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#### Abstract

The matrix equation $X^{p}+A^{*} X A=Q$ has been studied to find the positive definite solution in several researches. In this paper, we consider fixed-point iteration and Newton's method for finding the matrix $p$-th root. From these two considerations, we will use the NewtonSchulz algorithm (N.S.A). We will show the residual relation and the local convergence of the fixed-point iteration. The local convergence guarantees the convergence of N.S.A. We also show numerical experiments and easily check that the N.S. algorithm reduce the CPU-time significantly.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we will consider the matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{p}+A^{*} X A=Q \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a positive integer, $A, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $Q$ is a Hermitian positive definite matrix.

The existence of Hermitian positive definite solutions of the equation (1.1) has been investigated in some cases. Put $Y=X^{p}$, then equation (1.1) is equivalent to $Y+A^{*} Y^{\frac{1}{P}} A=Q$, which is a special example of equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X+A^{*} \mathscr{F}(X) A=Q \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ran and Reurings [15] proved that under the assumption the function $\mathscr{F}(\cdot)$ is monotone and $Q-A^{*} \mathscr{F}(Q) A$ is positive definite, the positive semi-definite solutions of equation (1.2) exist. EL-Sayed and Ran [3] proved that if $\mathscr{F}$ maps positive definite matrices either into positive definite matrices or into negative definite matrices, and satisfies some monotonicity property, then under some conditions an iteration method converges to a positive definite solution of equation (1.1). See also [16] for the linear matrix equation when $p=1$.

Jia and Wei [10] studied the matrix equation $X^{s}+A^{T} X^{t} A=Q$ which is also equivalent to equation(1.1) if we put $Y=X^{t}$ and $p=s / t$, where $s$ and
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$t$ are both nonnegative integers, $A$ and $Q$ are $n \times n$ real matrices and $Q$ is symmetric positive definite. They proved that the equation has a symmetric positive definite solution if $\lambda_{\max }\left(A^{T} A\right) \leq \lambda_{\min }(Q)\left(\lambda_{\max }(Q)\right)^{-\frac{t}{s}}$. When $Q=I$ and $A$ is invertible, one can see the approach outlined in $[4,17-19]$. They considered the matrix $p$-th root for the way to find the solution.

In [13], Meng and Kim considered the following basic fixed-point iteration by using the matrix $p$-th root for finding the Hermitian positive definite solution of the equation (1.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k+1}=\left(Q-A^{*} X_{k} A\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad X_{0}=I \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method (1.3) for finding the matrix $p$-th root is arbitrary, so we used Built-in function in MATLAB R2014a.

Many researchers have developed methods for finding the following $p$-th root of a matrix $A$.

$$
X^{p}=A .
$$

We call $X$ as the principal $p$-th root of $A$ when the eigenvalues of $X$ lie in the segment $\{z \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}:-\pi / p<\arg (z)<\pi / p\}$. One of the applications of $p$-th root is in the computation of the matrix logarithm through the relation $[2,11]$

$$
\log A=p \log A^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

where $p$ is chosen so that $A^{\frac{1}{p}}$ can be well approximated by a polynomial or rational function. Hoskins and Walton [7] consider the iteration

$$
X_{k+1}=\frac{1}{p}\left((p-1) X_{k}+A X_{k}^{1-p}\right), X_{0}=A
$$

which is Newton's method for $X^{p}-A=0$ simplified by using the commutativity relation $X_{k} A=A X_{k}$. They focused on symmetric positive definite matrices that $X_{k}$ defined by the above iteration converges to $p$-th root of $A$. However, for more general $A$, the iteration does not generally converge to $A^{\frac{1}{p}}$, as explained by Smith [20].

When we find $p$-th root of a matrix, the Newton sequence is defined as following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k+1}=\frac{1}{p}\left((p-1) X_{k}+A X_{k}^{1-p}\right), X_{0}=I \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also find the solution of the $p$-th root at every step in (1.3) by using the above Newton's method.

