Service Quality beyond Access: A Multilevel Analysis of Neonatal, Infant, and Under-Five Child Mortality Using the Indian Demographic and Health Survey 2015~2016

Kim, Rockli¹ · Choi, Narshil² · Subramanian, S. V.^{1,3} · Oh, Juhwan⁴

¹Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA ²Research Associate, Seoul National University College of Medicine,

JW LEE Center for Global Medicine of Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

³Professor, Population Health and Geography, Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, Cambridge, MA, USA

⁴Professor, International Health Policy and Management, Seoul National University College of Medicine,

Department of Medicine, JW LEE Center for Global Medicine of Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to derive contextual indicators of medical provider quality and assess their relative importance along with the individual utilization of antenatal care (ANC) and institutional births with a skilled birth attendant (SBA) in India using a multilevel framework. Methods: The 2015~2016 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) from India was used to assess the outcomes of neonatal, infant, and under-five child mortality. The final analytic sample included 182,980 children across 28,283 communities, 640 districts, and 36 states and union territories. The contextual indicators of medical provider quality for districts and states were derived from the individual-level number of ANC visits (<4 or ≥4) and institutional delivery with SBA. A series of random effects logistic regression models were estimated with a stepwise addition of predictor variables. Results: About half of the mothers (47.3%) had attended ≥4 ANC visits and 75.8% delivered in institutional settings with SBAs. Based on ANC visits, 276~281 districts (43.1~43.9%) and 13~16 states (36.5~44.4%) were classified as "low" quality areas, whereas 268~285 districts (41.9~44.5%) and 8~9 states (22.2~25.0%) were classified as "low" quality areas based on institutional delivery with SBAs. Conditional on a comprehensive set of covariates, the individual use of both ANC and SBA were significantly associated with all mortality outcomes (OR: 1.17, 95% CI: 1.08, 1.26, and OR: 1.10, 95% CI: 1.02, 1.19, respectively, for under-five child mortality) and remained robust even after adjusting for contextual indicators of medical provider quality. Districts and states with low quality were associated with 57~61% and 27~43% higher odds of under-five child mortality, respectively. Conclusion: When simultaneously considered, district- and state-level provider quality mattered more than individual access to care for all mortality outcomes in India. Further investigations are needed to assess the importance of improving the guality of health service delivery at higher levels to prevent unnecessary child deaths in developing countries.

Key Words: Antenatal care, Provider quality, Child mortality, India, Multilevel analysis

INTRODUCTION

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) proposed in 2015 made a clear commitment to improve child survival, with an explicit target for all countries to reduce neonatal mortality to as low as 12 deaths per 1,000 live births and under-five mortality to 25 deaths per 1,000 live births.¹⁾ As of 2015, 20% of the total under-five deaths in the world (or

1,201,000 deaths) was attributed to India,¹⁾ despite the country's remarkable 4.1 percent rate of reduction from 1990 to 2016 (from 126 to 43 deaths per 1,000 live births).²⁾ In recent years, India has strengthened its relevant policies and increased budgetary allocations to improve maternal and child survival, as exemplified by Expanded Programmes on Immunization (Mission Indradhanush)³⁾ and pilot programmes to improve the quality of care in

Corresponding author: Oh, Juhwan

College of Medicine, Seoul National University, 103 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Korea. Tel: +82-2-740-8992, Fax: +82-2-766-1185, E-mail: oh328@snu.ac.kr

Received: Jul 24, 2018 / Revised: Sep 7, 2018 / Accepted: Sep 27, 2018

maternal labour rooms especially in remote areas.⁴⁻⁶ Given this movement, up-to-date empirical evidence on modifiable factors to reduce postnatal deaths has important and timely relevance for policy discussions in India.

A key component of maternal and child health interventions is promoting coverage of appropriate and timely care both during pregnancy and at delivery.⁷⁻⁹ The World Health Organization (WHO) specifically recommends at least four antenatal care (ANC) visits, ideally occurring between 8 and 12 weeks of gestation, between 24 and 26 weeks, at 32 weeks, and between 36 and 38 weeks.¹⁰⁾ The WHO guidelines further outline the service package required for each visit, including screening for complications, educational advice on healthy lifestyle, 2 tetanus toxoid injections, and 90 iron/folic acid tablets.¹¹⁾ Additionally, ensuring birth delivery in institutional settings with the assistance of a skilled birth attendant (SBA) can substantially reduce the risk of stillbirth or maternal deaths due to intrapartum-related complications.^{1,12)} The latest estimates from India report that just over half of the mothers had at least 4 ANC visits and almost 79% had institutional births.¹³⁾

Contrary to the expected benefits of ANC visits and SBA at delivery, a number of prior studies found that expanding the coverage of individual uptake of these services does not necessarily translate into improvements in neonatal, infant, and child mortality outcomes in the context of low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) where the quality of health service delivery is not optimal.^{12,14-17)} Weak health systems and poor incentives are identified as critical barriers that create a gap between individual access to care and the actual health outcomes.^{14,18)} These conflicting findings suggest that the overall quality of care in maternal services and clinical capacity may play an independent role on birth outcomes and subsequent child survivals over and above individual access to ANC and SBA. Also importantly, they may explain the uneven geographical distribution of the burden of child deaths.¹⁹⁾ Within India, substantial inter- and intra-state disparity exists for infant and under-five mortality with higher concentration in central and eastern regions.²⁰⁾ To our knowledge, whether this geographic variation in neonatal, infant, and under-five mortality is largely due to clustering of 'high-risk' individuals or presence of contextual factors operating at higher levels (such as provider quality) has not yet been systematically examined using multilevel modeling.

Assessing quality in health care is challenging due to lack of universally accepted definition.²¹⁾ Moreover, indicators of contextual provider quality are not routinely available in nationally representative surveys in the con-

text of LMICs. For instance, Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) do not collect information on patient satisfaction and safety or health inputs or system efficiencv.²²⁾ To overcome this data constraint, prior studies have often used caesarean and episiotomy rates,²³⁾ neonatal near-miss events (i.e., newborn infants presenting selected markers of severity and surviving the first neonatal week),²⁴⁾ and maternal mortality as proxy indicators for quality of care. Another recent study used principal components analysis based techniques to quantify variation in quality of care using core DHS indicators for Indonesia and found disparities by wealth and geographical regions.²²⁾ We offer a fairly new approach to derive contextual provider quality indicators and assess their relative importance along with individual utilization of ANC and SBA in India using multilevel framework.

This study uses the latest nationally representative data from India to first create indicators for district and state provider quality based on residuals deviating from the ecological associations between ANC (and SBA) rate and prevalence of neonatal, infant, and under-five mortality at each respective level. Then, we use multilevel statistical techniques to examine: (1) whether individual use of ANC and SBA is independently associated with each mortality outcome, after taking into account of other important sociodemographic covariates and contextual provider quality indicators, and vice versa, and (2) the magnitude and partitioning of variation in mortality outcomes by multiple relevant levels (i.e., communities, districts, and states), both before and after adjusting for individual compositional characteristics and contextual provider quality indicators.

METHODS

1. Data

The latest Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) conducted in 2015~2016 (round VII), also equivalent to the National Family Health Survey (NFHS)(round 4) in India, was used for this study. All seven union territories in addition to the 29 states in India were surveyed for the first time in the NFHS-4. This allowed estimation of many indicators at the district level for all 640 districts in India as per the 2011 census.^{25,26)} Survey respondents were selected following a probability-based cluster sampling procedure. Sampling frames were first developed on the basis of non-overlapping units of geography (identified as the primary sampling units (PSUs)) by states and urban and rural areas within each state. At the second stage, a fixed proportion of households were selected using systematic sampling within each PSU.²⁶⁾ The full dataset is available upon request from https://dhsprogram.com/ and contains no identifiable information on the study participants. DHS is known for standardized and nationally representative sampling of participants, objective measurement of anthropometric measures, collection of a wide range of monitoring and impact evaluation indicators for health and nutrition, and high response rates.²⁶⁾

2. Study Population and Sample Size

India DHS 2015~2016 collected data on all children born within 5 years of age from the survey year in each selected household. A total of 255,327 singleton children were eligible to be included in our analysis. Of them, 68,119 children (26.7%) who were missing information on ANC visits or SBA were excluded. For complete case analysis, 4,228 children (1.7%) who were missing information on one or more of the covariates listed below were also excluded, leaving 182,980 children across 28,283 communities, 640 districts, and 36 states and union territories in the final analytic sample (Fig. 1).

3. Outcome Variables

Three mortality outcomes were assessed in this study: neonatal mortality (i.e., deaths within the first month), infant mortality (i.e., deaths within one year since birth), and under-five child mortality (i.e., deaths within the first five years).

4. Individual Access to Care

From mother's self-reported data on utilization of ANC services, we created a binary variable indicating whether the mother had <4 or ≥ 4 ANC visits. Another binary variable was coded indicating whether the mother had birth delivery in an institutional setting with a SBA (doctor, nurse, or midwife).

5. Contextual Provider Quality

Indicators for outcome-specific provider quality (low versus high) were derived for each district and state (hereafter collectively referred to as 'contextual provider quality indicators') from the following ecological models. An ecological model here simply refers to a regression model with both predictor and outcome variables aggregated at higher levels²⁷ (i.e., districts and states). For instance, based on an ecological model regressing proportion of mothers reporting≥4 ANC visits and proportion of neonatal mortality at the district level, positive residuals (i.e., districts with higher than average mortality rate given the same proportion of ANC \geq 4) were coded as 'low provider quality' districts and negative residuals (i.e., districts with lower than average mortality rate given the same proportion of ANC \geq 4) were coded as 'high provider quality' districts (Fig. 2). This procedure was repeated for infant and child mortality outcomes at both the district and state levels. Similarly, another set of con-



Fig. 1. Four Level Data Structure of the Final Analytic Sample from India Demographic and Health Survey 2015~2016.



Fig. 2. Constructing District- and State-Level Provider Quality Proxy Measures Based on % Mothers with≥4 Antenatal Care Visits and % Neonatal, Infant, and Under-Five Mortality, India Demographic and Health Survey 2015~2016.

textual provider quality indicators were derived from residuals based on ecological models regressing mortality prevalence by proportion of delivery with SBA (Fig. 3).

6. Other Covariates

Several other important covariates at the child, maternal, and household levels were identified. Child's sex (male, female), birth order (1, 2~3, 4~5, \geq 6), birth interval (first birth, <24, 24~47, \geq 48 months), and delivery mode (normal, caesarean) were included in our analysis. At maternal level, mother's age (15~19, 20~24, 25~29, 30~34, 35~39, 40~44, 45~49 years), marital status (currently married or living together, never/formerly married), education (none, primary, secondary, higher, college), age at marriage (<18, \geq 18 years), and whether tetanus injection was given (yes, no) were assessed. Indicators of household socioeconomic and environmental conditions were coded as following. In DHS, household wealth index, a composite index of relative standard of living, was created using principal component analyses of household characteristics and assets and then categorized into quintiles.²⁶⁾ The source of drinking water was considered safe for water piped into dwelling or yard/plot, public tap/standpipe, tube well or borehole, protected well or spring, rain water, and bottled water, and unsafe otherwise. The sanitation facility was defined as improved if households had access to flush to piped sewer system, septic tank, or pit latrine, ventilated improved pit latrine, pit latrine with slab, and composting toilet, and unimproved otherwise. A binary variable for whether solid fuels were used for cooking (yes, no) was considered as a crude measure of household air quality. Lastly, household place of residence (urban, rural) was included in our analysis.



Fig. 3. Constructing District- and State-Level Provider Quality Proxy Measures Based on % Institutional Birth Delivery with Skilled Birth Attendant and % Neonatal, Infant, and Under-Five Mortality, India Demographic and Health Survey 2015~2016.

7. Analysis

In DHS, individual level data followed a four-level hierarchical structure with children at level-1 (i), nested within communities (or PSUs) at level-2 (j), districts at level-3 (k) and states at level-4 (l). In India, states are the political unit at which federal polices operate; districts are the lowest administrative unit at which the elected district councils plan the provision of services and infrastructures; and communities represent villages for rural areas and urban frame survey blocks for urban areas and capture the local environment.²⁸⁾ Multilevel statistical techniques provide a technically robust and efficient framework to account for the complex survey design and assess variation in outcomes by multiple levels.^{29,30)} As suggested by its name, multilevel modeling enables simultaneous examination of the circumstances of individuals at one level in the context of multiple higher geographic, administrative, and social levels, and thereby discern the relative contribution of different levels to the scientific question of interest.^{29,30)}

For each of the three binary outcome variables (neonatal, infant, and under-five mortality), a series of four-level random intercept logistic regression models were estimated based on a logit-link function. We first ran a null model with no predictor variable to serve as a baseline for comparing changes in variance estimates in subsequent models (Model 1).

Model 1: $logit(\pi_{ijkl}) = \beta_0 + (f_{0l} + v_{0kl} + u_{0jkl})$

For interpretation, β_0 represents the median log odds of mortality across all India and bracketed terms represent random effects associated with states, districts, and communities, respectively. The term f_{01} is a state-specific residual that represents a departure of each state from the national median log odds of mortality; v_{0kl} is a district-specific residual conditional on state; and u_{0jkl} is a community-specific residual. Assuming a normal distribution of these residuals, this model partitions the total variation in mortality by between-state (i.e., $f_{0l} \sim N(0, \sigma_{f_0}^2)$), between-district (i.e., $v_{0kl} \sim N(0, \sigma_{v_0}^2)$), and between- community (i.e., $u_{0jkl} \sim N(0, \sigma_{u_0}^2)$) components. For binary outcomes, the variance at the individual level (level-1) cannot be obtained directly from the model, and all remaining variance is assumed to be a function of the binomial distribution (i.e., 3.29).³⁰⁾

In subsequent models, all the individual-level covariates (X'_{ijkl}) were included first without ANC and SBA in Model 2 and then with ANC and SBA in Model 3.

Model 2: $logit(\pi_{ijkl})=\beta_0+\beta X'_{ijkl}+(f_{0l}+v_{0kl}+u_{0jkl})$ Model 3: $logit(\pi_{ijkl})=\beta_0+\beta X'_{ijkl}+\beta ANC_{ijkl}+\beta SBA_{ijkl}+(f_{0l}+v_{0kl}+u_{0jkl})$

Then, the fixed effect of provider quality indicators derived from ANC for districts (Model 4A) and states (Model 4B) were added to evaluate the relative importance of individual access to ANC versus contextual provider quality. Similarly, provider quality indicators derived from SBA were evaluated for districts (Model 5A) and states (Model 5B).

