or intermittent dose regimen of APAP for analgesic or antipyretic purposes is used in relatively healthy people, the repeated intake of TAK-875 to lower blood glucose in the chronically ill diabetic patients who frequently have compromised liver functions may prominently increase the chance of liver injury.

The zebrafish genome has 70% homology with that of humans, and many studies have successfully evaluated and elucidated the hepatotoxicity of xenobiotics using zebrafish (Hill, 2011). Liver toxicity in zebrafish is commonly evaluated through examination of morphological endpoints that include liver degeneration, changes in size, liver shape, and yolk sac retention (He et al., 2013b). TAK-875 and APAP caused typical signs of hepatotoxicity in zebrafish livers including reduction in liver size and impaired yolk sac absorption. Interestingly, TAK-875 induced hepatotoxicity at much lower concentrations in zebrafish in vivo than in HepG2 cells in vitro. This discrepancy could be attributable to the contribution from other cell types in the liver, to the interaction between the liver and other organs, or to species differences.

Since the recent termination of the clinical development of TAK-875, a GPR40 agonist, studies regarding associations between TAK-875 and hepatotoxicity are scarce. Otieno et al. (2018) speculated that other GPR40 agonists may not be free from hepatotoxicity but this is largely because of the presence of carboxylic group in their structures, which can produce reactive acylglucuronide as observed in TAK-875. We confirmed that TAK-875 may cause hepatotoxicity through increasing cytosolic ROS generation in hepatocytes, a process that is GPR40-dependent. Resistance of fibroblasts to TAK-875-induced cytotoxicity may support this further. Furthermore, the hepatotoxicity of TAK-875 was demonstrated in zebrafish larvae at the exposure levels relevant to therapeutic doses in humans. These findings may provide important clues to reveal the mechanism of hepatotoxicity of TAK-875 although further studies are necessary to elucidate the pathways for GPR40-dependent ROS generation.
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