The Newton's method has several versions and modifications. In [8, 9], Iannazzo suggest stable versions of Newton's method. The inverse Newton's method suggested in $[1,6,21]$. The Schur Newton method was proposed by Smith [20] and it was developed by Guo and Higham [6]. Halley's method was suggested in [9], and Guo has explained the residual relation for the Newton's
method and Halley's method in [5]. In that paper, Guo also showed the existence of the $p$-th root of $M$-matrices and $H$-matrices. The residual is defined by $R(X)=I-A X^{-p}$ through $R(X)=A-X^{p}$ in [6].

In this paper, we will show a way of finding the solution of (1.1). This algorithm is motivated by the Newton's method for the matrix $p$-th root. Consider the following algorithm (1.5) to find the $p$-th root. We will set $X_{k}$ and $B_{k}$ as shown below and we will show the residual relation, local convergence of the fixed point iteration (1.3) and numerical experiment in later sections.
(1.5) $X_{k+1}=\frac{1}{p}\left((p-1) X_{k}+B_{k} X_{k}^{1-p}\right) \quad$ where $\quad B_{k}=Q-A^{*} X_{k} A, X_{0}=I$.

## 2. Residual relation for Newton Schulz algorithm

In this section, we consider the Newton's method and show the residual relation for the convergence. We also assume following conditions.

$$
\begin{cases}1 . & A Q=Q A \\ 2 . & \rho\left(I-Q+A^{*} A\right) \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

We also consider the residual of the $p$-th root of a matrix $A$ as following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X)=I-A X^{-p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Guo showed the residual relation for the following Newton's method to find the matrix $p$-th root of $A$ in [5]. So we will consider the next remark to prove the N. S. algorithm.

Remark 2.1. Assume that $\rho(I-A) \leq 1$, where $\rho(\cdot)$ denotes the spectral radius. Then the Newton sequence is well defined by (1.4) and

$$
R\left(Y_{k+1}\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} c_{i}\left(R\left(Y_{k}\right)\right)^{i}
$$

where $c_{i}>0$ for $i \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} c_{i}=1$. Moreover, if $\left\|R\left(Y_{0}\right)\right\|=\|I-A\| \leq 1$ for a sub-multiplicative matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$, then for each $k \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R\left(Y_{k+1}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\left(R\left(Y_{0}\right)\right)^{2^{k}}\right\| \leq\left\|R\left(Y_{0}\right)\right\|^{2^{k}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above remark, we can show the residual relation for (1.3) at every step. We let $Y_{k, i}$ be the Newton sequence for finding the matrix $p$-th root of $B_{k}$ with the starting value $Y_{k, 0}=X_{k}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{k, i+1}=\frac{1}{p}\left((p-1) Y_{k, i}+B_{k} Y_{k, i}^{1-p}\right), Y_{k, 0}=X_{k} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $X_{k+1}$ in (1.5) is the first element of the Newton sequence $Y_{k, i}$, i.e., $X_{k+1}=$ $Y_{k, 1}$.

We define the residual for finding the matrix $p$-th root of $B_{k}$ as following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}(X)=I-B_{k} X^{-p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first, we show the commutativity.
Lemma 2.2. If we set $X_{0}=I$, then $X_{i} X_{j}=X_{j} X_{i}, \forall k=0,1, \ldots$.
Proof. Since $X_{0}=I$ and $A Q=Q A$, we have

$$
\left(Q-A^{*} X_{k} A\right) X_{k}=X_{k}\left(Q-A^{*} X_{k} A\right)
$$

which implies that

$$
X_{k+1} X_{k}=\frac{1}{p}\left((p-1) X_{k}+\left(Q-A^{*} X_{k} A\right) X_{k}^{1-p}\right) X_{k}=X_{k} X_{k+1}
$$

Next, we will show the residual relation to prove the convergence of the sequence $\left\{X_{k}\right\}$.

Theorem 2.3. Consider the matrix equation (1.1) and if $A Q=Q A, \rho(I-$ $\left.Q+A^{*} A\right) \leq 1$ and $\rho(Q) \geq \rho\left(A^{*} A\right)$, then the iteration (2.3) satisfies following residual relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|R_{k}\left(Y_{k, 1}\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|R_{k}\left(Y_{k, 0}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|R_{k-1}\left(Y_{k-1,1}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|R_{k-1}\left(Y_{k-1,0}\right)\right\|^{2 \cdot 2} \leq \cdots \leq\left\|R_{0}\left(Y_{0,0}\right)\right\|^{2^{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that

$$
\left\|R_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|R_{k-1}\left(X_{k-1}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \cdots \leq\left\|R_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)\right\|^{2^{k}}
$$