Model 4A/5A: $logit(\pi_{ijkl})=\beta_0+\beta X'_{ijkl}+\beta ANC_{ijkl}+\beta SBA_{ijkl}+\beta PQ_{kl}+(f_{0l}+v_{0kl}+u_{0jkl})$ Model 4B/5B: $logit(\pi_{ijkl})=\beta_0+\beta X'_{ijkl}+\beta ANC_{ijkl}+\beta SBA_{ijkl}+\beta PQ_l+(f_{0l}+v_{0kl}+u_{0jkl})$

For each successive model, the proportion of variance in the log odds of mortality explained by additional factors was computed by subtracting the variance of model with more terms from the variance of simpler model, and converting to percentage. Data were prepared using STATA 13.0 and all multilevel models were estimated using the MLwiN 3.0 with predictive/penalized quasi likelihood approximation with a second-order Taylor linearization procedure. For interpretation, we report odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

RESULTS

Of the total 182,980 children, 1.8% died within the first month, 2.6% within the first year, and 2.8% within the first five years (Table 1). A clear patterning in the prevalence of mortality was shown by household wealth and several maternal characteristics. For instance, the proportion of under-five mortality was 4.0% in the poorest quintile whereas only 1.5% in the wealthiest quintile. A J-shaped patterning in mortality was observed for maternal age, with the proportion of under-five mortality being high for the youngest age group (4.8%), lowest for 25~29 year group (2.3%), and highest for the oldest mothers (7.1%). In our final analytic sample, about half of the mothers (47.3% or n=86,626) reported to have attended at least four ANC visits and 75.8% (n=138,789) delivered birth in institutional settings with SBA. The proportion of neonatal mortality was lower for those who had \geq 4 ANC visits (1.4% vs 2.2%) and SBA (1.7% vs 2.2%) compared to their counterparts (Table 1). Based on the contextual provider quality indicators derived from ANC visits, 276~281 districts (43.1~43.9%) and 13~16 states (36.5~44.4%) were classified as low quality areas depending on the mortality outcome (Fig. 2). Similarly, when derived from SBA, 268~285 districts (41.9~44.5%) and 8~9 states (22.2~25.0%) were classified as low quality areas (Fig. 3). The full list of states and districts and their classifications are presented in Appendix.

1. Neonatal Mortality

In the base model with no predictors (Model 1), we found most variation in neonatal mortality attributed to the community level (Variance Partitioning Coefficient [VPC]: 40.3%) followed by substantially smaller variation at state (VPC: 2.6%) and district (VPC: 1.2%) levels (Table 2). In Model 2, several individual-level covariates were significantly associated with increased odds of neonatal mortality. Important associations were found in respect to birth order and birth interval. Conditional on all other factors, being the $2^{nd}/3^{rd}$ and $4^{th}/5^{th}$ child was associated with significantly lower odds of neonatal mortality compared to being the first born (OR: 0.68; 95% CI: 0.60, 0.79 and OR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.67, 0.99, respectively) whereas being the 6th or above was not significant. Birth interval of <24 months was associated with almost 40% increased odds of neonatal mortality (OR: 1.37; 95% CI: 1.19, 1.57) whereas longer interval (24~47months) showed 15% reduced odds (OR: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.75, 0.96). Higher odds of neonatal mortality were found for c-section vs normal delivery (OR: 1.31; 95% CI: 1.17, 1.47) and not having received tetanus injection before birth (OR: 1.53; 95% CI: 1.36, 1.72). Lack of maternal education (OR: 1.43; 95% CI: 1.17, 1.73) and poorest household wealth (OR: 1.72; 95% CI: 1.37, 2.15) were also significant risk factors for neonatal mortality, suggesting the importance of socioeconomic conditions. Inclusion of these covariates explained 56.3% of between-district variation and 34.1% of between-community variation, but less than 20% of between-state variation in neonatal mortality.

Variables	n	Neonatal deaths (%)	Infant deaths (%)	Under-five deaths (%)
Total	182,980	1.8	2.6	2.8
Child sex				
Male	99,320	1.9	2.6	2.8
Female	83,660	1.7	2.5	2.8
Birth order				
1et	59,296	2.0	26	27
2pd or 3rd	91.770	14	21	2.3
Ath or 5th	23 708	21	3.4	3.9
	8 206	36	5.1	62
	0,200	0.0	0.1	0.2
Birth interval	20.110	2.4	2 5	1.0
< 24 months	50,119	2.4	3.3	4.0
24~47 months	01,700	1.5	2.2	2.5
\geq 48 months	51,009	1.0	2.3	2.0
Delivery method	454545		2 (• •
Normal	154,767	1.9	2.6	2.9
C section	28,213	1.7	2.2	2.3
Maternal age				
15~19	5,649	3.4	4.6	4.8
20~24	54,182	2.0	2.6	2.8
25~29	67,358	1.5	2.1	2.3
30~34	35,683	1.6	2.3	2.7
35~39	14,571	2.2	3.3	3.8
40~44	4,263	2.8	4.3	5.0
45~49	1,274	4.3	5.8	7.1
Marital status				
Currently married	182 923	18	26	28
Never / formerly married	57	35	53	53
Never/Tormeny married	57	0.0	0.0	0.0
Maternal education	52.045	2.4	2.5	1.0
No education	53,245	2.4	3.5	4.0
Primary education	25,322	2.2	3.0	3.3
Secondary education	66,006	1.6	2.3	2.4
Higher education	19,060	1.2	1.6	1.7
≥College	19,347	1.1	1.4	1.4
Maternal age at marriage				
<18 years	67,339	2.1	3.0	3.4
≥ 18 years	115,641	1.7	2.3	2.5
Tetanus injection				
Received before birth	166,733	1.7	2.4	2.7
Did not receive before birth	16,247	2.7	4.0	4.5
Lieuwerk eld susselth	- /			
Provention Research	44 023	25	3 5	4.0
Poorest	44,923	2.5	3.5	4.0
Poorer	42,031	2.1	3.0	3.3
Middle	30,070 21.7(1	1.7	2.4	2.6
Richer	31,761	1.5	1.0	2.0
Richest	27,595	1.0	1.4	1.5
Source of drinking water				
Unsafe	31,663	1.8	2.6	2.8
Safe	151,317	1.8	2.6	2.8
Type of sanitary facility				
Unimproved	93,067	2.3	3.1	3.5
Improved	89,913	1.4	2.0	2.2
Cooking fuel				
Solid fuel	126.807	21	29	32
Non solid fuel	56.173	12	18	2.0
	00,170	1.4	1.0	2.0
Place of residence	127 400	2.0	20	2.1
Kural	157,409	2.0	2.ð 2.0	5.L 2.1
Urban	43,5/1	1.4	2.0	2.1
Antenatal care visits				
<4 visits	96,354	2.2	3.1	3.5
\geq 4 visits	86,626	1.4	1.9	2.1
Institutional delivery with skilled birth attendant				
No	44,191	2.2	3.3	3.8
Yes	138,789	1.7	2.3	2.5

Table 1. Distribution of Final Analytic Sample by Selected Individual-Level Predictor Variables and Proportion of Neonatal,Infant, and Under-Five Deaths, India Demographic and Health Survey 2015~2016

Table 2. Associations between Individual- and Contextual-Level Predictors and Neonatal Mortality from Four-Level Randor
Effects Logistic Models, India Demographic and Health Survey 2015~2016

V	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4A	Model 4B	Model 5A	Model 5B
Variables	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Fixed part							
Intercept	0.01 (0.01, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)	0.01 (0.01, 0.02)	0.01 (0.01, 0.02)	0.01 (0.01, 0.02)	0.01 (0.01, 0.02)	0.01 (0.01, 0.02)
Child sex (ref: male)							
Female		0.90 (0.84, 0.98)	0.90 (0.84, 0.98)	0.90 (0.84, 0.97)	0.90 (0.84, 0.97)	0.90 (0.84, 0.97)	0.90 (0.84, 0.97)
Birth order (ref: 1st)		0.68 (0.60, 0.79)	0.68 (0.59, 0.78)	0.68 (0.60, 0.78)	0.68 (0.59, 0.78)	0.68 (0.60, 0.78)	0.68 (0.60, 0.77)
4th or 5th		0.81 (0.67, 0.99)	0.81 (0.67, 0.98)	0.81 (0.68, 0.98)	0.81 (0.67, 0.97)	0.81 (0.68, 0.97)	0.81 (0.68, 0.96)
≥6th		1.05 (0.82, 1.34)	1.04 (0.81, 1.33)	1.04 (0.82, 1.32)	1.04 (0.82, 1.32)	1.04 (0.83, 1.31)	1.05 (0.84, 1.31)
Birth interval (ref: 1st)							/
< 24 months		1.37 (1.19, 1.57)	1.36 (1.19, 1.56)	1.36 (1.19, 1.56)	1.37 (1.20, 1.56)	1.36 (1.19, 1.55)	1.37 (1.21, 1.55)
24~47 months		0.85 (0.75, 0.96)	0.84 (0.74, 0.96)	0.84 (0.75, 0.95)	0.65 (0.75, 0.96)	0.64 (0.75, 0.95)	0.85 (0.75, 0.95)
Caesarean section		1.31 (1.17, 1.47)	1.34 (1.19, 1.50)	1.33 (1.18, 1.49)	1.33 (1.19, 1.49)	1.34 (1.19, 1.49)	1.34 (1.20, 1.49)
Maternal age (ref: 15~19 years)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(, , , , , , , ,		
20~24		0.62 (0.52, 0.74)	0.62 (0.52, 0.74)	0.62 (0.52, 0.74)	0.62 (0.52, 0.74)	0.62 (0.52, 0.73)	0.62 (0.53, 0.73)
25~29		0.53 (0.43, 0.64)	0.53 (0.43, 0.64)	0.53 (0.44, 0.64)	0.53 (0.44, 0.64)	0.53 (0.44, 0.63)	0.53 (0.44, 0.63)
30~34 35~39		0.55(0.44, 0.68) 0.66(0.52, 0.85)	0.55(0.44, 0.68) 0.66(0.52, 0.85)	0.55(0.45, 0.68) 0.66(0.52, 0.85)	0.55(0.45, 0.68) 0.66(0.52, 0.85)	0.55(0.45, 0.68) 0.66(0.52, 0.84)	0.55(0.45, 0.67) 0.67(0.53, 0.84)
40~44		0.00(0.52, 0.00) 0.73(0.54, 1.00)	0.00(0.52, 0.00) 0.73(0.54, 1.00)	0.73 (0.54, 0.99)	0.73 (0.54, 0.99)	0.73 (0.54, 0.98)	0.73 (0.55, 0.98)
45~49		1.02 (0.68, 1.51)	1.01 (0.68, 1.50)	1.02 (0.69, 1.49)	1.02 (0.69, 1.50)	1.02 (0.70, 1.48)	1.02 (0.71, 1.47)
Marital status (ref: currently married)							
Never/formerly married		2.02 (0.43, 9.43)	2.02 (0.44, 9.35)	2.00 (0.45, 8.90)	2.00 (0.45, 8.92)	2.02 (0.47, 8.70)	2.02 (0.49, 8.31)
Maternal education (ref: college)		1 42 (1 17 1 72)	1 40 /1 15 1 70)	1 27 (1 14 1 66)	1 40 (1 15 1 70)	1 20 (1 15 1 69)	1 /1 /1 10 1 70)
No education Primary education		1.43(1.17, 1.73) 1.56(1.28, 1.90)	1.40(1.15, 1.70) 1.54(1.26, 1.88)	1.37 (1.14, 1.66) 1.51 (1.24, 1.83)	1.40(1.15, 1.70) 1.54(1.26, 1.87)	1.39(1.15, 1.68) 1.52(1.26, 1.84)	1.41(1.18, 1.70) 1.55(1.29, 1.87)
Secondary education		1.30 (1.09, 1.56)	1.29(1.08, 1.55)	1.28 (1.07, 1.52)	1.34(1.20, 1.07) 1.29(1.08, 1.54)	1.28 (1.08, 1.52)	1.30 (1.10, 1.54)
Higher education		1.02 (0.83, 1.26)	1.02 (0.82, 1.25)	1.01 (0.82, 1.24)	1.02 (0.83, 1.25)	1.02 (0.83, 1.25)	1.02 (0.84, 1.25)
Maternal age at marriage (ref: \geq 18 years))						
<18		0.98 (0.90, 1.07)	0.98 (0.89, 1.07)	0.98 (0.90, 1.07)	0.98 (0.90, 1.07)	0.98 (0.90, 1.06)	0.98 (0.90, 1.06)
Tetanus injection (ref: received)		1 53 (1 36 1 72)	1 49 (1 32 1 67)	1 /0 (1 33 1 68)	1 /18 (1 32 1 66)	1 /18 (1 33 1 66)	1 47 (1 31 1 64)
Household wealth (rof: richest)		1.55 (1.50, 1.72)	1.49 (1.52, 1.07)	1.49 (1.55, 1.00)	1.40 (1.52, 1.00)	1.40 (1.55, 1.00)	1.47 (1.51, 1.04)
Poorest		1.72 (1.37, 2.15)	1.67 (1.33, 2.09)	1.65 (1.32, 2.05)	1.66 (1.34, 2.07)	1.64 (1.33, 2.03)	1.62 (1.32, 2.00)
Poorer		1.71 (1.39, 2.11)	1.67 (1.36, 2.06)	1.65 (1.35, 2.02)	1.67 (1.36, 2.05)	1.64 (1.35, 1.99)	1.64 (1.35, 1.99)
Middle		1.53 (1.26, 1.85)	1.51 (1.25, 1.82)	1.49 (1.24, 1.79)	1.51 (1.25, 1.81)	1.48 (1.24, 1.77)	1.49 (1.25, 1.78)
Richer		1.19 (0.99, 1.42)	1.18 (0.99, 1.41)	1.17 (0.98, 1.39)	1.18 (0.99, 1.40)	1.17 (0.98, 1.38)	1.17 (0.99, 1.39)
Source of drinking water (ref: safe)		1 00 (0 90 1 11)	1 00 (0 90 1 11)	0.99 (0.89, 1.10)	1 00 (0 90 1 11)	0.98 (0.89, 1.09)	1 00 (0 90 1 10)
Type of sanitary facility (ref: improved)		1.00 (0.90, 1.11)	1.00 (0.90, 1.11)	0.99 (0.09, 1.10)	1.00 (0.90, 1.11)	0.90 (0.09, 1.09)	1.00 (0.90, 1.10)
Unimproved		1.11 (1.00, 1.24)	1.11 (1.00, 1.24)	1.11 (1.00, 1.23)	1.11 (1.01, 1.23)	1.12 (1.01, 1.23)	1.12 (1.01, 1.23)
Cooking fuel (ref: non solid fuel)							
Solid fuel		0.98 (0.86, 1.11)	0.98 (0.86, 1.11)	0.98 (0.86, 1.11)	0.98 (0.86, 1.11)	0.98 (0.87, 1.10)	0.98 (0.87, 1.11)
Place of residence (ref: urban)		0.05 (0.04, 1.00)	0.07 (0.07 1.00)		0.04 (0.04, 1.00)	0.04 (0.04 1.07)	
Rural		0.97 (0.86, 1.09)	0.96 (0.86, 1.09)	0.96 (0.85, 1.07)	0.96 (0.86, 1.08)	0.96 (0.86, 1.07)	0.96 (0.87, 1.07)
ANC visits (ref: ≥ 4 visits)			1 12 (1 02 1 23)	1 15 (1 05 1 26)	1 13 (1 03 1 24)	1 12 (1 03 1 22)	1 12 (1 03 1 22)
Institutional delivery with SBA (ref: ves)			1.12 (1.02) 1.20)	1.10 (1.00, 1.20)	1.10 (1.00, 1.21)	1.12 (1.00, 1.22)	1.12 (1.00, 1.22)
No			1.04 (0.94, 1.15)	1.04 (0.95, 1.14)	1.04 (0.95, 1.14)	1.05 (0.96, 1.15)	1.04 (0.95, 1.14)
Contextual PQ (ref: high)							
Low PQ at district level				1.69 (1.54, 1.84)		1.78 (1.62, 1.94)	
Low PQ at state level					1.47 (1.16, 1.87)		1.61 (1.27, 2.05)
Random part							
Level: state Variance estimate (95% CI)	0.15 (0.06, 0.24)	0.12 (0.05, 0.20)	0.12 (0.04 0.19)	0.07(0.02,0.11)	0.09 (0.03 0.15)	0.04 (0.01 0.07)	0.07(0.02,0.11)
VPC (%)*	2.6%	2.4%	2.3%	1.6%	2.0%	1.0%	1.9%
% explained [†]		18.7%	5.7%	41.7%	22.6%	66.1%	40.9%
Level: district	/-	/-	/-	/- /	/- /	/- /	/
Variance estimate (95% CI)	0.07 (0.03, 0.11)	0.03 (0.00, 0.06)	0.03 (0.00, 0.06)	0.00 (0.00, 0.00)	0.03 (0.00, 0.06)	0.00 (0.00, 0.00)	0.02 (0.00, 0.05)
۷۲ ⁻ C (%)" % explained [†]	1.2%	0.6% 56.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6% 13.3%	0.0%	0.0% 26.7%
Level: community		00.070	0.270	100.070	10.070	100.070	20.7 /0
Variance estimate (95% CI)	2.37 (2.15, 2.58)	1.56 (1.37, 1.74)	1.46 (1.28, 1.64)	0.86 (0.71, 1.01)	1.04 (0.88, 1.20)	0.55 (0.41, 0.69)	0.25 (0.13, 0.38)
VPC (%)*	40.3%	31.2%	29.9%	20.4%	23.4%	14.1%	7.0%
% explained		34.1%	6.1%	41.3%	29.0%	62.6%	82.7%

ANC=antenatal care; CI=confidence interval; OR=odds ratio; PQ=provider quality; ref=reference; SBA=skilled birth attendant; VPC=variance partitioning coefficient. Model 1: A null four-level random effects model, with individuals at level-1, communities at level-2, districts at level-3, and states at level-4; Model 1 + all individual-level covariates (except for ANC and SBA); Model 3: Model 2 + ANC + SBA; Model 4A: Model 3 + district PQ derived from ANC; Model 4B: Model 3 + state PQ derived from ANC; Model 5A: Model 3 + district PQ derived from SBA; Model 5B: Model 3 + state PQ derived from SBA. *% VPC for level z calculated as: $[\sigma_{2}^{2}/(\sigma_{f0}^{2} + \sigma_{t0}^{2} + \sigma_{t0}^{2} + 3.29)]^{*}100;^{\dagger}$ % explained calculated as: $[(\sigma_{model N}^{2} - \sigma_{model N+1}^{2})/(\sigma_{model N+1}^{2})]^{*}100;$ Variance estimates from Model 4A/B

and Model 5A/B were compared against variance from Model 3.

Model 3 included individual-level ANC and SBA predictors (Table 2). Failure to meet the WHO recommended 4 ANC visits was associated with 12% increased odds of neonatal mortality (OR: 1.12; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.23). Absence of SBA at delivery and births in non-institutional settings was associated with 4% increased odds of neonatal mortality, albeit not statistically significant (OR: 1.04; 95% CI: 0.94, 1.15). Compared to Model 2, additional consideration of individual-level ANC and SBA explained around 6% of variation each at community and state levels, and 3% at district level. Individual-level ANC remained statistically significant even after additionally adjusting for contextual provider quality indicators, which showed even stronger associations with neonatal mortality. In Model 4A/B, districts and states with low provider quality based on ANC were associated with almost 70% and 50% increased odds of neonatal mortality, respectively. Similarly, districts and states with low provider quality based on SBA were associated with almost 80% and 60% increased odds of neonatal mortality, respectively, in Model 5A/B. Relative to Model 3, district provider quality indicators explained all the remaining variation in neonatal mortality at the district level, and state indicators explained 22.6 ~40.9% of the between-state variation in neonatal mortality.

2. Infant Mortality

Contextual variation in infant mortality was smaller in magnitude compared to neonatal mortality. In Model 1, 29.4% (VPC) of total variation in infant mortality was attributed to communities and only 2.3% (VPC) to states and 1.3% (VPC) to districts (Table 3). The associations between individual-level covariates and infant mortality in Model 2 were comparable to those observed for neonatal mortality, with a larger fraction of variation explained at state (23.4%) and community (41.0%) levels. In Model 3, individual-level ANC was significantly associated with increased odds of infant mortality (OR: 1.15; 95% CI: 1.07, 1.24) conditional on all other covariates. Moreover, children born in non-institutional settings with no SBA had 9% higher odds of dying in the first year compared to their counterparts (OR: 1.09; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.18). These two individual-level primary predictors explained additional 7~8% of variation in infant mortality at all contextual levels. Contextual provider quality indicators showed strong influence on infant mortality, but to a lesser degree than they did for neonatal mortality. In Model 4A/B, districts and states with low provider quality based on ANC were associated with almost 64% and 32% increased odds of infant mortality, respectively. In Model 5A/B, districts and

states with low provider quality based on SBA were associated with 61% and 46% increased odds of infant mortality, respectively. The between-district variation in infant mortality was fully explained after adjusting for district provider quality indicators, whereas 20~40% of the between-state variation was explained by state provider quality indicators.

3. Under-Five Mortality

Of total variation in under-five mortality, 28.7% (VPC) was attributed to community level in Model 1 (Table 4). Among individual-level covariates included in Model 2, socioeconomic factors showed stronger associations with under-five mortality than with other mortality outcomes. Lack of maternal education and poorest household wealth were each associated with 1.63 (95% CI: 1.39, 1.91) and 1.80 (95% CI: 1.50, 2.15) higher odds of under-five mortality compared to the best off reference groups. All covariates taken together explained larger proportion of variation at state (30%) and community (43%) levels. In Model 3, individual-level ANC and SBA were both significantly associated with under-five mortality, with OR: 1.17 (95%: 1.08, 1.26) and OR: 1.10 (1.02, 1.19) respectively, and explained additional 9~10% of variation at state and community levels and only 5.4% at district level. Moreover, they remained robust even after accounting for contextual provider quality indicators. Districts and states with low provider quality based on ANC were associated with almost 61% and 27% increased odds of under-five mortality, respectively. Similarly, districts and states with low provider quality based on SBA were associated with 57% and 43% increased odds of under-five mortality, respectively. In the final models (Model 4, 5), contextual variation in under-five mortality substantially reduced especially at the district level.

DISCUSSION

This study provides three salient findings. First, individual-level ANC and SBA were both significantly associated with all mortality outcomes conditional on a comprehensive set of maternal and child covariates. Overall, the magnitude of association was stronger for ANC than for SBA, and both were most strongly associated with under-five mortality. Second, individual use of ANC and SBA and contextual provider quality indicators were all importantly associated with mortality outcomes, independent of one another. Contextual provider quality mattered more than individual use of ANC and SBA for neonatal, Table 3. Associations between Individual- and Contextual-Level Predictors and Infant Mortality from Four-Level Random Effects Logistic Models, India Demographic and Health Survey 2015~2016

Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4A	Model 4B	Model 5A	Model 5B
variables	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Fixed part							
Intercept	0.02 (0.02, 0.02)	0.02 (0.02, 0.03)	0.02 (0.02, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)
Child sex (ref: male) Female		0.96 (0.91, 1.03)	0.96 (0.91, 1.03)	0.96 (0.91, 1.02)	0.96 (0.91, 1.03)	0.96 (0.91, 1.02)	0.96 (0.91, 1.02)
Birth order (ref: 1st)		0.75 (0.67, 0.84)	0.74 (0.66, 0.82)	0.74 (0.66, 0.82)	0.74 (0.66, 0.82)	0.74 (0.66, 0.82)	0.74 (0.66, 0.82)
2nd or 3rd 4th or 5th		0.75(0.87, 0.84) 0.99(0.85, 1.15)	0.74(0.86, 0.83) 0.97(0.83, 1.14)	0.74(0.86, 0.83) 0.98(0.84, 1.14)	0.74(0.86, 0.83) 0.97(0.84, 1.13)	0.74(0.86, 0.83) 0.98(0.84, 1.13)	0.74(0.86, 0.83) 0.97(0.84, 1.13)
≥6th		1.24 (1.02, 1.52)	1.22 (1.00, 1.49)	1.21 (1.00, 1.47)	1.22 (1.00, 1.48)	1.22 (1.01, 1.48)	1.22 (1.01, 1.47)
Birth interval (ref: 1st)							
< 24 months		1.42 (1.27, 1.59)	1.40 (1.26, 1.57)	1.40 (1.26, 1.57)	1.41 (1.26, 1.57)	1.40 (1.26, 1.56)	1.41 (1.27, 1.57)
24~47 months Delivery method (ref: normal)		0.89 (0.80, 0.99)	0.89 (0.80, 0.98)	0.88 (0.80, 0.98)	0.89 (0.80, 0.98)	0.89 (0.80, 0.98)	0.89 (0.81, 0.98)
Caesarean section		1.23 (1.12, 1.36)	1.27 (1.15, 1.40)	1.26 (1.15, 1.39)	1.27 (1.15, 1.40)	1.26 (1.15, 1.39)	1.28 (1.16, 1.40)
20~24		0.59 (0.51, 0.68)	0.59 (0.51, 0.68)	0.59 (0.51, 0.68)	0.59 (0.51, 0.68)	0.59 (0.51, 0.68)	0.59 (0.51, 0.68)
25~29		0.50 (0.43, 0.59)	0.50 (0.43, 0.59)	0.50 (0.43, 0.59)	0.50 (0.43, 0.59)	0.50 (0.43, 0.59)	0.50 (0.43, 0.59)
30~34		0.51 (0.42, 0.61)	0.51 (0.43, 0.61)	0.51 (0.43, 0.61)	0.51 (0.43, 0.61)	0.51 (0.43, 0.61)	0.51 (0.43, 0.61)
35~39 40~44		0.63(0.51, 0.77) 0.69(0.53, 0.89)	0.63(0.51, 0.77) 0.69(0.53, 0.89)	0.63 (0.51, 0.77) 0.69 (0.54, 0.88)	0.63(0.51, 0.77) 0.69(0.54, 0.88)	0.63 (0.51, 0.76) 0.68 (0.54, 0.87)	0.63(0.52, 0.77) 0.69(0.54, 0.88)
45~49		0.83 (0.60, 1.16)	0.83 (0.60, 1.15)	0.83 (0.60, 1.14)	0.83 (0.60, 1.15)	0.82 (0.60, 1.13)	0.83 (0.61, 1.13)
Marital status (ref: currently married)		2.33 (0.69, 7.84)	2.32 (0.69, 7.77)	2.25 (0.68, 7.39)	2.29 (0.70, 7.54)	2.21 (0.68, 7.20)	2.27 (0.71, 7.19)
Maternal education (ref: college)		(,,	(, , ,	(,	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	(,,	(1, , , , , , ,
No education		1.58 (1.34, 1.87)	1.54 (1.31, 1.82)	1.51 (1.29, 1.78)	1.54 (1.31, 1.82)	1.54 (1.31, 1.80)	1.56 (1.33, 1.82)
Primary education		1.56 (1.32, 1.85)	1.53 (1.29, 1.82)	1.50 (1.27, 1.77)	1.53 (1.30, 1.82)	1.53 (1.29, 1.80)	1.55 (1.31, 1.82)
Secondary education		1.36(1.17, 1.59) 1.07(0.89, 1.27)	1.35(1.16, 1.57) 1.06(0.89, 1.27)	1.33 (1.14, 1.55)	1.35(1.16, 1.57) 1.06(0.89, 1.27)	1.34 (1.16, 1.56)	1.35(1.17, 1.57) 1.07(0.90, 1.27)
Maternal age at marriage (ref: > 18 years)		107 (0105) 1127)	100 (0.03) 1.27)	100 (0.03) 1.20)	1.00 (0.03) 1.27)	1100 (0103) 1127)	1.07 (0.50) 1.27)
<18		0.98 (0.91, 1.05)	0.97 (0.90, 1.05)	0.97 (0.90, 1.04)	0.97 (0.90, 1.04)	0.97 (0.90, 1.04)	0.97 (0.91, 1.04)
Tetanus injection (ref: received) Not received before birth		1.50 (1.36, 1.65)	1.43 (1.30, 1.58)	1.43 (1.30, 1.58)	1.43 (1.30, 1.57)	1.43 (1.31, 1.58)	1.42 (1.30, 1.56)
Household wealth (ref: richest)					/		/
Poorest		1.76 (1.46, 2.12)	1.69(1.40, 2.04) 1.72(1.44, 2.05)	1.67 (1.39, 2.01) 1.70 (1.44, 2.02)	1.68(1.39, 2.01) 1 71(1 44, 2.02)	1.64 (1.37, 1.96)	1.65 (1.38, 1.98)
Middle		1.57 (1.34, 1.85)	1.55 (1.32, 1.81)	1.54 (1.32, 1.79)	1.54 (1.32, 1.80)	1.51 (1.30, 1.75)	1.53 (1.32, 1.78)
Richer		1.24 (1.07, 1.44)	1.23 (1.06, 1.42)	1.22 (1.06, 1.41)	1.22 (1.05, 1.41)	1.21 (1.05, 1.40)	1.22 (1.06, 1.41)
Source of drinking water (ref: safe)		1 02 (0 94 1 12)	1 02 (0 93 1 11)	1 01 (0 93 1 11)	1 02 (0 93 1 11)	1 01 (0 93 1 10)	1 02 (0 94 1 11)
Type of sanitary facility (ref: improved)		1.02 (0.91, 1.12)	1.02 (0.90, 1.11)	1.01 (0.90, 1.11)	1.02 (0.90, 1.11)	1.01 (0.90, 1.10)	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)
Unimproved		1.07 (0.98, 1.17)	1.07 (0.98, 1.17)	1.08 (0.99, 1.17)	1.07 (0.98, 1.16)	1.07 (0.99, 1.17)	1.07 (0.98, 1.16)
Solid fuel		0.91 (0.82, 1.01)	0.91 (0.81, 1.01)	0.91 (0.82, 1.00)	0.91 (0.82, 1.01)	0.91 (0.82, 1.01)	0.91 (0.82, 1.00)
Place of residence (ref: urban) Rural		1.02 (0.92, 1.12)	1.01 (0.92, 1.12)	1.01 (0.92, 1.11)	1.01 (0.92, 1.12)	1.00 (0.91, 1.10)	1.01 (0.93, 1.11)
ANC visits (ref: ≥ 4 visits)							
<4 visits			1.15 (1.07, 1.24)	1.18 (1.09, 1.27)	1.16 (1.07, 1.25)	1.15 (1.07, 1.24)	1.15 (1.07, 1.23)
No			1.09 (1.01, 1.18)	1.08 (1.00, 1.17)	1.09 (1.01, 1.18)	1.10 (1.02, 1.19)	1.09 (1.01, 1.17)
Contextual PQ (ref: high)				1 64 (1 52 1 76)		1 61 (1 /0 1 73)	
Low PO at state level				1.04 (1.52, 1.70)	1.32 (1.08, 1.61)	1.01 (1.49, 1.73)	1.46 (1.21, 1.77)
Random part					(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Level: state							
Variance estimate (95% CI)	0.11 (0.04, 0.18)	0.09 (0.03, 0.14)	0.08 (0.03, 0.13)	0.04 (0.01, 0.07)	0.06 (0.02, 0.10)	0.03 (0.01, 0.05)	0.05 (0.02, 0.08)
VPC (%) [*] % explained [†]	2.3%	2.0%	1.9%	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%	1.3%
Level: district		20.170	0.270	17.170	20.070	00.070	05.170
Variance estimate (95% CI)	0.07 (0.03, 0.10)	0.03 (0.01, 0.05)	0.03 (0.01, 0.05)	0.00 (0.00, 0.00)	0.03 (0.00, 0.05)	0.00 (0.00, 0.00)	0.02 (0.01, 0.04)
VPC (%)*	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%
/o explained		53.0%	0.3%	100.0%	10.3%	100.0%	20.7%
Variance estimate (95% CI)	1.44 (1.30, 1.59)	0.85 (0.73, 0.97)	0.78 (0.66, 0.90)	0.52 (0.42, 0.63)	0.60 (0.49, 0.71)	0.35 (0.25, 0.44)	0.19 (0.10, 0.28)
VPC (%)*	29.4%	20.0%	18.7%	13.6%	15.1%	9.5%	5.3%
% explained [™]		41.0%	8.2%	33.1%	22.9%	55.6%	76.1%