Proof. $Y_{0,0}=X_{0}=I$ is nonsingular and $\rho\left(X_{0}\right) \leq 1$. Since,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{k+1,0}=Y_{k, 1}=X_{k+1} & =\frac{1}{p}\left((p-1) X_{k}+B_{k} X_{k}^{1-p}\right) \\
& =X_{k}\left(I-\frac{1}{p} I+\frac{1}{p} B_{k} X_{k}^{-p}\right) \\
& =X_{k}\left(I-\frac{1}{p}\left(I-B_{k} X_{k}^{-p}\right)\right) \\
& =X_{k}\left(I-\frac{1}{p} R_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $Y_{k, 0}=X_{k}$ is nonsingular and $\rho\left(X_{k}\right) \leq 1$, then $X_{k+1}$ is also nonsingular and $\rho\left(X_{k+1}\right) \leq \rho\left(X_{k}\right)$ when $\rho\left(R_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)\right) \leq 1$.

If $k=0$, then $B_{0}=Q-A^{*} A$ and $\rho\left(I-B_{0}\right)=\rho\left(R_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)=\rho(I-Q+$ $\left.A^{*} A\right) \leq 1$ from the assumption. Thus, the Newton sequence $\left\{Y_{0, i}\right\}$ is welldefined, $Y_{0,1}\left(=X_{1}=Y_{1,0}\right)$ is nonsingular and $\rho\left(Y_{0,1}\right)\left(=\rho\left(X_{1}\right)=\rho\left(Y_{1,0}\right)\right) \leq 1$. Since the relation $\left\|R_{k}\left(Y_{k, 1}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|R_{k}\left(Y_{k, 0}\right)\right\|^{2}$ is obvious from Remark 2.1 where $\rho\left(I-B_{k}\right) \leq 1$, so $\left\|R_{0}\left(Y_{0,1}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|R_{0}\left(Y_{0,0}\right)\right\|^{2}$.

We will prove that
$\left\|R_{k+1}\left(Y_{k+1,0}\right)\right\|=\left\|R_{k+1}\left(X_{k+1}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|R_{k}\left(X_{k+1}\right)\right\|=\left\|R_{k}\left(Y_{k, 1}\right)\right\|, \forall k=0,1, \ldots$, where $\rho\left(R_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)\right) \leq 1$.

Since

$$
\rho\left(A^{*} X_{k+1} A\right)=\rho\left(A^{*} X_{k}\left(I-\frac{1}{p} R_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)\right) A\right) \leq \rho\left(A^{*} X_{k} A\right) \leq \rho\left(A^{*} A\right)
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(R_{k+1}\left(Y_{k+1,0}\right)\right) & =\rho\left(I-Q X_{k+1}^{-p}+A^{*} X_{k+1} A X_{k+1}^{-p}\right) \\
& =\rho\left(I+A^{*} X_{k}\left(I-\frac{1}{p} R_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)\right) A X_{k+1}^{-p}-Q X_{k+1}^{-p}\right) \\
& \leq \rho\left(I+A^{*} X_{k} A X_{k+1}^{-p}-Q X_{k+1}^{-p}\right) \\
& =\rho\left(R_{k}\left(Y_{k, 1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left\|R_{k+1}\left(Y_{k+1,0}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|R_{k}\left(Y_{k, 1}\right)\right\|$.
If $\rho\left(I-B_{k}\right) \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(I-B_{k+1}\right) & =\rho\left(I-\left(Q-A^{*} X_{k+1} A\right)\right) \\
& =\rho\left(I-Q+A^{*} X_{k+1} A\right) \\
& =\rho\left(I-Q+A^{*} X_{k}\left(I-\frac{1}{p} R_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)\right) A\right) \\
& \leq \rho\left(I-Q+A^{*} X_{k} A\right) \\
& =\rho\left(I-B_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left\|R_{k}\left(Y_{k, 1}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|R_{k}\left(Y_{k, 0}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|R_{k-1}\left(Y_{k-1,1}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \cdots \leq\left\|R_{0}\left(Y_{0,0}\right)\right\|^{2^{k}}$.

## 3. Local convergence

In this section, we show the local convergence for the iteration (1.3). The local convergence will guarantee the convergence for (1.5). So we consider the matrix function

$$
F(X)=\left(Q-A^{*} X A\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},
$$

where $p$ is a positive integer, $A, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $Q$ is a Hermitian positive definite matrix.

One-step stationary iterations have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k+1}=F\left(X_{k}\right), \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F: \mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$.
Definition 3.1. A matrix function $F: \mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is contractive on a set $\mathbf{D}_{0} \subset \mathbf{D}$ if there is an $\alpha<1$ such that $\|F(X)-F(Y)\| \leq \alpha\|X-Y\|$ for all $X, Y \in \mathbf{D}_{0}$.

Theorem 3.2 (Contraction Mapping Theorem: version 1). Let $F: \mathbf{D} \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and suppose that $F$ maps a closed set $\mathbf{D}_{0} \subset \mathbf{D}$ into itself and is contractive. Then, $F$ has the unique fixed point in $\mathbf{D}_{0}$.

Theorem 3.3 (Local Convergence Theorem, [14]). Let $F: \mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and suppose that there exist a ball $\mathcal{B}:=\mathcal{B}(S, \delta) \subset \mathbf{D}$ and a constant $\alpha<1$ such that

$$
\|F(X)-S\| \leq \alpha\|X-S\| \quad \text { for all } X \in \mathcal{B}
$$

Then, for any $X_{0} \in \mathcal{B}$, the iteration from (3.1) remains in $\mathcal{B}$ and converges to $S$ and

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\left\|X_{k}-S\right\|} \leq \alpha
$$

In Theorem 3.3, since $X_{0}$ is arbitrary, $F$ is invariant on $\mathcal{B}$ (i.e., $F$ maps into itself).

From the above theorems, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.4 ([12]). Let $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be a fixed point of $F: \mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and suppose that there exist balls $\mathcal{B}_{1}:=\mathcal{B}(S, \delta) \subset \mathbf{D}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}:=\mathcal{B}\left(S, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ $\subset \mathcal{B}_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F(X)-F(Y)\| & \leq \Gamma(X, Y) \cdot\|X-Y\|, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathbf{D} \\
\Gamma(X, S) & \leq \bar{\mu}(\|X-S\|)<\bar{\mu}(\delta) \leq 1, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{B}_{1} \\
\Gamma(X, Y) & \leq \bar{\nu}\left(\delta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)<1, \quad \forall Y, Z \in \mathcal{B}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\mu}(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{\mu}(x)=\mu<1
$$

where $\Gamma(X, Y), \bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ are real nonnegative valued functions on $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D},[0, \delta]$ and $\left[0, \delta^{\prime}\right] \times\left[0, \delta^{\prime}\right]$ respectively, and $\bar{\mu}$ is increasing on $[0, \delta]$. Then, for any $X_{0} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$, the sequence $\left\{X_{k}\right\}$ generated by (3.1) converges to $S$. Moreover, $S$ is the unique fixed point of $F$ in $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ and

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{\left\|X_{k}-S\right\|} \leq \alpha
$$

Notation. For given matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times q}$, the Kronecker product $A \otimes B$ is an $m r \times n q$ matrix.

$$
A \otimes B=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} B & \ldots & a_{1 n} B \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} B & \ldots & a_{m n} B
\end{array}\right]
$$

The operator $\operatorname{vec}(A)$ represents a column vector from a matrix $A$ :

$$
\operatorname{vec}(A)=\left[a_{1}^{T} a_{2}^{T} \ldots a_{n}^{T}\right]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m n \times 1}
$$

where $a_{i}$ is the $i$-th column of $A$ and $a_{i}^{T}$ is the transpose of $a_{i}$.
Remark 3.5. The matrix equation

$$
A X B=C
$$

is equivalent to the system of $q \times m$ equations in $n \times p$ unknowns given by

$$
\left(B^{T} \otimes A\right) \operatorname{vec}(X)=\operatorname{vec}(C)
$$

that is, $\operatorname{vec}(A X B)=\left(B^{T} \otimes A\right) \operatorname{vec}(X)$.
Suppose $A$ is nonsingular, let $M=Q-A^{*} X A$ and $N=Q-A^{*} Y A$, then