ANC=antenatal care; CI=confidence interval; OR=odds ratio; PQ=provider quality; ref=reference; SBA=skilled birth attendant; VPC=variance partitioning coefficient. Model 1: A null four-level random effects model, with individuals at level-1, communities at level-2, districts at level-3, and states at level-4; Model 1 + all individual-level covariates (except for ANC and SBA); Model 2: Model 2 + ANC + SBA; Model 4A: Model 3 + district PQ derived from ANC; Model 4B: Model 3 + state PQ derived from ANC; Model 5A: Model 3 + district PQ derived from SBA; Model 5B: Model 3 + state PQ derived from SBA. *% VPC for level z calculated as: $[\sigma_{2}^{2}/(\sigma_{10}^{2} + \sigma_{e0}^{2} + \sigma_{a0}^{2} + 3.29)]$ *100; [†]% explained calculated as: $[(\sigma_{model N}^{2} - \sigma_{model N+1}^{2})/(\sigma_{model N}^{2})]$ *100; Variance estimates from Model 4A/B

and Model 5A/B were compared against variance from Model 3.

Table 4. Associations between Individual- and Contextual-Level Predictors and Under-Five Mortality from Four-Level Random Effects Logistic Models, India Demographic and Health Survey 2015~2016

Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4A	Model 4B	Model 5A	Model 5B
Fixed part							
Intercept							
	0.02 (0.02, 0.03)	0.02 (0.02, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)	0.02 (0.01, 0.02)
Female		0.98 (0.92, 1.04)	0.98 (0.92, 1.04)	0.98 (0.92, 1.04)	0.98 (0.92, 1.04)	0.98 (0.93, 1.04)	0.98 (0.93, 1.04)
Birth order (ref: 1st) 2nd or 3rd		0.75 (0.67, 0.83)	0.74 (0.66, 0.83)	0.74 (0.67, 0.83)	0.74 (0.67, 0.83)	0.74 (0.67, 0.82)	0 74 (0 67, 0 82)
4th or 5th		0.98 (0.85, 1.14)	0.97(0.83, 1.12)	0.97 (0.84, 1.12)	0.97 (0.84, 1.12)	0.97 (0.84, 1.12)	0.97 (0.84, 1.11)
≥6th		1.18 (0.98, 1.43)	1.16 (0.96, 1.40)	1.15 (0.96, 1.39)	1.16 (0.96, 1.39)	1.16 (0.97, 1.38)	1.16 (0.97, 1.39)
Birth interval (ref: 1st)						/	
< 24 months		1.47 (1.32, 1.63)	1.45 (1.31, 1.61)	1.46 (1.32, 1.61)	1.46 (1.32, 1.61)	1.45 (1.32, 1.61)	1.46 (1.32, 1.61)
Delivery method (ref: normal)		0.90 (0.02, 0.99)	0.09 (0.01, 0.90)	0.09 (0.01, 0.90)	0.09 (0.01, 0.90)	0.09 (0.01, 0.90)	0.90 (0.02, 0.90)
Caesarean section		1.20 (1.09, 1.32)	1.24 (1.13, 1.37)	1.24 (1.13, 1.36)	1.24 (1.13, 1.36)	1.24 (1.13, 1.36)	1.25 (1.14, 1.37)
Maternal age (ref: 15~19 years)							
20~24		0.61 (0.53, 0.71)	0.61(0.53, 0.71)	0.61(0.53, 0.71)	0.61(0.53, 0.71)	0.61(0.53, 0.71)	0.61 (0.53, 0.71)
25~29 30~34		0.55(0.47, 0.04) 0.58(0.49, 0.69)					
35~39		0.73 (0.60, 0.89)	0.73 (0.60, 0.89)	0.72 (0.60, 0.88)	0.73 (0.60, 0.89)	0.73 (0.60, 0.88)	0.73 (0.61, 0.88)
40~44		0.82 (0.65, 1.05)	0.82 (0.64, 1.04)	0.82 (0.65, 1.03)	0.82 (0.65, 1.04)	0.82 (0.65, 1.03)	0.82 (0.65, 1.03)
45~49		1.04 (0.76, 1.41)	1.03 (0.76, 1.40)	1.02 (0.76, 1.38)	1.03 (0.76, 1.39)	1.03 (0.77, 1.39)	1.03 (0.77, 1.38)
Marital status (ref: currently married)		2 09 (0 62 7 04)	2 08 (0 62 6 97)	2.04 (0.62, 6.68)	2 05 (0 62 6 74)	2 04 (0 63 6 65)	2 04 (0 64 6 49)
Maternal education (ref: college)		2.09 (0.02, 7.01)	2.00 (0.02, 0.57)	2.01 (0.02, 0.00)	2.00 (0.02, 0.7 1)	2.01 (0.00, 0.00)	2.01 (0.01, 0.1))
No education		1.63 (1.39, 1.91)	1.58 (1.35, 1.86)	1.56 (1.34, 1.83)	1.58 (1.35, 1.85)	1.58 (1.36, 1.85)	1.60 (1.37, 1.86)
Primary education		1.61 (1.36, 1.90)	1.58 (1.34, 1.86)	1.56 (1.32, 1.83)	1.58 (1.34, 1.85)	1.58 (1.34, 1.85)	1.59 (1.36, 1.86)
Secondary education		1.38 (1.19, 1.60)	1.37 (1.18, 1.59)	1.35 (1.17, 1.56)	1.36 (1.18, 1.58)	1.36 (1.18, 1.57)	1.37 (1.19, 1.58)
Higher education $M_{abarral access}$ (ref. > 18 years)		1.08 (0.91, 1.28)	1.07 (0.90, 1.28)	1.07 (0.90, 1.27)	1.07 (0.90, 1.27)	1.07 (0.91, 1.27)	1.08 (0.91, 1.27)
<18		1.04 (0.97, 1.11)	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)	1.03 (0.97, 1.11)	1.03 (0.96, 1.10)	1.03 (0.97, 1.11)
Tetanus injection (ref: received)							
Not received before birth		1.50 (1.37, 1.64)	1.43 (1.31, 1.57)	1.43 (1.31, 1.57)	1.43 (1.30, 1.56)	1.43 (1.31, 1.57)	1.42 (1.30, 1.55)
Household wealth (ref: richest)		1.80 (1.50, 2.15)	1 72 (1 44 2 06)	1 67 (1 41 1 99)	1 70 (1 43 2 04)	1 66 (1 40 1 97)	1 69 (1 42 2 00)
Poorer		1.74 (1.47, 2.06)	1.69 (1.43, 2.00)	1.66 (1.41, 1.95)	1.68 (1.43, 1.98)	1.63 (1.39, 1.92)	1.67 (1.42, 1.95)
Middle		1.56 (1.34, 1.81)	1.53 (1.31, 1.78)	1.50 (1.30, 1.74)	1.52 (1.31, 1.76)	1.49 (1.29, 1.72)	1.51 (1.31, 1.75)
Richer		1.24 (1.07, 1.43)	1.23 (1.06, 1.41)	1.21 (1.06, 1.40)	1.22 (1.06, 1.41)	1.21 (1.05, 1.39)	1.22 (1.06, 1.40)
Source of drinking water (ref: safe) Unsafe		1.02 (0.94, 1.11)	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)	1.00 (0.93, 1.09)	1.02 (0.94, 1.10)	1.01 (0.93, 1.09)	1.02 (0.94, 1.10)
Type of sanitary facility (ref: improved)							/
Unimproved Cooking fuel (ref. pop colid fuel)		1.05 (0.97, 1.15)	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)	1.06 (0.98, 1.14)	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)
Solid fuel		0.92 (0.83, 1.02)	0.91 (0.83, 1.01)	0.92 (0.84, 1.02)	0.92 (0.83, 1.01)	0.92 (0.83, 1.01)	0.91 (0.83, 1.01)
Place of residence (ref: urban) Rural		1.02 (0.93, 1.13)	1.02 (0.93, 1.12)	1.02 (0.93, 1.11)	1.02 (0.93, 1.12)	1.01 (0.92, 1.10)	1.02 (0.93, 1.11)
ANC visits (ref: ≥ 4 visits)		(, , ,	(, , ,	(, , ,	(, , ,		
<4 visits			1.17 (1.08, 1.26)	1.19 (1.11, 1.28)	1.17 (1.09, 1.26)	1.17 (1.09, 1.25)	1.16 (1.08, 1.24)
Institutional delivery with SBA (ref: yes) No			1.10 (1.02, 1.19)	1.10 (1.02, 1.18)	1.10 (1.03, 1.19)	1.12 (1.04, 1.20)	1.10 (1.03, 1.18)
Contextual PQ (ref: high)							
Low PQ at district level				1.61 (1.50, 1.73)	1 07 (1 OF 1 FF)	1.57 (1.46, 1.69)	1 42 (1 10 1 72)
Random part					1.27 (1.05, 1.55)		1.45 (1.19, 1.72)
Level: state							
Variance estimate (95% CI)	0.12 (0.05, 0.18)	0.08 (0.03, 0.13)	0.07 (0.03, 0.12)	0.04 (0.01, 0.06)	0.06 (0.02, 0.09)	0.03 (0.01, 0.05)	0.04 (0.01, 0.07)
VPC (%)*	2.4%	1.9%	1.8%	1.0%	1.5%	0.8%	1.2%
% explained		29.6%	9.9%	47.9%	21.9%	60.3%	41.1%
Level: district	0.08 (0.05, 0.11)	0.04 (0.01 0.06)	0.04 (0.01.0.06)		0.03 (0.01.0.05)	0.00(0.01,0.02)	0.03 (0.01, 0.05)
VPC (%)*	1.6%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.1%	0.8%
% explained [†]		53.8%	5.4%	100.0%	8.6%	88.6%	17.1%
Level: community							
Variance estimate (95% CI)	1.40 (1.27, 1.54)	0.80 (0.69, 0.91)	0.73 (0.62, 0.83)	0.44 (0.35, 0.54)	0.54 (0.44, 0.64)	0.31 (0.22, 0.40)	0.20 (0.12, 0.28)
vrC (%)^ % explained [†]	28.7%	18.9% 43.3%	17.6% 8.9%	11.7% 38.9%	13.9% 25.0%	8.3% 57.5%	5.6% 72.6%
,o explained		10.070	0.770	00.770	20.070	07.070	/0 /0

ANC=antenatal care; CI=confidence interval; OR=odds ratio; PQ=provider quality; ref=reference; SBA=skilled birth attendant; VPC=variance partitioning coefficient. Model 1: A null four-level random effects model, with individuals at level-1, communities at level-2, districts at level-3, and states at level-4; Model 2: Model 1 + all individual-level covariates (except for ANC and SBA); Model 3: Model 2 + ANC + SBA; Model 4A: Model 3 + district PQ derived from ANC; Model 4B: Model 3 + state PQ derived from ANC; Model 54. Model 54

infant, and under-five mortality. In particular, district provider quality indicators had stronger influence than state indicators, and the magnitude of associations was the greatest for neonatal mortality. Third, for all mortality outcomes the largest contextual variation was observed at the local level (community) rather than for districts or states. Substantial fraction of variation, especially at district level, was explained by individual and contextual variables.