$$
F(X)-F(Y)=M^{\frac{1}{p}}-N^{\frac{1}{p}},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
X-Y & =\left(A^{*}\right)^{-1}(N-M) A^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(A^{*}\right)^{-1} N^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\left(N^{\frac{1}{p}}-M^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) M^{\frac{i}{p}} A^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{vec}(X-Y)=\left(A^{T} \otimes A^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(M^{\frac{i}{p}}\right)^{T} \otimes N^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\right) \operatorname{vec}(F(Y)-F(X))
$$

which implies that

$$
\operatorname{vec}(F(Y)-F(X))=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(M^{\frac{i}{p}}\right)^{T} \otimes N^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\right)^{-1}\left(A^{T} \otimes A^{*}\right) \operatorname{vec}(X-Y)
$$

So we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(Y)-F(X)\|_{F} \leq\left\|\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(M^{\frac{i}{p}}\right)^{T} \otimes N^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{2}\|A\|_{2}^{2}\|X-Y\|_{F} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define $\Gamma(X, Y)$ by

$$
\Gamma(X, Y)=\left\|\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(M^{\frac{i}{p}}\right)^{T} \otimes N^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{2}\|A\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $S$ be a solvent of (1.1), and let $a=\|A\|_{2}, s=\sigma_{\min }(S)$. If $s^{p}-\left(\frac{a^{2}}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}>0$, then

$$
\Gamma(X, Y) \leq \bar{\nu}\left(\delta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)<1, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_{2}:=\mathcal{B}\left(S, \delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

where

$$
\delta^{\prime}<\frac{s^{p}-\left(\frac{a^{2}}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{a^{2}}
$$

and
$\bar{\nu}\left(\|X-S\|_{2},\|Y-S\|_{2}\right)=\frac{a^{2}}{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(s^{p}-\|X-S\|_{2} a^{2}\right)^{\frac{i}{p}}\left(s^{p}-\|Y-S\|_{2} a^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}}$.
Proof. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_{2}$, then we have
$\Gamma(X, Y)=\left\|\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(\left(Q-A^{*} X A\right)^{\frac{i}{p}}\right)^{T} \otimes\left(Q-A^{*} Y A\right)^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{2}\|A\|_{2}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\|\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(\left(Q-A^{*}(X-S) A-A^{*} S A\right)^{\frac{i}{p}}\right)^{T} \otimes\left(Q-A^{*}(Y-S) A-A^{*} S A\right)^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{2}\|A\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(\left(S^{p}-A^{*}(X-S) A\right)^{\frac{i}{p}}\right)^{T} \otimes\left(S^{p}-A^{*}(Y-S) A\right)^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{2}\|A\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{2}}{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(\left(s^{p}-\sigma_{\max }\left(A^{*}(X-S) A\right)\right)^{\frac{i}{p}}\left(s^{p}-\sigma_{\max }\left(A^{*}(Y-S) A\right)\right)^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{a^{2}}{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(\left(s^{p}-\|X-S\|_{2} \| a^{2}\right)^{\frac{i}{p}}\left(s^{p}-\|Y-S\|_{2} \| a^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-1-i}{p}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we get

$$
\Gamma(X, Y) \leq \bar{\nu}\left(\|X-S\|_{2},\|Y-S\|_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bar{\nu}\left(\|X-S\|_{2},\|Y-S\|_{2}\right) \leq \bar{\nu}\left(\delta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)=\frac{a^{2}}{p\left(s^{p}-a^{2} \delta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}},
$$

where $\delta^{\prime}=\min \left\{\|X-S\|_{2},\|Y-S\|_{2}\right\}$.
Since $s^{p}-\left(\frac{a^{2}}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}>0$ and $\delta^{\prime}<\frac{s^{p}-\left(\frac{a^{2}}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{a^{2}}$, then

$$
\left(\frac{a^{2}}{p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}<s^{p}-a^{2} \delta^{\prime}
$$

which implies

$$
\frac{a^{2}}{p}<\left(s^{p}-a^{2} \delta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}
$$

Thus

$$
\bar{\nu}\left(\delta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)=\frac{a^{2}}{p\left(s^{p}-a^{2} \delta^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}<1
$$

## 4. Numerical experiments

In this section, we give two numerical experiments in [13] to show the efficiency of the iterations for finding the positive definite solution of equation (1.1). We also give two experiments to find the convergence radius of local convergence. Our experiments were done in Matlab R2017b and each time for the iteration is the average of 20 times.