We used the latest nationally representative data of children in India, but there are potential limitations to our study. The use of cross-sectional analysis prohibits us from making any causal claim. Although we adjust for a comprehensive set of demographic, socioeconomic, and birthrelated factors, the coefficient estimates from our models should not be interpreted as independent effects on mortality outcomes as they may be biased from over-adjustment for mediators and inadequate adjustment for important confounders. For instance, our estimation of individual-level ANC and mortality outcomes may be conservative given that we simultaneously adjusted for SBA in institutional delivery, which is suggested to be promoted by the quality of ANC service provision.³¹⁻³³⁾ Another data constraint relates to the pregnancy and childbirth measures being self-reported by mothers with a recall period of up to five years. Prior validation studies suggest that the sensitivity and specificity of self-reported coverage of maternal and child health indicators can vary substantially when compared to health care records³⁴⁾ or direct observations.³⁵⁾

The validity of our contextual provider quality indicators is another critical concern. Our method relies on a simple assumption that districts (or states) with higher than average mortality rate given the same proportion of women with ≥ 4 ANC visits (or SBA) are due to poor service quality in the area. Similar approaches are taken in multilevel analyses when there are scientific interests in simultaneously assessing the effects of ecological and individual exposure variables yet contextual level data are not available.³⁶⁾ Despite our approach being methodologically robust, in the absence of indicators related to the types of health messages and education provided by health personnel and surveys on patient satisfaction, it is difficult to validate how well these indicators truly capture care that is timely, sufficient, and appropriate in content. Further applications of our methodology using other available DHS variables, such as timing of ANC visits, health check-ups conducted during the visit (i.e., measures on weight, height, blood pressure, urine sample, blood sample, stomach examination), whether iron

60 Perspectives in Nursing Science

supplementary and pregnancy complication advice were given to the mother, and indication of postnatal checks, are needed. Nevertheless, the states identified as "low quality" areas in our study largely aligned with a prior study that found lower than desired quality of ANC (in terms of utilization, clinical quality, and interpersonal quality of care) in both northern and southern states in India.³⁷⁾

Our findings provide useful insights to current literature and policy discussions around discrepancy between individual access to care and overall quality of care.³⁸⁻⁴⁰⁾ Lack of, or inadequate, ANC visits to a health facility as well as delivery without SBA or in non-institutional settings have been linked to increased risk of neonatal and infant mortality across LMICs.^{8,41-43)} Other studies, however, have found non-significant relationships^{12,14,41)} and questioned the underlying causal mechanisms as large gaps exist between contact and content of care during antenatal, birth and postnatal periods.^{39,40)} We attempted to further advance this literature by simultaneously assessing the relative importance of individual access to care and contextual provider quality in respect to neonatal, infant, and under-five mortality. In doing so, we found both to be importantly related to mortality outcomes, independent of one another, with the magnitude of associations being stronger for contextual provider quality.

Taken together with existing evidence, our findings suggest that policies should continue to promote individual access to ANC and institutional deliveries; yet, without addressing supply-side constraints that compromise quality of care, such policies will be less effective in reducing newborn deaths.¹²⁾ For instance, the Janani Suraksha Yojana program in India invested more than 200 million US dollars annually to incentivize women (in the form of cash transfers) to give birth in a health facility.⁴¹⁾ Despite its success in significantly increasing the rate of institutional deliveries, studies found no effect of the program on either neonatal mortality or early neonatal mortality (deaths within the first 24 hours).⁴¹⁾ Our findings suggest that regardless of institutional deliveries with SBA, poor quality of care at the district level (and to a lesser degree at the state level) can be detrimental for neonatal, infant, and under-five mortality. In order to achieve large improvements in child survival, there must be concomitant improvements on training of service providers to treat complications, ensuring adequate emergency obstetric-care facilities, specialist and staff, essential drugs and necessary equipment, and allocating resources to facilities in proportion to caseloads and actual need.¹²⁾

Another important policy implication of our findings is

in identifying the geographic level that contributes most to the total variation in neonatal, infant, and under-five mortality. While programs on maternal and child health in India tend to focus on districts or states for the purpose of monitoring and intervention, we found the largest contextual variation in mortality outcomes attributed to within-district local area. Therefore, the role of communities merits further investigation to better understand the geographic disparity in child survival in India. Previous multilevel studies on poverty²⁸⁾ and catastrophic health spending⁴²⁾ also documented the importance of village level. In our study, a substantial fraction of the contextual variation was explained by the individual and contextual predictors, indicating that their clustering has largely induced geographic variation in neonatal, infant, and under-five mortality.

CONCLUSION

We used the India DHS from 2015~2016 to demonstrate a method to construct contextual provider quality indicators based on individual-level data on access to care during pregnancy and at delivery. Based on a multilevel analysis, we found consistent evidence to support the importance of both individual use of ANC and SBA and contextual provider quality in respect to neonatal, infant, and under-five mortality. When simultaneously considered, contextual provider quality mattered more than individual use of ANC and SBA for all mortality outcomes and they explained substantial geographic variation especially at the district level. Further investigations are needed to assess the importance of improving quality of health service delivery at higher levels to prevent unnecessary child deaths in developing countries.

REFERENCES

1. United Nations Children's Fund. Committing to child survival: a promise renewed. New York: United Nations Children's Fund; 2015 Sep. 96 p. Available from:

https://www.unicef.org/publications/files/APR_2015_9_Sep _15.pdf

2. United Nations Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME). 'Levels and trends in child mortality: Report 2017, Estimates developed by the UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation', New York: United Nations Children's Fund; 2017 Oct. 36 p. Available from:

https://www.unicef.org/publications/files/Child_Mortality _Report_2017.pdf

3. Dutta AK, Aggarwal A. Newer development in immunization

practices. Indian J Pediatr. 2018 Jan;85(1):44-6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-017-2530-y

- 4. National Health Mission, Government of India. About NHM [Internet]. [place unknown]: National Health Mission, Government of India; c2014 [cited 2018 Jun 15]. Available from: http://nhm.gov.in/nhm/about-nhm.html
- Sidney K, Diwan V, El-Khatib Z, de Costa A. India's JSY cash transfer program for maternal health: Who participates and who doesn't-a report from Ujjain district. Reprod Health. 2012 Jan 24;9:2. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-4755-9-2
- 6. Lim SS, Dandona L, Hoisington JA, James SL, Hogan MC, Gakidou E. India's Janani Suraksha Yojana, a conditional cash transfer programme to increase births in health facilities: an impact evaluation. Lancet. 2010 Jun 5;375(9730):2009-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60744-1
- Barros AJ, Victora CG. Measuring coverage in MNCH: determining and interpreting inequalities in coverage of maternal, newborn, and child health interventions. PLoS Med. 2013; 10(5):e1001390.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001390

- Corsi DJ, Subramanian SV. Association between coverage of maternal and child health interventions, and under-5 mortality: a repeated cross-sectional analysis of 35 sub-Saharan African countries. Glob Health Action. 2014 Sep 3;7:24765. https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v7.24765
- Kruk ME, Freedman LP. Assessing health system performance in developing countries: a review of the literature. Health Policy. 2008 Mar;85(3):263-76.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2007.09.003

- World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 2016. 152 p. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250796/9 789241549912-eng.pdf;jsessionid=85AACE248B0D56E19D87 FD68711C370F?sequence=1
- 11. Lincetto O, Mothebesoane-Anoh S, Gomez P, Munjanja S. Opportunities for Africa's newborns: practical data, policy and programmatic support for newborn care in Africa. Lawn J, Kerber K, editors. [Geneva (Switzerland)]: World Health Organization; 2006. Chapter 2, Antenatal care; p. 51-62. Available from: http://www.who.int/pmnch/media/publications/oanfullre port.pdf
- 12. Gupta A, Fledderjohann J, Reddy H, Raman VR, Stuckler D, Vellakkal S. Barriers and prospects of India's conditional cash transfer program to promote institutional delivery care: a qualitative analysis of the supply-side perspectives. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018 Jan 25;18:40.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-2849-8

 International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), ICF. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4), 2015-16: India. Mumbai: IIPS; 2017. 637 p. Available from:

http://rchiips.org/nfhs/NFHS-4Reports/India.pdf

- 14. Okeke EN, Chari AV. Can institutional deliveries reduce newborn mortality? Evidence from Rwanda. Santa Monica (CA): RAND Corporation; 2014 Dec. 47 p. Available from: https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/working_papers/WR1000/WR1072/RAND_WR1072.pdf
- Banerjee A, Duflo E. Addressing absence. J Econ Perspect. 2006 Win;20(1):117-32.

https://doi.org/10.1257/089533006776526139

- Das J, Hammer J, Leonard K. The quality of medical advice in low-income countries. J Econ Perspect. 2008 Spr;22(2):91-116. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.22.2.93
- Chaudhury N, Hammer J, Kremer M, Muralidharan K, Rogers FH. Missing in action: teacher and health worker absence in developing countries. J Econ Perspect. 2006 Win;20(1):91-116. https://doi.org/10.1257/089533006776526058
- Carroli G, Rooney C, Villar J. How effective is antenatal care in preventing maternal mortality and serious morbidity? An overview of the evidence. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2001;15 Suppl 1:1-42.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3016.2001.0150s1001.x

- Bhutta ZA. Mapping the geography of child mortality: a key step in addressing disparities. Lancet Glob Health. 2016 Dec;4 (12):e877-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30264-9
- 20. Singh A, Pathak PK, Chauhan RK, Pan W. Infant and child mortality in India in the last two decades: a geospatial analysis. PLoS One. 2011;6(11):e26856.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026856

21. Raven JH, Tolhurst RJ, Tang S, Van Den Broek N. What is quality in maternal and neonatal health care?. Midwifery. 2012 Oct;28(5):e676-83.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2011.09.003

22. Dettrick Z, Gouda HN, Hodge A, Jimenez-Soto E. Measuring quality of maternal and newborn care in developing countries using demographic and health surveys. PLoS One. 2016 Jun 30;11(6):e0157110.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157110

- 23. Kozhimannil KB, Hung P, Prasad S, Casey M, McClellan M, Moscovice IS. Birth volume and the quality of obstetric care in rural hospitals. J Rural Health. 2014 Fall;30(4):335-43. https://doi.org/10.1111/jrh.12061
- 24. Pileggi-Castro C, Camelo Jr J, Perdoná G, Mussi-Pinhata MM, Cecatti JG, Mori R, et al. Development of criteria for identifying neonatal near-miss cases: analysis of two WHO multicountry cross-sectional studies. BJOG. 2014 Mar;121 Suppl 1:110-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.12637
- International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), ICF. Fact Sheet, National Family Health Survey(NFHS-4), 2015-16. IIPS; 2017. Available from:

http://rchiips.org/nfhs/factsheet_NFHS-4.shtml

- 26. National Family Health Survey. NFHS-4 [Internet]. Maharashtra: International Institute for Population Sciences; c2009 [cited 2018 Jan]. Available from: http://rchiips.org/NFHS/nfhs4.shtml
- Subramanian SV, Glymour MM, Kawachi I. Macrosocial determinants of population health. New York: Springer; 2007. Chapter 15, Identifying causal ecologic effects on health: a methodologic assessment; pp. 301-31.
- Kim R, Mohanty SK, Subramanian SV. Multilevel geographies of poverty in India. World Dev. 2016 Nov;87:349-59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.07.001
- Subramanian SV, Jones K, Duncan C. Neighborhoods and health. New York: Oxford University Press; 2003. 4. Multilevel methods for public health research; pp. 65-111.
- Goldstein H. Multilevel statistical models. 4th ed. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons; 2011. 382 p.
- 31. Ejigu Tafere T, Afework MF, Yalew AW. Antenatal care service quality increases the odds of utilizing institutional delivery in Bahir Dar city administration, north western Ethiopia: a prospective follow up study. PLoS One. 2018 Feb 8;13(2): e0192428. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192428
- 32. Ram F, Singh A. Is antenatal care effective in improving maternal health in rural Uttar Pradesh? Evidence from a district level household survey. J Biosoc Sci. 2006 Jul;38(4):433-48. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021932005026453
- 33. Bloom SS, Lippeveld T, Wypij D. Does antenatal care make a difference to safe delivery? A study in urban Uttar Pradesh, India. Health Policy Plan. 1999 Mar;14(1):38-48. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/heapol/14.1.38
- 34. Liu L, Li M, Yang L, Ju L, Tan B, Walker N, et al. Measuring coverage in MNCH: a validation study linking population survey derived coverage to maternal, newborn, and child health care records in rural China. PLoS One. 2013 May 7;8(5):e60762. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060762
- 35. Stanton CK, Rawlins B, Drake M, Dos Anjos M, Cantor D, Chongo L, et al. Measuring coverage in MNCH: testing the validity of women's self-report of key maternal and newborn health interventions during the peripartum period in Mozambique. PLoS One. 2013 May 7;8(5):e60694. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060694
- 36. Suzuki E, Yamamoto E, Takao S, Kawachi I, Subramanian SV. Clarifying the use of aggregated exposures in multilevel models: self-included vs. self-excluded measures. PLoS One. 2012; 7(12):e51717. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051717
- Rani M, Bonu S, Harvey S. Differentials in the quality of antenatal care in India. Int J Qual Health Care. 2008 Feb;20(1):62-71. https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzm052
- 38. Heredia-Pi I, Servan-Mori E, Darney BG, Reyes-Morales H, Lozano R. Measuring the adequacy of antenatal health care: a

national cross-sectional study in Mexico. Bull World Health Organ. 2016 Jun 1;94(6):452-61.

https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.15.168302

- 39. Carvajal-Aguirre L, Amouzou A, Mehra V, Ziqi M, Zaka N, Newby H. Gap between contact and content in maternal and newborn care: An analysis of data from 20 countries in sub-Saharan Africa. J Glob Health. 2017 Dec;7(2):020501. https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.07.020501
- 40. Hodgins S, D'Agostino A. The quality-coverage gap in antenatal care: toward better measurement of effective coverage. Glob Health Sci Pract. 2014 Apr 8;2(2):173-81.

https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-13-00176

 Powell-Jackson T, Mazumdar S, Mills A. Financial incentives in health: New evidence from India's Janani Suraksha Yojana. J Health Econ. 2015 Sep;43:154-69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2015.07.001

42. Mohanty SK, Kim R, Khan PK, Subramanian SV. Geographic variation in household and catastrophic health spending in India: assessing the relative importance of villages, districts, and states, 2011-2012. Milbank Q. 2018 Mar;96(1):167-206. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.12315

Appendix 1. 36 Indian States/Union Territories Classified as High versus Low Provider Quality Areas

Neonatal	mortality	Infant n	nortality	Under-five mortality	
High provider quality states	Low provider quality states	High provider quality states	Low provider quality states	High provider quality states	Low provider quality states
Andaman and Nicobar Islands	Andhra Pradesh [†]	Andaman and Nicobar Islands	Andhra Pradesh [†]	Andaman and Nicobar Islands	Andhra Pradesh [†]
Arunachal Pradesh	Assam	Arunachal Pradesh	Assam	Arunachal Pradesh	Assam
Bihar*	Chandigarh	Bihar*	Chandigarh	Bihar*	Chhattisgarh
Dadra and Nagar Haveli	Chhattisgarh	Daman and Diu	Chhattisgarh	Chandigarh	Dadra And Nagar Haveli [†]
Daman and Diu	Gujarat [†]	Goa	Dadra and Nagar Haveli [†]	Daman and Diu	Delhi [†]
Delhi	Himachal Pradesh [†]	Haryana	Delhi [†]	Goa	Gujarat [†]
Goa	Jammu and Kashmir [†]	Jharkhand	Gujarat [†]	Haryana	Jammu and Kashmir [†]
Haryana	Lakshadweep	Karnataka	Himachal Pradesh [†]	Himachal Pradesh	Madhya Pradesh
Jharkhand	Madhya Pradesh	Kerala	Jammu and Kashmir [†]	Jharkhand	Mizoram
Karnataka	Odisha	Maharashtra	Lakshadweep [†]	Karnataka	Odisha
Kerala	Punjab [†]	Manipur	Madhya Pradesh	Kerala	Sikkim [†]
Maharashtra	Sikkim [†]	Meghalaya	Mizoram	Lakshadweep	Uttar Pradesh
Manipur	Telangana [†]	Nagaland	Odisha	Maharashtra	West Bengal [†]
Meghalaya	Uttar Pradesh	Puducherry	Sikkim [†]	Manipur	
Mizoram	West Bengal [†]	Punjab	Uttar Pradesh	Meghalaya	
Nagaland		Rajasthan*	West Bengal [†]	Nagaland	
Puducherry		Tamil Nadu		Puducherry	
Rajasthan*		Telangana		Punjab	
Tamil Nadu		Tripura		Rajasthan*	
Tripura		Uttarakhand		Tamil Nadu	
Uttarakhand				Telangana	
				Tripura	
				Uttarakhand	

*Low provider quality based on institutional delivery with skilled birth attendant; [†]High provider quality based on institutional delivery with skilled birth attendant.

Appendix 2. 640 Indian Districts Classified as High versus Low Provider Quality Areas

Neonatal mortality		Infant mortality		Under-five mortality		
High provider quality districts	Low provider quality districts	High provider quality districts	Low provider quality districts	High provider quality districts	Low provider quality districts	
Adilabad	Agra	Adilabad	Agra	Adilabad	Agra	
Abmadnagar	Abmadahad [†]	Abmadnagar	Ahmadahad [†]	Abmadnagar	Ahmadahad [†]	
Airavil	Aliala	Aimaor	Airoud	Aimor	Airavul [†]	
Aizawi	Akola	Alexander		Alexandra	Alzawi	
Ajmer	Angarn	Alappuzna	Akola	Alappuzha	Akola	
Alappuzna	Ambedkar Nagar	Alirajpur	Aligarn	Alirajpur	Aligarh	
Alirajpur	Anand	Allahabad*	Ambedkar Nagar	Almora	Allahabad	
Allahabad	Anantnag	Almora	Anand	Alwar	Ambedkar Nagar	
Almora	Anugul	Alwar	Anantnag	Ambala	Anand	
Alwar	Araria	Ambala	Anugul	Amravati	Anantnag	
Ambala	Azamgarh	Amravati	Araria	Amreli	Anugul	
Amravati	Badgam [†]	Amreli	Badgam [†]	Amritsar	Araria	
Amreli	Bagalkot [†]	Amritsar	Bagalkot [†]	Anantapur	Badgam [†]	
Amritsar	Baghpat	Anantapur	Baghpat	Anjaw	Bagalkot [†]	
Anantapur	Bahraich	Anjaw	Bahraich	Anuppur	Baghpat	
Anjaw	Balaghat	Anuppur	Balaghat	Ariyalur	Bahraich	
Anuppur*	Balangir	Ariyalur	Balangir	Arwal	Balaghat	
Ariyalur	Balrampur	Arwal	Balrampur	Ashoknagar	Balangir	
Arwal	Banaskantha	Ashoknagar	Banaskantha	Auraiva	Balrampur	
Ashoknagar	Bandipore	Auraiva	Bandipore	Aurangabad	Banaskantha	
Auraiva	Bankura	Aurangabad*	Bankura [†]	Aurangabad	Banda	
Aurangahad	Bareilly [†]	Aurangabad	Baramula	Azamgarh*	Bandinore	
Aurangabad	Barnala	Azamgarh*	Barddhaman [†]	Bageshwar	Bankura [†]	
Bagashwar	Barrani	Bagachurar	Baroilly	Balca	Baramula [†]	
Palva	DafWalli	Dagestiwar	Dateilly	DakSa	Dardilluid	
DaKSa	Dastar	Daksa	Dargarn Bawala	Datesnwar	Dareilly Bassault [†]	
Baleshwar	Baudh	Baleshwar	Barnala	Ballia	Bargarh	
Ballia	Bellary	Ballia	Barpeta	Bangalore	Barnala	
Banda*	Bhandara	Banda*	Bastar	Bangalore Rural	Bastar	
Bangalore	Bharuch	Bangalore	Baudh	Banka*	Basti	
Bangalore Rural	Bhilwara	Bangalore Rural	Bellary	Banswara*	Baudh	
Banka*	Bhind	Banka*	Bhandara	Bara Banki*	Bellary	
Banswara	Bhiwani	Banswara	Bharuch [†]	Baran	Bhandara	
Bara Banki	Bhopal	Bara Banki*	Bhilwara	Barddhaman	Bharuch	
Baramula	Bidar	Baran	Bhind	Barmer*	Bhilwara	
Baran*	Bijapur	Barmer*	Bhiwani	Barpeta	Bhiwani	
Barddhaman	Biinor	Barwani	Bhopal	Barwani	Bhopal	
Bargarh	Bilaspur	Basti*	Bidar	Bathinda	Bijapur	
Barmer*	Birbhum [†]	Bathinda	Bijapur	Begusarai	Biinor	
Barneta	Budaun	Begusarai	Bijnor	Belgaum	Bilaenur	
Bacti*	Bulandshahr	Belgaum	Bilaenur	Botul	Birbhum [†]	
Bathinda	Burbappur	Botul	Birbhum	Bhadrak	Bichpupur [†]	
Bogucarai*	Buyar	Bhadrak	Budaun	Bhagalpur	Budaup	
B-1	Cashan	Placestan	Bulandahan	Plasadaut	Puta dala da	
Delgaum	Cachar	bhagaipur	bulandsnanr	bharatpur"	Bulandsnanr	
Betul	Central	bharatpur	burnanpur	bhavhagar	Burnanpur	
Bhadrak	Chamarajanagar	Bhavnagar	Buxar	Bhind*	Buxar	
Bhagalpur	Chamba	Bhojpur	Cachar	Bhojpur	Cachar	
Bharatpur*	Champawat	Bid	Central	Bid	Central	
Bhavnagar*	Chandigarh	Bijapur	Chamarajanagar	Bidar*	Chamarajanagar	
Bhojpur*	Chatra	Bikaner	Chamba	Bijapur	Chamba	
Bid	Chhindwara	Bilaspur	Champhai	Bikaner	Chhatarpur	
Bijapur	Chitrakoot	Bishnupur	Chandigarh	Bilaspur	Chhindwara	
Bikaner	Chittaurgarh	Bokaro	Chhatarpur	Bokaro	Chitradurga	
Bilaspur	Dakshin Bastar Dantewada	Bongaigaon	Chhindwara	Bongaigaon	Chitrakoot	
Bishnupur	Dakshin Dinajpur	Buldana	Chitradurga	Buldana	Dadra & Nagar Haveli†	
Bokaro	Daman	Bundi*	Chitrakoot	Bundi*	Dakshin Bastar Dantewada	
Bongaigaon	Darrang	Chamoli	Dadra & Nagar Haveli [†]	Chamoli	Dakshin Dinajpur	
Buldana	Datia	Champawat*	Dakshin Bastar Dantewada	Champawat*	Daman [†]	
Bundi	Davanagere	Chandauli	Dakshin Dinajpur	Champhai	Damoh	
Chamoli	Debagarh	Chandel	Daman	Chandauli	Datia	
Champhai	Deoghar	Chandrapur	Damoh	Chandel	Davanagere	
Chandauli	Dewas	Changlang	Datia	Chandigarh	Debagarh	
Chandel	Dhamtari	Chatra*	Davanagere	Chandranur	Dewas	
Chandrapur	Dhanhad	Channai	Debagarh	Chandlang	Dhamtari	
Chanalana	Dhan	Childahallanur	Deura	Chatmat	Dhar	
Changesi	Dhamuad	Chilmaaalum	Dewds	Channai	Dhamuad	
Chennai	Dharwad	Cukmagalur	Diamtari	Clininal	Dharwad	
Chnatarpur*	Dhemaji	Chirang	Dhar	Chikkaballapura	Dhemaji	
Chikkaballapura	Dhenkanal	Chittaurgarh*	Dharwad	Chikmagalur	Dhenkanal	
Chikmagalur	Dima Hasao	Chittoor	Dhemaji	Chirang	Dima Hasao	
Chirang	Dindori	Churachandpur	Dhenkanal	Chittaurgarh*	Dindori	
Chitradurga	Dohad	Churu	Dindori	Chittoor	Dohad	

Kim, Rockli · Choi, Narshil · Subramanian, S. V. et al.

	1				
Chittoor	Dumka	Coimbatore	Dohad	Churachandpur	Dumka
Churachandpur	Durg	Cuddalore	Dumka	Churu	Durg
Churu	Etab	Cuttack	Durg [†]	Coimbatore	Fast Codavari
Crimbatan	Edit	Dalada Kanada	East	Cuddalana	Eust Codulytin
Combatore	Faizabad	Daksnina Kannada	East	Cuddalore	Etan
Cuddalore	Farrukhabad	Darbhanga	East Godavari	Cuttack	Faizabad
Cuttack	Fatehabad	Darjiling	Etah	Dakshina Kannada	Farrukhabad
Dadra & Nagar Haveli	Firozabad	Darrang	Faizabad	Darbhanga	Fatehgarh Sahib
Dakshina Kannada	Firozpur	Dausa*	Farrukhabad	Dariiling	Firozabad
Damaht	Cadabiaali	Dahmadum	Fatahasuh Cabih	Damana	Caianati
Danon	Gauchiron	Denradun	Fateligarit Satilb	Darrang	Gajapau
Darbhanga	Gajapati	Deoghar	Firozabad	Dausa*	Ganderbal
Darjiling	Ganderbal	Deoria*	Gajapati	Dehradun	Gandhinagar
Dausa	Gandhinagar	Dhalai	Ganderbal	Deoghar	Garhwa
Dehradun	Ganganagar	Dhanbad	Gandhinagar [†]	Deoria*	Gautam Buddha Nagar
Deoria*	Carbura	Dharmanuri	Carbura	Dhalai	Cava
Deolia		Diamapun			
Dhalai	Gautam Buddha Nagar	Dhaulpur*	Gautam Buddha Nagar	Dhanbad	Ghaziabad
Dharmapuri	Gaya	Dhubri	Gaya	Dharmapuri	Ghazipur
Dhaulpur	Ghazipur	Dhule	Ghaziabad	Dhaulpur*	Giridih
Dhubri	Giridih	Dibang Valley	Ghazipur	Dhubri	Godda
Dhule	Godda	Dibrugarh	Golaghat	Dhule	Golaghat
Dibang Valley	Golaghat	Dima Hasao	Gonda	Dibang Valley	Gonda
Dibung vuncy	Condition	Dimentiado	Comition	Dibute valey	Carallana
Dibrugarn	Gonda	Dimapur	Goraknpur	Dibrugarn	Goraknpur
Dimapur	Gorakhpur	Dindigul	Guna	Dimapur	Guna
Dindigul	Guna	Diu	Guntur	Dindigul	Gwalior
Diu	Gwalior	Doda	Gwalior	Diu	Hailakandi
Doda	Hailakandi	Dungarpur	Hailakandi	Doda	Hamirpur [†]
Dungarnur	Hamirpur	Fact District	Hamirpur	Dungarour	Haora
Fred		East District		Dungarpur	114014
East	паога	East Garo Hills	паога	East	Fiardoi
East District	Hardoi	East Kameng	Hardoi	East District	Hardwar
East Garo Hills	Hazaribagh	East Khasi Hills	Hardwar	East Garo Hills	Hingoli
East Godavari	Hingoli	East Siang	Hingoli	East Kameng	Hisar
Fast Kameng	Hoshangahad	Frnakulam	Hisar	Fast Khasi Hills	Jabalpur
East Runcing	Lebelana	Endkalan	I hour	East Clause	Justipu
East Knasi Fillis	Jabaipur	Erode	Jabaipur	East Slang	Jagatsingnapur
East Siang	Jagatsinghapur	Etawah*	Jagatsinghapur	Ernakulam	Jaipur
Ernakulam	Jalaun	Faridabad	Jaisalmer	Erode	Jaisalmer
Erode	Jalgaon	Faridkot	Jalandhar	Etawah*	Jalandhar [†]
Etawah	Ialor	Fatehabad	Ialaun	Faridabad	Ialaun
Faridabad	Jampagar	Fatabour	Jalor	Faridkot	Jalor
Fail direct	Januardan	Financia	Junor	Fatabala d	Julio I
Farickot	Jamtara	Firozpur	Jamnagar	Fatenabad	Jamnagar
Fatehgarh Sahib	Jamui	Gadag	Jashpur	Fatehpur	Jashpur
Fatehpur	Janjgir - Champa	Gadchiroli	Jaunpur	Firozpur	Jaunpur
Gadag	Jashpur	Ganganagar*	Jehanabad	Gadag	Jehanabad
Ganiam	Jaunpur	Ganiam	Ihalawar	Gadchiroli	Ihalawar
Corbural	Johanahad	Carbural	Ihanci	Canganagar*	Ihanci
	Jenanabau	Gainwai		Galigaliagai	
Ghaziabad*	Jhalawar	Giridin	Jharsuguda	Ganjam	Jharsuguda
Goalpara	Jhansi	Goalpara	Jhunjhunun	Garhwal	Jorhat '
Gondiya	Jharsuguda	Godda	Jorhat [†]	Goalpara	Jyotiba Phule Nagar
Gopalganj	Jorhat	Gondiya	Ivotiba Phule Nagar	Gondiya	Kabirdham [†]
Gulbarga	Ivotiba Phule Nagar	Gopalgani	Kachchh	Gopalgani	Kachchh
Cumla	Kabirdham [†]	Culbarga	Kaithal	Culbarga	Kaithal
Curlia		Guidaiga	Kantilai	Guidaiga	Kantilai
Guntur	Nachchin	Gumia	Nalananai	Gumia	Nalananci
Gurdaspur	Kaithal	Gurdaspur	Kamrup Metropolitan	Guntur*	Kamrup Metropolitan
Gurgaon	Kamrup Metropolitan	Gurgaon	Kandhamal	Gurdaspur	Kandhamal
Hamirpur*	Kandhamal	Hamirpur*	Kangra [†]	Gurgaon	Kangra [†]
Hanumangarh*	Kangra	Hanumangarh	Kannauj	Hamirpur*	Kannauj
Harda	Kannaui	Harda	Kanshiram Nagar	Hanumangarh	Kanshiram Nagar
Llandrumet	Kanahiram Nagari	Lassan	Varaali	Llanda*	Vanuethala
1 Iai (Waf	Kansimani Nagar	1 1a55d11		1 1/1/1/10	Napurulaia
Hassan	Kapurthala '	Haveri	Karbi Anglong	Hassan	Karauli
Haveri	Karauli	Hazaribagh*	Kargil	Haveri	Karbi Anglong
Hisar*	Karbi Anglong	Hoshangabad	Karimganj	Hazaribagh*	Kargil
Hoshiarpur	Kargil [†]	Hoshiarpur	Karimnagar	Hoshangabad	Karimganj
Hugli	Karimgani	Hugli	Kathua [†]	Hoshiarpur	Karimpagar
Hudorahad	Karimpaga.	Hudombad	Katibar	Hudi	Kathua [†]
nyderabad	Karimnagar	nyderabad	Naunar	rugii	Naulua
Idukki	Kathua	ldukki	Katni	Hyderabad	Katni
Imphal East	Katihar	Imphal East	Kaushambi	Idukki	Kaushambi
Imphal West	Katni	Imphal West	Kendujhar	Imphal East	Kendujhar
Indore	Kaushambi	Indore	Kheda	Imphal West	Kheda
Jaintia Hills	Kenduibar	laintia Hills	Kheri	Indore	Kheri
Junitia 1 mis	Theresis	Janua i mis		Labore	
Jaipur	Knagaria	Jaipur	Ninnaur t	Jaintia Hills	Kinnaur t
Jaisalmer*	Khargone (West Nimar)	Jajapur*	Kiphire '	Jajapur	Kıphire '
Jajapur*	Kheda	Jalgaon	Kishtwar [†]	Jalgaon	Kishtwar [†]
Jalandhar	Kheri	Jalna	Koch Bihar	Jalna	Koch Bihar
Ialna	Kinnaur [†]	Jalpaiguri	Kolar	Jalpaiguri	Kokraihar [†]
Jalmaiguri	Koch Bihar	Jammu	Kolasih	Jammu	Kolar
Junpunguni Le manua	Kaluatian	Terreterre	I without	Terreterre	1 1 1 -
Jammu	Kokrajnar	Janitara	NOLDA	Januara	NOIdSID