Example 4.1 ([13]). Let matrix $A=\operatorname{rand}(10) \times 10^{-2}, Q=\operatorname{eye}(10)$ and $p=2,3, \ldots, 10$.


Figure 4.1. Time in Example 4.1

|  | B.I.-iter | B.I.-time (1.0e-03*) | N.S.-iter | N.S.-time(1.0e-03*) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p=2$ | 4 | 0.7020 | 4 | 0.4733 |
| $p=3$ | 4 | 0.7118 | 4 | 0.4953 |
| $p=4$ | 4 | 0.6145 | 4 | 0.3489 |
| $p=5$ | 4 | 0.6161 | 4 | 0.3568 |
| $p=6$ | 4 | 0.6437 | 4 | 0.3811 |
| $p=7$ | 4 | 0.6042 | 4 | 0.3449 |
| $p=8$ | 4 | 0.5896 | 4 | 0.3471 |
| $p=9$ | 3 | 0.4461 | 4 | 0.3471 |
| $p=10$ | 3 | 0.4601 | 4 | 0.3553 |

Example 4.2 ([13]). Let matrix $A=\operatorname{rand}(50) \times 10^{-2}, Q=\operatorname{eye}(50)$ and $p=2,3, \ldots, 10$.

|  | B.I.-iter | B.I.-time | N.S.-iter | N.S.-time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p=2$ | 8 | 0.0177 | 8 | 0.0050 |
| $p=3$ | 8 | 0.0173 | 6 | 0.0039 |
| $p=4$ | 7 | 0.0146 | 7 | 0.0046 |
| $p=5$ | 7 | 0.0147 | 7 | 0.0048 |
| $p=6$ | 7 | 0.0149 | 7 | 0.0051 |
| $p=7$ | 6 | 0.0128 | 7 | 0.0051 |
| $p=8$ | 6 | 0.0128 | 7 | 0.0053 |
| $p=9$ | 6 | 0.0133 | 7 | 0.0054 |
| $p=10$ | 6 | 0.0132 | 6 | 0.0046 |



Figure 4.2. Time in Example 4.2

In Section 3, we showed the local convergence of the method (1.3). Now, we will calculate the convergence radius of the following examples. We used matrix 2 -norm for calculation.
Example 4.3. Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0.5 & -0.45 \\ 0.45 & 0\end{array}\right), Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), p=2,3,4,5,6$.

|  | $s$ | $a$ | $\delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p=2$ | 0.6902 | 0.7648 | 0.6682 |
| $p=3$ | 0.7713 | 0.7648 | 0.6372 |
| $p=4$ | 0.8186 | 0.7648 | 0.6361 |
| $p=5$ | 0.8497 | 0.7648 | 0.6403 |
| $p=6$ | 0.8717 | 1.0215 | 0.6453 |

Example 4.4. Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0.2 & 0.4 \\ 0.05 & 0.25\end{array}\right), ~ Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), p=2,3,4,5,6$.

|  | $s$ | $a$ | $\delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p=2$ | 0.8716 | 0.5114 | 2.8393 |
| $p=3$ | 0.9099 | 0.5114 | 2.7818 |
| $p=4$ | 0.9306 | 0.5114 | 2.7663 |
| $p=5$ | 0.9435 | 0.5114 | 2.7632 |
| $p=6$ | 0.9524 | 0.5114 | 2.7641 |

The iteration number of N.S. algorithm is equal to or greater than Built-in function in MATLAB when we compare only the iteration numbers. We don't check the iteration number of Built-in function when we use it to find the $p$-th root. We reduce CPU-time for finding the $p$-th root at each steps. By the N. S.
algorithm, we save the CPU time to find the solution. We can consider other methods which evaluate the matrix $p$-th root. Some other methods also reduce the CPU-time. For example, we also checked the Halley method in [5] and it also reduces CPU-time, but it is slower than N. S. algorithm. It is possible to find suitable algorithms for solving the equation (1.1) in various conditions of coefficient matrices.
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