Quality Matter Beyond Access

Jhabua	Kolar	Jamui*	Korea (Koriya)	Jamui*	Korba
Jhajjar*	Koraput [†]	Janjgir - Champa	Krishnagiri	Janjgir - Champa	Korea (Koriya)
Jhunjhunun*	Korba	Ihabua	Kulgam	Ihabua*	Krishnagiri
lind	Korea (Koriva)	Ihaijar	Kushinagar	Ihaijar	Kulgam
Jodbpur*	Krishpagiri	lind	Lakhimpur [†]	Ibupibupup*	Kupwara [†]
Junagadh	Kulaam	Jadbour*	Lakshaduroon [†]	lind	Kushinagar
Kalana (Plashua)*	Kuigani	Joanpui	Lakstadweep	Te diaman	
Kaimur (bhabua)"	Kupwara	Junagadn	Laitpur	Joanpur	Lakhimpur
Kalahandi	Kushinagar	Kabirdham	Lawngtlai	Junagadh	Lalitpur
Kamrup	Lakshadweep	Kaimur (Bhabua)*	Lohit '	Kaimur (Bhabua)*	Leh
Kancheepuram	Lohit	Kamrup	Lucknow	Kamrup	Lohit
Kanniyakumari	Lucknow	Kancheepuram	Ludhiana [†]	Kancheepuram	Lucknow
Kannur	Ludhiana	Kanniyakumari	Lunglei	Kanniyakumari	Ludhiana [†]
Kanpur Dehat*	Mahamaya Nagar	Kannur	Mahamaya Nagar	Kannur	Lunglei
Kanpur Nagar	Mahasamund	Kanpur Dehat*	Mahasamund	Kanpur Dehat*	Mahamaya Nagar
Karaikal	Mahraigani	Kanpur Nagar	Mahbubnagar	Kanpur Nagar	Mahasamund
Karnal	Mainpuri	Kapurthala	Mahesana [†]	Karaikal	Mahesana [†]
Vomen	Mallionairi	Kamikal	Mahasiaani	Varraal	Mahasiaani
Karur	Maralla	Karaikai	Mainagan	Karitai	Mainagan
Kasaragod	Mandia	Karnai	Mainpuri	Karur	Mainpuri
Kendrapara	Mandsaur	Karur	Malkangiri	Kasaragod	Malkangiri
Khammam	Mandya '	Kasaragod	Mandla '	Katihar*	Mandla
Khandwa (East Nimar)	Mathura	Kendrapara	Mandsaur	Kendrapara	Mandsaur
Khordha	Mau	Khagaria*	Mandya [†]	Khagaria*	Mandya [†]
Khunti	Medak	Khammam	Mathura	Khammam	Mathura
Kiphire	Meerut	Khandwa (East Nimar)	Mau	Khandwa (East Nimar)	Mau
Kishangani	Mewat	Khargone (West Nimar)*	Medak	Khargone (West Nimar)*	Mavurbhani [†]
Kichtwar	Mirzapur	Khordha	Meerut	Khordha	Medak
Kodam	Moga	Khunti	Mourat	Khunti	Moorut
Kouagu Ka da maa	ivioga	Kininini Kininini	IVICWAL	Kithanani	Manual
Kodarma	Ivioradabad	Kisnanganj	Niirzapur	Kisnanganj	Newat
Kohima	Morigaon	Kodagu	Moga	Kodagu	Mirzapur
Kolasib	Munger	Kodarma	Moradabad	Kodarma	Moga
Kolhapur	Murshidabad [†]	Kohima	Morena	Kohima	Moradabad
Kolkata	Muzaffarnagar	Kokrajhar	Morigaon	Kolhapur	Morena
Kollam	Nabarangapur	Kolhapur	Muktsar	Kolkata	Morigaon
Koppal	Nadia [†]	Kolkata	Mumbai Suburban [†]	Kollam	Muktsar
Kota	Nagaon	Kollam	Muzaffarnagar	Koppal	Mumbai Suburban [†]
Kottavam	Nalbari	Konnal	Nabaranganur	Koraput	Muzaffarnagar
Kozbikodo	Nandad	Koroput	Nadia†	Kotaput	Nabaranganur
Kozilikode	Nandeukan [†]	Kotaput	Natia	Kota	Nabarangapui
Krishna	Nandurbar	Kota	Nagaon	Kottayam	Nadia
Kullu	Narayanpur	Kottayam	Nalbari	Kozhikode	Nagaon
Kurnool	Narsimhapur	Kozhikode	Nanded '	Krishna	Nalbari
Kurukshetra	Navsari [†]	Krishna	Narmada [†]	Kullu	Narmada
Kurung Kumey	Nayagarh	Kullu	Nayagarh	Kurnool	Navsari [†]
Lahul And Spiti	Nizamabad	Kupwara	Nizamabad	Kurukshetra	Nayagarh
Lakhimpur	North	Kurnool	North	Kurung Kumey	Nizamabad
Lakhisarai*	North Goa [†]	Kurukshetra	North East [†]	Lahul And Spiti	North [†]
Lalitour*	Nuapada	Kurung Kumey	North Tripura	Lakhisarai*	North Fast [†]
Latebar	Pali	Labul And Spiti	Nuapada	Lakehadureen	North Tripura
Latera	Panna	Lallaisanai*	Dali	Latahan	Numeda
Latur		Lakilisarai	Fall	Lateriar	nuapada
Lawngtlai	Parbhani	Latehar	Panna	Latur	Pali
Leh	Paschim Medinipur	Latur	Parbhani	Lawngtlai	Panna
Lohardaga	Pashchim Champaran	Leh	Paschim Medinipur	Lohardaga	Parbhani '
Longleng	Patan	Lohardaga	Pashchim Champaran	Longleng	Paschim Medinipur [™]
Lower Dibang Valley	Pilibhit	Longleng	Pashchimi Singhbhum	Lower Dibang Valley	Pashchim Champaran
Lower Subansiri	Pithoragarh	Lower Dibang Valley	Patan	Lower Subansiri	Pashchimi Singhbhum
Lunglei	Dualsagama	Lower Subanciri	Pilibhit	Madhepura	Patan
Madhepura*	Frakasam	Lower Subarisin	1 monut	*	
	Pulwama [†]	Madhepura	Pithoragarh	Madhubani	Perambalur [†]
Madhubani	Pulwama [†]	Madhepura Madhubani	Pithoragarh Prakasam	Madhubani Madurai	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit
Madhubani Madurai	Pulwama [†] Puri Purpia	Madhepura Madhubani Madurai	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama	Madhubani Madurai Mahhubnagar	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh
Madhubani Madurai Mabhubpagar	Puria Puria Puria	Madhepura Madhubani Madurai	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Pulwa Madininur [†]	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Maba	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Perakasam
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar	Pulwama [†] Puri Purnia Puruliya [†] Pag Baseli	Madhepura Madhubani Madurai Mahe Mahepulagouth	Pithoragarh Prakasam Purba Medinipur [†]	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Prakasam Pertanggab
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe	Puria Purria Puruiya [†] Puruiya [†] Rae Bareli	Madhepura Madhubani Maduubani Madurai Mahe Mahendragarh	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Prakasam Pratapgarh
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh	Puria Purnia Puruija [†] Puruija [†] Rae Bareli Raichur	Madhepura Madhubani Maduubani Mahen Mahendragarh Mahendragarh Mahoba*	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Purnia	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Maheoba*	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Prakasam Pratapgarh Pulwama
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahesana	Pulwama [†] Puri Purnia Puruliya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†]	Madhepura Madhubani Maduubani Mahubani Mahendragarh Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba [*] Malappuram	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Prakasam Pratapgarh Pulwama Puri
Madhubani Madhubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahendragarh Mahesana Mahoba*	Pulwama [†] Puri Purnia Puruliya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh	Madhepura Madhubani Madurai Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Pratapgarh Pulwama Puri Purnia
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahesana Mahoba* Malappuram	Pukasani Puria Purnia Puruliya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh	Madhepura Madhubani Madurai Mahen Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mamit	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [↑] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maladah Manti	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Pratapgarh Pulwama Pulwama Purria Rae Bareli
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahosana Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah	Pursia Purria Purria Puruiya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh	Madhepura Madhubani Madurai Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba [*] Malappuram Maldah Mandi	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahopa* Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Prakasam Pratapgarh Pulwama Puria Rae Bareli Raichur
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahesana Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Malath Malmit	Purvia Purvia Puruiya [†] Puruiya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raipur Raisen Raigarh	Madhepura Madhubani Maduubani Mahu Mahendragarh Mahoba [*] Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raipur	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malapuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Prakasam Pratapgarh Pulwama Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†]
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Maldah Mamit Mandi	Purkasani Puri Purria Purruliya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raigur Raisen Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgarh	Madhepura Madhubani Maduubani Mahubani Mahendragarh Mahoba [*] Malappuram Maldah Marnit Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj	Pithoragarh Pithoragarh Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh	Madhubani Madurai Mahubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba [*] Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mamit Mandi Mansa Mokokchung	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Prakasam Pratapgarh Pulwama Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahesana Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa	Purwama [†] Purwia Purruliya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh	Madhepura Madhubani Maduubani Mahubani Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa Mokokchung Mon	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Pratapgarh Putapgarh Pulwama Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahbe Mahendragarh Mahesana Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa Maurabani	Purkasani Pulwama [†] Purria Purrija [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigur Raisen Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgan	Madhepura Madhubani Madurai Mahendragarh Mahendragarh Mahoba [*] Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung Mon	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgarh	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Marit Manti Mandi Mansa Mokokchung Mon Mumbai	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Pratapgarh Putapgarh Pulwama Puria Purnia Rae Bareli Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raipur Raiparh
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahbu Mahendragarh Mahosana Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Makakahurg	Purakasani Pulwama [†] Purri Purnia Puruliya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajanadgaon Rajaamand Rasamand	Madhepura Madhubani Madubani Mahubani Mahendragarh Mahoba [*] Malappuram Maldah Mandi Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung Mon Mumbai	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh	Madhubani Madurai Mahubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahopa* Malappuram Maldah Marnit Mandi Mandi Mansa Mokokchung Mon Mumbai Mumbai	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Prakasam Pratapgarh Pulwama Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahesana Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Manit Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung Man	Puraisaani Pulwama [†] Purria Purria Puruliya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raipur Raisen Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgandgaon Rajsamand Rampur Raugada	Madhepura Madhubani Madhubani Mahubani Mahumani Mahubani Mahumani Mahubaar Mahubaar Maludah Mamit Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung Mon Mumbai Mumaar	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh	Madhubani Maduurai Mahubangar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mandi Mandi Mandi Mansa Mokokchung Mon Mumbai Munger* Murghidabad	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Pratapgarh Pratapgarh Putapgarh Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajkot [†] Painanchanan
Madhubani Madutrai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahesana Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mandi Mamit Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung Mon	Purkasani Puri Puri Puruiya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raigur Raisen Rajarh Rajarh Rajarh Rajandgaon Rajsamand Rampur Rayagada	Madhepura Madhubani Madhubani Mahubani Mahubani Mahubani Mahubani Mahubani Mahubani Mahubani Manit Manit Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung Mon Mumbai Mumbai Mumbai	Pithoragarh Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Rajbar Rajba	Madhubani Madurai Mahubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa Mokokchung Mon Mumbai Munger* Murshidabad Margifura	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Pratapgarh Pratapgarh Pulwama Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh
Madhubani Madurai Mahubnagar Mahe Maheadragarh Mahesana Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mandi Mandi Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung Mon Morena*	Parkasahi Pulwama [†] Purri Purria Puruliya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Rajgarh Rajsan Rajgarh Rajsanand Rajsamand Rajsamand Rampur Rayagada Rewa	Madhepura Madhubani Madurai Mahu Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Marnit Mandi Marnit Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung Mon Mumbai Munger* Murshidabad	Pithoragarh Prakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Purni Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Rajgarh Rajkot [†] Rajnandgaon Rajsamand Rampur Rayagada	Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa Mokokchung Mon Mumbai Mumbai Mumbai Murshidabad Muzaffarpur	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Pratapgarh Pratapgarh Pulwama Pulwama Puri Purnia Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raipur Rajgarh Rajparh Rajanndgaon Rajaamand
Madhubani Madurai Mahbubnagar Mahbe Mahendragarh Mahesana Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Mamit Mandi Mansa Mayurbhanj Mokokchung Mon Morena* Muktsar	Parkasahi Pulwama [†] Purri Purria Puruliya [†] Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajandgaon Rajsamand Rajsamand Rayagada Rewa Rohtak	Madhepura Madhubani Madhubani Mahubani Mahu Mahumani Mahumani Mahumani Mahumani Malappuram Malappuram Malappuram Malappuram Malappuram Malappuram Mahumani Mansa Mayurbhanj Mon Mumbai Munger* Murshidabad Muzaffarpur	Pithoragarh Pithoragarh Purakasam Pulwama Purba Medinipur [†] Puri Puri Puria Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Rajgarh Rajgarh Rajandgaon Rajanandgaon Rajanand Rampur Rayagada Rewa	Madhubani Madurai Mahubnagar Mahe Mahendragarh Mahoba* Malappuram Maldah Marnit Mandi Marnit Mandi Mansa Mokokchung Mon Mumbai Munger* Murshidabad Muzaffarpur Mysore	Perambalur [†] Pilibhit Pithoragarh Pratapgarh Pratapgarh Pulwama Pulwama Puria Rae Bareli Raichur Raigarh [†] Raigarh Raigarh Raigarh Rajgarh Rajgan Rajaanad Rajsamand Rampur

Kim, Rockli · Choi, Narshil · Subramanian, S. V. et al.

Mumbai Suburban	Ruppagar [†]	Naganattinam	Robtae	Nagaur	Rowa
Withinibal Suburbait	Ruphagai	ivagapattinain	2	i vagatti	The wa
Muzaffarpur*	Sagar	Nagaur	Sagar	Nagpur	Rohtak
Mysore	Saharanpur	Nagpur	Saharanpur	Nainital	Rohtas
NT U	6.1	NT COLUMN	6.1	NT 1 1 4	6.1
Nagapattinam	Saharsa	Nainital	Saharsa	Nalanda*	Sanaranpur
Nagaur	Sahibganj	Nalanda*	Sahibganj	Nalgonda	Saharsa
Namur	Sabibrada Aiit Singh Magar	Nalgonda	Sabibrada Ajit Singh Magar [†]	Namakkal	Sahihaani
Nagpui	Salitozada Ajit Siligit Nagai	Thaigoffua	Salibzada Ajit Siligit Nagai	InalliaKkai	Salibgalij
Nainital	Sambalpur	Namakkal	Saiha	Nanded	Saiha
Nalanda*	Sangrur	Nandurbar	Sambalpur [†]	Nandurbar	Sambalpur
			Sumbuput		
Nalgonda	Sant Kabir Nagar	Narayanpur	Sangrur	Narayanpur	Sangrur
Namakkal	Sant Ravidas Nagar (Bhadohi)	Narsimhapur*	Sant Kabir Nagar	Narsimhapur	Sant Kabir Nagar
Narmada	Sawai Madhomur	Nachik	Sant Pavidas Nagar (Bhadahi)	Nachik	Sant Paridae Magar (Bhadahi)
INarmaua	Sawai Mauliopur	INASILIK	Sant Kavidas Nagar (bhadoni)	INdSHIK	Sant Ravidas Nagar (Bhadohi)
Nashik	Seoni	Navsari	Sawai Madhopur	Nawada	Saraikela Kharsawan
Nawada	Shahiahanpur	Nawada	Seoni	Neemuch	Sawai Madhopur
	Shunganan pan				ourrai maanopai
Neemuch	Shajapur	Neemuch	Serchhip	New Delhi	Seoni
New Delhi	Sheohar	New Delhi	Shahdol	Nicobars	Serchhip [†]
Nicobaro	Chimaga	Nicobaro	Shahiahannur	North District	Shahdal
INCODELS	Sillinoga	Nicobars	Sitaigaranpui	Norut District	Signation
North District	Shivpuri	North District	Shajapur	North & Middle Andaman	Shahjahanpur
North & Middle Andaman	Shrawasti	North & Middle Andaman	Sheohar	North Goa	Shajapur
Maulh Faat	Churcheren	North Con	Chimmen	Nexth Terrate From Development	Charles
North East	Shupiyan	North Goa	Shimoga	North Twenty Four Parganas	Sheohar
North Tripura	Siddharth Nagar	North Twenty Four Parganas	Shivpuri	North West	Shimoga [†]
North Truce to Equip Democrace	Ciallei	North West	Character	Osmanahad	Chirmuni
rout rwenty rout rarganas	onull aut	ivoitti vvest	Judwasu	Contanavau	Suvpun
North West	Sikar	Osmanabad	Shupiyan	Pakur	Shrawasti
Osmanabad	Simdega	Pakur	Siddharth Nagar	Palakkad	Shupiyan [†]
Dalaur	Cin dhu dun	Dalaldead	Cin dhu dur †	Dalama	Ciddhauth NT
Pakur	Sindhudurg	Palakkad	Sindhudurg	Palamu	Siddharth Nagar
Palakkad	Sirmaur	Palamu	Sirmaur	Palwal	Sidhi
Palamu	Sirohi	Palwal	Sirohi	Panchkula	Simdega
i dantu	UIIUII	1 0119701	Juliu .		omucea
Palwal	Sirsa	Panchkula	Sirsa	Panchmahal	Singrauli
Panchkula	Sitamarhi	Panchmahal	Sitamarhi [†]	Panipat	Sirmaur
D 1 1 1	C'h		C'i		C: 1:
Panchmahal	Sitapur	Panipat	Sitapur	Papumpare	Sirohi
Panipat	Sivasagar	Papumpare	Sivasagar	Pathanamthitta	Sitamarhi
Demonstration	Circura	Dethe second due	C-1	D-0-1-	Citerran
Papumpare	Siwan	Pathanamthitta	Solan	Patiala	Sitapur
Pashchimi Singhbhum	Solan	Patiala	Sonitpur	Patna	Sivasagar
Pathanamthitta	Sonbhadra	Patra	South	Paran	South
	Solibiladia				South
Patiala	South	Perambalur	Sri Potti Sriramulu Nellore '	Phek	South Garo Hills
Patna*	South Twenty Four Parganas [†]	Peren	Srikakulam	Porbandar	South Twenty Four Parganas [†]
D 11	C D W C : 1 N II	PI 1	6 :		C 1 1 1
Perambalur	Sri Potti Sriramulu Nellore	Phek	Srinagar	Pratapgarh	Srikakulam
Peren	Srikakulam	Porbandar	Subarnapur	Puducherry	Srinagar
Phok	Sripagar	Pratangarh*	Sultannur	Pudukkottai	Subarnanur [†]
THER	511 lagar	Thaapgan	Sultanpui	1 udukkottai	Subarrapti
Porbandar	Subarnapur	Pratapgarh*	Surguja	Punch	Sultanpur
Pratapgarh*	Sultanpur	Puducherry	Thaniayur [†]	Pune	Sundargarh [†]
Dustance de*	Currente Currente	D. d. d. d. eus	This and 11 and	Purity Champion	Currente
Pratapgarh	Surguja	Pudukkottai	Thiruvallur	Purba Champaran	Surguja
Puducherry	Thanjavur [†]	Punch	Thiruvarur	Purba Medinipur	Thanjavur [†]
Pudukkottai	Thimmonur	Pumo	Tingulai	Durbi Singhbhum	Thimmallum
1 uuukkottai	11iii uvalui	1 ulle	TITSUKIA	1 urbi Singilonum	11iiiuvaliui
Punch	Thoubal	Purba Champaran	Tiruchirappalli	Puruliya	Thiruvarur
Pune	Tinsukia	Purbi Singhbhum	Tirunelveli	Raisen*	Tinsukia
Furba Champaran	11ruchirappalli	Puruliya	Inuppur	Kajouri	Tiruchirappalli
Purba Medinipur	Tirunelveli	Raisen*	Tumkur	Ramanagara	Tirunelveli
Purbi Singhbhum	Tiruppur	Raiouri	Udalguri	Ramanathanuram	Tiruppur
- an onghonum					
Kajouri	Ionk	Kamanagara	Udhampur	Kamban	Tumkur
Ramanagara	Tumkur	Ramanathapuram	Umaria	Ramgarh	Udaipur
Pamanathanuran	Lidhammur	Pamban	Linnao	Panchi	IIdhammur
Ramanauapuram		ixanil/all	Ciulao	ixanici II	
Ramban	Udupi '	Ramgarh	Uttar Bastar Kanker	Rangareddy	Umaria
Ramgarh	Umaria	Ranchi*	Uttarkashi	Ratlam	Uttar Bastar Kanker
Den de la	The second	Democratid	37. 4. 4	Deterrint	The deale
Nanchi"	UTILIAO	nangareudy	vauodara	Naulagiri	Uuarkasni
Rangareddy	Uttar Bastar Kanker	Ratlam	Valsad '	Reasi	Vadodara
Ratlam	Uttar Dinainur [†]	Ratnagiri	Vidisha	Rewari*	Valsad [†]
			*****		y cuode
Katnagiri	Valsad	Reasi	Visakhapatnam	Ribhoi	Vidisha
Reasi	Varanasi	Rewari*	West District	Rudraprayag	Visakhapatnam [†]
Baurani	Vine dhun a con	Dibbai	VER	Promo and	Most District
NewdII	viruuniunagar	NULIOI	1.J.N.	nupilagar	west District
Ribhoi	Visakhapatnam '	Rudraprayag		Sabarkantha	Y.S.R.
Rudrapravag	Wardha [†]	Runnagar		Sagar*	
C 1 1 1		C 1 1 1		C 1 1 1 4 1 C 1 3 1	<u> </u>
Sabarkantha	west District	Saparkantha		Sanibzada Ajit Singh Nagar	
Saiha	West Garo Hills [†]	Salem		Salem	
Salem	VSR	Samastinur	1	Samastinur	1
	1.0.IX.			Sunasupu	l
Samastipur		Samba		Samba	
Samba		Sangli		Sangli	
Const!		Constitute I/hour		C	l
Sangli		Saraikela Kharsawan		Saran	
Saraikela Kharsawan		Saran		Satara	
Saran		Satara		Satna*	1
-				Cutitit	
Satara		Satna*		Sehore*	
Satna*		Sehore*		Senapati (Excluding 3 SD)	
Sahara		Conanati (Evaluation 2 (D))	1	Shahid Bha ant Cing 1 NT	1
Jenore		Jenapau (Excluding 3 SD)		Shahiu bhagat Singh Nagar	
Senapati (Excluding 3 SD)		Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar		Sheikhpura*	
Serchhin		Sheikhnura*		Sheonur*	1
Second		oncikuputa	1	uncopui	1

Quality Matter Beyond Access

Shahdol*	Sheopur*	Shimla	
Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	Shimla	Sikar	
Sheikhpura*	Sidhi*	Sindhudurg	
Sheopur	Sikar	Sirsa*	
Shimla	Simdega*	Siyaganga	
Singrauli	Sincrouli	Citran*	
Circum	Circum	C-1	
Sivaganga	Sivaganga	Solah	
Solapur	 Siwan*	 Solapur	
Sonipat	Solapur	Sonbhadra	
Sonitpur*	Sonbhadra	Sonipat	
South Andaman	Sonipat	Sonitpur*	
South District	South Andaman	South Andaman	
South Garo Hills	South District	South District	
South Coa	South Caro Hills	South Coa	
Couth Trigung	South Cas	Courth Trimuma	
Courth Marca	South Triange	Courth March	
South West		South West	
Sundargarh	South Twenty Four Parganas	Sri Potti Sriramulu Nellore	
Supaul	 South West	 Supaul	
Surat	Sundargarh	Surat	
Surendranagar	Supaul	Surendranagar	
Tamenglong	Surat	Tamenglong	
Tapi	Surendranagar	Tapi	
Tarn Taran*	Tamenglong	Tarn Taran	
Tawang	 Tani	Tawang	
Tabri Carbural	Torn Toron	Tobri Carbural	
The second secon	1 afil 1 afall	There and the second se	
Thane	Tawang	Thane	
The Dangs	Tehri Garhwal	The Dangs	
The Nilgiris	Thane	The Nilgiris	
Theni	The Dangs	Theni	
Thiruvallur	The Nilgiris	Thiruvananthapuram	
Thiruvananthapuram	Theni	Thoothukkudi	
Thoothukkudi	Thiruvananthapuram	Thoubal	
Thrissur	Thoothukkudi	Thrissur	
Tikamgarh*	Thoubal	Tikamgarh*	
Time	 Theires	There	
Tirap	 Innissur	Tirap	
Tiruvannamalai	Tikamgarh*	Tiruvannamalai	
Tuensang	Tirap	 Tonk*	
Udaipur	Tiruvannamalai	Tuensang	
Udalguri*	Tonk*	Udalguri	
Udham Singh Nagar	Tuensang	Udham Singh Nagar	
Ujjain*	Udaipur	Udupi	
Ukhrul	Udham Singh Nagar	Uijain*	
Una	Udupi	Ukhrul	
Unnor Signa	Liioin*	Una	
Upper Stang	Ulland	Ulla	
Upper Subarisiri		Ulliao	
Uttara Kannada	Una	Upper Siang	
Uttarkashi*	 Upper Siang	 Upper Subansiri	
Vadodara	Upper Subansiri	Uttar Dinajpur	
Vaishali*	Uttar Dinajpur	Uttara Kannada	
Vellore	Uttara Kannada	Vaishali*	
Vidisha*	Vaishali*	Varanasi*	
Viluppuram	Varanasi*	Vellore	
Vizianagaram	Vellore	Viluppuram	
Warangal	Viluppuram	Virudhunagar	
Washim	Virudhupagar	Vizianagaram	
Wayanad	Vizianagaram	Warangal	
wayanau	Vizianagaran	warangai	
West	Warangal	Wardha	
West Godavari	 Wardha	 Washim	
West Kameng	Washim	Wayanad	
West Khasi Hills	Wayanad	West	
West Siang	West	West Garo Hills	
West Tripura	West Garo Hills	West Godavari	
Wokha	West Godavari	West Kameng	
Yadgir	West Kameng	West Khasi Hills	
Vamunanagar	 West Khasi Hille	West Siang	
Vanam	 Wash Ciana	Most Triperes	
	 West Slang	 west ripura	
ravatmal	 west Iripura	 wokha	
Zunheboto	vvokha	Yadgır	
	 Yadgir	Yamunanagar	
	 Yamunanagar	 Yanam	
	Yanam	Yavatmal	
	Yavatmal	Zunheboto	
	Zunheboto		

SD=sub-divisions. *Low provider quality based on institutional delivery with skilled birth attendant; [†]High provider quality based on institutional delivery with skilled birth attendant.